New! Kentile Gemstone Asphalt Tile—9" x 9" x 3/8". Shown here with feature strip. Easy to maintain, Gemstone is economical and long-wearing for residential and commercial floors.

New Gemstone! Rich appearance that's a "first" in asphalt tile!

The exceptional surface of this asphalt tile actually rivals more expensive floors. Kentile Gemstone comes in six luxury colors—for modern and traditional settings. Samples? Call your Kentile® Representative.
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Sent without charge to architects registered within the U.S.A. Qualified persons are invited to write to the Circulation Manager on company letterhead. Please give your principal interests of Technology, Environment and Man contest with such ferocity as that of transportation. The outcome has a great deal to do with the nature of our cities, for transportation has no equal as a city-shaping force.

That would be reason enough for the attention which the editors pay this month to highways (and will pay next month to rapid transit), but it is not the only reason. It is becoming apparent that transportation is, in part, an architectural problem.

If a city must suffer penetration by a freeway, design can do much to soften the impact—architectural design, not just the engineering of the road for maximum efficiency. If a city is building a transit system, design can help lure commuters out of their cars and onto the rails. Design, in sum, can do much to reconcile the Technology of transportation, the Environment of travel, and Man, whether in movement or between trips.

L.W.M.
Fifty years ago we thought bonding roofs was a good idea...
we still do.

1. Barrett Division, Allied Chemical Corporation, wholeheartedly and without reservation, supports, endorses, and recommends the practice of bonding roofs. We believe this practice is as important today, if not more so, as it was when we inaugurated it 50 years ago.

2. Barrett will vigorously continue its policy of bonding roofs that meet our exacting standards. We are committed to the position that a roof bonding program, administered and controlled by roofing manufacturers, is essential to the welfare of the building industry.

3. Barrett's experience has proven that the roofing bond works to establish higher standards in materials, application, and workmanship, and we accept the moral responsibility to our customers to maintain these high standards.

4. Barrett believes that the practice of bonding roofs must not be diminished or discontinued because of misunderstandings; rather, it must be given even greater support and use so as to continue and improve the roofing industry's high standards.

5. Barrett Division, Allied Chemical Corporation, recognizes its responsibility to the industry. We believe that as a built-up roofing materials manufacturer, with more than 100 years of experience and complete resources at our disposal, we are particularly qualified to carry on the research and technical service required to protect the interests of our customers, building owners, and the general public.
heating/cooling... indoor/outdoor mounting... direct fired/infra-red

Here's the broad line of pre-engineered, fully packaged, ready-to-install heating/cooling units you need to fit an almost endless variety of comfort conditioning jobs. Whether conditions require free-hanging unit heaters, duct or forced-air furnaces, Reznor has a model to fit. Option of air systems to provide static pressure your installation demands including make-up air with shutters to vary outdoor air from 0 to 100% (ideal for mild weather ventilating).

In addition to the industry's pace-setting THERMOCORE heat exchangers, Reznor units include power vented and infra-red heating assemblies. Capacities from 25,000 to 1,600,000 Btuh.

Air-cooled compressors in heating/cooling units provide air conditioning capacity in 5, 7½, 10 and 15 ton range... indoor or roof mounted.

Your local Reznor Representative is listed in the Yellow Pages.

He'll show you the quality features that make this line the best buy in the gas comfort conditioning market. For a free copy of the latest illustrated catalog write for GN-66 to ITT Reznor, International Telephone and Telegraph Corporation, Mercer, Penna., Dept. T6-2A.
230,000 sq. ft. of CARLISLE Sure-Seal BUTYL MEMBRANE 5 Years Later

HUMBLE BUILDING HOUSTON, TEXAS

HUMBLE OIL & REFINING COMPANY
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77001
February 1, 1966

Mr. Carroll F. Brehm
Special Products Department
Carlisle Tire & Rubber Division
Carlisle Corporation
Carlisle, Pennsylvania 17013

Dear Mr. Brehm:

This is in reply to your inquiry concerning the butyl membrane waterproofing for the three-level basement of the Humble Building in Houston, Texas.

The entire foundation and sub-surface portion of the Humble Building was encased in a one-eight inch thick butyl sheet. The seven foot thick concrete foundation slab for the lower section of the building was poured upon a one-eighth inch thick sheet of butyl spread on a thin concrete pad at the bottom of an excavation about sixty feet deep. The edges of the sheet were extended up along the outside of the foundation and the concrete basement wall to provide a waterproof bag around the sub-surface portion of the building. Approximately 230,000 square feet of the one-eighth inch thick butyl sheet weighing 200,000 pounds was required for installation of the membrane for the basement of the building. The butyl material was furnished in one-eighth inch thick rolled sheets, twenty-six inches wide by ninety feet long.

The butyl membrane was installed during the spring and summer of 1960. It is still in excellent condition with no evidence of deterioration and has provided the Owner with a dry, leak-free basement. The water table at the building site is approximately thirty-five feet above the bottom of the membrane waterproofing so that the lower thirty-five feet of the building and butyl membrane are normally completely surrounded by water.

To date the butyl sheet membrane has provided very satisfactory service.

Very truly yours,

HUMBLE OIL & REFINING COMPANY

The butyl membrane was installed during the spring and summer of 1960. It is still in excellent condition with no evidence of deterioration and has provided the Owner with a dry, leak-free basement. The water table at the building site is approximately thirty-five feet above the bottom of the membrane waterproofing so that the lower thirty-five feet of the building and butyl membrane are normally completely surrounded by water.

Custom designing has taken on new dimensions. Glas-Wich, the latest in creative ideas, give you a chance to design with extra qualities for added interest. An invisible sound barrier, safety and heat absorbing qualities of Glas-Wich make it a designer's dream for beauty with utmost efficiency.

Example: Award-winning United States Air Force Academy Chapel. Glas-Wich reflects 90% of the heat from sun's rays.

Adventures in Glass by dearborn glass company

6600 S. HARLEM, BEDFORD PARK, ARGO P.O., ILLINOIS 60501
Flush inside...or flush outside!

Each of these Carrier condensing units powers a central ducted heating and cooling system—a system ideal for garden-type apartments.

Why? It gives each tenant individual control of heating and cooling—with quiet movement of clean, conditioned air—to each room all year long.

It offers the owner low first cost, takes up no land space and no floor space, either.

It teams with a gas- or oil-fired furnace, or with an all-electric fan-coil unit indoors.

And the architect can work them into his building design in a number of unobtrusive ways. Let them project a few inches from the wall...and they disappear from view on the inside. Fit them flush outside...and let them project into a closet, utility room...or, as in the picture above, into a brick buttress that serves other purposes.

Other parts of this Carrier system? Refrigerant tubing with quick-couples at both ends for a fast, clean connection to fan-coil or furnace.

An all-electric fan-coil that can power air through long duct runs—does it quietly from any spare space—above a closet, in a furred-down ceiling, a crawl space or attic.

Only 13⅛ inches high, it heats with electric strip heaters or a hot water or steam coil.

Or, Carrier furnaces can provide heat and air movement from a closet, attic or crawl space location.

Details? Your Carrier dealer will be glad to give them to you.

You'll find him listed in the Yellow Pages. Carrier Air Conditioning Company, Syracuse, New York 13201. Represented in Canada by Carrier Air Conditioning (Canada) Ltd.

**Carrier** Air Conditioning Company

More people put their confidence in Carrier air conditioning than in any other make
Let your roof designs fly a little. We’ll cover for you.

The far out look is in. Now you can design roofs that look the way you want them to look. Steep pitches, curves, barrel vaults are no longer a problem. B.F. Goodrich has a remarkable new roofing system that works as efficiently on unusual contours as it does on flat roofs. Its name is BFG One-Ply. One-Ply is a laminate of DuPont Hypalon® synthetic rubber backed with neoprene-bound asbestos. It’s light, flexible, easily installed, fire resistant, self-flashing. And so watertight, we guarantee it five years against leaks. For technical details, Write B.F. Goodrich Building Products Department AF-13, Akron, Ohio 44318. And then start flying a little.
Series 7000 narrow projection door closers with covers; aluminum covers to match door hardware, wood covers to match door paneling. PRESIDENT'S DINING ROOM for the elegance of matching wood. An attractive closer installation for this formal dining room.

POOL DIRECTOR'S OFFICE, an aluminum closer with an aluminum cover for an attractive installation that's impervious to this corrosive high humidity location.

CAFETERIA entrance, a match between closer cover and door hardware to contrast with the dark door finish.

PATIO ENTRANCE

Series 1600 Tri-Style Closers to match the narrow lines of modern aluminum doors. PATIO ENTRANCE, an attractive installation invisibly mounted to the top jamb providing control for this outside door. Even with a prevailing west wind, the door is under control at all times. GYMNASIUM ENTRANCE, positive consistent control even during the heavy traffic through these high frequency doors.

FOR DOOR CONTROL
designed to meet every school building need

CHOOSE NORTON CLOSERS AND UNI-TROLS

Any door within a school can have unique or special requirements. These doors by their location, traffic pattern, adverse environment or esthetic requirement can pose a problem in the selection of proper door control.

Norton makes the most complete line of surface-mounted door closers and unitized door controls. When you specify Norton controls, you can choose from a selection of types that have been designed to meet all of the specific needs of your school doors.

SERIES 1600
Tri-Style®

SERIES 7000

SERIES 6100

INFIRMARY DOOR

Series 6100 Uni-Trol door control, a combination of door closer and door holder. INFIRMARY DOOR, an attractive installation in the school infirmary. During open hours, the door is held ajar to avoid congestion.

NORTON® DOOR CLOSER DIVISION
372 Meyer Road, Bensenville, Illinois, 60106
What's this new material that makes a one-ply roof watertight—for years?

HYPALON®

It's Du Pont HYPALON synthetic rubber. And it's really not new, except in the manner it is used here by B. F. Goodrich—as a husky laminate with asbestos backing bound with Du Pont Neoprene.

In many industries, HYPALON has thoroughly earned its spurs as a long-lasting "outdoor rubber." Available in a range of permanent colors, it ignores continuous exposure to sunlight, weather... stays resilient and "alive" at high and low temperatures. It defies chemicals, abrasion, flame and is considered immune to ozone.

No wonder B. F. Goodrich chose HYPALON as the surfacing for its unique One-Ply roofing system. No other material would do as well.

Celo-Flow system costs less,
performs better, looks better

The drawings above show an actual job in the southeastern United States, figured two ways.

The architect recommended the Celo-Flow® Acoustical / Ventilating ceiling system because he wanted to eliminate ceiling clutter and the almost inevitable soiling that accompanies diffusers. And the Celo-Flow system gave his client more quiet, even air distribution than ducts and diffusers could.

Of course the real clincher came when he showed his client comparative cost estimates. The 6,040 sq. ft. job done conventionally would have cost $6,190 installed — including ducts, duct insulation, diffusers and ceiling. The installed Celo-Flow system cost $4,635 including perimeter insulation, baffling and ceiling. That’s a saving of $1,555 — more than 25%!

Matching appearance of Celo-Flow mineral fiber clear-thru perforated and surface-perforated units achieves a “single pattern” effect, regardless of the number and location of the ventilating panels or tile.

FOR YOUR FILES — Comprehensive Guide Specifications, Design Procedures and Air Dispersion Test Report. Write The Celotex Corporation or call your Acousti-Celotex distributor (see the Yellow Pages “Acoustical”).

ACOUSTIC

THE CELOTEX CORPORATION

120 N. Florida Ave., Tampa, Fla. 33602
Schokbeton precast concrete double-window curtain wall unit. Sir George Williams University, Montreal, Canada
Architect: Ross, Fish, Duchesnes & Barrett. Schokbeton by Schokbeton Quebec, Inc.

For the best in precast concrete, specify Schokbeton

EASTERN SCHOKCRETE CORP.
441 Lexington Ave., New York 17, N.Y.
60 Mountain St., West, Worcester, Mass.
P.O. Box 56, Brandywine, Md.

SCHOKBETON-PITTSBURGH
A Division of The Levinson Steel Co.
37 South 20th St., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15222

CREST/SCHOKBETON CONCRETE, INC.
P.O. Box 209, Lemont, Illinois 60439

PRECAST/SCHOKBETON, INC.
P.O. Box 2088
Kalama, Michigan 49040

MABIE-BELL SCHOKBETON CORP.
P.O. Box 1559, Greensboro, N.C.

Peachtree City, Georgia
P.O. Box 47946, Miami, Florida

INLAND SCHOKBETON
A Division of Nebraska Prestressed Concrete Co.
P.O. Box 2099, Lincoln, Nebraska 68529

ROCKWIN SCHOKBETON
Division of Rockwin Prestressed Concrete Corp.
Subsidiary of United Concrete Pipe Corp.
P.O. Box 2638, Santa Fe Springs, Calif.

TEXAS SCHOKBETON, INC.
P.O. Box 52549, Sam Houston Station,
Houston, Texas 77025

BUESCHER SCHOKBETON COMPANY
301 West 60th Place, Denver, Colorado 80216
840 Wilmington Ave., Salt Lake City, Utah 84106

BASALT SCHOKBETON
A Division of Basalt Rock Company, Inc.
P.O. Box 2540, Napa, California

CANADA
SCHOKBETON QUEBEC INC.
P.O. Box 240, St. Cuthbert, P.O., Canada

SCHOKBETON DIVISION
CONCRETE TECHNOLOGY (B.C.) LTD.
798 Nelson Rd.—P.O. Box 78
Richmond, B.C., Canada

SCHOKBETON PRODUCTS CORP., 1270 AVE. OF AMERICAS, N.Y.C. 30, N.Y.—A SUBSIDIARY OF AMERICAN METAL CLIMAX, INC.
The Redevelopment Division of Urban America, Inc. Announces a Lecture-Workshop

"achieving superior urban design through urban renewal"

DAVID A. WALLACE AIP, AIA, Professor of City Planning, University of Pennsylvania; Wallace, McHarg, Roberts & Todd, Philadelphia.

ROGER MONTGOMERY AIA, Professor of Architecture and Director, Urban Renewal Design Center, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri.


july 14-15, 1966
princeton inn
princeton, n.j.

Honolulu’s Sheraton Royal Hawaiian Hotel is an island tradition of world-wide fame. The task that confronted Wimberly, Whisenand, Allison and Tong Architects, Ltd., was to design a shopping center for the hotel in harmony with its reputation and with the beautiful, tropical grounds on which it stands.

By taking full advantage of the site’s existing trees and plantings, and by making use of a variety of natural wood in the post and beam colonnade, the architects have made the modern cluster of shops suggest a Polynesian village, woven into a grove of palms. In several places they have built the multi-level roof around the wind-bent trees. “Natural wood was selected,” Mr. Allison reports, “to maintain the tropical environment and to make the building fit smoothly into the surrounding gardens and landscaping.

“With the full range of over 60 Olympic colors from which to choose, Wimberly, Whisenand, Allison and Tong had no trouble finding the one they felt would best “give the rough wood a low-maintenance finish that would blend with and complement the tree barks.”

In a Pacific climate like Hawaii’s the problem of adequate protection for exposed wood is especially significant. Natural wood was specified for the project because, in the architects’ words, “its preservative nature resists the problems of humidity and salt air.”

The Sheraton Royal Hawaiian Hotel Shops are a harmonious part of their tropical site—thanks, in large part, to Olympic Stain.


H. M. Franklin, Director—Redevelopment Division
Urban America, Inc.—1220 16th Street, N.W.—Washington, D.C. 20036
Please forward further information on Lecture-Workshops.

Name ........................................ Title ........................................ Phone ........................................
Firm ........................................... Address ........................................
City ........................................... State ........................................ Zip Code ........................................
Some people believe a door should be a work of art. When it comes to entranceways, which kind of beauty concerns you most? The beauty of form? Of function? Of materials? At Schlage, we feel these three aspects of beauty are inseparable. We try to prove it in the way we make our locks. Chronologically, beauty of materials comes first. We pay a premium to get metals of intrinsic structural integrity and uniformity. The tolerances to which we machine these materials bring a mathematical beauty to
The facade of Saint-Gatien, Cathedral of Tours, is a masterpiece of late Gothic architecture. Started about 1200, Saint-Gatien was not completed until 1547.

A limited number of special quality reproductions, made from this photograph, are available from Schlage Lock Company, Box 3324, San Francisco.

Schlage does.

The hidden dynamics of plungers, springs, bearings, tumblers. The external form grows out of the internal function. At Schlage, this means the production of 101 different designs (and 3 finishes), each related to the mechanics of locking, each with beauty built in at every step. This is why Schlage locks work on and on, smoothly and effortlessly, until they achieve a further economic beauty, the beauty of old age.

© SCHLAGE
SAN FRANCISCO • VANCOUVER B.C.
Meeting of the minds.

These are thoughts you live by, 
So do we. With you in mind. 
Take this chair for instance. 
It’s new. With many innovations. 
But it’s just one of some 50 Steelcase 
chairs which respected architects have 
used in noteworthy office installations. 
In other items of office furniture, too, 
your Steelcase representative can offer 
an impressive number of choices to fit 
your aesthetic and functional concepts. 
Most important, though, is what he 
can do to make your job easier. 
He doesn’t just talk service. 
He gives it. The kind you like. 
And that we’d like to tell you about. 
Why don’t you get in touch with 
Steelcase? Now. While your mind is on 
innovation and environment. And 
service. Write Dept. A.
This new tile ceiling will probably deceive you.

By design.

You'll have to look very closely at an Armstrong Santaglio® Travertone™ Ceiling to realize that it was put together tile by tile. The barely perceptible joint lines fade away to create an overall monolithic appearance.

We achieved this illusion by extending the meticulously arranged surface design right out to the square, sharp edge of each tile. When the tiles butt together, the pattern blends to create a subtle, bidirectional effect for really striking interiors.

