The Greek Revival
I. THE AMERICAN NATIONAL EXPRESSION

By HOWARD MAJOR

PREVALENT opinion decrees that Colonial architecture is America's individual contribution to the arts. This is, however, far from the truth; the much ridiculed Greek temple home of the first part of the nineteenth century is our independent gift to universal architectural development.

Counterparts of Colonial architecture are found throughout England, and the inquisitive may readily verify this statement by a comparison of smaller English domestic work of the eighteenth century with contemporaneous American work. This similarity was first called to our attention in “The Georgian Period” (1901). It is now universally conceded by authorities in both countries, as by S. C. Ramsey in “Small Houses of the Georgian Period” (London, 1919) and Fiske Kimball in “Domestic Architecture of the American Colonies” (New York, 1922), among recent works.

Having been born and bred with this idea of Colonial architecture's being our national style, we may well consider the statement to the contrary as startling. The great mass of Colonial data gathered and published verifies our first belief, particularly as until recently practically no data of similar nature was gathered in England. True, much eighteenth century architectural data has been published in England, but it has invariably related to the large and elaborate buildings. Nothing on such a grand scale was attempted here as in our mother country. Therefore the only comparison possible until recently has been these great English manor houses with our modest domiciles. Naturally enough we jumped to the conclusion of dissimilarity. Within the last few years there has come to light much English resemblance that is indeed startling. One might wonder, with the two countries in those days so widely separated, how such a similarity could exist. However, the reason is simple enough. In outlying districts in England and throughout America the designing of the home was entrusted to either a gentleman amateur or a builder. Both designed with the aid of English handbooks on architecture, of which a great number were published and sold at reasonable prices. These books quickly found their way to America, and every carpenter provided himself with several of the latest editions. The popularity of these books may be judged by the great number of editions published, sometimes running to as many as 10 or 12. Therefore, whether in England, Virginia or New York, amateurs copying the same motif would naturally produce strikingly similar results.

This is not true of the Greek revival temple home. It is America's individual expression—our own great national style in architecture. There are no counterparts of the temple used for dwelling purposes in Europe. Much has been written derogatory to

Nicholas Biddle House, Andalusia, Pennsylvania
The first example of the colonnade encircling the building
Virginia Capitol Building, Richmond, Virginia, 1789
The first actual instance of the temple form used for other than purposes of worship

this style, but much can and undoubtedly will be written in its praise. In America it is unsurpassed for its restraint and stateliness. Charm, the most elusive factor in architecture, has been attained, particularly in the type of temple with two low subordinated wings at right angles to the main axis. Such is the Mackay house, Willseyville, N. Y. Fiske Kimball thus pays his tribute, ably summing up this Greek revival period: "American domestic architecture made its independent contribution to universal development. Whatever may be thought, there can be no doubt that it endowed America with an architectural tradition unsurpassed in the qualities, monumentality and dignity." Such was the style.

Interior of a New York House of the Greek Revival Period
From original drawing of the New York Historical Society

Sketch Plan for Remodeling the Governor's House at Williamsburg, Virginia, 1779, by Thomas Jefferson
This is the first suggestion of a pediment roofing the entire building, thus forming a temple

Growth of the Style

After the revolution America naturally turned more to the continent for inspiration than theretofore. The nation, now a republic, regarded with favor the ancient democracies of Rome and Greece and not the monarchies of western Europe. At this time abroad much research was devoted to the classic models of antiquity, and America eagerly assimilated the results to a greater extent than the older nations of Europe. With the monarchy overthrown in France, a stronger tendency than in England now prevailed for restrained classic design, and at this time "Vive la France" was indeed strong in America. The stage was set for our classic revival.

It is true that the classic revival had its beginning abroad, with its ultimate ideal the temple, but its realization was in America. Here was developed its great scope from the largest public buildings to the humble domicile, with an independent initiative seen nowhere in Europe. Its first expression is seen in the Virginia Capitol (1789) which preceded

the Madeleine in Paris, the first great European temple reproduction, by 22 years. In 1819-1826 the Bank of the United States was built in Philadelphia, antedating the corresponding foreign interpretation of the Parthenon, the National Monument at Edinburgh, by 10 years. For the first time America was in advance of Europe in architectural thought, and consequently in practice.

Books again were the direct factor, but of character widely different from before. The eighteenth century publications contained plates of houses, windows, doors, etc. which were actually reproduced; the later publications were restorations of classical antiquity which the designer adapted to his problems. Eventually, publications of the adapted solutions were published by Americans, but I am not familiar with any English publication of this character. By 1770 copies of the first volumes
Dwelling at Ann Arbor, Michigan
Although the style was not flexible, the designers had no difficulty in adapting it to the humblest dwelling as well as to the magnificent mansion. Note, to save expense, the use of the ants in lieu of the more expensive columns of Stuart & Revett's work "Antiquities of Athens," Thomas Major's "Ruins of Pestum," and John Wood's "Palmyra and Baalbec" arrived in America, to be followed by other publications of similar character, and within a few years after the revolution these new forces were felt everywhere in various ways.

Thomas Jefferson in the Eighteenth Century
Just as Inigo Jones was the father of the Renaissance in England, so was Thomas Jefferson the father of the classic revival in America. Furthermore, Inigo Jones was the first great English architect, and so Thomas Jefferson, although a great statesman and diplomat, was the first great American architect. History further repeats itself for, as after Jones, individuals became determining factors in the characteristics of English architecture, so after the initiative of Jefferson, individuals played similar roles here.

Under Jefferson's leadership the South was first to feel the direct classicism of the revivalist in the temple form of architecture. The first forms derived from Roman antiquity were to be superseded by Greek forms, which were to prevail everywhere. So universally was Greek detail adopted after its introduction during the last year of the eighteenth century that although properly a classic revival, the period was to be known as the Greek revival.

Jefferson, then Governor of Virginia, in about 1779 made sketches for the remodeling of the Governor's house at Williamsburg. In this he planned rows of eight columns at front and rear, with a pediment roof running from colonnade to colonnade, thus developing an amphiprostyle octastyle temple dwelling. This is the first instance of a temple form of architecture being adapted to domestic use. Nobody in Europe had conceived so radical a departure as this temple form. Applied to merely domestic purposes, Jefferson was ahead of the times, and the scheme was dropped for many years before it was again advanced. It is interesting to note that Jefferson designed this remodeling before his European trip, when he had opportunity of studying the antique at first hand and which confirmed his idea of the desirability of using this temple motif in architecture.

In 1775 Jefferson designed the Virginia Capitol building at Richmond, and it was completed in 1789. He had greatly admired the Maison Carree and modeled the Capitol after this temple with a row of six columns in front. This was the first example of the temple form actually erected in America and created a precedent for those already imbued with classical enthusiasm. In 1799-1801 Latrobe designed and built the Bank of Pennsylvania, with the Greek Ionic order of the temple form.

Jefferson was again to take the initiative in adapting the temple form for domestic purposes. This
The simplest and most common expression of the style temple form in its entirety was adopted in Pavilion II (1818), a professor’s house of the University of Virginia. While this professor’s home contained a classroom, it was primarily for the use of the family. With this beginning it was not long before new examples began to appear elsewhere. George Hadfield designed and carried out “Arlington” (1826) with six great Greek Doric columns after the temple of Paestum. Nicholas Biddle, in remodeling his country house at Andalusia (in 1835) took the extreme step by encircling his dwelling with a colonnade forming a peristyle temple instead of the more frequent prostyle arrangement. Biddle was the first American to travel to Greece, where he became much interested in Greek art, so it is not surprising that he should be the first to make this radical interpretation. All that was now necessary was a house built like the Parthenon itself, and this was realized in 1835 at “Berry Hill,” Virginia, with eight columns in front instead of six used for the portico.

Throughout the years 1821-1827 the war of Greek independence added fresh impetus to the classical movement. America was strongly in sympathy with the Greek cause and now evolved a desire for things Greek in every phase of life. Many of the towns of America received Greek names, as Athena in New York and Georgia, Sparta and Corinth in New York and Ypsilanti, Ionia and Scio in Michigan. Myriads of temple houses sprang up all over the country, in the North, South, East and West. The orders and details were carefully reproduced from imported publications on Greek restorations and from American handbooks such as Minard Lafever’s “The Modern Builder’s Guide,” published in 1833. This now rare publication presented designs of the Greek temple house as well as details for doors, windows, etc. A quotation from Lafever expresses the independence of the American craftsman toward British design. From Mr. Nicholson, whom he mentions, he only utilizes his treatises on geometry and construction. To quote, “From the works of Mr. Nicholson of London I have received a greater amount of aid than from any other source. The only other authors to whom I owe acknowledgment are Messrs. Stuart & Revett, of London, from whose highly valuable and popular work, entitled ‘The Antiquities of Athens,’ I have borrowed the article...”
relating to the Ancient Orders of Architecture." He depended little on others.

In outlying districts carpenters and builders had no difficulty in reproducing with exactness miniature Greek temples to house their clients. Certainly the chaste, dignified results of the first half of the nineteenth century may be favorably compared with much that preceded and with everything in the turmoil that followed.

Although the temple type was the most prevalent form and that which gave America its individual expression, other modifications had wide practice. The colonnaded front without the pediment was in common usage, although not as successful as that with the pediment. Another variation was that of the one-story wings flanking the two-story colonnade with pediment. This was the most successful expression, both from the point of view of the exterior and interior design and greater flexibility in planning for the requirements of the family. Indeed this type had many variations, and many of the examples have decided charm. It is a tradition of which we architects of today may readily make use. The simplest expression of the style is a long, narrow building with its end pediment fronting the street and without porticoes to carry the pediment. This is in direct contrast to the Colonial buildings with their long elevations toward the street. Substitutes for columns were square posts or ante, which were less expensive and easier to build, and were generally effective. The windows of high, narrow proportions were carefully and in all instances successfully spaced upon the facades. Frequently the windows of the first floor ran to the ground, remaining double-hung, although sometimes casements were substituted. Occasionally triple windows with narrow side lights and square heads were employed. These were introduced in about 1790. When the facade was without the massive orders, a one-story portico of four columns with or without pediment, was most frequently adopted. When the pediment was not used a parapet or coping was employed with a fret or other Greek ornamentation. Recessed doorways with two columns between pilasters or ante with an unbroken cornice gave dignity and importance to another type of entrance. The doorway itself was often composed of sidelights, either with or without straight transoms, with an
unbroken cornice over them. Engaged columns were as often employed as not between the door and the sidelights. Occasionally a curved transom surmounting sidelights and doorway was borrowed from the preceding period, but with Greek detail and of very different character than was used formerly. The typical pedimented doorways of Colonial days have now entirely disappeared.

