That's no punishment for Stronghold. This World carpet with a pile of 100% Herculon® olefin fiber was born for hard work. Its six-ply, solution-dyed yarns have been constructed into a nubby texture that stands up to heavy use. Resists wear, dirt, stains, static, noise. Fire too! Stronghold has passed the toughest flammability tests. Noted for its appearance retention and easy maintenance no matter where it serves—office buildings, retail stores, supermarkets, hospitals and nursing homes, schools, hotels and motels, restaurants. Put Stronghold on trial. Then you be the judge.

World Carpets
Dalton, Georgia 30720
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Knoll introduces the Gavina Group with designs by Breuer, Takahama, Magistretti, Tippett, Scarpa, Matta and Castiglioni.
chicago high-rise shapes trend to access floors

A new concept comes of age, as more and more architects cease to think of access flooring solely in terms of special-purpose applications.
Typical of the growing trend toward access floor systems in general construction is this new office building designed for the American Hospital Association by Chicago architect, Richard O. Evans of Schmidt, Garden & Erikson.

The building is planned for nineteen stories, of which twelve are now completed and occupied. So far, a total of 128,300 square feet of free-access Weberfloor has been used in the first twelve stories, and about 90% of this is carpeted. Installed cost of the floor was less than $2.00 per square foot (not including floor covering) but it was felt the cost was easily justified by direct savings in construction and by future savings in the building’s maintenance and use.

Generally the decision to use an access floor system begins paying off early in the structural phase. For example you can pour a floor slab as soon as the formwork and reinforcing has been installed. There’s no waiting for mechanicals, because these are added later on top of the concrete. And if design time is limited, the use of Weberfloor can postpone the need for planning the location of electrical and mechanical services while other work progresses independently.

Notice too that no raceways or headers are required, and since the floor pedestals are readily adjustable for height, power troweling and other floor finishing costs are often eliminated altogether. In many areas, where local codes permit the use of the underfloor cavity as an active air plenum, Weberfloor can affect dramatic savings in the elimination of air distribution ducts.

But perhaps the single reason most often cited for adopting the Weberfloor concept is unlimited freedom to meet changing requirements with maximum ease and economy. New service outlets can be quickly tapped in virtually anywhere in the building without digging costly trenches or making core drillings in the concrete.

**Write for free booklet.** These are only a few of the major advantages and cost savings that have captured the curiosity and interest of architects everywhere. A new booklet has been published on the use of free-access Weberfloor and the impact of this new concept on contemporary architecture. A copy will be sent by return mail on request to Weber Architectural Products Division of Walter Kidde & Company, Inc., 1340 Monroe Avenue, N.W., Grand Rapids, Michigan 49502.
The Kawneer curtain wall that zips up fast & locks out leaks
zipperwall 2

Zipperwall 2 goes up fast and easy. Provides excellent sealing and holding pressure. Structural zipper gaskets of Dupont Neoprene resist weather, sun, heat, cold, industrial smog, chemicals, oils and flame.

Single or double sight lines! Single gasketed mullion maintains neat, narrow sight lines. Double gasketed mullion lets you emphasize or heighten contrast.

Variable depth mullions. Completely reversible, too, without sacrifice of performance, cost or beauty. Multiple glazing thicknesses ... ¼", 3/8", or 5/8" and 1" insulating glass for greater thermal/acoustical qualities. Two insulating barriers. No through-metal thermal leaks. Gaskets in vinyl insulating strips greatly reduce thermal conductivity and interior condensation.

Unique, internal-pressure-relieved drainage system keeps water from accumulating and leaking into building. Special split mullions and telescoping head members accommodate thermal expansion and normal building tolerances.

Available as box frame perimeter system. Uniform sight line, faster erection time. An extremely watertight system. Can be inside or outside glazed.

For information, phone the Kawneer dealer in your area or write Kawneer Product Information, 1105 N. Front St., Niles, Michigan 49120.

Kawneer Company, Inc., a Subsidiary of American Metal Climax, Inc. Niles, Michigan • Richmond, California • Atlanta, Georgia • Bloomsburg, Penn. • Kawneer Company Canada, Ltd., Toronto
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THE KAWNEER CONCEPT:
Attention to detail
**THE TIDY COOLER**

4 Capacities — 8.0, 14.0, 16.0, and 20.0 G.P.H. of 50° water.

**Cabinets** — Standard gray baked-on enamel or stainless steel. Can be secured flush against wall — eliminates unsightly plumbing connections and cleaning problems. Deeply-recessed stainless steel top prevents splashing on walls or floor.

**Dual Controls** — hand and foot pedal is standard.

**Can be Factory-Equipped with** — 60-cup hot water dispenser • side-mounted auxiliary fountains for children • or water-cooled condenser for high temperature work areas.