There's nothing illusory about Santaglio Travertone's other characteristics, however. Acoustical efficiency is high, whether the tiles are cemented in place, or mechanically suspended. NRC Specification Range is .65-.75 for the former, .60-.70 for the latter. Average Attenuation Factor is 41 decibels. Light Reflection Coefficient is "b."

Noncombustible Santaglio Travertone Ceiling Systems provide fire protection, too. UL Label—Class I Flame Spread rating. UL Time-Design rating on floor-ceiling assembly—3 hours.

Santaglio Travertone is available in 12" x 12" x 3/4" tiles, with T & G square edges. The tiles cement quickly to any firm, flat, dry surface. Or they can be installed with standard concealed suspension system.

We could go on about Santaglio Travertone—particularly in relation to specific applications. Want us to? Write Armstrong, 4205 Rooney Street, Lancaster, Pa.

*Design patent applied for.

Ceiling Systems by Armstrong
Marvin Hatami designs a college library.

Utilizing Zonolite® Masonry Fill Insulation in walls reduces initial equipment costs, saves $700 per year on fuel, substantially raises indoor wall surface temperature.

What would seem to be an added cost for insulation, in reality, is a highly profitable investment for your clients. Consider this library designed by Marvin Hatami and engineered by Cator Ruma of Denver, Colorado.

Its reinforced brick cavity walls feature Zonolite Masonry Fill Insulation. Installed cost? 10¢ per sq. ft. or $3245 total. As part of a 20-yr., 6% mortgage, it figures out to $279 annually.

For this investment, the client saves $700 per year on fuel. That’s a direct 250% return on his yearly investment in Zonolite Masonry Fill Insulation.

There are indirect savings, too. (1) Smaller, less costly heating equipment can be used. (2) Indoor wall surface temperatures are raised from 50° to 62° F. This reduces body radiant heat exchange, minimizes wall surface downdrafts. (3) The interior surfaces of the walls can remain unfinished. And (4) the building is quieter because of Zonolite Masonry Fill Insulation’s excellent sound absorption characteristics.
### DESIGN CONDITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Walls</th>
<th>Winter Heat Loss in BTU/Hr. Assuming 70°F Indoors</th>
<th>Summer Heat Gain in BTU/Hr. Assuming 95°F, DB 84°F, WB Outdoors</th>
<th>With Masonry Fill</th>
<th>Without Masonry Fill</th>
<th>With Masonry Fill</th>
<th>Without Masonry Fill</th>
<th>With Masonry Fill</th>
<th>Without Masonry Fill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4&quot; Face Brick</td>
<td>962,000</td>
<td>364,000</td>
<td>201,000</td>
<td>76,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2½&quot; Air Space</td>
<td>225,000</td>
<td>225,000</td>
<td>107,000</td>
<td>107,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2½&quot; Zonolite Fill</td>
<td>56,000</td>
<td>56,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof</td>
<td>225,000</td>
<td>225,000</td>
<td>107,000</td>
<td>107,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4&quot; Concrete on Grade</td>
<td>56,000</td>
<td>56,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass: Solar &amp; Transmission</td>
<td>730,000</td>
<td>730,000</td>
<td>443,000</td>
<td>443,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilation</td>
<td>1,080,000</td>
<td>1,080,000</td>
<td>229,000</td>
<td>229,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lights</td>
<td>125 Kilowatt</td>
<td>596,000</td>
<td>596,000</td>
<td>596,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People</td>
<td>175 Kilowatt</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>3,053,000</td>
<td>2,455,000</td>
<td>1,776,000</td>
<td>1,651,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% Savings with Masonry Fill  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With Masonry Fill</th>
<th>Without Masonry Fill</th>
<th>% Savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,455,000</td>
<td>3,053,000</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,651,000</td>
<td>1,776,000</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional facts of significant interest are available in our Bulletin MF-113. For your copy, please write Dept. A, Zonolite, 135 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60603

**ZONOLITE**

135 SO. LA SALLE ST., CHICAGO, ILL.
Cut out and swing a little at "standard" door prices.

Here's the great way it goes when you specify Republic's new Imperial Full Flush Door line:

Your client gets a standard cost handsome, smooth, seamless, quiet door that you can list for everywhere in the job. Its economies are so obvious that you won't raise a single cost-conscious eyebrow.

Then you can come on strong with creative ideas. The Imperial lets you design virtually any kind of glass or louver treatment you want—and you do it with production-line thrift because of Republic's glass frame section with snap-in glazing bead that shapes to almost any shape.

Door frames, special effects for lights and entrance-ways, are just as economical with Republic's new Universal Frame line. Seams and corners simply interlock, very simply. No gaps. No pinch. Just fit. Every time.

When you show building owners this Republic combination you'll have a sure hit going for you.

I want to know all about the new Republic Imperial Door... like the fully welded complete perimeter channel, the patented universal frame that always fits, the five-step rust proofing, the baked-on preprime coat, the continuous hinge and lock reinforcement, the interlocking corners, the prepackaged shipping protection, the overnight delivery from 15 warehouses. So send the literature.
Can gay, debonair Byzantile II find happiness with any color that comes along?  
It's made by Mosaic, isn't it?

And you know how touchy we are about compatibility.  
No matter what shape Mosaic tile is in, it's got to shape up color-wise.

Byzantile II, our exciting new 6-inch elongated hexagon tile, is no exception. It puts on a great show.

But it never tries to be the whole show.

Typical of the way our colors restrain themselves is the Byzantile II wall (above) of Light Golden Olive, and the fireplace of Parchment. (The floor of Carlyle Quarry tile Colonial Buff knows how to behave itself, too.)

Byzantile II also comes in seven other harmoniously designed colors of textured, wear-resistant glaze. And more pattern possibilities than a kaleidoscope.

Gay, debonair Byzantile II. Use it when you feel a little wild, but don't want things to get out of hand.

Contact any Mosaic Regional Manager, Branch Manager or Tile Contractor for samples, colors, prices and availability.

See the Yellow Pages "Tile-Ceramic-Mfrs. & Dists." or "Tile-Ceramic-Contractors". Or write directly to The Mosaic Tile Company, 55 Public Square, Cleveland, Ohio 44113.

"Mosaic" is the trademark of The Mosaic Tile Company.
All-electric office building means “higher rentals... better earnings” says leasing expert

“I believe the all-electric office building has a distinct advantage competitively and can demand and obtain higher rentals resulting in better earnings than the average standard office building can produce,” says Murray Randell, Director of Special Leasing for the Chicago firm of Turner, Bailey and Zoll.

Mr. Randell made this statement in his speech, “Why I Would Build An All-Electric Office Building,” given at the annual convention of the National Association of Building Owners and Managers, of which he is past president.

Mr. Randell points out that “the advantages and benefits accruing to the owner, manager and tenants of an all-electric building are numerous and substantial.” He discusses some of these benefits: cleanliness, more rentable area, better light, use of light for heating, efficient temperature and humidity control. And he points out how these benefits not only give the building a competitive advantage now but will prolong the economic life of the building. He believes that experience to date indicates that the operating costs of the all-electric building are lower than in a conventional building and cites figures to support his contention.

Because Mr. Randell is an acknowledged expert in his field, and has no connection with any phase of the electrical industry, we believe you will want to read his speech in full before you plan your next office building. For a free copy, write: NECA, National Electrical Contractors Association, 610 Ring Building, Washington, D.C. 20036.

Mr. Randell’s speech was carried in the August, 1965 issue of SKYSCRAPER MANAGEMENT
**Gotham Cylinderlites** are a new, visually unified group of fixtures for interior and exterior use. They are offered in two basic types: the first uses a precisely contoured black Alzak specular reflector which redirects all spill light into the useful zone and holds surface brightness to a level so low as to be practically non-existent; the second type is finished inside with a new, baked matte black coating which eliminates all surface glare and reduces surface brightness at the aperture to a pleasingly low value. Cylinderlites are made in a wide range of sizes to utilize reflector lamps from 30 to 500 watts. Three mountings and four finishes are available.

Gotham Lighting Corporation, 37-01 thirty-first street, long island city, new york 11101

in Canada: Gotham Lighting of Canada, Ltd., Toronto, Ont.
"When we think of Le Corbusier he is standing stiffly amidst his enemies and his worshippers..."

So begins the FORUM's Le Corbusier Memorial—a commemorative issue that is more than just a tribute since it is interlaced with the great architect's own words.

The E. F. Hauserman Co., 5777 Grant Ave., Cleveland, Ohio 44103
In Canada: Hauserman Ltd., Mallard Rd., Don Mills, Ontario

Hauserman Double-Wall is a low cost wall system of stock panels designed for contemporary offices. The entire wall can be quickly and easily relocated as space needs change. Double-Wall steel panels lock into steel posts to form an attractive, rigid, sound-controlling wall. The wall can be finished in a wide range of decorator colors or wall coverings including vinyl laminates, wood, and even glass.

Low-cost Double-Wall may be combined with other Hauserman office partition systems, Signature and Delineator. Separately, or in combination, these movable walls offer beauty and function for today... flexibility and maintenance-free operation for tomorrow. Request our Movable Wall Systems Brochure.

The Architectural FORUM, 111 W. 57th St., New York, N.Y. 10019

Send me _ copy (s) of the FORUM's Le Corbusier Memorial issue. I've enclosed $1 for each copy. (Include sales tax if applicable).

Name (print)__________________________
Address______________________________

City_________ State________ Zip Code_____
American-Standard announces a giant (non-skid) step ahead in bathtub safety

You can specify permanent Stan-Sure* protection for less than eight dollars extra cost

The attractive Stan-Sure safety bottom is now optional in all American-Standard cast iron bathtubs. Its effectiveness comes from 1" circles spaced 1/6" apart—with no skips. Foot pressure from any direction sets up a squeegee action, makes slipping virtually impossible. An integral part of the enamel, Stan-Sure is acid-resistant and easy to clean. Stan-Sure provides safety assurance out of all proportion to its low cost. See your American-Standard representative for details.

Or write American-Standard, Plumbing and Heating Division, 40 West 40th Street, New York, N.Y. 10018.
Thiokol makes the big moves to provide you a

SEAL OF SECURITY

MOVE #1. Sets new performance standards advancing existing industry specifications for sealants based on Thiokol polysulfide polymer.
MOVE #2. Awards identifying "Tested and Approved" seal to compounds measuring up to new standards.
MOVE #3. Monitors performance capability through continuous sealant test program.

Who but Thiokol, long a leader in the technology of structural sealing, could take these bold steps to meet demands for increased sealant performance? For more than a decade, weather-proofing compounds based on Thiokol material—LP® polysulfide polymer—have paced the needs of architects and contractors as they reshaped skylines everywhere. Today, with building designers striving for even more exciting structural patterns, the call grows greater for adhesion, flexibility, weatherability and longevity in sealing compounds. Thiokol's "Tested and Approved Sealant" program is your guide to necessary quality. Sealants bearing its identifying symbol possess the dynamic sealing properties required by the newest architectural forms.

* The manufacturer warrants by affixing this seal to his label that the product is a duplicate of materials independently tested and approved by—and in accordance with standards established by—Thiokol Chemical Corporation. The seal means you can specify with confidence.

Now it's your move

Write to Thiokol or consult with a maker of "Tested and Approved Sealant" for complete details of new industry standards for compounds based on Thiokol polysulfide polymer. Then, specify these materials for a sense of sealing security that has no equivalent.

Thiokol manufactures base materials only, not a finished sealant.

CHEMICAL CORPORATION
780 N. Clinton Avenue, Trenton, N.J. 08607

In Canada: Naugatuck Chemicals Division, Dominion Rubber Company, Elmira, Ontario.
Home for Hubert

"Down here where money's easy,
Let's spend, let's spend, the cry;
And it's aprés votes, the deluge.
Let's build to beat Versailles."

James C. Cleveland
Congressional Record

In an exchange of spring poesy, Congressmen last month kicked around the question of whether the Vice President should have a mansion to call his own. It wasn't exactly a fresh issue: for 180 years various administrations had been calling for a junior executive White House.

Who is kidding whom, queried William Cramer (Rep., Fla.) when he looked at the GSA sketch of what the mansion might look like (below). "All he is getting here is 4,000 square feet for entertainment. Why can't he entertain in all those wonderful square feet of the White House?"

Why not try to get a hold of Bobby Baker's old pad, asked H. R. Gross (Rep., Iowa). After all, pointed out James C. Wright (Dem, Tex.), even forest rangers get log cabins.

Somebody called all the existing ex-Vice Presidents, and they agreed it would be nice for their successors to have a mansion. Harry Truman recalled that he had lived in an apartment when he held the office. Richard Nixon said he was all for a Vice-Presidential mansion "in principle," and Mr. Johnson, who had to rent a fancy place in which to do his Vice-Presidential entertaining, could hardly object.

So the bill passed by a margin of 13 votes, along the following lines: GSA is to supervise the design, construction and furnishing of a Vice-Presidential mansion for $750,000 and to accept cash gifts, old furniture and the like to help defray costs. The site is ten acres of the Naval Observatory grounds on upper Massachusetts Avenue.

GSA was offered plenty of guidance on how to go about its task. Mrs. Charlotte Reid (Rep., Ill.) urged study by a nonpartisan commission, in consultation with the AIA, the National Capital Planning Commission and the Fine Arts Commission.

August Heckscher suggested a national architectural competition. While Kenneth Gray (Dem., Ill.), chairman of the House Subcommittee on Public Buildings and Grounds, favored a "modern" style home by "a top architect," he felt it was too late to "hold a contest."

President Johnson speedily signed the bill, but accompanied it with the directive that nothing be done at the moment because of Viet Nam military spending and inflation—neither of which give indication of passing into immediate oblivion. Congressman Gray and the GSA, hopefully, will now use the time to hold that contest.
THE great concrete cliffs (opposite) that have risen on a plateau about 20 miles from the center of Toronto are an important milestone in urban design in North America. On this plateau, in the next few years, some of the new urban design theories ("Plug-in Cities," Action Architecture, Service-and-Traffic Grids, etc.) will be given their first, practical workout.

The concrete cliffs are called Scarborough. They grew, like some sort of high-priority emergency project, in near secrecy and in two years flat. Scarborough was designed to accommodate about 6,000 people—in its initial stages, anyway—which means that it was designed to accommodate the total population of New York City in 1715.

Scarborough, of course, is not a city. It is the nucleus of a new sort of campus—a campus that was conceived as a single building, almost infinitely flexible, and expandable.

A college can never be quite like a city, and the analogy contains certain dangers. But many things that happen in a college are analogous to things that happen in a city: a college is a place where many people work (and in some cases, though not in this one, live); it is a place for research, for continuous communication, for the development of social contacts, for the movement of many people in many directions and by different means at the same time. To these extents, a college campus can be a laboratory for many design concepts applicable to the new, large-scale complexes, including cities, that we must build to survive.

THE NEW CAMPUS

It suggests a changed scale in urban architecture

On the next 25 pages we try to do several things: first, to document in photographs and drawings what Scarborough is today and what made it what it is; second, to analyze four other new campus projects (Berlin, Forest Park, Marburg and Chicago Circle), and to show that Scarborough is no isolated freak, but part of a world-wide move toward a new scale and toward new systems of design appropriate to that scale; and, third, we try to assess the significance of Scarborough and of these other projects, and to evaluate them as well as this can be done at such an early stage.

All the material for this article was assembled and written for the ARCHITECTURAL FORUM by Oscar Newman, Associate Professor of Architecture at Washington University in St. Louis. There, Professor Newman runs the Master's Program of Urban Design and the Urban Renewal Design Center, together with its director, Roger Montgomery. The material shown on these pages represents only a sample of the continuing collection of significant urban design projects carried on at this Center.

We are most grateful to Professor Newman and his associates for making some of this material available to us. And we applaud those who dared build Scarborough—the architect, John Andrews, and his associates, and the client, the University of Toronto. All these will be remembered for their courage as well as the quality of their achievement.
SCARBOROUGH

The program, people and principles that shaped it

BY OSCAR NEWMAN

Scarborough is one element in the expansion program for the University of Toronto.

The main, downtown campus of the University has already eaten up all the land available to it. Traditionally, at this university, large separate departments are often housed in buildings off campus; but Scarborough is the first example of a major facility for 6,000 students, offering a broad program of studies, to have been planned out in the suburbs.

Scarborough will also be the first of many such facilities under a long-range expansion program. This program will eventually relegate the mother campus to providing only graduate studies to the many undergraduate campuses all over the metropolitan region.

Work on the master design for Scarborough was begun in the spring of 1964 by a team made up of an architect, a planner and a landscape architect, assembled by the University of Toronto Planning Board.

A development program and schematic land-use plan were scheduled for review in the fall of that year. When the presentation was due, the team had produced a master plan and a detailed schematic for the building and site. The concept has not changed very much since.

The campus building, from its acceptance by the board to the completion of the first stage (as illustrated here), was put together in just over two years.

To aid in the detailed design development and in the preparation of the contract documents, the architectural firm of Page & Steele was brought into association with John Andrews who remained, throughout, the coordinating architect.

From a terrace outside a student lounge, one sees the sloping walls of the science labs, and the stepped-out floors of the Humanities Wing.
Scarborough College:
Site and siting

The choice of this particular site was made when the land was purchased, well before the planners were called in. It is part of a large conservation area, zoned so that only 50 of its 200 acres can be built upon; and only for institutional use. Most of the site is a heavily wooded valley with a small river. To the north, however, is a higher plateau of some 50 acres, clearly defined by a ridge. For reasons of climate and easier accessibility, most of the campus was set on this plateau.

An expressway links the campus to the city and to the suburb of Don Mills. There is bus service now and there will be subway service in the future. Initially, however, most students and staff will arrive by car, and appropriate parking facilities have been provided. Since the campus building follows the ridge, the flat area to the north was kept clear for parking and future expansion.

First stage: a single building

Scarborough (Phase One) consists of a central administrative and social focus (see p. 40); from this extends a Science Wing (p. 37) to the west, and a Humanities Wing (p. 39) to the east. Access is from the north.