The orders of the Colonial period were generally attenuated one or two diameters. Jefferson, however, abstained from this practice and adhered closely to Palladian proportions. When the Greek orders were introduced, the proportions illustrated by Stuart & Revett and similar authors were faithfully imitated. However, it was not uncommon at a somewhat later date to find the orders attenuated. Up to 1817 the Greek Doric was the popular order employed, to be followed by the ascendency of the Ionic. This in turn about 1833 was superseded by the Corinthian of the Lysicrates type. An unfailing characteristic was the full entablature completely encircling the building.

The interiors were bold and dignified. They were decidedly architectural, composed of straight, severe lines and with a tendency toward heavy detail. The walls were severely plain, plastered surfaces, without a dado or other interruption. The outstanding feature was the separation of rooms by means of antae and columns with invariably the full entablature running around the room. The rooms were high-studded, with a pleasant though severe distribution of openings and chimneypieces. The doorways were wide and together with the antae and columns created division between rooms; the first floor was opened up at the expense of privacy and intimacy. These rooms lent themselves to large gatherings rather than to intimate tête-a-tête. Wainscoting of every character had disappeared, in lieu of which the attention was devoted to delightful doorway and window trim. The chimneypieces were most frequently of black marble with wide, flat pilasters or columns and without overmantels. The characteristic trim had corner blocks with deep-cut rosettes. The moulded trim was of heavy, full contour, symmetrical on a central axis. Frequently a long middle block takes the place of the key, and this block is often carved in deep relief. Strangely enough, this trim is far from Greek in derivation, but harmonizes perfectly in its setting and is one of the entirely original expressions of the period. In fact it outlived the Greek revival and obtained well throughout the entire century. I am afraid, to its detriment and unpopularity, which is hardly deserved when the early examples are seen.

By 1850 the Greek revival had drawn to a close, and the "wooden Gothic" and "early Pullman" came into their own, creating a second "Moyen Age." One may well remember how much the Greek revival has to do with the new classic revival of the present, which began in the 90's. Certainly there are today many strivings like to those of a hundred years ago.

Note.—Mr. Major is preparing a work upon the Greek revival, particularly its domestic phases, and would be glad to receive photographs of such examples as his fellow architects have. He would also be grateful to know of examples of work of this character. Mr. Major's address is 154 East 61st Street, New York.—THE EDITOR.
The Palazzo Linotte, Rome

By LLOYD MELVILLE HENDRICK, JR.

Originally a private dwelling, like its neighbor the Massimi, the Palazzo Linotte was erected about 1523 to accommodate Thomas Le Roy, a French prelate called to Rome and undoubtedly attached to the papal staff in the nearby Cancelleria. Le Roy's origin and family are pleasantly recalled in the frequent use on the building of the fleur-de-lys combined with his armorial lilies. The authorship is much in doubt, lying between Peruzzi and San Gallo the younger, with most authorities favoring the latter.

The front which is called the principal facade in the accompanying drawings was originally the entrance front, and most certainly faced an open space instead of the present narrow alley. From the entrance a barrel vaulted vestibule leads into a courtyard. The building surrounds this in a U-shaped manner, the open side enclosed by a one-story wall pierced by an archway opening upon a modern terrace reminiscent of a former garden development. The side which faces upon the Corso Vittorio Emanuele and contains the present entrance is wholly modern.

Old photographs and drawings show here a miscellaneous collection of structures of the poorest kind built against and into the Linotte to the depth of the present loggia. In the restoration, completed in 1898, these excrescences were torn down, and construction made of the existing facade which takes up the lines and carries out admirably the character of the old portions of the building. The corner loggia in three stories is an element of doubt if we try to find for it any historical basis as being part of the original scheme. Yet beyond its use at the ground story as the present entrance it serves no requirement of the municipal art commission which has occupied the building since its restoration. On this account, and knowing the solicitude elsewhere for faithful reproduction of the older parts, it may be reasonably concluded that the restorers had access to information not available now, and found therein authority for the loggias.

The chain of historical accuracy, on which too much emphasis is often put, may be laid aside in the agreeable appreciation of the building as it stands. Up to the second story windows the material is travertine; above, the field of the walls is brick to match, with travertine trimmings. The sashes are leaded and glazed in different patterns with small panes that vary from translucent white to faint orange and purple. None can deny that this and similar details frequently passed by as trivial contribute vastly to the satisfaction of the beholder.

The walls and ceilings of the loggias on the street side above the first story are finished in travertine, cippolino, serpentine and marbles of white and gray that combine pleasantly with plaster surfaces colored flat in some cases and elsewhere decorated more elaborately. If it be a dangerous business to speak of this modern work in the same breath with
that of the master decorators of the Renaissance, at
least it can be truly said that as one views it from
the street it is a delight to the eye.
That which was originally the rear elevation is
very irregular in composition, largely on account of
windows placed on stair landings; and the windows
in the bay farthest from the street are jammed hard
against the quoins of the corner in a way that not
even a generous imagination can excuse. Typical of
its period, the principal facade, aside from the end
of the loggia, is formal in its arrangement, and the
same treatment continues on what we may call the
right-hand elevation. A close inspection of the
mouldings and carving reveals the "quality" of the
author, and may well allow us to say that here was
a man of purity of taste and wise in understanding
of the true relation of line and surface to visual
effects.
Of special interest are several points of detail.
A comparison of the capitals of the pilasters with
those of the columns in the Tuscan order of the first
story shows a considerable flattening of the curve
of the echinus in the former; the feeling of support
is not stressed where it is not necessary. The archi­
trave of the third story windows, simple in thought,
is vitalized by giving the flat surfaces a splay that
the eye would never chance upon, but which is
clearly brought out by a profile gauge. The capitals
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of the third story order in the loggia are rather wide-spreading for the slender columns under if we judge by the drawing, but they bear up perfectly the cornice above that is proportioned for the building. The stonework is made to yield its maximum interest through the use of rusticated surfaces, moldings, etc., rather than by a weak dependence upon joints; hence these are rarely visible except when one looks for them. Each of the upper stories is set back but very slightly from that beneath it; here is the desire to anticipate the effect of leaning outward. By such means as these, applied to a structure of excellent proportions and well chosen elements, did the designer evolve a work that has already been copied almost exactly elsewhere in Rome, and has furnished the motif for one of New York's most graceful banks. By reason of its moderate scale and the comparatively simple character of its ornament the palazzo possesses an unusual value as an architectural study.
Stained Glass Construction and Details—I

By WILBUR HERBERT BURNHAM, Artist-Craftsman

With Illustrations by the Author

The greatly increasing number of American architects who are creating a high type of art, based upon beautiful and lasting architectural models, stained glass has a strong appeal. Its proper use enhances, while its misuse utterly spoils, any architectural interior. The principles governing the designing and making of an ideal stained glass window, together with uncraftsmenlike methods and their results, are briefly illustrated in this article, which will be followed with a description of the many interesting processes necessary to the making and installing of a window. There will also be illustrations and descriptions of the details which architects should observe carefully in order to be sure that the preparatory work done by building mechanics is in the right direction and, when installed in the building, is ready and proper to receive the leaded glass.

Medieval Glass. In the many books written on the subject of stained glass, varied opinions have been expressed relative to the merits of medieval work, from its beginning, through the centuries, and into its decline. Although some writers have preferred fourteenth century work, others fifteenth century and so on, they have agreed that the barbaric richness of color and simplicity of twelfth and thirteenth century stained glass were, in the succeeding periods, unequaled. The two dominant colors used in the early work, red and blue, were of pure and mysterious hues, the red streaky, and the blue a wonderful liquid cobalt. The blue of twelfth century work was of a lighter quality, described many times as a "heavenly" blue. These medieval craftsmen in stained glass drew in the spirit of the age in which they lived, and the utmost simplicity and purely decorative quality of their figures serve as a valuable object lesson for the decorator of today. Their task was always accomplished in the most direct manner, devoid of meaningless embellishments.

The illustration on page 61 is from a water color of the famous window known as the Notre Dame de la Belle Verriere. It is impossible to gain a proper appreciation for this masterpiece in glass without seeing it in its place in the choir ambulatory of Chartres Cathedral. This great cathedral is rightly called the holy of holies of stained glass, for without doubt it contains the most wonderful collection of medieval windows in all the world. The Virgin with the Child on her knee is the work of the twelfth century, while the remainder of the window, outside of the great central panel, is thirteenth century. It is the common belief, that during the disastrous fire in the cathedral the Virgin and Child panel was rescued, and when the cathedral was rebuilt, the window was restored by the craftsmen of the...
Portion of Jesse Window, Trocadero, Paris
From Painting by Wilbur Herbert Burnham

thirteenth century. Although this window as a whole is a remarkable example of stained glass, the crowning glory is the twelfth century portion, with its daring background of a deep, rich and glowing ruby, set with jewels of blue. The virgin is robed in garments of light blue, a color seldom seen in later work; the design and color in this panel are of a simple grandeur never equaled in later periods. The Byzantine style of drawing and severity of design together with its remarkably simple dignity, and the noticeably larger pieces of glass, are characteristics of the twelfth century portion that stand out. The remaining parts of this noble window, typically thirteenth century in character, show an advance in draftsmanship and a desire to tell stories in individual medallions. Although the same breadth of design and simple harmony of color in the central panel are partly lost, the work is still distinctly craftsmanlike and mosaic in character.