**SC SERIES** — Same as WT, with outside plumbing connection through back of cabinet for free-standing installation.

Write for Catalog and specifications.
THE HALSEY W. TAYLOR COMPANY
1564 Thomas Road, Warren, Ohio 44481

---

**NEW! WHEEL CHAIR FOUNTAIN**
For hospitals and nursing homes, a Halsey Taylor water fountain designed especially to serve wheel chair patients. Stainless steel fountain mounts 34 inches off floor and extends 19 inches out from wall to provide easy access to two-stream bubbler and lever handle from a sitting position. Remote package cooler can be furnished to provide refrigerated water.

THE HALSEY W. TAYLOR COMPANY
1564 Thomas Road, Warren, Ohio 44481

---

**NEW! FULLY-RECESSED FOUNTAIN and COOLER**
The new RC-SA fountain cooler is flush mounted and fully recessed. Features a one-piece contour-formed receptor and basin. Corners are gracefully rounded for easy cleaning. Receptor and louvered access panel are of type 304 stainless steel. Push button control and exclusive 2-stream projector are matching satin finish.

THE HALSEY W. TAYLOR COMPANY
1564 Thomas Road, Warren, Ohio 44481

---

WRITE FOR NEW CATALOG
Latest information on HALSEY TAYLOR electric drinking fountains and water coolers. Send for your copy today.
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This J-M asbestos built-up roof just had its 30th birthday.

And still looks like it was born yesterday

The Reynolds Park Gymnasium in Winston-Salem, N.C., was topped with a Johns-Manville asbestos smooth surface built-up roof in 1939. Today, it looks brand new. And it’s never even had minor repairs. But long wear is only part of the story.

J-M asbestos built-up roofing needs less bitumen than organic felts. And organic roofs take 300 to 400 lbs. of gravel or slag per square. Asbestos roofs need none. You save time, labor and money—and get a much lighter roof.

Another plus: you can check a smooth-surface roof just by walking on it. Gravel surfaces require annoying, often expensive trouble-spotting procedures.

J-M asbestos built-up roofing. A very smart investment. With many happy returns.

For details, write Johns-Manville, Box 290-BI, New York, New York 10016. Also available in Canada and overseas. Cable: Johnmanvil.
Billion Dollar Rebultal

The provocative article “One Billion Dollar Subsidy for Slums” appeared in our July/August issue, followed in September, and a further commentary by Roger Schater of the Forum’s Board of Contributors in October. The authors of the original article, John M. Bailey Jr. and Henry Schubart Jr., reply.

It is more surprising than government’s response to urban problems is so uncomprehending when, to judge by the reading comprehension shown in some of the comments on the article “A Billion Dollar Subsidy for Slums,” so many public officials appear to be products of backward schools. The points which were the substance of the article seemed unambiguous:

- that the poor cannot afford the costs of decent housing and that the current level of welfare allowances is not adequate to meet those costs.
- that most of the monies spent for housing by welfare recipients produce no public asset but instead are dissipated in an inflation-ridden private housing market, for what is largely substandard housing.
- that these monies could, in fact, produce such an asset if used to support a publicly controlled housing program capitalized from either public or private sources.

- that such a program would offer long-term savings to recipients and the rest of the public by providing protection against continuing inflation in the private housing market.

The substance of the comments on the article was:

- that the proposals made in the article could not succeed in providing standard housing for all welfare recipients because allowances are insufficient to meet housing costs.
- that subsidies were necessary in order to provide low-income people with decent housing.
- that the proposal would not result in a reduction of housing costs or an increase in housing supply.
- that increases in supply and reductions in cost could better be achieved through adequate funding of existing programs than by creating another housing bureaucracy.
- that there is at present a restricted market for municipal bonds and hence it would be difficult to capitalize the program as proposed.
- that the proposals would require legislation permitting vendor payments.
- and that the proposals would result in the segregation of welfare recipients.

The proposal was not offered as a cure-all for the housing crisis, as a means to immediately bring about substantial reductions in housing cost, or as a substitute for other programs.

Secretary Romney’s staff begin by pointing out that the program proposed could not succeed in providing standard housing for all welfare recipients because the present level of housing allowances is too low to meet the costs involved. This is true and the article so stated.

It went on, however, to predict that more discretionary funds would be available, yet it overlooked the decision of the California court in the suits mentioned that welfare allowances in that state must henceforth reflect the true cost of adequate housing) but that without protection from inflation these increases would soon be vitiated. It is to this latter problem that the proposal is addressed.

Proposing inflation, Senator Brooke says that “We must bring inflation under control before we can hope to reduce the pressures on the housing market.” Inflation is not reflected only by the costs of goods and services. We are told by economists that the value of land (and thus, the price of housing) continues to increase even during periods of stability in the prices of other commodities. Although Senator Brooke feels that “to permit the housing industry to bear the brunt of anti-inflationary measures would be disastrous from the standpoint of any long-term improvements in this area,” some public intervention seems necessary to stabilize the price of housing at the lower end of the market.