The long, meandering structure is five to six stories high, and is fitted to existing grades so that access to the main interior circulation spaces is always from the plateau to the north. At the third level up (see center plan at right) the two principal wings, which are connected underground, are separated by an entrance slot. The key to the building is its interior circulation system (see diagram at left). This system uses enclosed, skylit (or glass-walled) pedestrian "streets" that tie all spaces together, and make the campus weatherproof. How these "streets" work is described on the next six pages.

Left, air view of campus and plan of circulation system (left segment is third level, right segment second). Right, representative floor plans.
Scarborough College:
The Science Wing

On every floor of the Science Wing, each set of two labs shares a preparation room. These rooms and labs are serviced by exterior ducts large enough to accommodate maintenance men. The duct layout is explained in the diagram at bottom, right.

Spaced at varying intervals between strings of labs are the lecture theaters. They form the "knuckles" of the Science Wing, and their polygonal plan permits the wing to change direction so as to follow the line of the natural ridge. Terraces, like the one shown at left, are located outside these lecture theaters, and outside the student lounges above the theaters.

The Science Wing is entered primarily from the plateau level to the north. These entrances lead into a kind of continuous interior "street" that is the most striking characteristic of both wings of the campus. From this "street" one reaches undergraduate labs on four levels (see section, opposite) by way of the rounded stair towers spaced evenly along the north side of the "street." The top of the Science Wing—its sixth floor—is, in fact, a great mechanical space that feeds all the labs below through the systems of sloping ducts mentioned earlier.

Sloping walls for better labs

Next to the interior "street," the most striking detail here is the stepped-back south wall. This unusual profile gives the labs wall space (which lab technicians need) instead of window space (which they don't want); it gives them plenty of natural light through long, sloping skylights; and it produces laboratories of varying size on different floors—another required feature. Semicircular windows in the exterior walls permit an occasional glimpse of the surroundings.

Typical undergraduate labs were designed to hold 20 students, and their size was limited by the preferred distance from a television screen. Each lab is plugged into a closed circuit television teaching system.

Opposite: Terrace outside student lounge at fourth level of Science Wing. Diagrammatic section shows pedestrian "street." Right, top to bottom: north wall of Science Wing with rounded stair towers; view of pedestrian "street"; interior of typical lab, with semicircular windows and continuous skylights above; and diagram of mechanical system.
Scarborough College: The Humanities Wing

The shorter wing of the campus consists of auditoriums and offices strung out along a gallery-like, multi-level circulation spine lit by a continuous sky-light (left, and below, right).

Each office level has its own balcony-corridor which overlooks the common galleria. At the ground level the pedestrian "street" can be entered both from the plateau to the north and the valley to the south. On the south side, the "street" is glazed from floor to ceiling; on the north it is lined by large auditoriums on the ground level and smaller ones above.

In section (opposite) the Humanities Wing provides two levels of lecture theaters on the north and three levels of offices above the ground on the south. Since the grade of the plateau drops off toward the east, the "street" in the Humanities Wing could be placed a full story below the Science "street." This was resolved in the central meeting Place (next page).

Splayed and staggered walls

The large lecture theaters (capacity: 200 seats) on the "street" floor were designed with inward-sloping walls. Thus they provide a large floor area for an appreciably smaller roof area and span, and offer certain acoustical advantages.

The smaller lecture theaters upstairs (capacity: 100 seats) have walls that slope outwards. This, too, provides better acoustics, but structurally the solution is not nearly so rational. Access to the small theaters is by individual straight-run stairs and by bridges from the galleria's balconies.

Three large auditoriums (250 seats) were built in the "knuckles" provided by the break in linear movement as the building changes direction.

The offices on the south side step outward as they climb up. In contrast to the labs in the science Wing, these offices have large windows facing south and west. The stepped-out profile provides a "natural" sun screen.

Opposite: 4½-story-high pedestrian "street" that is the circulation artery of the Humanities Wing (as shown also in diagrammatic section). Right, top to bottom: View of Humanities Wing from the north, with its clustered auditoriums and dramatic chimney stack; south and west side of this wing, showing stepped-out profile that provides sun control for ribbon windows; and typical balcony level within the central pedestrian "street."
Scarborough College: The Meeting Place

Although the two wings of Scarborough appear to be separated by the entrance slot, they are, in fact, joined below the entrance platform at the level of the Humanities "street".

This passage leads from the Humanities Wing into the squared-off northeast end of the Science Wing. The squared-off block, with a skylit court in its center, is the focus of the campus: the Meeting Place.

In cross-section, the central block resembles the northern edge of the Science Wing on one side, and the southern edge of the Humanities Wing on the other (see diagram, opposite). Between these extensions of the main wings is the Meeting Place (roughly 80 ft. square).

The Meeting Place is located one floor level below the grade of the plateau to the north, but is directly accessible from the natural grade to the south. The Meeting Place is four stories (about 50 ft.) high, and it is surrounded, on different levels, by bookstores, administrative offices, library facilities (in Stage One), a cafeteria and kitchen, a faculty dining room, and, on the top levels, a group of seminar rooms.

Crossroads of the campus

Because the level of the Science "street" is one floor higher than that of the Humanities "street," the Meeting Place serves as a vertical link between these two. This was handled in a generous fashion, with broad steps and platforms joining the two levels. Everyone, student and faculty alike, must walk through the central Meeting Place to get from one facility to the other—and this was the architect's intention.

The scale of this Meeting Place makes it a truly monumental space, overlooked by various galleries; and it is lit not only through the great eggcrater skylight overhead, but also from unexpected angles through glass walls and skylights in the many spaces that converge here.

(An analysis of Scarborough by Professor Newman appears on p. 53.)
THE NEW CAMPUS

It is characterized by urban density, stress on circulation, and the mixing of disciplines.

"The very same process of automation that causes a withdrawal of the present work force from industry causes learning itself to become the principal kind of production and consumption. Hence the folly of alarm about unemployment. Paid learning is already becoming both the dominant employment and the source of new wealth in our society."

Marshall McLuhan
Understanding Media

With the suddenness of a coup d'état, the New Campus has come to occupy the dominant position in current architecture. The cause of the phenomenon appears to be the confluence of two currents of change, both of which have been developing for a long time: the one, a change in the aspirations of the profession, the other a change in the nature of higher education.

The profession, for its part, has been seeking a more relevant role in society. We architects find ourselves continually frustrated in our desire to communicate, through building, our values, aspirations and lifestyles. Our present role is all too accurately measured by the insignificant percentage of building society allocates for our design.

Most of what we do design, moreover, contains built-in limitations. Office buildings are little more than large, neutral lofts, regardless of how much time is spent fiddling with details. Shopping centers offer intriguing problems of circulation and serving, but the more design we put into them, the less successful they seem to be. Airports would appear to be a problem of particular relevance to a mobile society, but all we end up designing are the waiting lounges, mobile or otherwise; and the guts of the problem, the jumble you see from the window of a waiting plane, they won't even let us come near.

When it comes to planning, our position is reduced to the absurd. Large scale residential developments in our country are built solely for the occupation of the middle class, whose aspirations for ever larger lots are coupled with oblivion to the implications of urban sprawl. As planners of cities, we are hamstrung in that we control none of the mechanisms for the execution of our proposals. At best we are involved with the design of the ideal while the refuse of the real accumulates around us.

Campus form follows a faith in urbanity

Small wonder that campus design has opened a welcome new horizon. But there is something more involved than the opportunity to extend the scale of practice. Perhaps what this is can be best explained by the comparison of the plan of Santa Cruz against the five campuses we have chosen to talk about in this article.

The layouts and densities of these other campuses are not merely a reflection of available land or even of academic policies. Their form is the result of a commitment on the part of their designers to a lifestyle. For our five campuses it is a commitment to a lifestyle which, with urban centers, is quickly disappearing from America. Santa Cruz, in contrast, is in the tradition of the dispersal unleashed by the automobile. Santa Cruz's similarity to suburban planning is not accidental. It is simply too reminiscent of the laissez-faire planning policies that have dismembered our cities.

It is not reading too much into the plans of the other five campuses to suggest that their designers are using them as instruments to express their aspirations and faith in high-density urban environments. For the American designers, at least, these campus proposals represent a conscious rejection of current planning policy and a desire to construct something new.

Much of the research for this portion of Mr. Newman's article was carried out by students in the Master's Program in Urban Design at Washington University as part of their curriculum. Mr. Newman particularly wishes to acknowledge the assistance of Michael Lowe in coordination of material and graphics.

Berlin University, for all its emphasis on circulation and commitment to open, non-compositional form, is basically a departmentally organized campus. There is, however, within the programmatic dictates of departmental organization, a hierarchical circulation pattern and grouping of facilities.

As a matter of policy, the architects deliberately avoided providing a single strong campus focus (beyond that of the cafeteria) in order to encourage the development of spontaneous centers and interdepartmental mingling throughout. They further felt that a single university focus conflicted with their notion of design for future expansion.

The campus is structured around a horizontal grid of pedestrian movement. The major corridors are some 16 ft. wide and run parallel to each other the full length of the site.

A secondary system consists of 8 ft. wide walkways, at right angles to the main arteries, located where changing circumstance dictates. Independent of the covered rectangular sheet grid run a series of interconnecting green spaces which move around and under the buildings in a non-geometric fashion.

The two types of covered streets set up their own horizontal hierarchical activity structure. The larger, short-term facilities are located off the primary walkways. The smaller, longer-used facilities—seminar rooms, labs, and offices—are located in the areas between the major parallel streets and are accessible off the secondary walkways.

Further small, long-term use activities are located at the second level. Corridors on the second level open to roof decks.
and, hopefully, inspiring in the urban framework.

The other facet of the campus as an architectural problem is its uniqueness as a building program. The campus for 10,000, built at a stroke, has no precedent and hence no formal historical baggage to prescribe its solution. As a program it offers a blend of opportunities not available elsewhere.

Its scale is that of a small town. But it is very different in that the designer is working for a single client with a program that is definitive; a budget which is real and which, once allocated, he controls; and a staging sequence which, by comparison to town planning, is finite rather than open-ended and unpredictable.

In terms of client, both educator and student are, after all, members of an elite. Studies of perception have shown that artistic taste is a ladder, parallelizing class, and that those on each rung emulate the values of those on the rung immediately above.

The architect, as a professional taste-maker, automatically occupies the highest rung. Campus clients, unlike most others, are only a rung or so below. They are both flexible and responsive.

Best of all, campus design stands out as a problem uniquely reflective of our time—a distillation of the needs and values we like to think of as being synonymous with our great, open, mobile, and progressive society. Universities are not just the most sophisticated of all our institutions, they may be, today, the most relevant.

**Explosion in education, a revolution in planning**

Higher education is now the preserve of everyone. Its assumption of mass scale is one of two changes in the educational process which have helped bring about the emergence of the New Campus.

The second is, of course, the expansion of human knowledge, which has brought a headlong specialization of existing disciplines and the periodic creation of entirely new ones. The problem is not one of simply providing everybody with a uniform advanced education; it is compounded by having to provide for greater choice in courses of study and for post-graduate specialization.

The effect has been a complete reorganization and restructuring of space allocations. The campus as we have known it will never be the same again.

The old tradition of providing buildings for particular departments has given way to an organization in terms of functional activities: labs, teaching spaces, faculty offices, administration areas, etc. Instead of the repetitive standard classrooms, uniformly provided for every department, spaces of varied shapes and sizes are being shared by all disciplines. The result is the provision of a much greater variety of teaching and study spaces, both in terms of size and function, and a more careful programming of their use. To check the accuracy of design for "optimum use," scheduling models are set up and verified by computer.

The coupling of functional campus organization and computer programming has resulted in savings of up to 30 per cent in the requirements for both space and teaching faculty. Facilities can be scheduled to be occupied some 90 per cent of the time and to 50 per cent of their capacity.

But the break from departmental to functional organization, while encouraging such efficiency, also has resulted in a loss of orientation and identity on the part of the students and, in some instances, on the part of the faculty. Where students previously had some form of home base from which to operate, usually related to the departmental building which housed the courses they majored in, they now tend to operate out of a locker (at least during those formative years spent in undergraduate study).

**Counteracting the threat of a loss of identity**

With so many and varied courses being offered and spaces being allocated anonymously for use by all disciplines, any structuring of the student’s course of studies must be done in his own mind or not at all. There is no identifiable echo of a course of studies in three-dimensional space, as there is in the traditional universities organized by department. (As an aside, it would be interesting to explore whether, since one of the university’s major functions is to prepare the student for a leading role in society, this separation of professional identity from spatial identity is not in fact readying him to face our unstructured world).

To counteract this lack of identity and to provide some sense of home base in functional organization, the New Campus tends to provide much more space for individual study and socializing. Libraries, for instance, form a much larger ratio of the total space than they do in traditional campuses. Common social spaces, varying in size to

---

**Controlled staging: The Berlin campus will grow to three times its present size (dark area) in modular increments**

---

**Common spaces at Forest Park are generous in relation to teaching areas**
FOREST PARK COMMUNITY COLLEGE, St. Louis. Architects: Harry Weese & Associates; associate in charge, Jack Hartry. Number of students first stage, 3,000; on completion, 7,000. Area of site: 32 acres. Distance from city center: 5 miles.

Forest Park was programmed to provide some 25 different courses of study, discouraging organization by department in favor of organization by function. Because of the very restricted site that came with the decision to locate in town, the campus was made four stories high. To cut down on the need to be continually climbing stairs, the campus was structured with a vertical hierarchy of activities.

The teaching units move out in opposite directions from the central focus. They are 180 ft. long, and are serviced by circulation and utility towers at each end.

Within the vertical section of each unit, working from the bottom up, are the large lecture theaters; the general function classrooms of various size; the small classrooms and seminar rooms; and the laboratories and offices. They are arranged so that the large, common and frequently changing facilities are located near the ground level, while the small, specialized and long-term use facilities are located on the upper floors.

The large volumes of the campus library and administration offices are located opposite each other and form the horizontal focus of the campus. Adjacent to them is a paved plaza containing an open-air amphitheater, and there are plans for the eventual addition of a large enclosed assembly area.

1. The vertical organization of the teaching wings, with circulation paths shown in red. 2. Plan of campus, with the second stage in broken outline.
serve from three to 300
students, are usually sprinkled
very liberally throughout the
campus. The result is the maximum
mixing of disciplines. But the
problem of student-teacher inter-
action seems a much more diffi-
cult one to solve: organization
by function seems to make it
inherently difficult to achieve.

Flexibility vs. suitability:
the paradox of growth

The demand for mass educa-
tion and the consequent specter
of unpredictable growth, places a
great premium on flexibility. But
flexibility in campus design con-
tains an inherent paradox: the
closer one gets to achieving the
universal, all-embracing spatial
grid, the further one gets from
providing spaces which are suited
to specific needs.

Two distinct and somewhat
opposing solutions to this para-
dox have been attempted. On the
one hand, there are those New
Campus designs that rely upon a
repetitive module and structural
system which will allow the
interchangeable construction of
most of the predictably required
spaces. Problems of growth or
internal expansion are solved
either through simple addition
or through a process of break-
down and reassembly.

Examples of this are Berlin
Free University and the Univer-
sity of Marburg. The form
language utilized for these
campuses is neutral in the ex-
treme. The projects are never
seen as complete forms at any
stage in their development; they
answer the old compositional
requirements of architecture by
simply refusing to deal with
them. The buildings are intended
to be understood as a series of
visual fragments, with percep-
tion of the totality the result of
an accumulation of sequential
experience. Perception, in any
case, is understood to be contin-
ually modified by changing use
and identification with different
decorations.

An inherent problem in this
universal-grid approach is the
added expenditure required in
the overdosign and duplication
that comes with making one
thing serve many possible future
uses. The overdosign usually ap-
pears in the structure, detailing,
and the provision of utilities.

In the other direction there are
those who respond to the need
for flexibility and growth by de-
developing a form language based
on an expression of the peculiar
qualities and requirements of
individual spaces. The result is
inevitably much more a personal
expression on the part of the
architect who designs the campus.

The design of the whole struc-
ture is an assembly of individu-
ally expressed elements. They are
knitted together by finding in the
circulation and common activity
areas equally expressive forms
which, hopefully, tie things to-
gether.

Problems of growth and flexi-
bility are answered in that the
form language is "organic":
that is new problems, additions,
changes, or adaptations can al-
ways be answered by finding an
expression of these new needs
in the ever-changing total com-
position. Examples of this meth-
od of working are Scarborough
and, to some extent, Forest Park
Junior College.

The limitations of this ap-
proach appear not in the form
language (although the forms
depend greatly for their success
on individual architectural tal-
tent) but rather in the actual
physical process of making alter-
ations. Spaces designed for
peculiar needs do not lend them-
selves readily to adaptation to
other uses, even though the form
language may allow them to be
integrated with the old.

A clear advantage of this ap-
proach over the first, however,
is in the provision of better func-
tioning areas. The continuous
problem of change can to some
extent be avoided by the further
development of the organic logic
into a hierarchical organization
of spaces and activities—a solu-
tion which in itself goes a long
way toward limiting the need
for large-scale future changes.

Hierarchies of activity,
and circulation systems

The great frequency of
change in use of facilities, the
great numbers of people involved
in these changes, and the high
densities of the New Campus all
have conspired to give circula-
tion systems an inordinately
important role in its design. But
the formal expression of circula-
tion has a significance which
goes beyond such functional
considerations.

Whether it is because they are
the embodiment of the mobile
PHILIPPS UNIVERSITY, Marburg, Germany. Architect: Marburg Planning Department, under the direction of Kurt Schneider. Number of students: 10,000. Area of site: 622 acres. Distance from city center: 2 miles.

The Marburg campus has been designed to get the best of both worlds: the campus is organized departmentally, and each department has a clearly structured hierarchy of activities.