In this marvelous window of colored glass, all the characteristics of twelfth and thirteenth century work may be studied. That the artist-craftsmen who made this window were colorists par-excellence is proved by their daring use of red and blue. Just the right proportions of white, gold and green are woven through the entire composition to give a perfect balance in color and at the same time offset any possibility of a disagreeable purplish effect. The figures, while naively drawn, are conceived in a direct, decorative manner, with no attempt at realism. For centuries this truly great window has inspired lovers of art, and is a striking example of what the ideal window should be, with its flat, symbolic representations.

Transitional Period. The fourteenth century, or transitional period, as it is commonly called, saw perhaps advances in the technical side of the art of stained glass, but the old ideals were lost, and in place of rich color and simple treatment there developed realism and gray coloring, with naturalistic ornament, and the early Byzantine influence vanished. One problem, however, was solved in this period. A growing desire for lighter churches resulted in a combination of single figures and grisaille, a new type of ornament in white glass, geometric in design, with interlacing hands of color. A delicately traced foliated pattern on the white glass subdued the glaring light and gave to the window an extremely soft and beautiful light. The invention of the stain, to which later reference will be made, helped materially to blend the white glass with the colored figures. The canopy work, which in earlier glass was but a part of the whole design, as in the top of the Belle Verriere, became more important than the figures. Subjects became a rarity, and the single figures, all more or less alike, were beginning to take on an effect of high relief in modeling and a delicacy of rendering.

Late Gothic Glass. The fifteenth century, or late Gothic period, was noteworthy for its advanced and delicate style of drawing, increased use of stain, and pinnacled canopies. The canopies, now almost all
The Virgin with the Child is the work of the twelfth century, while the remainder of the window, outside of the great central panel, is thirteenth century.
Medallion Designed and Executed by Wilbur Herbert Burnham

In this medallion the charm of mosaic color and simplicity of design of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries are combined with the delicacy in the drawing of the fifteenth century.

Renaissance Glass. Picture windows came into vogue in the sixteenth century, beautiful in drawing and in the technique of painting, but showing withal an absolute disregard for the fundamental principles of the craft. Enamel colors were discovered, and the glass painter could at last paint a realistic picture. Large sheets of white glass were employed, and the painter was not hampered by lead lines. But his pictures on glass were absolute failures; the soft enamel colors were not permanent, and in time peeled off the glass, leaving patches of white glass over the window. It must be admitted, that although the sixteenth century worker in glass was not a decorator in any sense, he was a painter of a very high order. But alas! His wonderful painting on glass soon lost its glory and became a dull, lifeless imitation of a painting on canvas. A rapid decline in the art followed, and in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries it became virtually one of the lost arts, until in the age of Pugin and the great Gothic revival in England a new interest in the art developed, and its lost ideals were restored. Especially in England, stained glass windows became greatly in demand, and the artists in glass received a new inspiration.

Unfortunately, however, this great demand for windows in colored glass soon caused the introduction of commercialism, resulting in a great number of deplorable windows, the productions of large stained glass firms in Germany, England and America. Many of these factories still exist, turning out windows on a huge scale. While, to be sure, these windows have in themselves a certain merit, as did the picture windows of the Renaissance, nevertheless, a direct sinning against the true principles of craftsmanship is much in evidence, and the intrinsic beauty of the material is of secondary importance.

This condition is partially responsible for the sincere efforts on the part of several of our honest artist-craftsmen to place the art on the high plane it is beginning to occupy, and their influence is being felt. The future for the noble art is most encouraging, and American craftsmen are doing their full share in this new great revival. Our architects who are striving sincerely to create cathedrals and churches to inspire the Christians of today and of the future will find in many modern craftsmen in stained glass a spirit of hearty cooperation, and a sincere desire to create windows worthy of a place in the House of God, but there are countless instances where unworthy glass has marred, when it has not irreparably ruined, the noblest efforts of architects. Windows are among the details of a building that cannot be hidden.
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FURNITURE GROUP IN HALL
In a previous article the writer ventured the opinion that some day a clever architect will design a library interior that will contain a genuine reading room. What efforts are librarians making toward this accomplishment? Are they fair in presenting reading rooms that are not honest? The excuse offered is that the rooms are not used for reading only but for storing books and distributing them, as well as for the display of current magazines on the tops of large tables. To him who knows books and understands the joy of reading at home in an atmosphere of books such a room offers little incentive to sit and browse. And to him whose only adventures into the contentment of reading have been in a public library the room says, "This is the way to do it." Is it? How do you read a book at home, at the studio or the club? Do you pull up the heaviest chair in the house to a heavy oak table 5 feet wide and 15 feet long, brush aside the omnipresent magazines that cover it and lay your book on the table and read? Do you face the light because the chair faces the window? And are you required to sit where you will bump elbows with someone flicking over the pages of a pictorial magazine and where every time you glance up from a page you stare straight into the eyes of a stranger?

Some brave architect will find ways of arranging window seats, and wall lounges, with lights conveniently located for reading. He may have small alcoves to encourage privacy. He will furnish his reading room with rugs, the long-wearing varieties which come in acceptable patterns; with draperies at the windows, not brocaded satin and velours, but cotton cloth, muslin, sun-fast fabrics which can be readily washed; with a variety of comfortable chairs, and floor lamps and table lamps with shades that function and focus the light when it is needed; and with tables, but tables which have use and meaning in reading. Discipline may be hard in such a room. Loafers of all grades of intelligence would have to be taught the value of the room and shown how to use it or to leave it. But in the meantime someone may be encouraged to read, to do more than turn magazine pages, may possibly get the library habit, and may even come into a realization of what a friend a book can be if one has half a chance to get acquainted with it.

Harvard brick has been used for the exterior walls. Vermont marble for trim, and slate for the roof. The reading room is paneled to the ceiling with oak. Floors of entrance vestibule are of tile, side entry of cement, and floors of reading room, stack room and reference alcove are covered with linoleum. Heating is from direct steam apparatus. Reading room seats 50, and provision has been made on metal stacks for 20,000 volumes. The position of the desk gives the single attendant view of the entrance and the entire floor. Basement contains a historical room, boiler room, toilets, and additional book stacks. Building contract was let in April, 1916; cost of structure without furnishings was $30,000 or 25 cents per cubic foot.

Goshen Library

Goshen Library and Historical Society Building, Goshen, N. Y.
Huse Templetown Blanchard, Architect
The weakness in the plans for most reference rooms, undoubtedly due to the same cause of inadequacy as the reading rooms, lies in the fact that they have to serve too many purposes. Some day our architect is going to plan a reference room which will be a most serious place—a place for study only, with small separate desks and a chair to each, and with a little shelf nearby for a few books chosen for the use of the student. Absolute silence and seclusion are the rule here. Books which a research worker would need and only those would be allowed on the shelves of this room. It would be necessary to have an attendant in charge at all times, and near her desk would be placed in the wall a vertical file for clippings and reference material and shelving for oversized books like atlases, city directories, encyclopedias and the latest bound copies of magazines—not long files of magazines. There must be provision here for storing and using maps. If there is no art department the picture collection will probably be located here, in large vertical file boxes.

A part of the building must be devoted entirely to the children. For a limited number of hours, usually from three to six o'clock in the afternoon, much of the activity of the library focuses in the children's room. Except in the smallest buildings, it will be necessary to have an attendant in this room during all the hours that it is open, and on this account and because all books for children, the card catalog, and the juvenile reference or school collection will be assembled here, it is not necessary to plan this room in conjunction with any rooms used by the adults.

An eastern or southeastern exposure is good for this purpose, for the force of the sun will be gone in the afternoon. Some librarians insist upon a western exposure in order that the room may be flooded with light when the children are present. The matter of light in this room is as important as that in the adult reading room and should be treated as carefully.

A children's room should be given convenient space on the main floor, preferably with a separate entrance from that used

Exterior walls are of red brick with trimming of limestone. Interior walls are plastered, and trim is of wood, stained. Heating is by hot water. There are at present about 25,000 volumes on the stacks, and the annual circulation is approximately 300,000. Main reading room seats 28; reference room, 22. Contract was let May 6, 1922, and the cost was $141,924.23 or $39.12 cents per cubic foot, exclusive of furnishings or architects' fee.
Brick and limestone are the materials used for the exterior. Heating is supplied from a central plant. The stacks are designed to accommodate approximately 200,000 volumes, and the reading rooms to seat about 200, abundantly adequate since the library is not open to the public. The contract was let October 10, 1917, and the cost without furnishings was approximately $135,000, or about 50 cents a cubic foot.
by adults. This will, however, necessitate more
than one attendant for the entire building if there
are two entrances to be guarded. If adequate and
proper space cannot be planned on the main floor it
is infinitely better to put the children's room up-
stairs where ample space of the right kind can be
provided. Young people climb stairs more readily
than adults, but they require a careful planning of
stairways, treads, risers, and railings. A children's
room should not be put in the basement unless there
is in the room sometime during the day plenty of
direct sunshine, good ventilation, freedom from
dampness, and ample heat in winter. A plan which
fails to make adequate provision for the children at
the outset is doomed to radical change and to failure
if changes cannot be readily made.

In this room a bulletin board and a few shelves
behind glass doors for the exhibit of from 50 to 100
beautifully illustrated books are desirable features.
A fireplace may be planned here. Story-hours are
part of the activities. Provision may be made for
gathering small groups around the children’s li-
brarian about the fireplace. If large groups are to
meet frequently it will be well to plan a story-hour
room adjoining the main room or easily accessible
to it. The main auditorium is only a poor make-
shift for such groups, since it is essential that story-
teller and audience shall be in the closest com-
fortable proximity.

The librarian’s office must be shut off from the
rest of the building and be private. It is not neces-
sary to place the office in a position in which the
main room or any part of it shall be dependent upon
it for supervision. An easterly exposure is gen-
erally not considered best for this room, as it is
used almost entirely in the morning. It should con-
tain ample space for a desk and table, a wash basin,
coat closet, supply cupboard, and wall shelving. A
workroom and a staff rest room will be added as the
size of the staff warrants it. A lift from the un-
packing room in the basement is a convenience, if
feasible. If a room for the trustees to meet in is
essential, the librarian’s office may be made large
enough for that purpose. Under ordinary circum-
stances it is not necessary to provide a trustees’
room of large size or with sumptuous fittings, as
their meetings are not fre-
frequent enough to warrant de-
voting money and space to a
special meeting place. A
toilet room and a lavatory for
the use of the librarian and
her staff must be provided,
even in the smallest building,
either here or in the basement.

It is customary to build the
basement at least 5½ feet
above the ground, and 10 feet
in the clear. This will contain
a hall connecting the main
stairways with a side en-
trance; the auditorium and
possibly class and club rooms
adjoining; a storage room for
books not in constant use; a
workroom for unpacking
books, sorting magazines,
etc.; boiler room; fuel room;
janitor’s storage room for
chairs, screens and double
windows, and a janitor’s workroom would be useful. The basement must be absolutely dry, well lighted and readily heated. The janitor’s entrance should be ample to provide additional entrance to the auditorium if it is placed here. All rooms should open into a hall, and entrance through other rooms should be avoided. It is well recognized that mildew is one of the most destructive enemies of books, and on this account provision for storing them in the basement is never planned for unless all traces of dampness are absent. In spite of this well known fact and in the face of everything that librarians could say, a donor has squandered a fortune in a small building recently and planned only a comparatively small space for books on the main floor where they would be accessible to the public and the librarian, but built an expensive iron stack, filling practically the entire basement in which the water seeped through the floor and which had inadequate windows to light the space.

Failure to utilize the basement is a defect, but some objection is made to putting either a children’s room or an auditorium there. Unless it is an unusually light and airy room, provision should by no means be made for children there. Library auditoriums are used by the public for general community purposes, and on this account must be planned with easy access and special entrances with the use of the public in mind. Future auditoriums may give the architect more interest than those which have been created simply to utilize unassigned space, for they will have to be adapted to purposes demanding essentially different treatment, motion picture performances, plays, concerts and lectures. Subdivision into club room space may add to the problem.

While good light is especially important in reading rooms and at the loan desk, it should be secured without domes or skylights. A skylight renders the room beneath it so hot in summer that it has to be so shaded that it is useless as a distributor of light. It is a dust trap, and leaks both in summer showers and winter snows. A net glass area, exclusive of sash and muntins, equal to one-fifth the area of the room, works fairly well for rooms not over 24 feet deep nor less than 12 feet high.

Essential as proper ventilation is, it is most unusual. Systems of forced ventilation are out of the question in a small building or in any building in the open country. It becomes necessary to depend entirely upon flues, windows and fireplaces, which are desirable not only for ventilation but for heat and decoration. Windows should be easily opened and should slide up and down and not swing on hinges or operate with transom rods or chain fastenings.

Perhaps the saddest and indeed the most frequent failure of otherwise well planned buildings is the lack of shelving for the books. It is necessary to think of the building as serving practically without major alterations for 20 years. It is easy to estimate the growth of the book collection at the present rate for that time to get the maximum capacity required. It is to be remembered, however, that there will be constant withdrawals as well as additions, and that it is the present policy of librarians to weed out dead books so rapidly that in many cases the collection remains fairly constant.

If it is planned to store the books most used around the walls of the reading rooms, to determine the shelving capacity, count on eight books to the running foot. One-third of each shelf should remain vacant to avoid constant shifting of books when new ones are added. The cases are usually built seven shelves high, having a book capacity

Henry R. Schoolcraft Branch Building, Detroit Public Library
Donaldson & Meier, Architects
of 56 volumes to the foot for wall shelving, and 112 volumes a foot for the double-faced cases. This is practically full capacity, as over-sized books must be taken into consideration. In small buildings all books should be placed on wall shelves. Stacks should not be installed in buildings containing book collections of less than 10,000 volumes. If it is necessary to install steel stacks and it is probable that the book collection will grow sufficiently to warrant a second tier of stacks, it is of the utmost importance that the foundations and supports of the first tier be strong enough to carry the load of the second tier, and also that ample space be left between the top shelves of the first tier and the ceiling to place the second tier. Many buildings have been built in which it was necessary to take out the first tiers and strengthen foundations and supports before the second tiers could be put in, and it is true, incredible though it seems, that several buildings have been built which allowed only 4 or 5 feet for the second tier. Theoretically, stacks should be used only for storage. If the public must have access to them it will be necessary to allow 4 to 5 feet in the clear between cases for proper access.

Enough has been said about the number of persons constituting the staff to show that this has an important bearing on the plan. In the smallest buildings there will undoubtedly be only one attendant. Experience seems to show that it is not wise to expect one attendant to administer a library with any degree of satisfaction in a building having a main floor area of more than 3,600 square feet, a total annual circulation of 50,000 volumes, and a book collection of 10,000 volumes. This will, however, depend to a large extent on the type of the neighborhood, its interest in reading, its law-abiding and civic spirit, and also whether borrowers are likely to come in in crowds within a brief period of time. Theft and mutilation of books are two evils which necessitate supervision, and it is as necessary to provide against such possibilities among adults as among children. In large libraries more resources and large staffs will make divisions in the work, and these will call for separate units in the plan.
It is true that there probably never will be enough staff members to administer each part of the work satisfactorily, but a complete understanding as to the possible number available will help decidedly in making the building plan.

The style of architecture has to be left to the architect, although too often his choice is limited by funds and by the community and its architecture. If the building answers the purpose for which it was built, if its masses are grouped in an interesting and pleasing manner, if all its parts are well proportioned, not only with regard to themselves, but with regard to the surroundings of the building, and if the motifs are selected with good taste, then the complete structure will be a true expression of architecture. The public is beginning to recognize false notes in design. It resents use of stock plans. It welcomes individuality and the element of surprise when honestly executed.

Again, the neighborhood and funds available determine primarily the material of which the building is to be built. In more or less congested districts of cities any slow-burning material is acceptable provided that it harmonizes with its surroundings, makes the right appeal to the passerby, and is adaptable to additions and expansion. In small cities and towns wood is acceptable, wherever fireproof construction is not required for dwelling houses. It is enduring enough to outlast its adaptability to its use. It lends itself readily to treatment producing dignity, refinement and charm. The fire hazards
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Design for Small Branch Library, Birmingham, Ala.
Edward L. Tilton, Architect

Design for Small Library Building, Murphysboro, Tenn.
Edward L. Tilton, Architect
on libraries depend less upon general construction than on mechanical equipment. The ordinary small city or town library does not possess book treasures of sufficient value to warrant the expense of fireproof construction. The usual building is low enough to obviate the danger of becoming a firetrap. For these reasons people are asking for wood and are insisting that Greek temples and Roman palaces executed in cheap brick and poorly mixed concrete, ornamented with ill-shaped, crudely painted iron, have no place in comparison with simple, charming buildings frankly and honestly built of wood.

And so we feel that there is enough of interest and challenge in planning a library building, even that for the smallest town. There are two standards by which the success of a library building as an acceptable example of architecture shall be judged, neither of which alone is sufficient. First, it must be practical, and fulfill the purpose for which it was designed—to make knowledge available through print, to teach the use of books and to foster a love of good reading; and second, it must furnish inspiration to the passerby and enhance the beauty of its surroundings. Certain people may regard as art a beautiful building which is poorly planned; but no matter how well it is planned, if it is an ugly, gloomy structure, no one would think of calling it an example of architecture. Scattered over the country are many well planned library buildings which are acceptable examples of architecture, and there is everywhere promise that the future will produce buildings more practical and more beautiful because of the serious attention now given to libraries by masters of the art of building beautifully. And, too, there is hope of a more glorious day in the fact that librarians as well as architects and patrons are coming to have confidence in one another and to have respect for each other's ideals and purposes. It is not enough that a building serves. It must inspire.
The Practice of Architecture: An Economic View

By HOWELL TAYLOR

To the architect who realizes that his practice is a public trust, of which he is only the administrator, not only will come greater professional satisfaction but a larger measure of success. The acceptance of this point of view carries with it the development of a broadened perspective on his relationships with his assistants and the many commercial agencies of construction fields. It affords him better opportunities for establishing a much needed appreciation among laymen of the value of able professional service than are possible with a practitioner who has kept himself carefully insulated with more conservative beliefs.

This premise is not intended to take issue in any way with the fine old traditions of architecture—there is not a worth-while tradition which will conflict with the most liberal point of view. It does not depart from the premise which states that architecture is a fine art—the most far-reaching and practical of all the arts, with its argument carried into the parcel of human activity, but it may be construed as a premise which suggests a broader and more practical understanding of its machinery.

Conversely, it challenges the administration of the trust and implies that the profession is 30 years behind the times in its appreciation of the principles of modern business economy and their indissoluble connection with the structure of architectural practice.

It is a plea for the sort of professional liberalism which comes from a scientific study of all factors entering into the practice of architecture—consideration not only of an architect’s responsibility to sound construction, beautifully designed, but to the human elements involved as well. The day will soon pass when the architect can ignore so important a part of his trust as the latter, for in so doing he tacitly admits his disinterest in the tools he must use in executing his ideas. His mental conception of a building may be complete; he may have put it on paper himself—and therein lies the basis for recognizing that architecture is a fine art—but when execution is started, in even so simple a manufacturing operation as employing assistants for making drawings from which to build, an entirely new set of principles is involved and the architect steps across the line which separates artist from manufacturing executive. He no longer can consider himself in the same boat with the painters and sculptors unless he is willing to hang his designs on his office walls and forget that architects exist for the purpose of constructing buildings. In other words, he is confronted with problems of modern business economy, for he must concern himself with a scientific study of co-operative organization and make an analysis of every factor entering into the numerous and varied details of human welfare.

The term “modern business economy” is used advisedly, and does not suggest commercialism in any sense. It refers rather to the ideas which sound but liberal thinking of the business world has come to recognize through scientific study and analysis as necessary of acceptance for right social progress. Its principles have developed from the sort of thinking done by the liberal business man whom Edward A. Filene describes as “the opposite of the reactionary, the sort of business man who faces fresh problems with a fresh mind, who is more interested in creating a better order of things than in defending the existing order of things, who realizes that a private business is a public trust, and who has greater reverence for scientific method than for the traditions and majority opinion of his class.”