From the core, containing the library, a large auditorium, restaurants, shops, and student union, the campus develops out in a series of concentric rings on a departmental basis. The larger commonly used facilities are located off the central core and are shared interdepartmentally. The smaller, specific and less frequently changing facilities are located in the central rings. The graduate facilities and research laboratories are located in the peripheral rings where they are also more readily serviced. The campus thus has the flexible advantages of functional organization while still providing the identifying attributes of departmental organization.

To provide the maximum flexibility within this general plan, a three-dimensional modular system was developed for the structure of the entire campus. Expansion can occur easily in all directions.

The structural system is made up of standardized precast concrete columns, beams, walls and slabs. The columns are designed to carry varying loads should the decision be made to expand upward or increase the span of adjoining spaces. Mechanical equipment is carried in ducts built into the precast floor system, providing freedom to build rooms of varying heights. The system was designed to allow for the construction of all foreseeable special requirements, except the large auditorium.

1. The modular scheme, in three dimensions. 2. The repetitive structural system. 3. Marburg’s departmental division of space within a core-centered, hierarchical plan.
society or the personification of progress, circulation patterns in the form of the New Campus have entered the realm of the symbolic. If there is one similarity all of our examples share, regardless of sometimes conflicting design philosophies, it is in this formal expression of movement systems. It is their most characteristic feature.

A further component of this concern with circulation is the development of clearly defined activity hierarchies. These, in combination with the circulation hierarchies, have resulted in giving the new campuses strong tree-like patterns of organization and physical structure.

Both in campuses arranged by function (Chicago Circle, Forest Park) and those arranged by department (Marburg, Berlin), the hierarchical allocation of activities is the single strongest organizing element. Those activities most used in common and those of largest size are located centrally, with a gradual tapering off toward the periphery to those activities used by the fewest numbers and for the longest durations of time.

Specifically, the following activities tend to be centrally located and shared interdepartmentally on a university-wide basis:

1. Teaching facilities of a high density and with a high change-over frequency, such as auditoriums, lecture theaters, and audio-visual laboratories;
2. Non-teaching facilities of large scale, including libraries, athletic facilities, administration offices, lounges and common-rooms, and dining facilities.

By comparison the following activities tend to be located in a peripheral position, whether horizontally or vertically:

1. Teaching facilities with a low density and low frequency of change-over, and those which are peculiar to specialized courses of study or dependent on frequent delivery of bulk equipment and supplies;
2. Such non-teaching facilities as research laboratories and offices for faculty members.

Within this hierarchical ordering, the resulting circulation systems are usually, in terms both of size and location, a reflection of desire lines. Like the transportation arteries of metropolis, they become more dense, more prominent, and more space-consuming at the core. But unlike our automobile-dominated streets they are the gathering spaces of hundreds of people and the places of most intense human exchange.

Advantages of an urban site, imagined and real

Such comparisons of campus and city introduce two distinctly different subjects. The more obvious one is the problem of the campus's location as related to its extracurricular role in the community beyond its primary educational function; and, conversely, the city's educational role in the functioning of the campus. The second association, somewhat less applicable to our discussion but equally intriguing, is the notion held by a good many urban designers that the campus is, in miniature, a prototype problem in city form.

Taking the problem of location first, the present character of our urban centers renders philosophical discussion on the merits of campus and city association rather pointless. For a good many Americans who now attend universities, the everyday experience of an urban environment is limited to one not too different from that presented by the Santa Cruz campus. They are the children of the suburbs. Their only experience of city is that of a decaying old hulk some 20 miles from where they live—semi-abandoned, occupied by the poor and derelict. The city as enjoyed by Europeans is only an occasional phenomenon in America, much more the exception than the rule.

To advocate then, as many do,
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, Chicago Circle. Architects: Skidmore, Owings & Merrill (Chicago); partner in charge, Walter Netsch. Number of students: 20,000. Area of site: 106 acres. Distance from City Center: 1 mile.

Chicago Circle is a mixture. For although great emphasis is placed upon organization by function and a two-level circulation system, it does not begin to explore the organizational freedom implied in these concepts.

The plan has the look of traditional campuses built and added to on a piecemeal basis—with the final, most contemporary addition of covered pedestrian walks. And yet we know that it is not a traditional plan because the words tell us that each building houses a very specific function, and that they are all interdependent. The form owes much more to a concern with monumentality than to an expression of the carefully segregated functions of the buildings. Each building is a separate composition, joined to another only by a neck-link.

The circulation system itself is most indicative of the problem. The corridors are not designed (as in Berlin and Scarborough) as places in which people gather, nor as cores around which centers of activity are clustered. They are simply long, disassociated, connecting links. In Jane Jacobs' terms, their separation from campus activities has already proved their undoing. They have become dangerous places for people to walk alone in the evening.

In the classroom clusters, there is a lack of consistency in what is corridor, as separated from what is classroom. Moreover, if one is unfortunate enough to be in the last box, it is necessary to leg it back through all the rooms and boxes to the main corridor even when all that separates one from it is a wall and a few feet.

1. A classroom cluster, with circulation paths in red leading from elevated walkway. 2. An alternative arrangement and path system, for joining cluster and walkway. 3. The overall campus core and circulation system.
that the New Campus be located in the city so that the students can participate in its rich and varied life is to seek something that does not really exist. Advocating the converse—that the campus be located in the city as a force for the enrichment of urban life—has much more truth to it.

There are, of course, certain risks involved. Even a cultural bequest of 10,000, when placed in a dilapidated, antagonistic environment, may only be able to function with a high rampart around it. In such a situation the campus, in the reality of its everyday functioning, may never affect anything even a foot beyond its gates. The students may end up being as shut in as the area around the campus is shut out. Chicago Circle has a few stories to tell along these lines.

The placement of a large campus in an area programmed for renewal may be the most important justification for an urban location—not only in bringing higher education facilities to areas usually devoid of them, but as a psychological stimulant to encouraging development. Professor Jack Meltzer of the University of Chicago has pointed out that the commitment of institutions to areas undergoing renewal may be the single most important catalyst for getting things underway. (In the way of a further aside, a great deal of thought is being given these days to the planning of campuses as central elements in new towns for populations of 100,000. Combined with commercial and social centers, they may yet give low-density suburbia a proper focus.)

**Prototype of form or place of transition?**

As to our second item, campus as city, there are the visible similarities to ideal urban forms which our visionary city builders have spotted. Campuses show some likeness to cities in that they are complex collections of activities, highly concentrated and serving a large population. They may suggest such useful organizational notions as pedestrian and vehicular separation, expression of circulation, and design for growth and flexibility (as, indeed, Scarborough suggests—see following pages). But beyond a certain point the similarities stop. Campuses are unifunctional institutions. They are for the most part homogeneous in terms of age group and class. They are unconcerned with direct production and with providing family housing, the function which takes up some 70 per cent of urban space.

Campuses have a more significant role to play as social institutions than they do as precursors of avant-garde urban form, a point which we architects tend much too readily to forget. To the youth of the suburbs, as well as to the youth of the second wave of rural migrants, the New Campus may well provide a first introduction to dense environments. For many it will also be a place of transition and acclimatization to complexity and vast numbers. If it can also provide a stimulating life, these students may go on to demand similar structures, and similar stimulation, in their cities.
THE NEW CAMPUS
An evaluation of Scarborough College, and of the new architecture which it represents

The final unique quality of the New Campus is that it represents (along with some isolated examples of new-town centers in Europe) the first realizations of a new architecture. Its implications as an expression of new values and life-styles override its simple consideration as a single-function building type.

In this light, Scarborough represents a process of organization and a commitment to a pattern of living which is applicable to almost any large-scale problem. Scarborough is a single structure for the daily activities of some 6,000 people. Through its system of organization it enables these thousands to be in close communication—to benefit not only from association by conscious choice, but from association through unpremeditated encounter. This type of association has hitherto been the attribute and sole domain of large-scale, dense urban environments.

Scarborough houses a multitude of functions at a scale that many object to as "inhuman," an intangible that becomes increasingly elusive with examination. It is building become landscape, and as such opens a new avenue for the consideration of what we mean by "human" scale. By its sheer size it is able to tame aspects of a hostile environment while at the same time exploiting topography in a way small-scale building never can.

As an adjunct to its commitment to high density, Scarborough has given its circulation system iconographic significance—making it symbolic of the whole campus and the dominant element of its form. Through the very act of concentration, Scarborough comes to grips with the automobile by simply being able to exclude it from the interaction process of 6,000 people.

Scarborough allows for flexibility and change by organizing a multitude of curricula and disciplines into a system based on providing a variety of different spaces for teaching to be shared by all, rather than simple allocated volumes. It provides for community and privacy by adjusting its circulation system to be either more or less inaccessible, and through the simple device of a vertical hierarchy of organization. Scarborough's total form as well as detailed form is an expression of internal activities and organization, and as such the building is both organic and open.

As design methodology culminating in building form, Scarborough is, without doubt, immeasurably successful: the programmatic analysis, the expression of various activities and circulation patterns, the response to climate and site—all are carried off, not only with philosophical conviction, but with final realization in form that needs no labored functionalist rationale to prop it up.

A vocabulary of form

In any architectural design process, the translation of programmatic factors into building form is, unfortunately, not automatic. There is a point, as the Bauhaus learned, beyond which even the best of methodologies cannot take you—where the program and process must finally see its realization, and the architect as artist takes over.

But an artist in creating form does not work in a vacuum. His work is both an assembly and distillation of his accumulated vocabulary of form language. Andrews's vocabulary, at the scale of the total building, owes much to the unistructuralists—from Le Corbusier's plan for Algiers to Theo Crosby's Fulham Study. And in this sense Scarborough transcends the simple problem of campus to indicate directly the form of our future multi-functional, high density urban cores.

But at the scale of the components, Scarborough's form language is a peculiar mixture. Andrews's vocabulary is sometimes modern, sometimes Gothic, sometimes Baroque.

The most significant contribution of the architects of the twenties was their realization of the inescapable influence of social values on building form—that the values of a culture are endowed in its architecture. Their desire to find a machine esthetic, as technologically feeble as it...
may have been, was inescapably linked to their search for an esthetic which would symbolize the new and open society of which they felt themselves a part.

In Scarborough, this modern esthetic is not always present. The direct, open, even neutral quality aspired to by the modern movement is sometimes overshadowed by an enchantment with rich and convoluted form. There is a conflict between the image of the New Campus as a social force and the occasional appearance of a stage set. It is a conflict somewhat reminiscent of the one experienced by the 19th century mercantile class in the building of the Neo-Gothic, ivory-towered universities for their children.

This is not by any means true of the whole building, however. For the most part, Andrews is able to maintain a delicate balance. The Science Wing (opposite) is both direct and convincing, outside and in. But by the time one gets through the administration block and into the Humanities Wing (below and right), transition has taken place: there is what appears to be an almost Gothic concern with the mystique of light and towering forms—an architecture that draws all too much attention to itself.

Somewhere along the line the obvious facility with manipulating form has gotten out of hand; what started as an expression of program and function has, at a certain point, become expressionism for its own sake.

The excesses, however, are easily forgiven. They concern detail, and the significance of Scarborough is its totality. The visitor succumbs most willingly to the sheer power of the whole, to Andrews's ingenious blending of light, form, and space into a single experience. And Scarborough is, after all, a beginning rather than a culmination.

FACTS AND FIGURES

PHOTOGRAPHS: John Reeves.
NEW HAVEN SCHOOLHOUSES

The bold, horizontal facades of Saarinen & Associates' Hill High School in New Haven are broken on two sides by burly stairways (top photo), and on the other two by pedestrian bridges to the street. The overhang of its heavy-browed concrete canopy will be even more pronounced when the temporary slanting window enclosures beneath it are replaced by vertical windows set back behind the perimeter corridor. Designed as four schools in one, the top floor of the structure is divided into quadrants or "houses" around a library core (bottom photo). Each house will serve 400 students from all four grades and will have its own administrator. Spaces in each quadrant will include classrooms (some with relocatable partitions), a general purpose room, and a house master's office. The ground floor will contain specialized classrooms, offices, a cafeteria and an auditorium. The school, scheduled to open this fall, will be served by a gymnasium in a separate building.
CONNECTICUT BUNKER

A few hundred feet to the northeast of his famous Glass House in New Canaan, Philip Johnson has just completed an underground museum to house his growing art collection (mostly Pop and Op). The museum consists, in plan, of four circular areas, tangential to each other, and ranging in diameter from about 12 ft. to about 40 ft. The three biggest areas (the smallest circle is an entry) function like three, huge revolving doors—except that the revolving panels can be moved individually, rather than in unison with the others. Each panel is an off-white, carpet-covered display wall, and the floor is paved in a pattern that reflects the guiding tracks of the revolving walls overhead. When the walls are not revolving, guests can sit in the central space on upholstered stools. The gallery is buried in the hillside and artificially lit. Its entrance resembles that of an air-raid shelter, hence the nickname "Kunst-Bunker." The site plan (far right) shows the four-leaf clover shape of the Kunst-Bunker traced in outline on the hilltop by means of a curb on top of the museum's walls. The area inside the curb is filled with sand.

BALTIMORE ROW

Peaked and flat roofs, bayed and flush windows, and staggered facades give pleasant variation to Hugh Newell Jacobsen's Bolton Square row-houses (left) in Baltimore. The omega-shaped group will include 35 houses with four distinct layouts, all represented in the seven completed units. Each has a real fireplace and a walled garden off the living room (right). The nucleus of the group will be an enclosed park accessible from the private gardens. The renewal site was awarded on the basis of a design competition.
NIGERIAN CAMPUS

The jet-age partnership of McMillan-Giffels-Mileto — offices in Rome, New York, and Lagos — has completed the main portion of its biggest African commission, the University of Lagos in Nigeria. Its low, broad-brimmed concrete buildings look out over Lagos Lagoon from a site that only a few years ago was untouched jungle. The core facilities of the university, grouped around a two-level central concourse (background of upper photo), include a library and an administration building (left and right in upper photo), auditoriums, and a dining hall (lower photo). Broad poured-in-place overhangs and precast infilling grilles fend off the tropical sun.

CALIFORNIA COURTHOUSE

Resting, seemingly without support, on its recessed black granite base, Starks, Jozens & Nacht's Sacramento County Courthouse cuts a crisp and unpretentious silhouette against the California sky. The simplicity of its white quartz and gray glass facade contrasts sharply with Sasaki, Walker Associates’ officious plaza below, whose embellishments include a fountain by Aristides Demetrios. The six-story, $7 million reinforced concrete courthouse contains courtrooms, cells, offices, a law library and cafeteria. A closed circuit television system monitors movements of prisoners.
BARCELONA BOW

Stepped-out windows provide a delicate, bowed front for the modern Olivetti office building (photo right) which Belgiojoso, Peressutti & Rogers have gracefully introduced into Barcelona's traditional Spanish cityscape. The structure, housing nine floors of offices, a mezzanine repair department and a ground floor showroom, is crowned with faceted red, white and blue mechanical appendages (top photo). In the festively lighted showroom (lower photo), typewriters and calculating machines are displayed in plastic spheres which can be arranged at will by fitting their metal stands into floor sockets.

BY MELVIN CHARNEY

In an issue of *Architectural Forum* concerned with the core of the city "where the action is," John Johansen's Clark University Library building sets off its architectural gymnastics in a void. A library is part of a university, and as such it is a component facility of a group of buildings that together make a campus. In the larger urban context a campus itself can be seen to exude its own sense of place where the educational action is. What is the environmental identity of the Clark campus? How does this library relate? How do the circulation patterns tie into this cultural juncture? It is obvious that these questions were not primary criteria in the design of the library.

This building is interested in itself; it is involved in a library as a physical surrounding rather than in its surroundings.

Library architecture requires the skillful handling of large and small spaces plugged into a warehouse of books. Contemporary libraries are for the most part neatly packaged commodities that oversimplify and generalize wrapping and structure. The most up-to-date package calls for fragmented and accidental effects. Johansen's building can be included in this current trend; however, the fragmentation here is soundly based on post-packaging notions that commit the architecture to a true form dialogue. The parts of this building are uncoupled, separated, moved out into the campus and re-engaged so that the building becomes a free assembly and a "place" where something special happens, as well as an object. It is this environmental identity that marks the architectural gymnastics that are being displayed here.

Mr. Charney is assistant professor of architecture in charge of graduate research at the School of Architecture of the University of Montreal. A practicing architect, he has also contributed to various professional magazines in Canada and the U.S.
BY SI BYL MOHOLY-NAGY

John Johansen's commentary on the library he designed for Clark University can be taken two ways: as a link-up in intellectual space between architect and socio-science-philosopher, fulfilling finally the unrequited craving of the lowly building profession to be accepted on equal terms by the scientist; or it can be judged as a desperate attempt to cover the bleakness of architectural confusion with a blanket of borrowed verbiage.

The bid for scientific recognition is as old as the Industrial Revolution and as doomed as the wax wings of Icarus to make like Gemini 6. The current self-rationalization of architects, of which Johansen's writing is an extreme specimen, must produce alarm in those who see the contribution of serviceable space and memorable form as an undiminished need in a pluralistic man-made environment.

Johansen claims for his design the discovery of a new architecture "not of the passing mechanical age, but of the electronic age" while "all but a few today, regardless of their talent, are out of touch." To bolster this modest claim to a prophetic breakthrough, he calls on Einstein, Heisenberg, James Jeans, Sartre, "chance music" and John Cage, unpredictable choreography and Cinema Verite, the Xerox Corporation, the lowly barnacle, and that incomparable master of the pseudo-scientific intangible, Marshall McLuhan.

The result of this staggering erudition is a maze of combinations, admirably crammed together in five short columns. Oracular McLuhanisms—"a global embrace abolishing time and space"—collide head on with the architect's commitment "to the prevailing conditions and experiences of his time"; the liquidation of all of Johansen's earlier work as "Renaissance" with Hannah Ahrendt at her Renaissance best: "Our thinking is anthropocentric, conditioned primarily with the human condition." Existentialist salvation from the unpredictable life through alignment with the most rigidly predetermined, dehumanized solutions of "electronic devices" is combined with an adolescent romanticism, addicted to the unpredictable happenings, without preconceptions of order. Here is a library "simply doing a job . . . performing" the age-old, most traditional task of providing for book learning while its designer's ideal is "anti-perfection, anti-masterwork, anti-academic."