The platform of modern business economy is stated clearly by John D. Rockefeller, Jr.:

“In the light of the present, every thoughtful man must concede that the purpose of industry is quite as much the achievement of social well-being as the accumulation of wealth.

“The soundest industrial policy is that which has constantly in mind the welfare of employees as well as the making of profits, and which, when human considerations demand it, subordinates profits to welfare. Industrial relations are essentially human relations.

“It is therefore the duty of everyone entrusted with industrial leadership to do all in his power to improve the conditions under which men work and live.”

It is generally admitted in business circles that the construction industry is the last great industry remaining untouched by the changes in modern economic thinking, and that its failure to progress lies at the door of bad leadership. The most cursory analysis of other industries, now freeing themselves from labor troubles, proves that they are those in which the principles of co-operative organization have been introduced. Their leaders have realized that back of every material element stands some human effort which must be reckoned with. This has not been done in construction fields, and in consequence the whole industry is full of unrest and dissatisfaction, unfair practice and low morale.

Leadership in the construction industry is the architect’s natural function. From him should start the inspiration which makes a building a success or a failure. The fact that construction and engineering corporations are assuming so important a place in building in competition with the service an architect is supposedly able to render, would point unmistakably to some shortcoming in the architect. Either he has flagrantly misused his trust or he is hiding his light under a bushel. The fact remains, however, that he can never assume his place as
leader than they have in a sculptor's or artist's studio.

It is epitomized rather poignantly in the statement of a recent critic of these articles when he decries the use of a carefully developed plan of organization for an architect's office. After describing satirically a diagrammatic organization chart, he says, "All very businesslike and efficient, no doubt, Commercial, yes, but this is a commercial age. At least, so some bluntly insist and many accept. Personally we don't think it half as commercial as it seems to be. We believe that there is a general appreciation that architecture is one of the fine arts and not a business, and we believe that that appreciation is growing, and we believe that in course of time there will be a demand that the architect become an architect in fact instead of in name, and that he do his work himself, and that such things as chief designers and office managers and liaison assistants will have no more place in an architect's office than they have in a sculptor's or artist's studio. If an architect can't do his own designing and his own thinking, he should give up all pretense of being a member of a profession and go frankly into business where he belongs."

The commercialism of modern life, which confronts us so insistently, offends any architect or artist or careful analyst as seriously as it offends our critic, but mere raillery does not help matters. It only confuses the issue and makes clear thinking more difficult. The question does not involve dragging the ends of architecture in the mire of fanatical reform, nor the despoiling of her noble traditions. It is one rather of expediency of method to accomplish those ends. Is it not possible for members of a profession which requires the education and breadth of viewpoint demanded in architecture to retain a set of ideals but change the method of practicing them if the times demand? It is unfortunate indeed that some of our ablest architects continue to resist the wheels of progress and sigh for classic and medieval conditions in no uncertain terms, little realizing that the world, which they want to influence and lead, is branding their aloofness as silly fogeyism.

In assuming a more liberal point of view toward the business and economic aspects of the profession, the architect is not sacrificing his art. Architecture will weather the storms of whatever commercial influence is placed upon it. It cannot be otherwise. Its only limitations are those imposed by the men who make it their business to fulfill its demands. It can never be more nor less than one of the fine arts. If commercialism seems today to be asking for the greatest consideration in the machinery of its practice, it is the duty of architects to lend their best influence toward bringing about a return to more intellectual interests. Mere "stand-off-ishness" never accomplishes anything, and the elements of professional snobbery that have characterized the profession are reacting against its members as nothing else can.

Another critic of modern thinking in architecture says: "Utilitarian ends are good in their place, but they must not in building be confused with the ends of architecture. In purely utilitarian building the idea of what we call practical utility governs. In architecture all utilities are controlled by the sense of beauty, as the architect conceives beauty."*

From what need does architecture spring if it is not that of practical utility? What started architecture if not the need of covering one's head and the protection of one's household gods? In taking issue with professional liberalism is it not well to note whether or not the issue is made with the fiber of public taste, its natural trend of human activity and the forces which promote it?

If we accept a definition of architecture which says that practical utility must be the first limiting factor in design, and that the task of the designer is to beautify what must exist, it is not unreasonable to look forward to a time in the development of economic and intellectual conditions when the demands of practical utility will have been so simplified that the idea of beauty will seem to dominate. Such a development can only be incidental, however, and the fact remains that architecture exists primarily by reason of an economic demand. It is the architect's duty to see that this demand is met with a crisp and living freshness of good design which, at least in the centuries to follow, will mark us as students rather than craftsmen whose progress did not consist merely in evolution out of one set of traditions into another. That the evolutionary tradition may not always be a good one in design is seen in the Victorian period of the past century—a development, for America at least, which has meant an encumbrance of architectural atrocities, whose influence is likely to take many generations to overcome.

Architects are making rapid progress in the wise administration of the design and construction elements of their trust, however. Most critics agree that the quality of design is improving. The individuality and carefully studied quality of much of the recent work are presented in every issue of the architectural press, and the widespread interest in better design is giving able practitioners desirable advantages, but the percentage of architect-designed-and-supervised buildings is too small. There must be something fundamentally wrong with a profession which cannot meet the competition offered by any more successfully than architects are meeting it, for it is assumed that the architect is the logical individual under whose direction the larger percentage of buildings should be constructed. Something has happened in the last century or two which has caused the public to push the


*Prof. Charles H. Moore in The Architectural Record.
architect into a dark and secluded corner from
which he can be gingerly removed only on special
occasions. In general he has not the confidence of
the average user of his service because he has not
kept pace with advancing thought, thus enabling
himself to hold his position as a leader in the com-

munity. He has let his concept of professional duty
dwell too largely on its artistic elements, and has
attempted to maintain a medi eval aspect of the
profession. He has not recognized its economic
significance nor been willing to share in the labor
and thought required to establish conditions which
will relieve the serious situation in the construction
field.

Failure to get into the band wagon of modern
thinking also reflects itself in his method of selling
professional service as well. In the business world
economic analysis proved several years ago the
futility of getting business the way architects are
now attempting to get it, with the result that almost
incredible commercial expansion during the last 25
years has taken place. Architects are helping to
"prostitute the ethics of the profession by using the
back door method of getting commissions," namely,
personal friendships, fee-cutting, wire-pulling, etc.
A more direct selling policy on a basis of profit-to-
client will stabilize the demand for architectural
service.

The trouble is deep-seated. It is quite easy to
see that only gradual stagnation over a long period
of time would make possible a state of thought
which so consistently rejects even the most con-
servative liberalism. Many critics will declare that
it is not so, and it is to be expected that this would
be the case, for architects as a class have little inti-
mate contact with activities outside the construction
field. Their shortcomings are catered to by manu-
facturers because in general their relation to the
manufacturers is that of buyer rather than seller.
Architects do not have occasion to rub elbows with
the give-and-take business world, and therefore
never have been compelled to subject themselves to
the rigorous self-examination which business men
have to make in order to keep their heads above
water. Few architects have had any business ex-
perience or training. They have grown up in the
offices of other architects, and it is not unreasonable
to find that there is a woeful lack of appreciation of
the elements of business economy on this account.
They have been slowly set aside—their influence has
been less felt in broader community life, and those
who have been more alert to economic needs have
stepped in, while the construction industry still re-
tains its cut-throat practices and mediev al thinking.

There are notable exceptions, of course, and it is
a pleasure to find a growing interest and apprecia-
tion in the executive nature of the architect's status.
Neither delving into promotional activity nor the
commercialization of design—both of which tenden-
cies are likely to lead to the building up of larger
office staffs—can be confused, however, with the
architect's responsibility to give sincere attention to
the economic elements of his practice.

With the unusual development of scientific and
engineering knowledge during the last 30 years the
business world has begun to realize the advantages
of cooperative effort. Competitors stopped regard-
ing each other as enemies and began to see that the
other fellow's smart methods might be valuable. It
is the spirit of the modern business world to accept
and make practical use of results obtained by
thoughtful men who have an intimate knowledge of
the subject in question. Such a system makes for
specialization, of course, but it also establishes a
greater fund of accurate knowledge and brings
about more consistent growth. Business men have
realized that what helps one, helps all. If a group
of merchants in a small city get together to adver-
tise the community as a good place to buy, it has
been proved by test that the percentage increase of
sales for all will be the same, although the differ-
ences in volume will vary.

Since the great ages of design, building practice
has been reduced to a science, and modern methods
of construction have had to be accepted in order to
practice architecture today. We are doing perhaps
two thousand times as much building in a year as
was done less than a century ago, and our whole
problem of living is so much more complex that
comparisons with any preceding periods or condi-
tions are impossible. Today the machinery of
building practice has grown to enormous propor-
tions. Its functions are more complex than those of
the most extensive manufacturing business, but they
are so loosely connected that definite forms of or-
organization are impossible. This is undoubtedly one
of the principal reasons why the construction indus-
try has been so tardy in accepting a modern eco-
nomic point of view—and why so few leaders have
been developed. Architects have kept themselves
uninformed on the methods and value of modern
organization by reason of their beliefs that nothing
"commercial" must be allowed to creep into the pro-
ession. On account of its very looseness, however,
does the industry need most carefully trained execu-
tives at its head—men who have a concept of
architecture and the labor which produces it which
lets them recognize that their activities can only
have a survival value when they make a worth-
while contribution to civilization or to the advance-
ment of human thinking.

What is the remedy? Where can an architect
begin if he would convince himself of the value of
cooperative organization and study the application
of its principles? Its point of view is not a cloak
that can be put on and taken off as occasion de-
mands. It must come as mental conviction. Charles
M. Schwab says: "Men are keen judges of their
employers. You cannot make workmen think you
are interested in them unless you really are. They
realize at once whether your interest is real or
assumed. The only man who gets the loyalty of
his employes is he who deals in fairness with them."

It is impossible to say what steps will be logical in applying the principles of cooperative organization to the construction industry. To do so would be like asking a youngster in the fifth grade to solve a problem in calculus. He must learn the fundamentals of mathematics before he can go to more complex problems.

The cooperation testing laboratory for the architect is undoubtedly to be found in his own office, where the value of cooperation principles must be recognized before he can influence the trades and larger units outside. Its first step is the assumption of a policy of confidence and co-partnership in joint endeavor toward any member of the staff, however insignificant he may be. In describing the methods of Andrew Carnegie, Mr. Schwab has again struck a keynote:

"Mr. Carnegie was always one to take you by the hand and encourage and approve. It was the rarest thing in the world to hear him criticize the actions of others, especially in a business sense. I wonder if you reflect how you yourselves—how every other man—responds with his best efforts under such conditions? In my wide association in life, meeting with many and great men in various parts of the world, I have yet to find the man, however great or exalted his station, who did not do better work and put forth greater effort under a spirit of approval than he would ever do under a spirit of criticism. Now Mr. Carnegie understood this great thing early in life, and it was this fine philosophy, which he practiced always, that made him a great commercial success."

To any intelligent man paternalism of any sort by his employer is as irksome as complete disinterest. Men want sincere encouragement. They want to know that their efforts are an important part of the organization in which they work, and every man, no matter how unimportant may be his duties, can be made to feel the necessity of his service with a little care on the part of his superior. It should never be forgotten that every job worth hiring a man to do is worth teaching him how to do well.

Destructive criticism stifles initiative as no other influence can. I have seen the morale of an office sink to the lowest degree under dictatorial, unreasonable management. If men are subjected to constant censure and criticism, which is an affront to their intelligence, on the one hand, and are expected to exercise independent judgment and responsibility on the other, as are the majority of experienced draftsmen, superintendents, and contractors, what is to be expected but trouble? A good average man only wants a chance to work harmoniously with his neighbors.

Why drive draftsmen into business for themselves? They only offer additional competition to architects already established. Why not recognize the fact that the average good man can be developed into an asset to any practice? Under present conditions the larger organization, which can handle all four departments of architectural practice, can turn out far better work. Each man has his potential group of clients, and he should be encouraged in establishing a contact with them for his employer.

An employer or executive should not begrudge credit when a piece of work is well done. The man will thrive under encouragement and deserved praise. I have sat in conference with a principal of a firm and a client, and have heard the principal say that he designed this or that feature of the work when he knew I had done it, and perhaps he had never seen the design in question until ten minutes before the conference. I only ask that he say "we—the office—have done it." The "we" spirit in an office is a wonderful thing. If it gets into organization it can be fostered and introduced into construction groups. There is nothing like it to bring in results. If a man feels that he is a part of a splendid organization which is accomplishing big things and is encouraged, the petty annoyances are going to take a much less important place in his mind, providing he is fairly treated in the matter of wages. It breeds initiative and good work. It makes boosters of the men instead of malcontents.

It is quite easy to watch the method of a successful construction superintendent. He may not have studied the psychology of the individual workman’s mind, but he knows there is nothing like encouragement—a spirit of "we'll-work-this-out-together" in every phase of the work, to keep things running smoothly. It too often happens that the arrival of the architect on the job is the signal for disruption in the machinery of coordinated effort.

This is a transitional period, the end of which we cannot see. Modern public demands may not be what the architect likes; he may prefer another sort of world, but every insistent public demand is incidental to some important development. Idealists find it hard to admit that our twentieth century life seems to have commercialism as its fundamental principle, but ignoring it will merely complicate its problems which must be solved before a return is made to conditions where intellectual interests are in the ascendency. Not a few careful thinkers believe that commercialism is beginning to drive itself to the wall and is being defeated at its own game, and men are finding that "the one route to happiness through property or government is over the broad and open highway of service." (W. G. Sibley.)

As in every other great activity, modern methods of transportation and the far-reaching scientific discoveries of the past 50 years have brought about radical changes in architecture, the adjustments to which are not yet complete. As members of a profession which has idealism enough to retain its deep appreciation of aesthetics, it is to be hoped that architects, at the same time, have the vision to see the scientific necessity of turning their attention to modern economic thinking in the highest sense in order to help ride out the storms of our period.
Interiors of Tudor Inspiration

THE HOUSE OF ROBERT LAW, JR., ESQ., PORTCHESTER, N. Y.

DWIGHT JAMES BAUM, ARCHITECT

In planning this large country house it was necessary to adapt the plan of a house such as might have been built in sixteenth or seventeenth century England to wholly different conditions. Interesting as it is, the climate of our Atlantic seaboard states renders the planning of a house in quadrangle fashion rarely practicable, and use must generally be made of a plan which is more compact. Matters of design call for adaptation rather than compromise, and the Tudor character which is so strong in this house is the result of consistent use of traditional motifs and of wise use of considerable old material which the architect was able to secure.

In the entrance hall there is indicated the architectural character of the house as a whole. Here the floors are of Italian convent siena marble, and the walls are faced with travertine which has been given a honed finish. The heavy timbers of the ceiling and the wood of doors, paneling, stairway and organ console are of English oak which has been cut more than 70 years, and the carving has been done by local craftsmen. The design of the carving suggests what would be found in any old English house built during the late Tudor or the early Jacobean period, and shows a mingling of Gothic and Renaissance motifs. One interesting detail of this stately hall is the arrangement of the great organ with its console standing upon the floor of the hall, while its pipes are placed high on the stair walls. Another detail of interest is the use of stained and painted glass in certain windows of the large group upon the stair landing.

For the living room rough textured plaster, in which the color was mixed, has been used for the walls and for the ceiling between the timbers which are original seventeenth century material brought from England. An old Norman chimneypiece of stone surrounds the fireplace, the hearth being raised a few inches above the floor of the room. The jambs of the windows and doors are of stone, and the lunettes above certain doors are of modeled plaster. Much of the furniture in this room is antique, and use is also made of careful reproductions. For draperies there was imported from England a crewel embroidery, the ground being cream and the colors old blue, old red and gray.

Throughout the greater part of the house the jambs of the windows and many of the jambs of doors are of a stone which is of cream color with veining of brown. This stone has been aged or toned by sand-blasting after being set in place. The windows are given added character and dignity by being fitted with metal casements, and the sills are in many instances deeply splayed to make the most of the wall’s thickness. Exterior doors are of oak, heavily paneled without and within, and the stiles between the panels are studded with iron nails.

In the study use has been made of more English oak, here in the forms of antique paneling for lining the walls and of an old carved chimneypiece. A frieze of ivory-toned plaster extends about the room above the paneled walls, and the coved ceiling, which is also of plaster, is modeled in an old English all-over pattern. Bookshelves are recessed within certain parts of the paneled walls, and other panels are arranged to form secret doors, one leading into a “trophy room” and the other into a “midnight kitchenette and supper room.” The floors of the study are of East Indian teak planks, from 8 to 12 inches in width, of a warm light brown and pegged down. Draperies are of an old English tapestry in a verdure pattern, and most of the furniture, in material as well as character, is in agreement with the interior architecture.

The dining room is arranged and furnished in the style of the English Renaissance. The walls are paneled with American walnut which has been stained and waxed to a deep and lustrous finish, and against this paneling of walnut are placed carvings of pearwood, deeply undercut after the manner associated with the name of Grinling Gibbon. Around the fireplace is a heavy bolection moulding of marble. The floor of this room is of the convent siena marble which is used in several other rooms of the house, and the ceiling is of plaster. With this rich English Renaissance setting go furnishings and accessories which aid in carrying out the style. The furniture is entirely reproductions of antique pieces, and
the coverings for the chairs and the draperies at doors and windows are of an English brocade of soft red and silvery gray. The side lights and the chandelier are of crystal.

In the breakfast room there is sounded a note quite different from that in which the remainder of the lower floor of the house is set. This is a hexagonal, tower room. Floors are of travertine, and walls and ceiling are covered with canvas upon which has been painted a scene continued around the room, showing a marsh or swamp with much foliage and many bright colored birds; morning light is suggested by rosy colors near the bottom, faint blue above, and bright blue on the ceiling, the entire decoration being much softened and toned. Draperies at the windows are of gray-green taffeta;
furniture is colored old ivory with seats of black patent leather, and tops of black glass are laid upon the breakfast table and several small serving tables. The hanging candelabrum or chandelier is of crystal with stem and arms of amber and prisms of clear glass.

A long vista which extends through several of the rooms of the main floor ends in the sun room. Here the walls and the vaulted ceiling are of a rough textured, warm colored plaster, while the floors are of orange brown tile made with a slight glaze. The window trim is of carved oak, and the sashes are glazed with slightly colored Belgian glass and decorated with panels or rondelles of stained or painted glass such as are used in several of the other rooms. Lighting fixtures, which lend character to any room, are here of iron cut out en silhouette. The most important single detail of the sun room is a wall fountain with which is incorporated a niche in the wall. The frame of the niche and the edging of the basin of the fountain are of a deep yellow textured stone, and the inner surface of the niche is lined with a glass mosaic in silver and blue. The figure, which is part of the decoration of the wall fountain, is of bronze. Doors into the living room are filled with glass ranging in color from the palest amber through various shades of brown to dark brown. The carrying out of the decorations and furnishings formed part of the architect’s duties and was done under the supervision of Miss Eleanor Mary Wilkie, who is associated with Mr. Baum’s organization. It was she who painted the wall decoration for the octagonal breakfast room.

The decorations and furnishings cannot be considered complete, however, without the installation of the rugs which are now being made in the Orient. The house has been recently finished and attention is now being given to the development of gardens and the landscape setting. Upon the completion of this work views of the exterior which, like the interior is of Tudor character, will be available.
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Recent study of American art museum buildings, that included a visit to nine of the principal small art museums of our Eastern cities, brought to the author's attention a number of details that are of vital importance to the economical and efficient management of a modern museum building.