The decisive point of rejection is Johansen's liquidation of architecture as a thing that endures, and the inestimable damage this neo-Kahnesque double-talk will do to students and clients. Good design concepts evolve slowly and through many variations.

The raised bookstack core has been used from Mexico City to New Haven, and the site plan of the Clark University building is a further development of Johansen's Taylor House plan on Long Island Sound (left). To pretend that these responses to a given program in solid reinforced concrete, representing a long range capital investment, "are accidental, haphazard, unresolved, to be added to or subtracted from" with an explicit "tolerance for error" is at best a fashionable affectation, at worst a claim to architectural irresponsibility. No client, whether single or corporate, would risk his desired architectural self-image or his cash on a profession that rejects any "attempt outright to be architecture" and replaces a "work of fine art, a thing of good taste or of beauty" with "the rear, not the tidy front, of a Xerox copier."

It is "fully predictable" that many will find the exterior of John Johansen's library unappealing, and the circulation patterns of the interior confusing. Few will argue the point that architecture, in order to survive as man's anchor on his native earth, must sail under its own and under no one else's conceptual flags.

Mrs. Moholy-Nagy is professor of architecture at Pratt Institute and is a member of Architectural Forum's Board of Contributors.
These are the facts, as we understand them: The building shown here is located on a lot bounded on three sides by the Via Campania, the Via Romagna, and the Via Sardegna, respectively. The property is across the street from the massive remains of the walls of Ancient Rome. The site is a little over 6,000 sq. ft. The building consists of 11 floors—three below grade, eight above grade—plus a roof garden.

The bottom two floors contain an underground garage reached by a spiral ramp. The street floor and the floor beneath it contain stores, as well as an entrance lobby with spiral stairs and elevators.

The next three floors contain about 3,500 sq. ft. (net) of office space each. And the remaining four floors contain apartments—some on one level, others duplex.

In short: 2 garage floors, 2 shopping floors, 3 office floors, 4 apartment floors; total equals 11 floors, plus a roof garden.

The concrete structure is more or less regular in spacing, but the columns become "clusters" (with a pipe chase in the center) above the garage floors; that is to say, structure and services have been combined.

What makes the building a trifle unusual, to put it mildly, is the manner in which its diverse functions have been advertised on its exterior: the garage, being underground, remains unexpressed except for the entrance to the spiral ramp; the stores, half visible, have slightly recessed glass fronts protected by railings. The offices are very fully visible: they are sheathed in a curtain wall of brown-tinted glass and gray-enameled steel. And the apartments are doubly visible: they are of precast stone elements, beige in color, with flower boxes (also precast), steel rails, some marble, and some wood-framed windows.

The architects—appropriately enough, three brothers—were Vincenzo, Fausto and Lucio Pasarelli. Their building has caused quite a stir, as well it might. So, on the next four pages, we print the views of two Italian observers of, and participants in, the local architectural scene.

MARRIAGE ITALIAN STYLE

Opposite: View through an opening in the ancient Roman wall, showing the corner at the Via Campania and the Via Romagna. Right (top to bottom): superstructure of the building visible above the ramparts; a 16th-century map of Rome; and a bird's-eye view of the building on its site.
APARTMENTS of similar layout on floors 4 and 5 (above) are topped by duplexes.

OFFICES on three floors with similar plans (above) have perimeter balustrades.

SHOPS on the street floor (plan above) surround an open central corridor (right).
It is the belief of many scholars today that art can be included in the general area of communication... The reason we are paying so much attention to the building on the corner of Via Romagna and Via Campania in Rome is not because of the esthetic excellence of the result, but rather because of the method of development of the architectural composition and because of the importance of its statement. The building presents an opportunity to discuss the importance of communication in architecture.

Everyone knows that an architectural concept is modern to the extent that it rejects the Renaissance static perspective and classicist methods of expression in favor of an expression of true content. This has been accepted since Galileo's time; but how many professionals, even of the so-called avant-garde, consider this in their everyday work? Faced with a multifunctional building project, the purists package the various functions into a single parallelepiped; the neoplasticiens execute a decomposition of the internal organism into planes; and the expressionist seeks a plastic unity of the mass, even if loud and contorted. One way or the other, they all concern themselves with "packaging" the functions, limiting themselves to stating some peculiarity through a surface play of solids and voids. This process is reversed in the Via Campania building: the box is broken apart; the absurd anguish of a cohesive exterior tied to traditional prejudices is defeated. The row of shops forms the ground floor enclosure; the office block is treated as an undefined, flexible space in a glass envelope; and the residential portion is a series of hovering villas, subtracted from elementary volumetric forms.

 Shall we define this as the architecture of reportage? No one can be offended: serious information is preferable to classicist facades—whether of colonnades or of curtain walls; better some unresolved linguistic joint, rather than false academic "harmony," either modern or ancient.

The problem of architectural communication is twofold. On the one hand, the "sender" must be analyzed—that is the intensity of communication of the interior spaces; on the other, the "receiver"—that is the sensibility to the urban context. Here are two critical instruments to verify the separation from classicism that, while masking all information, produces "objects" so autonomous of the environmental pattern that they can be moved from one place to another without changing it.

There is no delay in the message of this building as "sender," so much so that its public statement is its significance. To understand its value as "receiver," just imagine it transferred elsewhere, even a few meters: it no longer makes sense because it was conceived for that site, it belongs to that part of the city. The glass prism of the office block, twisted to cover the corner, respects the street network, while the upper part, without form, is grafted into the panorama of roofs and sky. The photographic documentary... aims to show the four-dimensionality of this work. The building displays itself in wonderful images dependent on the point of viewing, acquiring aspects that are cubistic, expressionistic, informal, refined, brutal, or Pop.

Pop? Certainly. The assumption of external reality in a work of art is here quite evident. Its glass surfaces mirror the structures across the street; especially the ancient Aurelian walls with their various red-brown hues. To an architecture which communicates its internal contents is added an architecture which reflects the urban texture; the art of exploding the centrifugal spaces of the villas checks the sonorous recital of the median part, whose poetic existence depends entirely on its surroundings, the absorbed and reflected light of the walls—on the days and on the seasons.

Since we chatter frequently about theories of environment, it must be said in conclusion that this building, although breaking sharply with its environment, springs from and re-integrates itself into it in an organic way; it discourses with the ancient part without fraud, false pretensions or subtle quotations, in short rejecting the three-dimensional concepts of the Renaissance, and burning every classicist residue left in the artistic conscience from three centuries ago until today.

Bruno Zevi is the editor of L'architettura which recently devoted almost 30 pages to the publication of this building. He is also a professor of history of art at the Architecture Faculty of the University of Rome. This article was translated from Mr. Zevi's statement in L'architettura. Photographs by Ludovico Canali.
The building consists of three parts. Two are above ground and one is underground, invisible except for a small portion of it which appears in the vestibule, at the level of the shops. Each one of these three parts is different, and the novelty consists in having them stacked up without any apparent coherence except for the continuity of the vertical structure. But what, indeed, does each one represent in itself?

The first part is quite ordinary and in line with the requirements of real estate speculation: maximum use of the site, modest concessions to the social status of the tenants—by the use of small flower pots called “interior gardens,” of circular brick parapet walls, of some metal screen suggestive of Musharbeyeh grillwork, and by the use of lots of glass.

The second part is just as ordinary: an open floor, but one with limited freedom; and a commercial curtain wall defining a volume which follows exactly the perimeter of the streets.

The third part is very ordinary too: The apartments' layout and all interior spaces are arranged according to the most common, current, middle-income standards of urban apartment design. There is much ado about balconies, pots, stairs, chimneys, but it is immediately obvious that with those details alone, one cannot go too far because such frills cannot make up for the absence of a strong architectural framework.

Therefore, one should ask oneself: can a building be interesting and new in ideas just by virtue of the accretion of three ordinary parts? It seems to me that it cannot.

Others may object, saying that the true novelty of such an accretion consists in having actually achieved a building by the addition of three different parts! Or that, somehow, the building represents a new idea because its three parts are so commonplace in themselves—that, in fact, the stacking up of three very different and ordinary parts could signify the destruction of all those precepts of coherence, identity and integrity which modern architecture has claimed; and that, because this accretion defies these precepts, this building is really an event, a sort of “protest.”

Now I believe that such little stunts performed with words end up by being very sad and depressing—even though at first sight they may seem amusing. They remind me of listening to poor jokes, when, after a nervous titter, one is left in a state of silent despair from which it is difficult to emerge.

There cannot be anything significantly new in architecture which is not wholly and coherently new, which does not involve in this same process of innovation all the aspects and all the parts of architecture. Something “significantly new” must encompass the reality of architecture as a subject in re-

Mr. De Carlo, whose remarkable dormitories in Urbino were published in the first issue of the new Architectural Forum, in April 1965, practices architecture in Milan. He is also a professor of urban design at the Institute of Architecture at the University of Venice, a recent visiting critic at the School of Architecture at Yale, and a member of “Team Ten.”
lation to the functions performed; and the reality of architecture as an object in relation to the urban environment of which it is a part—from its organizational structure and its formal plan to the grammar and syntax of its expressive language.

Contemporary architecture stands in urgent need of entering upon the path of radical innovations. Its patrimony of ideas, its objectives, the means it employs, are obsolete and, when tested by facts, when confronted by the complexity and vigor of life, prove to be superficial and backward.

Its present state of stress, about which so much has been said, is similar to that of a deaf man who has vague suspicions about what is happening around him, but no clear understanding of the situation. In fact, architecture today is isolated within a thick band of orthodoxies and heterodoxies, mental contortions and abstract patterns, intransigent virtues and complacent vices, all still bearing the imprint of 19th-century culture.

Architecture has not yet entered into the modern world because (apart from some rare individual insights) it has not yet fully understood it. Architecture has not yet made that qualitative leap that the sciences first, and then the plastic arts, made at the start of the 20th century.

Can that qualitative leap be brought about in architectural criticism by means of verbal tricks, or in architectural practice by formalistic tricks?

It does not seem to me that it can. The formulation of the theory of relativity was not achieved by falsifying arithmetic. And the formulation of a new theory of architecture will not be achieved by adding apples and chickens and eggs.

For those who cannot make the pilgrimage to Rome, the effect might be achieved by moving Paul Rudolph's Yale Arts and Architecture Building to the top of Harrison & Abramovitz's C.I.T. Building in Manhattan.
LAST HITCH
IN THE
INNER BELT

Massachusetts highwaymen find that cutting through Cambridge saves no time.

The last few links in the Federal Interstate Highway program of 1956 are now being forced into place against a background of spreading doubt about their value. These few remaining segments—almost all of them passing through cities—are the last to be done because they have generated the most prolonged opposition. But, what with 99 per cent Federal aid depending on a mid-1971 completion date, it is now or never for the highway planners.

The path of Boston's Inner Belt Highway through Cambridge represents the national dilemma in a nutshell. The Inner Belt is a proposed loop (map above) that would pick up and redistribute traffic from six radial expressways converging on the center of the Boston area, all of them either completed or under construction. After years of struggle, most of the Belt route has been laid out and approved by Federal authorities—all except a segment of less than two miles through Cambridge.

This Cambridge link involves none of the unique cityscape problems presented by the Embarcadero Freeway in San Francisco, for instance, or the elevated highway that threatens the Vieux Carre in New Orleans. The controversy in Cambridge is mainly over unimpressive residential and industrial neighborhoods that visitors to Harvard and MIT rarely see.

As a last resort the City Council briefly considered sacrificing MIT's "backyard" research facilities, but for the most part the choice has been between houses and factories. Torn between the votes of one and the tax receipts from the other, the Council has so far refused to give up either.

A hard fight, but clean

The most noteworthy feature of the Cambridge controversy has been the enlightenment of the opposing groups, most of whom have argued on respectable social and esthetic grounds though reaching vastly different conclusions. Almost every group concerned has questioned the wisdom of the whole metropolitan highway plan, but concluded that it will inevitably be carried out and that the Cambridge link is indispensable to it. Only the City Council remains intransigent.

The bitterness of the Cambridge city fathers toward the Inner Belt was sharpened back in 1958, when the first stretch of urban expressway in the Boston area, the Central Artery, was completed. This elevated monster tearing through the heart of Downtown Boston is one of the grimmest demonstrations to date of the destructive potential of highways. Frightened by the specter of the Central Artery, several Massachusetts cities, including all of those along the Inner Belt, banded together in 1961 to push a law through the legislature giving them a virtual veto over state highway plans within their borders.

Doing the least damage

This veto has undoubtedly been a salutary force. It has delayed the construction of the Inner Belt for years, but the public city-state debate it generated has forced highway planners to recognize the needs of these cities—or at least the objectives of their leaders. There will be few eyesore conditions where the Inner Belt passes through Boston, Brookline, or Somerville. (In Boston, it will even disappear into a 3,000-foot tunnel where it goes by the Museum of Fine Arts and under the Back Bay Fens park.) But the Cambridge City Council was so inflexible that it eventually lost the sympathy of the legislature, which repealed the veto rule in 1965 to save the Inner Belt from a stalemate.

Ironically, state Public Works Commissioner Francis W. Sargent, who is now trying to force the road through against the city's opposition, is a man with
a background in conservation and outdoor recreation planning and an outspoken advocate of more sensitive urban highway design. ("Is there not something very incongruous," he asked at last year's White House Conference on Natural Beauty, "in highway planning that calls for more beauty in the country and then creates... urban monsters in our cities?") Sargent and his predecessor John Warner both have been in the difficult position of trying to do an enlightened job of planning in an atmosphere of public distrust.

Their efforts to make the Inner Belt less damaging have concentrated mainly on the design of the road (the most conspicuous failing of the Central Artery) rather than on its route. They were willing to accept the most direct alignment through Cambridge — along Brookline and Elm Streets—on the basis of engineering and cost studies. Warner introduced the then revolutionary requirement that the engineering firms assigned to the several sections of the road collaborate with architectural firms on their designs, which were then reviewed by a "blue ribbon committee" of prominent architects, planners, and businessmen (with strictly advisory power). For the Cambridge segment, engineers Goodkind & O'Dea were teamed with one of the most distinguished Cambridge firms, The Architects Collaborative.

The choice of alignments

But TAC participated in the program only on the condition that it be allowed to study alignments as well as sections. TAC knew, as everyone else knew, that there is no way for a road of the scale and standards of the Inner Belt—an eight-lane divided roadway, 132 ft. wide, with continuous service roads, and a minimum radius for curves of 530 ft.—to pass through Cambridge without serious disruptive effects. The fact that the end points in Boston and Somerville were fixed while Cambridge refused to negotiate further limited the possible alignments.
The differences among the several possible alignments lay mainly in whether the property they took was largely residential (the Brookline-Elm Street route, A on map), industrial (Portland-Albany Street route, B), or institutional (the Railroad route, C, never seriously considered by the state). Cambridge can hardly afford to give up potential sites for either housing or industry. A five-square-mile enclave at the very center of the Boston metropolitan area, it is constantly losing taxable land to its two universities and it has recently been chosen as the site of a large NASA laboratory.

TAC concluded, as the state already had, that the houses were more expendable than the industrial property. Many of the houses along the Brookline-Elm route are ripe for renewal, and others are likely to be replaced by higher-density development as land becomes scarcer. Besides, TAC saw an opportunity to replace both the lost housing and the continuity of the neighborhoods by constructing air-rights housing over a depressed roadway (facing page), for which there is special Federal support.

Voices of protest heard

Last fall a new force entered the picture. A group of young Cambridge planners, architects, and sociologists—fearing that the road would follow the Brookline-Elm route if the city failed to support any counter-proposal—established the Cambridge Committee on the Inner Belt. Their purpose was to find an alternative route that would save the low-to-middle income houses along the Brookline-Elm route.

They argued that while these dwellings might look expendable to middle-class eyes, they housed a group for whom replacement housing would be especially hard to find. The supply of low-cost housing is constantly being reduced not only by the expansion of campuses and industry, but by upgrading of houses for occupancy by college-oriented newcomers. Air-rights housing, the Committee contended, would meet neither the social nor eco-
The Committee's alternative proposal was an alignment through the industrial belt, similar to the Portland-Albany route considered by the state and TAC, but carefully threaded through it to avoid all of the biggest plants. Cambridge City Planner Alan McClennen, who knows the terrain as well as anybody, calls their painstaking scheme "ingenious," but says it fails to meet state requirements for grades, conditions at access ramps, width of right-of-way, etc. There was some disagreement between the committee's figures on job displacement and the state's, hinging mainly on whether taking some of a company's property would cause it to leave Cambridge.

Another line of attack

Caught in a cross-fire of statistics between the Committee on the Inner Belt and the state Public Works Department, the City Council hired an independent consulting firm, Barton-Aschman Associates of Chicago, to study alternative routes. Barton-Aschman's engineers found they could not recommend the Portland-Albany Route just as the Committee had drawn it up, but straightened it and widened it to conform with Federal standards, coming up with displacement and cost figures closer to those of the Public Works staff. Pressed by the Council to find a route less damaging to either housing or industry, Barton-Aschman proposed an alignment along the freight railroad line that runs along the north edge of MIT—an existing barrier that had once seemed a natural route, before the proposed road grew to its present scale and capacity and MIT's research facilities grew so dense along the tracks.

The revival of this route had an electrifying effect on MIT, which protested loudly that the buildings along it—many of them no more pretentious than the houses along Brookline-Elm—housed irreplaceable research programs vital to MIT, Cambridge, and national defense.

The City Council, hardly willing to undermine national defense—or the institution that is attracting new research-oriented industry to town—ended the study where it had begun, opposing all routes.