The scope and usefulness of the museum building are rapidly widening. The old idea that an art museum is a storage place for objects of art is giving way to the broader conception of the art museum as an active and vital force in stimulating the cultural interests of the community. The modern gallery is not only a place where objects of enduring value can be cared for and handed down to posterity, but also a place where the old as well as the new can be displayed to the greatest advantage; a place properly arranged for transacting the various forms of business connected with its activities; where visiting exhibits, often of very large size, can be effectively handled and protected, and a building designed to reach and interest the various groups and classes, including both children and adults, that may seek simply shelter from the rain, study for professional activities or, most important of all, pleasure. Then too there are the school, lectures, and all kinds of gatherings, and perhaps musicales where large audiences must be taken care of. There are the "docent" service and a modern library where books, photographs and slides can be properly displayed and studied. Not only must there be provided facilities for displaying each object of a loan or permanent exhibition, but each of the different activities must be understood in advance and provided for so that the whole building may represent a successful solution of the complicated problem presented.

It is recognized that each museum, according to its aims, must be a problem in itself, but a few difficulties common to all will be noted, and from the general consensus of opinion a solution suggested that applies to all but the very large.

Entrance facilities for the ordinary visitor; for those who come to see an officer of administration; for the delivery of packages; for the delivery of objects; for service and employees, and for the auditorium and the school must be provided, so that each part of the building is as independent as possible of the others, and can be reached simply and directly. Separate entrances for all are obviously both impossible and undesirable, and for reasons of economical control it is necessary to concentrate. All visitors can be brought to one entrance, but if the architectural effect requires a long series of steps and terraces, a covered drive approach should be provided in connection. Then from a common ves-
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tible, where should be ample coat rooms, the cata-
log room and postal booths, telephones, etc. Before
entering the museum proper it should be possible to
reach the administration offices and also the lecture
room, which should share the coat room facilities,
but otherwise be independent of the museum. A
large auditorium and a school in the same building
would perhaps need separate entrances.

For service and the reception of objects use
could be made of the same entrance, which should
be next the superintendent's office. For the recep-
tion of objects there are a few arrangements that
are absolutely essential. The receiving floor should
be from 2 feet, 6 inches to 3 feet above the wagon
drive and at one level. For objects, the opening,
preferably covered, should be at least 5 feet wide by
10 feet high, and for ordinary service a smaller door
could be arranged within the larger, which in turn
would be better if designed to slide. The receiving
room, which should be large and light, should con-
nect directly with the workshops, freight elevator,
repair shops and either a storeroom or a room in
which objects could be put directly upon unpacking,
while awaiting installation. The box storage room
should be large enough to arrange boxes so that one
can be had without moving others, and if it does
not connect directly with the receiving room it
should connect by means of the elevator. It needs
no light, but if the ceiling is low the opening must
be wide enough to take boxes of large dimensions.
It is desirable also that the elevator connect not only
with the photographic room under the roof, but also
with the attic space.

About gallery floors and walls there is a wide
difference of opinion. Where marble or terrazzo
floors are used, they are usually too light in color;
when wood is used in combination with marble, the
floors are difficult to maintain. They are also more
expensive to care for, and it sometimes necessitates
the closing of a room for a day from time to time.
In the later galleries, cork carpet over cement is
being tried. General opinion favors wood walls for
picture galleries as giving more freedom of arrange-
ment and doing away with the unsightly hanging
devices. The importance of keeping the picture
level of walls free from disfiguring and incon-
venient details of apparatus is not always realized.
Thermostats, signal boxes, electric push-buttons,
large switch boxes, and even ventilating grilles were
observed on those portions of walls designed for
pictures.

Too many doors are usually provided, and unless
some special architectural effect is desired, the sim-
er the treatment of the openings the better. Other
things being equal, they should be in the corners of
the rooms and not in the centers; in the side-lighted
galleries, next the windows. Arrangement in a line
makes supervision of the rooms easier and also
allows pleasing vistas. The only artificial light
giving anything like satisfaction for galleries is the
white light above a diffusing glass. Radiators, which
are usually supplied in too great numbers, greatly
disfigure the galleries, and the improvement obtained
by their concealment certainly justifies the expense.

Ventilation can be arranged in connection with
the heating, and much is gained by using a flexible
system with independent units. It is also economi-
cal to re-circulate the air at times when the building
is not in constant use. It is absolutely essential to
provide special ventilation for the attic spaces, where
excessive heat causes not only leaks in the roofs but
discomfort in the rooms below. An arrangement
with exhaust ventilation from the galleries through
the attic space offers a suggestion. The attic space
itself needs special attention. Apart from the im-
portant and complicated question of light, which is
influenced by the depth of the space, for reasons of
easy maintenance there should be at least 5 feet be-
tween the ceiling glass and the roof trusses.

The type of plan adopted has always been formal
and symmetrical, with galleries high and bare, where
the pictures looked as if they were in storage. A
plea is made for a more flexible type building, with
its exterior more intimately related to the needs of
the interior, and where the claims of architectural
magnificence do not overshadow those of the objects
displayed. A desirable arrangement of plan, and
one possible in the smaller galleries, is one that
allows the visitor, after passing the vestibule en-
trance to offices and services, to go at once to some
central point from which he can go to any room
without passing through many others. In this way
his attention is not diverted, nor is he fatigued by
seeing too much.

It is the author's opinion that museum directors
demand too much light, that there are too few gal-
leries with side light, and that more side lighting for
modern pictures would encourage the decorative
treatment that we admire so much in the older work.
If the old pictures could be hung in relation to other
objects of art of the same period, in something ap-
proximating their original intended position and
light, it would make a stronger appeal to the aver-
age visitor who could in this way have a better
understanding of the relation between art and life.
Also the usual tendency is to make the galleries too
large, where each picture, if it cannot compete with
its long line of neighbors, is lost, and where there
is absolutely no opportunity to give a picture de-
serving it, individual treatment.

The accompanying designs are a part of a report
of recommendation to the Worcester Art Museum,
Worcester, Massachusetts, for which the investiga-
tion was undertaken. The idea is that this build-
ing should house a small but choice collection, and
that it would always be used in connection with the
present building, which is near by. The present
building in this scheme will continue to accommo-
date the school and the school equipment, casts and
reference documents, and this will obviate in the
new building the many unfortunate compromises of
installation that are necessary in so many museums.
O'Malley-Beare Valve Company Factory, Chicago

This building has been built in two sections, the unit at the extreme left being the original structure. The exterior walls are of brick and the floors are of reinforced concrete construction, beam and girder type with concrete columns. The typical bay is 14 x 16, the girders running the long way of the building. The center part of the second floor is a foundry with a modified scissors type of concrete truss supporting a monitor roof with continuous sash. This construction has been approved by the Illinois Factory Inspector for foundries without the use of hoods or flues, the gases finding their exit through the enormous monitors.
Height of Buildings in Boston

By JOHN R. NICHOLS, Consulting Engineer

In the race between mounting costs of labor and materials on one hand, and the art of building construction on the other, architects and engineers must look sharply to see that no avenue escapes them for reaching any means of reducing costs. Two measures of economy have become available in Boston within the last few months. One of these is economy in the use of valuable land through increased permissible height of buildings; the other is increased allowable stresses in structural steel. A brief study of the effects of these changes is presented in the belief that it will be of interest not only to architects practicing in Boston, but also, in anticipation of similar changes elsewhere, to a wider field.

The Massachusetts Legislature (Acts of 1923, Chap. 462, Sec. 11) increased the height permitted for buildings within certain districts in Boston from 125 to 155 feet. In the design of new structures no difficulty will be experienced in providing columns and foundations for buildings of any desired height within the legal limits. The question will arise, however, as to the practicability of extending the height of existing buildings. The factors bearing on this question are allowable stresses in materials and required live load capacities.

Existing buildings affected by the new law are usually of steel frame, and office buildings predominate. The legislature, which writes Boston's building code, has not recently increased permissible stresses in structural steel, and the building commissioner has no authority to permit higher stresses than are provided in the law. The Board of Appeal has such authority, "in specific cases which appear to them not to have been contemplated by this act although covered by it, or in cases where manifest injustice is done." The Board of Appeal has recently approved, and, the writer is informed, may be relied upon in each case to approve stresses in materials, for structural steel for bridges. It may be relied upon in specific cases which appear to them not to have been contemplated by this act although covered by it, or in cases where manifest injustice is done.—" The Board of Appeal has such authority, "in specific cases which appear to them not to have been contemplated by this act although covered by it, or in cases where manifest injustice is done.—"

The writer has worked out an assumed case numerically and presents these results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carrying</th>
<th>1907 to 1918</th>
<th>Since 1918</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 floors</td>
<td>15 per cent.</td>
<td>25 per cent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20 &quot;</td>
<td>40 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>25 &quot;</td>
<td>50 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>30 &quot;</td>
<td>55 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>35 &quot;</td>
<td>60 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>40 &quot;</td>
<td>60 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>45 &quot;</td>
<td>60 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 or more</td>
<td>50 &quot;</td>
<td>60 &quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another change in the law was in the formula for allowable unit stress in steel columns, which prior to 1918 was

\[ \frac{1 + \frac{L}{20000r^2}}{12} \]

20,000-100 \( r \) (Max. 12,000), where \( L \) is length of column and \( r \) is radius of gyration, both in inches. For a value of \( r \) equal to 80 the stresses derived from both formulae are nearly the same. As the slenderness increases, the new law requires lower stresses than the old, with increasing difference. The columns in the lower stories of the building, heavily loaded, are likely to have a low value of \( r \), and the change in column formula will probably not seriously affect the results. It may, therefore, be found that office buildings erected before 1918 have enough strength in foundations and in columns (except in upper stories) to sustain an increase in height.

The writer has worked out an assumed case numerically and presents these results:

Bay: 14x20. Floor area 280 sq. ft.
Dead loads: Roof 70 lbs. per sq. ft.
Floors 100 lbs. per sq. ft.
Column 2,400 to 6,000 lbs. per sq. ft.
Live loads: Roof 40 lbs. per sq. ft.
Floors, prior to 1918, 100 lbs. per sq. ft. in upper stories.
125 lbs. per sq. ft. in first story.
In 1923, 75 lbs. per sq. ft. in upper stories.
125 lbs. per sq. ft. in first story.
From this it would appear that one story could be added to the 11-story office building without strengthening the interior columns below the eighth floor, or strengthening the foundations. The addition of two stories, with the data assumed, increases the loads in all the columns and in the foundations. The increase below the seventh floor is less than 8 per cent. Above the seventh floor the increase is greater than 12½ per cent. If the required assurance is forthcoming that the steel has the necessary high quality, and if the foundations are found sufficient for their 4 per cent increase in load, the board should be willing to approve the addition of two stories without strengthening the interior columns below the seventh floor. Ingenuity in trimming the dead weight of the added floors and roof would doubtless result in a better comparison of figures than that presented. The prospect of adding three stories to an office building is not good, unless, of course, it was originally designed for more stories.

Conditions are more favorable for building additional stories if the dead weight of floors is less than that assumed. On the other hand, they are less favorable in the case of exterior columns and those carrying walls other than temporary partitions. Cognizance would have to be taken in each case of the change in column formula, which might affect the results.

The case of reinforced concrete buildings is different. Very few, if any, reinforced concrete buildings were erected to the height limit before 1918. Increase in their height is not affected by the recent change in the height limit and is not, therefore, a new problem. Since 1918 a number of buildings have been erected to the height limit at which they then existed. Although some are now used, at least in part, for office purposes, many were designed for loft buildings to carry factory or warehouse loads, and, insofar as warehouse loading prevailed, the live loads were not reduced in columns and foundations, as is permitted in office buildings. In 1918 the sections of the building law covering the design of reinforced concrete were entirely rewritten, and the unit stresses materially increased. The 1918 law also permits 25 per cent increase of stress in concrete more than a year old.

The greater weight of reinforced concrete con-
Plate Description

THIRD CHURCH OF CHRIST, SCIENTIST, 63d Street and Park Avenue, New York. Plates 17-21.
—In designing and planning this important place of worship for the Christian Science body it was desired to give the building a character which would show it at a glance to be unmistakably a structure devoted to the service of religion, and not a bank; at the same time it was not desired that the structure should present what would generally be considered an ecclesiastical appearance, and this latter consideration rendered undesirable the use of the architectural types which are usually identified with religious buildings. The structure is therefore a highly dignified meeting house, the chief desideratum being a very carefully planned auditorium which must necessarily possess unusual acoustic properties since during certain of the services the worshippers speak from their seats, and it is desirable that the speakers be heard throughout the auditorium without being required to raise their voices to the pitch generally adopted by public speakers.

For the exterior of this structure the architects, Delano & Aldrich, have used brick and limestone, the roof being of slate and the dome of the cupola gilded. The deck upon which the dome rests is largely of glass, since the light is necessary for the interior. Within, the building is finished in light colors, the walls being painted cream color and the coffers of the acoustically treated dome covered with a blue fabric. The interior woodwork is painted white, with mahogany rails for the pews. Floors are covered with a fabricated material in a tile pattern of blue and gray. The inscriptions in the panels above and at the sides of the reader's desk are painted in gold upon the wall. In the windows use has been made of a slightly irregular, amber-tinted glass, while that in the ceiling is slightly clouded. Much of the interest of the auditorium is due to the lighting fixtures which were designed by the architects. The large candelabrum which hangs at the center, and also the side lights fixed to the walls, are of silvered metal with trimmings of crystal.

HOUSE OF HUNTER PERRY, ESQ., Atlanta. Plates 22-25.—The exterior of this house recalls the homes of the earlier half of the nineteenth century. When the use of classic forms in domestic architecture was widely popular in America, nowhere more so than in the South. The architectural type adapts itself well to verandas and porticoes which afford shade, and it admits of fairly high ceilings, which are likewise welcome in a warm climate. The architects are Hentz, Reid & Adler.

With its clapboarded walls painted white, its blinds green, its whitewashed fence, its shrubbery, and particularly its portico with four columns with Ionic capitals, this house is essentially Southern, within, the classical spirit prevails, but the rooms possess a charmingly homelike and informal character. The trim is painted white. In several of the rooms use has been made of carefully chosen wall paper, while in the living room the walls have been simply paneled and then painted.

THE GEORGE MARSTON WHITIN GYMNASIUM, Whitinsville, Mass. Plates 29, 30.—This structure has been planned to serve rather more than the usual functions of a gymnasium, for it contains a number of details which are generally found only in well-equipped community buildings. The structure itself has been designed by the architects, J. D. Leland & Company, in the late Georgian style.

Every possible adjunct which could add to the comfort and completeness of a gymnasium has been included. The large entrance hall has been planned to serve also as a lounge, and in addition to the desk it includes many small tables for writing and chairs and settees arranged in groups. There is also a library, and nearby are a billiard room and a room for games. The gymnasium proper has been planned with a small stage at one end, while at the opposite end is a booth for motion picture apparatus. A balcony is placed around the gymnasium, arranged with seats for spectators of athletics or motion pictures. As part of the gymnasium are the office of the physical director and his room for physical examinations; there is also a room for special forms of exercise, and in different parts of the building have been arranged the rooms which contain the lockers for men and the lockers and dressing rooms for women, complete with dressing tables and hair-dryers. The room which contains the swimming pool, like the gymnasium, is provided with a balcony, and in connection with the pool there are showers for men and women. A small laundry is also provided. The planning of the building is such that the swimming pool and gymnasium may be reached through an entrance of their own, which makes it unnecessary to use the main entrance.

THE CONFEDERATE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE, Richmond. Plates 31, 32.—This dignified and beautiful building is intended to be "a repository and exhibition place for relics, paintings and sculpture, and to serve as the final place of custody for collections of Confederate relics and data and to serve as to both exterior and interior as a memorial building for the placing of commemorative tablets and statues." The exterior of the structure is faced with Indiana limestone, the large blocks below the entablature being intended for future bas-reliefs. The walls of the lecture room have been embellished with mural paintings of the Civil War by Charles Hoffman.

To the original structure, of which Bissell & Sinkler are the architects, an additional museum room has been added by Baskerville & Lambert.
EDITORIAL COMMENT

BUSINESS AND THE ARCHITECT

E V E R Y architect will benefit directly by a careful reading of the article by Howell Taylor presented in the Business and Finance Section of this issue of The Forum. This article presents an economic view of the practice of architecture, and frankly takes issue with a destructive attitude which Mr. Taylor aptly terms "group provincialism" and which exists among a comparatively small group of architects who like to think of themselves as conservatives, whose duty in life is primarily to save the profession from commercialism.

To epitomize this state of mind it is interesting to place before architects generally several statements which have recently emanated from this group, and which are described more fully in Mr. Taylor's article. A quotation from the first of these statements refers to a diagrammatic organization chart recently published in The Forum. The critic says: "All very businesslike and efficient, no doubt. Commercial, yes, but this is a commercial age. At least, so some blantly insist and many accept. Personally we don't think it half as commercial as it seems to be. We believe that there is a general appreciation that architecture is one of the fine arts and not a business, and we believe that that appreciation is growing, and we believe that in course of time there will be a demand that the architect become an architect in fact instead of in name, and that he do his work himself, and that such things as chief designers and office managers and liaison assistants will have no more place in an architect's office than they have in a sculptor's or artist's studio. If an architect can't do his own designing and his own thinking, he should give up all pretense of being a member of a profession and go frankly into business where he belongs."

The second critic of modern thinking in architecture says: "Utilitarian ends are good in their place, but they must not in building be confused with the ends of architecture. In purely utilitarian building the idea of what we call practical utility governs. In architecture all utilities are controlled by the sense of beauty, as the architect conceives beauty."

Here we have a clear expression from this "small group of earnest thinkers" which with a shrugging of artistic shoulders casts off a public trust placed upon the profession by economic conditions.

The vital question involved in all this discussion would seem to be the ultimate future of the architect. Is he to be a leader in the construction industry? Is he to be an employer, or one who is employed? If architects will not accept the economic responsibilities accorded to the profession by the amazing expansion of the business structure of this country, others will accept this responsibility and will supply a complete service in building construction which meets the modern needs of the public and employs the architect only as a hired designer.

Speaking in terms of a public trust, let us define this trust with exactness. In 1923 over $5,000,000,000 was invested in new building construction in the United States. Of this amount at least $3,000,000,000 was directly entrusted to the architectural profession to be wisely spent on owners' accounts.

Consider the actual position of the architectural profession, entrusted with two great responsibilities, the first by inheritance, being the preservation of aesthetic ideals in the designing of buildings and in the rendering of true service to the owner, and the second, through business evolution involving direct responsibility in the actual expenditure of vast sums of public money with an equal burden of responsibility. Who can say that either of these trusts can be administered alone today? Whoever says this tears architecture from its strengthening position in our economic structure or debases this heritage of aesthetic ideals.

All of us, every architect in America, have respect for the traditions of the profession, for the ideals of professional service and for the uplifting contribution to human advancement as expressed in the design of buildings which house our social and commercial activities. At the same time common sense indicates the fact that if the expenditure of billions of dollars is to be entrusted annually to members of the architectural profession, then it is imperative that this trust be wisely administered in a sound, businesslike manner; otherwise the responsibility will not long remain with us, and the architect may find himself working for a general contractor or for some new type of service organization, which, deeming the business judgment of the architect, considers itself as giving a protective service from the owner's viewpoint. Already a few such organizations are in embryonic existence, and the growth of this phase of building service is definite and in proportion to the economic failures chargeable to the architect.

Economic history has shown that no profession and no industry can stand alone without progress and without adjustment to changing conditions as the years bring economic progress. How can the profession of architecture be an exception? Why should it be an exception?

This dangerous and careless attitude of destructive criticism as exemplified in Mr. Taylor's article by the term "group provincialism" generates a slow poison which deadens the reaction of the profession, tending toward a fatal inertness, and fails utterly to realize the exact truth which lies in the opinion of the American Institute of Architects, that business is at least an important servant of architecture.
HENRY BACON
1866 - 1924
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