That left the choice to Commissioner Sargent ("the toughest" he had ever had to make, he called it). He proposed a depressed highway on the Brookline-Elm alignment and passed it on to Federal Bureau of Roads Administrator Rex M. Whitton for approval. But Federal approval—often a mere formality—may take some time; the Cambridge City Council has carried its fight to Whitton's office and exacted a promise of a further detailed study.

While the City Council fights on, a group of Cambridge institutions—with Harvard and MIT in the forefront—have announced formation of the Cambridge Corporation, "a nonprofit, privately sponsored development corporation aimed at assisting in the development of low-rental housing and helping with a wide range of physical improvements and other activities for the public benefit of the City of Cambridge."

The new corporation's first obvious mission, assuming the Inner Belt does go through, is helping to put the pieces back together by sponsoring housing and housing over and around the new road. Considering the opportunity it presents, the corporation probably has its eye on President Johnson's Demonstration Cities Program. So out of the dust of Inner Belt construction a better Cambridge may emerge, unless the City Council is able to stop the road at the city line.

Making the best of it

In the long run, the Cambridge struggle may have helped to decelerate the runaway national highway-building program, but it is almost surely too late to keep this road out of this city. The best contribution that Cambridge can make today is to demonstrate that thoughtful planning and community action can make even an expressway a positive factor in shaping the city.

—John Morris Dixon.
A few modern American architects have enjoyed the benefits of enlightened and abiding patrons, but rarely have such creative relationships brought major improvements to a single community. During the 1880's, North Easton, Massachusetts, experienced such a fruitful conjunction of patron and architect.

Under the sponsorship of the Ames Family, H. H. Richardson built five buildings in the small village 30 miles south of Boston: a public library, a town meeting hall, a railroad station, a gate lodge for F. L. Ames, and a cottage for his gardener.

All still stand in a setting that remains virtually unchanged.

Nineteenth-century North Easton was a factory town, its principal industry a shovel works founded in 1803 by Oliver Ames. As the firm of Oliver Ames & Sons grew and prospered, so did its community. By the end of the Civil War, the austere factory in the center of town had tripled its size, realizing considerable profits in the production of implements for the nation's westward expansion and its war effort.

Under the leadership of Oliver Ames's sons, Oakes and Oliver II, the family firm assumed increasing responsibility for North Easton's betterment by donating funds for civic enterprises such as schools, churches, a cemetery, and street and land improvements. But before the arrival of Richardson, North Easton could hardly boast of any examples of significant architecture.

Mr. Homolka holds a master's degree from Harvard's department of fine arts, and is now working for his doctorate there in the field of modern architecture. His research into Richardson's work in North Easton was undertaken at the suggestion of Professor Henry-Russell Hitchcock.

PHOTOGRAPHS: David Plowden.
Richardson began his productive association with the Ameses in the autumn of 1877, when F. L. Ames and his sister Helen Angier Ames asked the young architect to design a public library as a memorial to their father Oliver Ames II, who had died that spring. In the Ames Free Library (right and below), Richardson formed the basic concept that ultimately characterized all his libraries: a longitudinal stack wing lighted by a horizontal band of windows; an abutting cubic mass containing the entry, services, and reading room; and an engaged stair tower mediating their union.

Richardson employed a cavernous entrance arch for the first time in the library. Reaching down to pedestrian level, it enfolded several steps leading to a vestibule and the principal interior spaces. The two-story stack wing sits slightly above the gabled reading room block, which actually contains four floors—entry, main floor, a second-floor apartment intended for the librarian, and an attic. The arch and the blind expanses of wall mask the displaced floor levels; only above do the arched lights align with the stack wing band, preserving the formal integrity of the elevation.

For the walls of his library (below), Richardson chose a subdued pink granite from the nearby quarries at Milford, with darker Longmeadow stone trim delimiting the masonry plane and its apertures. Craftsmanship of the highest order was required in setting the random ashlar walls, a mixture of remarkable variety minutely joined with red mortar.

The library ornament (below left) was probably designed by Richardson's talented assistant, Stanford White. The highly in-
ventive carvings are always kept firmly within the masonry plane through their apt scale, innate geometry, and vigorous execution. The crisp chevron moldings around the entrance arch are thoroughly appropriate to the neighboring rock-faced voussoirs and the heavily textured wall. White's fantastic gargoyle, equally at home in the fabric, creates a deft transition between arch and belt course.

It is likely that White also designed the handsome butternut woodwork of the stack interior (below). The stack space is defined by a deep wooden barrel vault which springs from turned posts on the mezzanine. Balustrades of closely spaced spindles surround the central well and provide a warm filter for natural light.

Originally, the main floor was set with work tables—all the library furniture was designed by the architect—but the growing collection required additional bookcases. In 1931, Shepley, Rutan & Coolidge added yet another wing to the rear.

No detail was overlooked in the creation of the Ames Free Library. But its quality of design and workmanship was costly; F. L. Ames and his sister freely supplemented the original bequest to insure excellence.

Their fastidious concern is evinced in the fact that, although the superstructure was complete by 1879, the library did not open its doors until 1883, when an assembled collection of over 10,000 books was on the shelves, jacketed and fully cataloged. In addition, a large growth and maintenance trust assured long and active service. Today its superb condition confirms the uncommon foresight of the Ames family.
In contrast, Richardson's second Ames building, begun in 1879, was largely a failure—a malformed design for a misformed program. If the Ames Free Library marks an important step toward the kind of disciplined planning, formal clarity, and sensitivity to site and materials that makes Richardson the grandfather of American modernism, the Oakes Ames Memorial Hall (right and below) marks a momentary regression in his work.

Two small meeting halls are located on the first floor, and an auditorium occupies the second. While these now-vacant interiors are all but devoid of spatial interest and trim, the facade presents a lavish display of disparate forms, materials, and ornamental details. A high, saddlebacked hip roof dominates the pile, yet the corner tower and an opposing lower gable undermine its symmetry. Additional fragmentation is created by particularized fenestration and diverse materials.

While the Milford granite walls of the first story and tower recall the earlier library, the upper walls are of red brick, and a dormer on the north is shingled. The masonry surfaces are also interrupted by perfunctory ornamental passages and several ill-considered details—a decided lapse in originality and quality when compared with the library. Even the powerful arcade which lumbers across the facade (below) fails to integrate these disparities.

Nevertheless, this loss of order and restraint led Richardson's contemporaries to admire the town hall. Its irregular silhouette, abrupt changes in materials, and bristling collection of ornament accorded with the prevailing taste for the picturesque.
THE remainder of the story belongs solely to Richardson's principal patron, F. L. Ames. In March of 1880, Richardson began work on the gate lodge (right and below) at the entrance to F. L. Ames's rambling estate. The naturalism of this low boulder pile shows the influence of Frederick Law Olmsted then working in the town.

Large glacial boulders, obtained from nearby meadows and creek beds, form the wall fabric. They are cast in large, stable masses: a cubic residence block with engaged stair tower, and an adjoining orangery wing lighted from the south by a horizontal strip of windows below the eave. A great archway (below), spanning the road to the main house, connects the two parts of the building. It is composed of varicolored voussoirs with sharply cut moldings delineating the opening. The cut stones seem to hold back the irregular boulders, which crowd in on the arch and threaten to tumble freely from the wall.

This tension between the architect's geometric order and the random nature of his materials characterizes the entire conception. It is the key to the unique power that is contained in the gate lodge's imagery.

The entire heavy mass is covered by an expansive orange tile roof. For the first time Richardson introduced eyelid dormers so that the roof's continuity of surface was assured. The sheltering membrane seems to respond to the mass beneath it, rising with the main arch and reaching out to enclose the subordinate masses. If the Ames Free Library was an exercise in geometric simplicity and restraint, this approach was tempered by a new organicism in the gate lodge of the Ames estate.
Two more North Easton buildings were commissioned by F. L. Ames. In 1884, a small cottage was erected on his estate for the gardener (not shown), and the same year saw the completion of a railroad station (right and below) commissioned in 1881. As a director of the Old Colony Railroad, F. L. Ames took advantage of his friendly association with Richardson, giving the railroad a new building and North Easton a proud local terminal, now no longer in use.

The station is basically a simple longitudinal block, articulated on either side by great arches which spring from ground level. It is capped by a broad hip roof, with deeply set eaves supported on sturdy beamed brackets. The deep reveals of the arches opened the wall with large infillings of glass while providing a sheltered setting for outdoor benches.

Although it is not Richardson's finest railroad station, the building was a fine addition to the town. Even in its present dilapidated state, the delightful carvings of animals (below) are a tacit reminder of the craftsmanship in Richardson's work.
REVIEWED BY PERCIVAL GOODMAN

"Works of art are of an infinite loveliness and with nothing to be so little reached as with criticism. Only love can grasp and hold and fairly judge them."

Rainer Maria Rilke

The man is dead and the architect has become his monuments. From his earliest days he planned this apotheosis and he documented each part of the edifice. He was his own Boswell, his own St. Paul.

I look at my shelf with its row of Le Corbusier between covers and suddenly I am panting; interposed between the row and me is an exhibition hall in Paris, on the wall a blowup which becomes his competition plan for the League of Nations. I am thunderstruck, the clocks stop, I am in the time machine (I have been reading H. G. Wells). In another dimension, stripped bare, blinders off, how shall I return to the Ecole? What can I draw that can suit my patron L. Pontremoli in the grubby picturesque atelier on the Quai Voltaire?

Later I babble of L'esprit de Verité—pounding the cafe table quoting and misquoting the prophet's word. "There comes a time when the technical means are adequate for an architectural esthetic to be born," I shout. "At this moment the events of a period are conclusive enough to provoke a pure movement of the spirit." My fellow students laugh, "Movement is the word, merde is the result."

Such being Paris in the late twenties, I bought myself a pair of glasses with outsize horn rims.

I have been asked to review this book. It is hard to do when there is no desire to be critical, when I look at his photo (intently drawing with a stub of a pencil) and think of the monument he spent his life becoming.

Hans Girsberger quotes Le Corbusier's preface to the Collected Works 1910-1960—"It's slightly extravagant to have worked so much"—and Girsberger adds that 40 years ago neither he nor Le Corbusier thought the Oeuvre Complète would eventually encompass seven volumes.

The works 1957-1965 cannot be taken in isolation; Le Corbusier's architecture is all of a piece, a totality, a monolith of endless plastic variations hacked out over 40 years of endless "patient search."

This monolithic quality is surprising, for Le Corbusier's polemics are all too often in conflict with his buildings. In his writings he insists on purity in the use of technical means, on a functionalism which is utilitarianism. In his buildings, it is the love of deep space and volumes which dominates.

"Architecture," he says, thinking of his three-dimensional reality and the Mediterranean ambiance of his dreams, "is the joyous play of forms in space," and then is forced to add flatly, "but [it] must be practical as a typewriter."

Here is almost a caricature, this curious pairing of opposites, a Swiss Calvinist preacher, and the sun-drunk pagan dancer, Geneva and Athens.

Consider a few examples of this dichotomy:

He continuously speaks of the sunshade (brisé soleil) as a technical device. But at La Tourette (marvelous photos of it in this book), dining halls, library, etc., face due west and his vertical louvers (ondulatoires) are perpendicular to the setting sun. I can testify to the heat traps thus created.

The ondulatoires are, of course, a handsome and simple way to provide thin vertical accents in a horizontal opening, and the brisé soleil, wherever used, is a way of providing depth and modulation to walls. Naturally they do, on occasion, serve utility, even to the extent that, as in the Millowners' Building at Ahmedabad, much of each floor is taken up by sunshades.

How is it that a man born with a good solid bourgeois name like...
is well symbolized in his picture of a large hand putting a shoe box into a slot in the structural scaffolding—the very epitome of the human in a filing cabinet.

These of us sensitive to human values want to pull out those paper shoe boxes with their ridiculous fittings, rip out those Dr. Caligari "corridor streets" at Marseilles and put the majestic structure to a more suitable use . . . a museum perhaps with the space between the majestic pilots used for exhibitions.

We must not look so closely at the ways in which wall depths were created at Romechamp or at the construction of the great umbrella at the High Court in Chandigarh. Nor should we do more than complain with pleasure at the bulls’ horns silhouette of Chandigarh’s Assembly Building. Nor question the acoustical problems generated by the form and volume of the main hall.

What a joke Le Corbusier the artist may have played on Le Corbusier the thinker! The thinker trumpeted himself as the prophet of a new time, the artist did what he did. Who was the prophet?

To find an answer let me pose a question first:

In 1851 Joseph Paxton built the Crystal Palace—it was 1,848 feet long, about 400 feet wide, used only iron and glass. The design was modular and so standardized that it took only six weeks to make the drawings and nine months to build from completely fabricated parts. This, then, was a prototype building which, if its lesson had been taken, would have saved architects of the Victorian period the aberrations of their time. If the lesson had been taken, Mies’s 1921 drawing of the glass skyscraper would have been not a beginning but an ending. If this is true, then what building of today is the prototype for the future?

My own guess is based on the confidence that the increased free time, due to cybernation and the (we hope) elimination of war games, will lead to a new period in which we make the things we love by hand, machines doing the rest. Then the handmade effect of the Courvent de la Tourette, rather than the handsome mechanism of Buckminster Fuller or the Bauhaus elegance of Mies van der Rohe, is the future.

The intellectual was enamoured of Megalopolis, the artist of the Mediterranean. The artist will be proved right. The city squares praised by Camillo Sitte and not Brasilia will be the future.

It is curious that the theories of a man should turn out so variant with the fact of the work. From his earliest writings he celebrates the steamship, the airplane, the automobile, the polish given by the machine, the slenderness of steel in tension as rod or skin. He preaches the desirability of mass production, repetition, smooth finish and absolute rationalism. In actuality, his material turns out to be the roughest use of concrete, oversized, understressed; his forms varied and whimsical; his plans generally devoid of all except minimum utility.

It then is no surprise that his most grandiose work should be built with the primitive means available in the Punjab. How touching to see photos of natives with loads on their heads laboring to create this masterpiece of the Esprit Nouveau. How strange to read, “. . . there occurred an entirely new event in India: the bicycle. . . .”

It is no surprise to find his inspiration dominant in the New Brutalism and the poets of the accidental and not at all influencing the technology.

Le Corbusier fancied himself a thinker in the style of a crisp, hard-eyed, efficient American, handler of men, the captain on the bridge, the chairman of the board behind his huge polished desk, empty except for six telephones—but philosophical withal. The true spirit was of another kind: an artist with his sketch book, his jeweler’s loupe focused on the joyous play of forms under the sun.

The true Le Corbusier was summed up by E. E. Cummings: “While you and I have lips which are for kissing and to sing with/who cares whether some one-eyed son of a bitch/invents an instrument to measure spring with?” Let this be his epitaph.
Should resort hotels be judged by normal architectural standards? One architect, a specialist in the design of such pleasure palaces, once defended his concoctions against architectural criticism by arguing that hotels are really stage sets, fantasies, man-made mirages—not buildings. He had a point, and it forced a reevaluation of his hotels: they were, it turned out, simply bad stage sets.

The Mauna Kea Beach Hotel in Hawaii, on the other hand, shows that a stage set can also be splendid architecture, and vice versa. Designed by the San Francisco office of Skidmore, Owings & Merrill for Laurance Rockefeller, it includes most of the components that one associates with tropical hotels: exotic materials and furnishings, lush vegetation, fountains, swaying palms and, of course, blue water lapping a sugar-white beach. All are legitimate in the tropics, but squeeze them into one resort and they usually spell corn.

They don't at Mauna Kea, for two reasons. First, SOM has generally handled these escapist trappings tastefully and rationally, using them where they seem appropriate, avoiding them where they seem forced. Second, the architects have deliberately played down the building, letting it serve as a backdrop and frame for a series of interior tropical gardens and courts.

The picture at right would seem to deny the second claim. It shows the hotel as a strongly assertive structure poised on a bluff above a crescent-shaped cove. From this or any distant view, it has the look of a beached ocean liner. But the closer one gets, the less dominant the building becomes. At the entrance (below), placed atop the bluff on the landward side, the building masses begin to recede. From here, one can see through the building to the ocean and cove beyond. Inside (following pages), the building opens to the sky and breaks apart around interior gardens. The effect is like that of a ruin overgrown by a jungle, an effect that should become stronger as the building mellows and the plants mature.

SOM had to create the setting as well as the building. The 500-acre site on the “big island” of Hawaii is a lava flow with sparse, desert-type growth. To make it more “Hawaiian,” the 23 acres covered by the hotel complex were planted with palms and tropical flora brought in from more familiar parts of the Islands. Another 125 acres were developed into an 18-hole golf course, with the addition of a few imported palms and plants. The rest, 352 acres, was left undisturbed. The cove and its magnificent white beach are real.
The three guest floors of the hotel stagger inward above a series of central courts which are open to the sky (opposite). The guest floors form a superstructure over the hotel's base, a two-level complex of terraces carved out of the side of the bluff overlooking the cove. The base's lower level, the promenade, contains most of the public spaces—dining room, 220-seat auditorium, cocktail lounge, buffet service and shops—as well as the kitchen, laundry and other services. Except for the dining room, which is a freestanding pavilion, all of these spaces are set into the hillside. The roof structures over them support the reception level above. The building has two unequal wings which are offset from each other (aerial photo). The two wings meet at the reception area, which contains still another interior courtyard open to the sky.

In section (above), the three guest floors pyramid over interior courtyards (photo, opposite), allowing spacious, unshaded balconies on the outside. In aerial photo (left), the hotel's offset guest wings are connected by the punctured reception area.
The reception level (opposite) is given over almost entirely to gardens. Its only functional spaces are the lobby, a floating platform punctured in the middle to reveal a garden below, and the administration area. Entrance is by a footbridge connecting the circular drive with the lobby platform.

SOM went to great pains to produce a tailored, controlled quality in the concrete, which they felt would be appropriate to the building's use. A tight construction schedule, plus the rather unpleasant color of the local aggregate, ruled out the possibility of good color control. So they decided it would have to be painted, and searched for a forming method that would yield a surface unmistakably concrete, despite paint. They finally selected traditional board forms with a standard V-joint profile, producing V-shaped strips in the finished concrete. "We are not accidental architects," says Charles C. Bassett, SOM partner in charge of the design.

At the reception and promenade levels (perspective plan, right) foliage creeps in and around nearly all interior spaces; only the cruciform columns define the building masses. Left: Bar and buffet terrace. Above: Colonnade off shops; retaining walls of dark local lava and ceiling units of oiled luan contrast with the sand-colored concrete of the structure.
There is, everywhere in the hotel, a sense of openness—of penetration by the breezes, the sunlight, the views of reef and sea—even, occasionally, the rain. The outward-facing walls of the guest rooms (left) are louvered wood panels that open almost their full width to large balconies. Because of the building's terraced profile, the balconies themselves are always open to the sun. The constant experience of nature extends even to the corridors, which are outside, above the tamed jungles of the central courtyards. Public areas, such as the glazed, satellite dining pavilion (below), have unobstructed views of the cove and sea. It is the tropical island, in the end, that becomes the most significant element of the hotel's design.

—James Bailey
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beautify America with flowers...
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ALL THE CRITICS AGREED
"FUNNY" IS THE WORD FOR
CACTUS FLOWER
THE NEW COMEDY HIT
ROYAL THEATRE 601 W. 86TH N.Y.C.
have a love affair going on with the automobile, and that's the reality we must deal with."
San Francisco's Mayor John F. Shelley, still smarting from his city's rebuff of freeways, disagreed.
"I could have saved the bureau all that money and told them the same thing," Shelley said.

COMPETITIONS

GATEWAY MALL, ST. LOUIS

St. Louis will hold a national architectural competition for a block-wide, 18-block-long mall to run through the heart of the city on axis with Eero Saarinen's Gateway Arch. Details will be mailed in June to architects and landscape architects throughout the country by the city and the competition's cosponsor, Downtown St. Louis Inc.

Professional advisor is Charles E. King, and Downtown St. Louis said "substantial cash prizes" would be awarded the winners. The only other particulars announced were a series of "design goals," saying, among other things, that the submissions should include proposals for "use and design characteristics of new buildings and flanking developments" along the mall's path.

INS & OUTS

ON THE AVENUE

In Washington, what Congress likes is likely to happen, and one of the things Congress appears to like at the moment is the idea of a rejuvenated Pennsylvania Avenue.

An indication of Congressional attitude came when Senator Alan Bible (Dem., Nev.) asked, during a Senate committee hearing on the Avenue, how much the Federal government in wash be expected to be. He didn't even blink when he got the answer: $70 million.

During hearings in both the House and Senate, the proposals seemed to get strong support, except for what appeared to be minor questions of procedure. The betting in Washington is that work on the Avenue will be underway before year's end.

The one item on which most criticism has been centered since the plan was unveiled by the Temporary Pennsylvania Avenue Commission last fall was a proposal for a huge National Square at the White House end of the Avenue—categorized by one critic as a "Mussolini-type paved parade ground."

But the commission members, headed by Nathaniel A. Owings, went back to their drawing boards and emerged in early April with a scaled-down square (above) that seemed to answer most of the objections.

When the proposal for the new square—two-thirds the size of that originally suggested—was presented to the National Capital Planning Commission, it was approved by a vote of 9-to-1, with only Mrs. Elizabeth Rowe, the chairman, opposed.

In a ten-minute, table-thumping lecture, Paul Thiry, a Seattle architect and member of the commission, said it was time the Capitol was "something really important; we can't be all grass and trees."

In response to a complaint that the creation of the National Square would involve the destruction of several major buildings, he said he didn't see how "anyone in his right mind can go down and look at that junk and worry about losing anything." Said Thiry, "Anything done there is going to be an improvement."

THE INSCRUTABLE WEST

It looked like the biggest shoo-in vote of all time. The two Ft. Worth newspapers were for it and so were the Central Labor Council, the city council, and most civic leaders. But the April 12 tally put 38,397 in one column and 9,248 in the other. The possibility of urban renewal in Ft. Worth was rejected so emphatically it seemed questionable whether or when the issue could possibly be raised again.

Only property owners were eligible to vote in the April referendum. Old maps which pinpointed blighted blocks were trotted out and used to convince homeowners that vast areas would be razed. Martin Anderson, author of The Federal Bulldozer, was imported into Ft. Worth to confirm these and other fears.

A photograph from a Boston newspaper was stuffed in mailboxes showing a man being dragged from his house by four policemen (he had actually bought property already condemned). The right-wing Freedom Center of Libertarian Thought in town ground out leaflets warning "the home you save may be your own." And H. L. Hunt, the Texas millionaire who considers Federally financed high school lunches subversive, beamed similar sentiments from his radio stations.

As summed up by a Ft. Worth newspaperman, "community leaders navigated the 180° shift toward Federal aid on tiptoe so quetetile that nobody heard them—or would turn with them."

SPEAKING TOUR

HUD Secretary Weaver took to the stump last month for Demonstration Cities as the Senate prepared for hearings on the Johnson urban program. He was prompted by pessimistic prognoses from Congress, and, reportedly, by a prod from the White House. Demonstration Cities, it is said, is one domestic program Mr. Johnson wants delivered.

In Seattle, Dr. Weaver said that the demonstration concept was "the most realistic and responsive pluralistic solution we have yet..."
conceived to meet the complex range of problems in our changing urban communities.”

In Philadelphia, at the American Society of Planning Officials Conference, he emphasized the requirement for citizen participation in the demonstrations. This means, he said pointedly, “not only greater involvement of citizens in the process of community planning and development, but it also means the involvement of planners in the very down-to-earth problems besetting the residents of slum areas.”

In Cleveland, Dr. Weaver extolled the program in terms that seemed to extend its social emphasis to the entire range of HUD renewal and housing efforts. “It is no longer enough to beef up a city’s tax base or to build architectural monuments,” he said. “Unless we can honestly say that our programs have expanded opportunities for housing, jobs, and recreation, then I say we are not doing our job.”

When Congress recessed for Easter, HUD officials thought it might take two years for the Demonstration Cities Program to pass. By late April, they were optimistic about getting it through in one.

**UPS & DOWNS**

**SPLIT IN SYDNEY**

The following report is by the Australian architect-critic Robin Boyd, a member of the Forum’s Board of Contributors.—Ed.

The architectural profession in Sydney—indeed all Australia—was split squarely down the center during the month that followed Jorn Utzon’s resignation from the Opera House.

About half believed that this was a classic case of art being back-stabbed by philistinism. They argued that Utzon never resigned; he was maneuvered into an impossible corner by Davis Hughes, the politician, so that he finally gasped out, “I am forced to stop!” This cry of despair was misinterpreted as resignation by the conservative (Liberal Party) government members who had been out to get Utzon from the start.

These arguments, with embroidery and variations, were proclaimed in meetings, marches, demonstrations and pamphlets within 24 hours as much emotion as charged the separate but simultaneous public argument on Viet Nam. Messages from Giedion,

Rudolph, Kuhn were read in support of Utzon to passionate conferences. Accusations were hurled at the Royal Australian Institute of Architects for not supporting a member more strongly when he was down. Above all, a principle was invoked: an architect should be allowed to finish his own work, no matter how or what. The movement focused on a drive to blacklist the panel of architects which the government proposed to replace Utzon.

It was a terrible test for the leaders of the local chapter of the RAIA. They voted on the proposal to blacklist the new panel, and the proposal was lost. Then the rebels outside counted their strength and demanded a general meeting to call a vote of no confidence in the chapter. The two sides met head on in a crowded meeting on March 28.

Speaking publicly for the first time since his maybe-resignation, Jorn Utzon said that he was forced to leave the job after 10 months of obstruction from his governmental clients. He said they appeared to have been misled by their advisors. He said he had asked them to write down any specific complaints about his administration of the project, but they had rejected this request. He said he was prepared to return, but only as sole architect.

The chapter president, Ron A. Gilling, said he and the organization had always wanted only to see the job completed with Mr. Utzon in charge. They believed “the whole dreadful affair is a great tragedy for our city and Australia.” But there was absolutely nothing, Gilling said, that the Institute could do to prevent other architects from legally taking over the commission. The chapter beat back the vote of no confidence.

By late April, Utzon was packing to return to Denmark. His supporters were calling for formal affidavits from individual architects all over Australia. Their object was to prove the government’s acceptance of the resignation illegal because the Opera House Act of 1960 called for the construction “in accordance with the design prepared by Jorn Utzon.” They were not very hopeful of legal victory; it was more a matter of principle. Behind the scenes the government started recruiting its panel to bring the Opera House to completion.

**MONUMENT**

On three sides (the fourth rises straight up from the street), the massive Manhattan warehouse shown at left has the look of a pyramid that changed its mind. To be built on air rights above the West Side tracks of the Pennsylvania Railroad, it was designed by New York Architects Davis, Brody & Associates.

The shape, the architects report, (1) achieves maximum use of the zoning envelope without the multiplicity of costly roofs found in the usual ziggurat, (2) will be sympathetic to a projected housing development nearby, and (3) permits trucks to pull directly into any one of 41 loading berths.

The warehouse space proper is in the core of the building, with 14 floors of offices on the perimeter. The building will contain 1.4 million square feet of space in all, and will occupy the equivalent of a square block.

**SO MUCH FOR BEAUTY**

“No one is more attached to [the Fresno County Courthouse] than we are, nor can we deny the classic beauty of its unmistakable complement to the park which surrounds it. However . . .”

With this preamble, the Fresno County Board of Supervisors topped the brick and granite courthouse (below), built in 1867, as being inconsistent with “the great Fresno of the 21st Century.”
ORACLES

MOSES IN TECHNICOLOR

When Robert Moses has something to say, he doesn't issue a White Paper. He issues a fat, colorful brochure, complete with foldouts, and sends it free to a few thousand civic leaders.

Two such Moses productions were released last month, one entitled Public Works and Beauty and the other The Saga of Flushing Meadow. The prose was as colorful as the photos, and as rich as the quasi-public agencies (Robert Moses, chairman) which paid the printing bills. Samples:

On architecture: "The intake structures over [Niagara] Falls [below] will ultimately be recognized as the finest modern monolithic architecture in this country."

On democracy in design: "We must attain common objectives, not by super-duper earmarks, but by cooperation...beauty by example, and not by rhetoric."

OPEN SPACE

FILLING THE VOID

How to enrich space between buildings which is stingy, inert, and unchanged? How to give a sense of place where there is none?

Paul Smith, in the current exhibition "The Object in the Open Air," at the American Museum of Contemporary Crafts, New York City, has collected some solutions by American designers:

Charles Forberg's sculptural tent of stretch nylon couples with Stanley Vanderbeek's three-dimensional films and slides to become a Cinema Tent in the Park (top right). "The Ring Thing," by Mark DiSuvero, is a variation on the traditional rope and tree swing (center). A monster-block by Forrest Myers, lacquered in red, yellow and blue, hangs in a tenement well (bottom).

The show will travel to San Francisco in the fall.

LACK OF DIALOGUE

Ever since the Albert S. Bard Awards jury, in 1962, decided there were no New York City buildings worth honoring, its annual announcement of winners (or non-winners) has been awaited with more than routine interest. This year the jury gave three awards, but only one went to a building. The others were for open spaces.

Eligible this year for the program, sponsored by the City Club of New York and the J. M. Kaplan Fund, were privately commissioned projects built within the city since Jan. 1, 1964. The winners were the Sculpture Garden, Museum of Modern Art, designed by Philip Johnson with Zion & Breen, landscape architects; the Lincoln Center Plaza North, a collaborative effort of Harrison & Abramovitz, Philip Johnson, Eero Saarinen, Skidmore, Owings & Merrill, Pietro Belluschi, and Catalan & Westermann, with Landscape Consultant Dan Wiley; and the long building, Johnson's Henry L. Moses Institute, part of Montefiore Hospital in The Bronx.

The jury acknowledged that there were other good recent buildings in New York, but dismissed them for "either not recognizing the opportunity for an architectural dialogue with the adjoining city, or by outright rejection of it." The jurors included Ulrich Franzen, John Johansen, Albert Mayer, and Paul Rudolph.

On the fair: "Patience, I keep repeating, my fine critics, patience! Keep your shirts and shirts off! You were not conspicuous when we leveled the ash mountains and rats big enough to wear saddles, with white whiskers a foot long, gazed wistfully at the bulldozers and junkies who disturbed their ancient solitarily reign."

On democracy in design: "We went in for temples of religion...We rivaled the Seven Wonders of the Ancient World. We evolved Renaissance, Louis XIV, Tudor, Jacobian, Jeffersonian, Victorian, General Grant, Beaux Arts?, Stanford White, Nervi, Lloyd Wright [as in Frank], Baroque, Rococo, Igloo, Anchors, Animated Typewriters, Frozen Music, and the ecstasies of Viennese pastry cooks. We found room for Michelangelo, Norman Bel Geddes and Walt Disney. If that is not democracy in design, what is?"

On beautification: "The greatest opportunity for demonstration of the principles of national beautification is on the highway system."

On Moses: "We must attain common objectives, not by super-duper earmarks, but by cooperation...beauty by example, and not by rhetoric."
no mistake, of course, but is carefully plotted. Probably there is no customer of technology who has also caught on to the uses of design more adeptly than the airlines, even to the valuation of the human gesture in environment. The finest plastic touch of all on any airliner is the stewardess. But design aloft is getting more difficult as the airlines become mass, rather than class, movers. Unlike on railroads, competition meals. My reliable informant tells me that recruiting and keeping the girls is getting more difficult every month. Not because there is any shortage of pretty, well-adjusted All-American girls, but they don’t want to be waitresses. While senior pilots on the airlines request the long flights, because they accrue so much air time flying from continent to continent that they can spend most of their days at home (flights over water pay a little better, too), the senior stewardesses, in contrast, usually request the shuttle flights. The point is the girls on the shuttles do not have to serve meals, but just collect fares. My friend is a little aghast at another stewardess-design problem in the new 747: “How about those private compartments? Who’s going to chaperone the stewardesses when they have to serve a male passenger in there, the flight engineer?”

So there’s turbulence ahead. Let us hope the designers somehow solve the situation without replacing the girls. If they do, the sometimes erratic behavior of the dispatchers will be hard to justify. I remember being stalled at a Chicago airport one afternoon when a United flight was postponed. None of the ticket-holders could guess why; the weather was beautiful, the announcements over the public address system blandly uninformative. As a result the passengers began to mutter angrily in the corners of the waiting room. This went on for several hours until everyone was enraged, although we were all that reasonable-middle-aged, shorthaired, would be executive-looking, dark-suited type carrying attaché cases which a little girl I know in Atlanta refers to as Daddies. A mutinous mood prevailed by the time the airplane was finally wheeled over, and we were allowed to walk out to the movable stairway and enter. Standing in the middle of the doorway into the airplane—not to one side, as is more usual, but squarely in the center—was obviously the A-1 trouble-shooter stewardess of United Airlines. She had a hand on a hip, and her eyes were narrowed. She was a little like Dietrich in the old “Blue Angel,” but cleaner cut. As each of the Daddies huffed up the airway and encountered her, she looked him deep in the eyes and said, “Hello, honey.” There was no mutiny.
Texture is the thing about Tectum...

**but not the only thing.** Tectum® is a decorative ceiling. Yes. But it is also a structural material. A strong form plank. A rigid roof deck. An insulator with a “k” value of 0.55. An acoustical treatment, with a sound-reduction coefficient up to .90. It’s lightweight. Incombustible. Immediately available in widths and lengths to fit your design. Its potential is virtually untapped. So why don’t you start tapping? Call your Gold Bond® Representative. Or write to National Gypsum Company, Dept. T-16, Buffalo, N.Y. 14225.

Texture is the thing about Tectum...
but not the only thing.
They'll Last Longer, Look Better Because of Mills Patent Interlock Design

Exclusive, patented Mills interlock joining on all panel and door edges holds permanently tight, eliminates warping. Added rigidity and sound insulation are achieved with bonded-in honeycomb core. Completely smooth surfaces and overall clean design minimize maintenance. Use of rust-proof, corrosion-proof materials and finishes throughout reduces ownership cost and care to a minimum. Specify Mills compartments for ceiling hung or floor braced installations — either design adapts to existing building needs, or fits plans for new construction. Write on letterhead for detailed data. U.S. Pat. No. 2776029

MILLS COMPANY
965 Wayside Road·Cleveland 10, Ohio
This bank building was built with standard rolled steel sections. With them, the architect created a design having great surface interest that reflects an honest statement of the structural skeleton. Exposed steel structural give the building a classic simplicity, inside and out. There are no costly embellishments; paint provides the finishing touch. More and more architects are finding that exposed steel is economical and handsome. Maintenance costs are low when steel is properly prepared and painted. There is a virtually unlimited number of available steel shapes and sizes that can be used to achieve design beauty. For more information about constructional steels, write for a copy of "USS Exposed Steel Architectural Design Details," or contact a USS Construction Marketing Representative at our nearest sales office.
You can’t fit 40 chairs in less space...

... unless you melt them! No other stacking chairs store away in less space—forty stack just four feet high. The name is obvious—the GF 40/4 chair.

David Rowland’s design for mass seating offers a whole new concept in seating with exclusive features no other chair can offer. Its comfort is unequalled. They gang solidly, and rigid rows of four can be stacked without unganging. Their attractive styling fits anywhere: in dining and meeting rooms, hotels, motels, cafeterias, classrooms, libraries, waiting rooms, lounges and lobbies.

For complete information, contact your nearby GF dealer or branch showroom or write for descriptive literature to Dept. AF-25, The General Fireproofing Company, Youngstown, Ohio 44501.

GF 40/4 CHAIR
You can easily tell which tile was tested for craze resistance, surface appearance, facial dimensions, edge uniformity, diagonal uniformity, thermal shock, water absorption, wedging, thickness, thickness uniformity, bond to mortar, and passed.

But not by looks alone. Because there's more to ceramic tile than meets the eye, the Tile Council developed a quality testing and certification program. Each carton of certified quality ceramic tile bears this triangular certification mark that tells you it meets all requirements as tested by an independent laboratory. (It's the easy way to tell which tile passed.) To be sure of getting the qualities you look for in ceramic tile, specify Certified Tile. For more information about it, write: Tile Council of America, Inc., 800 Second Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10017.

MEMBER COMPANIES: American Olean Tile Co., Inc. • Atlantic Tile Manufacturing Co. • Cambridge Tile Manufacturing Co. • Carlyle Tile Company • Continental Ceramic Corporation • Florida Tile Industries, Inc. • General Tile Company • Gulf States Ceramic Tile Co. • Highland Tile Company • Hoffman Tile Mfg. Co., Inc. • Huntington Tile, Inc. • International Pipe and Ceramics Corporation • Jackson Tile Manufacturing Co. • Jordan Tile Manufacturing Co. • Keystone Ridgeway Tile Co. • Lone Star Ceramics Co. • Ludowici-Caladon Company • Mid-State Tile Company • Monarch Tile Manufacturing, Inc. • Mosiac Tile Company • Oxford Tile Company • Potomac Tile Manufacturing Co. • Sparta Ceramic Company • Summitville Tiles, Inc. • Texeramics Inc. • United States Ceramic Tile Co. • Wenczel Tile Company • Western States Ceramic Corp. • Winburn Tile Manufacturing Co.
Sometimes progress amounts to a hill of beans

How do you fight starvation in the hungry parts of the world? Start with a couple of farmers . . . that's how . . . or a couple of dozen in one of the 46 countries where the Peace Corps works. Start with a small plot of land and help build it up from scratch. Start with one scrawny chicken and fatten it up with a proper diet. Start with a handful of people and teach them all you know about farming.

Start with a letter of application to the Peace Corps. The progress you make in the eyes of the world may seem to amount to nothing more than a hill of beans . . . but what you'll accomplish for people may mean the difference between starvation and being well fed.

For more information, write: THE PEACE CORPS, Washington, D.C. 20525.

Published as a public service in cooperation with the Advertising Council.
IM'S IMAGE

Forum: There is no question that any man, woman or corporation is entitled to wear whatever clothing he, she or it chooses, be it cotton or sable, block or marble, ears or Dior, prefab or Mies. But whoever heard of an important tux, a substantial citizen, deliberately cutting off his fine clothes at the knees and the elbows and polishing paint on his wife's finery? This is for beatniks.

Am I to understand from IBM's latest attitude toward design (March issue) that they are going to send me a cheap, ugly cover or my nice new 412 dictating machine? Have I really paid too much for its wonderfully compact design? Should my secretary's typewriter be scratched up a bit? He won't like it. Should our next IBM installation be concealed behind cheap paneling? Worse yet, could I really give up my old desk for fear my friends and clients will think I'm rich, like IBM?

What shabby thinking! What utter nonsense!

CRINELL W. LOCKE
Architect

Forum: I enjoyed your article about the IBM Company and the wonderful photographs of our buildings. Much of what you had to say is accurate, and I found all of it interesting. Eliot Noyes, Charles Eames and Paul Rand have done a great deal for the IBM Company. I think the architectural and design reputation that they have helped create for us over the past decade has been an important factor in our success.

On the other hand, it is perfectly true that we think the cost of some of our buildings ran completely out of line, and we have recently brought this area of our business under closer control. One of your comments on this change were not founded on fact, and so I wanted to tell you the reasons behind several of the actions taken.

First, IBM never decided, as you suggest on page 96, that "an image of wealth was a liability" or the simple reason that we had ever intended to build an image of wealth in the first place. We still feel the same way. We want quality at the least possible cost, and for a considerable period of time we believe most of our buildings met this objective. For example, Eero Saarinen did our Rochester plant in 1950 and the cost was competitive with anything we could have achieved anywhere in the United States. Marcel Breuer designed a laboratory for us on the Riviera which is outstanding but also lent itself to economical construction. However, in 1963 and 1964 we began to get costs on several projects that we considered totally unacceptable in relation to the results achieved. This was the basic reason for changing our policy.

Second, when policies are changed in a large corporation, and particularly when they are changed from the top, they sometimes do not appear logical. For example, the building which was stopped for redesign at the time when one of your contributors suggested "IBM wants austerity at any price," was a branch sales office. We felt the original design was not in keeping with the purpose of such an office.

Third, you go on to say that "stories abound of carpeting removed or fine wood painted over." There may well be many such stories around, but for the most part they are not true. It is true, however, that with the loose control we exercised over architects, and the fact that local managers could approve or disapprove projects, a sort of contest developed to see who could build the most unusual thing. This trend was also a contributing factor in our decision to change our approach.

In short, we continue to want good buildings at the best possible price. We believe our new policy will make it easier for us to achieve this goal.

THOMAS J. WATSON JR.
Chairman of the IBM Board of Directors

BASIC PRINCIPLES

Forum: I find Roger Montgomery's review of my book, Rebuilding Cities (March issue), an extremely interesting appraisal, written in a very positive and helpful way, and I am extremely happy that he has grasped the main intention, which is to show examples of planning that have been actually turned into reality.

I feel that he has slightly missed the point of the last chapter in the book in which I tried very briefly to set out a few of the conditions for city building. It seems to me essential to have (continued on page 104)
THE CONTEMPORARY BRICK BEARING WALL

as designed by E. A. Anderson, AIA, and Peter Looms, AIA

Park Mayfair East is the third building in Denver's only high-rise apartment complex. The first two buildings (one seven stories and the other eight stories high) are bearing wall structures. Park Mayfair East was originally designed as a 14-story structure with a steel frame. Bids on this original design showed that the steel structure, because of the additional fireproofing that was needed, would cost more than a masonry bearing wall structure. In addition, the owner's previous experience with bearing wall buildings showed him the significant advantages of masonry bearing walls acting as sound barriers between apartments. Other desirable advantages which masonry bearing wall design offered were speed of construction and low maintenance.

Redesigned as a 17-story, masonry bearing wall structure, Park Mayfair East contains 130 apartment units. The structural system consists of 11-inch-thick (two wythes of brick plus a grouted cavity) reinforced brick masonry walls and a precast concrete floor system. Brick bearing and shear walls, shown in this floor plan in heavy lines, are arranged to provide natural resistance to lateral as well as vertical forces. Apartments are separated by 11-inch brick walls which provide exceptional sound control (sound resistance of 58 decibels).

A partial section of an interior bearing wall shows the building structure. The floor system is of precast, prestressed concrete "T" slabs spanning between bearing walls. Spans range from 30 to 37 feet. The floor system is also an effective sound barrier (52 decibels). The bearing walls are founded on reinforced concrete grade beams supported on concrete piers drilled into bedrock.

This detail shows the precast and prestressed concrete slabs framing into the bearing walls. Only the legs of the slabs bear on the walls, and the typical bearing distance is four inches. The 11-inch bearing walls have a full-grouted collar joint, with the grout consisting of a mix of portland cement, sand, and pea gravel. Vertical and horizontal reinforcing is sized and spaced as various loading conditions dictate. The slabs, averaging eight feet in width, are finished with concrete topping. At intervals, this topping carries through the bearing wall and contains reinforcing to insure diaphragm action. The sand-finish, light-brown brick are laid in a running bond. ASTM Type S mortar, with a strength of 2,500 psi, is used.
The structural design of Park Mayfair East was based upon two codes. Design for wind and compressive loads follows the provisions of the Uniform Building Code. The requirement for Seismic Zone One earthquake design is included in the new Denver building code.

The total height of the building is 164½ feet. Modern brick bearing walls were a practical answer to the design problems of Park Mayfair East—including height, seismic zone requirements, and other strict code provisions.
specify SILANEAL®
treatment to keep bricks
CLEAN

some kind of basic principles to
which both clients and experts can
look as a basis for policy. Maybe
Lewis Mumford could do this
much better than I, but it is at
least worth attempting.

He also appears to have not
fully understood the reasons for
including a short summary at the
end of current planning building
projects. In my view each of these,
together with several other ex­
amples for which I could not ob­
tain the illustrations in time,
should be given whole chapter de­
scriptions.

The new capital of a very large
country such as Brazil is surely
significant, whatever the mistakes
that have been made; the Hay­
market area in Stockholm is a
complex project involving a whole
set of interesting ideas in a city
which is famed for its intelligent
planning. The American projects
happen to include Penn Center
redevelopment of Philadelphia, a
city which I suggest has the finest
planning record of any in the
United States.

Why Mr. Montgomery should
in any way relate the nonsense of
Archigram and clip-on to serious
planning, I have no idea. But
these are minor criticisms of what
I consider to be an extremely
valuable review.

PERCY JOHNSON.MARSHALL
Professor of Urban Design
and Regional Planning
University of Edinburgh

CRITIQUE

Forum:

NO FARMHOUSE IS AN ISLAND
Forum: I have just read with in­
terest and concern “Building a
Better Farmhouse” [March issue].
It is encouraging that projects
concerning low-cost housing, wheth­
er farm, suburban or urban in character, are being given the
attention of research-type analysis.
The final prototype designs are
proof that these units can be re­
freshing, imaginative, and func­
tional.

On the other hand, it is dis­
couraging and disturbing to see
that the design of the unit be­
comes an entity unto itself; that
no further thought is given to this
living unit as a small unit of a
neighborhood and community en­
vironment, whether it is farm, sub­
urban or urban.

By not taking this final and
logical developmental step, an
opportunity is lost to produce a
meaningful unit related to its total
environment. Without this further
study, the project becomes shal­
low, superficial and incomplete.

James Patterson
Architect

IS PORRO REAL?
Forum: In reference to your com­
ments to my Cuban friend and
colleague, Architect Angel Cano,
on his letter [March issue] about
your April 1964 and Jan./Feb.
1966 publication of the so-called
“New Architecture of Castro’s
Cuba” and the School of Plastic
Arts in suburban Havana, respec­
tively, I would like to make a few
comments of my own, as a Cuban,
an architect, and a city and re­
gional planner. . . .

It seems to me that a basic is­
sue is being forgotten in all of
this: whether, in fact, what you
are commenting on is at all real
architecture. . . . When one reads
some of your captions, such as
“sculptural drama,” “other recent
projects by Porro are equa­
1

ly audacious,” “he has shown equal
bravado in his School of Modern
and Folkloric Dance,” etc., one
does wonder whether present
trends in critical appraisal of con­
temporary architecture are leaning
dangerously toward capriciously
dramatic malabarisms, rather than
to careful evaluation of the real
meaning of such kinds of “archi­
tecture.”

When you talk of
expressing
“something of a national charac­
ter,” you are confusing Cuba’s
location in the Western Hemis­
phere and its long-accepted
achievements in true archi­
tecture before Castro with a new
and radically changed pseudo-African
environment. . . . Whether you
legitimately classify Porro as a
“creative architect” exploiting
the shortage of construction ma­
terials” to “justify his noncon­
formity” remains to be measured
against more permanent values
which some truly great architects
have had in the past and in the
present, i.e., the avoidance of sen­
sationalism per se—whether in

Hugh Adams Russell
Cambridge, Mass.
Modern engineers, contractors and architects are discovering the tremendous qualities and advantages offered by the economical use of THOROSEAL PLASTER MIX on today's buildings. The big economy is in eliminating the need for rubbing concrete plus the one-step operation that sprays-on a beautiful, evenly textured surface that waterproofs as it decorates. However, when spraying over a very porous or honeycombed surface, the first coat must be floated before applying the second. Special high-rise truck equipment makes application on high areas easier and more economical.

Write for additional information about the application and uses of THOROSEAL PLASTER MIX.

STANDARD DRY WALL PRODUCTS, INC.
DEPT. AF-2-66 NEW EAGLE, PA.
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(continued from page 104)

structural or space-enclosing resources. A great many such architects would take exception with your trick-for-trick's-sake candid acceptance of Porro's bulboism as good architecture. . . Its sculptural drama" would, of course, receive due attention, but without its fouging the rest of its merits or shortcomings.

Let the Forum, which has been doing such a great job in general, become still more careful as to what it labels great architecture.

EDUARDO MONTOLUIE U.
University of Puerto Rico

Three points in defense of editorial policies which Professor Montolieu has questioned:

1. We often devote space to a work of architecture because of the ideas it presents. This does not imply our complete approval, although many readers seem to think so.

2. We were in no position to make a critical appraisal of Porro's work since none of us had seen it—nor were able to obtain reliable information of its background.

3. What we found interesting in Porro's art school was his uncommon—yes, audacious—combination of forms to produce what appeared to be, in photographs, a powerful—if perhaps disconcerting—spatial experience. From this distance, we could not detect any serious flaw in his structural derivation of these forms or their functional application. If Professor Montolieu has found any, he has not specified them—yet.

BEAUTY’S FRIEND

Forum: Your story of the Sydney Opera House is an intriguing one which poignantly illustrates the architect's endless struggle not only to impose reality on an inspiration but to reconcile God and mammon. One can only hope some formula can be—or has been—evolved which will permit Mr. Utzon to see his work out with dignity.

I write as a layman to hope that professional support of Mr. Utzon's position would not be inappropriate. For it seems to me that such a powerful figure must give a chance to the caprices of fashion; otherwise, the day when mechanical elements will take the place of sculpture, who reject architecture as a fine art, a thing of good taste or of beauty.

Violett-Beeche said: "Architecture cannot be barbarous for the simple reason that it is art. It is barbarous only in ceasing to be art when it degrades itself by being belligerent and violating its own principles; when it slavishly follows the caprices of fashion; when it becomes the playing thing of people without fixed ideas or convictions."

The work published is not that of architects but anti-architects. At best these reflect the worst aspects of a troubled age. But architecture should reflect man's aspirations, not his despair. Architects should create beauty not ugliness.

GEORGE NEMENY
New York City

AUSTRALIAN CHARTRES

Forum: Your story of the Sydney Opera House is an intriguing one which poignantly illustrates the architect's endless struggle not only to impose reality on an inspiration but to reconcile God and mammon. One can only hope some formula can be—or has been—evolved which will permit Mr. Utzon to see his work out with dignity.

I write as a layman to hope that professional support of Mr. Utzon's position would not be inappropriate. For it seems to me that there can have been few architects who produced compelling results without, at the same time, having been autocratic, contentious and profligate—to some degree. If Mr. Utzon has taken this long to reach the breaking point, he cannot be too great a sinner in any of these areas. In view of what he is doing, surely he deserves some support.

Let those architects here who have never been fortunate enough to be able to realize their most cherished dreams—realise that a word to Mr. Utzon. I have never met him. I know no one who knows him. But I have seen a twentieth-century pyramid; an Australian Chartres, another waterside Colossus. The very fact that it is being built must give architects courage and hope, particularly those who have had to spend their years fighting off the octopus of practicality.

CUI K. BENSON
New York City
FACT: Macomber gives school boards more building for their dollars!

V-LOK® framing systems provide maximum architectural freedom with construction economies that add up to better looking, easier-to-build, more efficient schools. School boards, architects and builders who have worked with Macomber people know they can have custom designed buildings that suit their specific tastes and needs at surprisingly low costs. The architect can design with greater freedom; the builder can meet the architect’s designs more readily; and the school board has the building they want, not one they had to settle for. That's why, last year, more schools were erected with Macomber framing systems than ever before. There’s a Macomber representative near you. If you don’t already know him, write direct and we’ll have him meet with you at your convenience.
"We made 280 apartments more homelike with Malta Wood Windows.

Our next one, under construction, has Malts, too."

What helps sell quality homes can also help fill apartments, conduminiums and office buildings. When an apartment or office looks more homelike, tenants stay put. Malta Wood Windows dispel the institutional coldness of high-rise construction. The owner saves on heat loss, air conditioning and general maintenance. You can clean or brighten Malta Windows inside and out — from the inside. Malta Windows are easily removed without tools or trouble. Wood windows are best for high-rise where wind velocity makes ill-fitting metal windows noisy and costly. Add sales appeal and colorful charm with Malta Wood Windows. Find out how Malta gives your commercial building investment a new, fresh appeal for every particular tenant. Send for Catalog M66-2.

All Malta Windows display this 10-Year Warranty tag. Malta's written assurance of 100% performance through the critical years. They're built for a lifetime of satisfaction.
You are invited to join a group of distinguished architects, graphic and industrial designers in Aspen for a five day symposium on:

Sources and Resources of 20th Century Design

The speakers and panelists for this important 16th annual International Design Conference will include Tomás Maldonado, director of the famed design school in Ulm, Germany; Benjamin Thompson, architect and Director of Design Research; Dr. Reyner Banham, architectural critic; Ben Shahn, noted American painter; Henry Dreyfuss, dean of American industrial designers; Charles Eames, architect, designer and film maker; and Gyorgy Kepes, designer.

The International Design Conference in Aspen
June 19 to 24, 1966

The registration fee is $75 ($85 after May 31). For reservations or further information write to the IDCA, P.O. Box 664, Aspen, Colorado.
ATTENTION ARCHITECTS!

Only frameless recessed luminaire with no light leak at fixture ends.

Give an eye to NOVI. Brand new recessed fixture for offices, stores, hospitals. Only $3^{1/4}$ deep! Has "regressed splay"... a fancy way of saying the lens is indented. Extruded aluminum trim comes in white (anodized if you desire). Lots of low brightness control. Bigger luminous area with either frameless plastic, aluminum-framed glass or plastic lens. So you see. Ceilings just won't know what they're missing... until you specify NOVI!

DAY-BRITE LIGHTING • 5411 BULWER • ST. LOUIS, MO. 63147
A DIVISION OF EMERSON ELECTRIC CO.