Senator Brooke also says that “... the housing needs of low-income families cannot be met without direct or indirect government subsidies and the prospect for increased subsidies is not overly encouraging at the present time because of anti-inflationary efforts under way” (emphasis added), and that “we must insure that existing housing programs are adequately funded.” If the senator is sincere in his apparent belief that both anti-inflationary measures (as understood by the Nixon administration) and subsidies are necessary to meet low-income housing needs, then I do not envy him his decision as to how to cast his vote in coming congresses.

To return to Secretary Romney’s remarks, he suggests that the real problem is that there is a deficit in the total quantity of housing. Hence, “HUD has launched Operation Breakthrough . . . to remove the constraints of housing production in volume necessary to meet the whole range of American needs.” He adds that “We are, in fact, collaborating with HEW and local welfare and housing authorities in the Atlanta area to stimulate a demonstration to assure decent instead of substandard housing for recipients of old age assistance,” and that “... the demonstration will be carried out with the full range of HUD housing subsidy programs.” If rumors I hear are true, that Atlanta project consists of only 50 units and is the only child of the once highly touted “Innovative Cities” program, an effort initiated under Mr. Romney’s predecessor to bring about a massive increase in housing supply through “production in volume.” Even if Operation Breakthrough does not also found, merely increasing the supply of housing will not, as Mr. Romney evidently realizes, suffice to meet the housing needs of low-income people. The relative small reductions in total housing cost achievable through industrialization are not enough.

While our proposal does not promise either radical reductions in cost or large increases in housing supply, it does suggest how an existing resource can be used to replace bad housing with improved housing and put that housing under the control of either the public or the occupants. From the information published so far, it appears likely that Operation Breakthrough, like so many earlier programs, will benefit entrepreneurs more than the low-income population.

Concerning replacement of housing, Professor Bloom asks: “What financial provisions are made during a transition period when the existing supply of poor housing is still needed, cannot be demolished, and is not costless—thereby committing the bulk of welfare expenditures?” What provisions are necessary? What was proposed was a capital investment in new or rehabilitated housing financed either by bond sales or private loans which would subsequently be amortized out of rental income derived from welfare funds. During the investment, or “transition” period, those funds continue to rent existing housing. This may also clarify for Richard Hatch “... what role welfare funds are to play in housing construction (front money, construction loans, mortgages)?”

A more pertinent question about financial provisions was raised by several commentators. That is, whether capitalization through bond sales is feasible in view of the currently tight bond market and of legislative approval requirements in some localities for housing bonds? It is not an easy question to answer. However, there is hope that action may soon be taken to facilitate such capitalization. H.R. 11596, introduced in the present Congress by Congress-

(continued on page 12D)
Graceful bandshell—artfully constructed with Incor® cement—adorns a park at New York's Lincoln Center

The stately rectangular structures of New York's Lincoln Center for the Performing Arts now have a gem-like contrasting neighbor—the $500,000 Daniel and Florence Guggenheim Memorial Bandshell. A shell of tapering ribbed concrete, the new structure is serving as the setting for outdoor concerts and recitals—all open to the public. The shell is 50 feet wide, 50 feet deep and 59 feet high. Its 12 supporting ribs are 4 feet thick at the base and taper to only 9 inches. And its panels range from 6 inches to 3 inches thick. It is a privilege to be associated with quality construction that contributes to urban beauty and cultural opportunity. All of the concrete for this musical island in the city was made with Lone Star's high-performance "Incor" 24-hour cement.

Lone Star Cement Corporation, 100 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10017.
Carpet yarns tufted into "Typar" give the sharpest possible pattern definition. "Typar" is spunbonded polypropylene primary carpet backing from Du Pont. It is absolutely uniform. So low loops stay low. High loops stay high. Level loops stay level (which means casters roll smoothly, the carpet wears more evenly, walks more comfortably and is maintained more easily). Cut piles are smoother. Tuft rows stay straight. Prints stay precise. Seams are neater.


"Typar"—the preferred primary backing.

*Du Pont registered trademark.
Decisions come easily around the expansive authority of this Directors' Table from our Chippendale-inspired 700 Traditional Series. African mahogany, in solids and veneers, is a luxurious choice for the table. The unusually versatile credenza bookcase seconds the motion for a prestige interior. Add executive desks, secretarial units, credenzas, and seating for office and lounge areas to your planning agenda and the outcome will be beautifully unanimous... Period.
New Gold Bond Solitude Ceiling has absolutely no sense of direction.

Nondirectional Solitude avoids the linear look. No matter which way the acoustical ceiling panels are laid into the grid.

The high style of the richly textured surface helps add an air of elegance to any interior.

Panels are ¾” x 2’ x 2’ and 2’ x 4’. With square edge detail.

They’re noncombustible. Have a NRC range of .60-.70 and a 35-39 STC range.

Gold Bond® Nondirectional Solitude comes in two factory-applied finishes. A washable vinyl acrylic coating or a scrubbable acrylic plastic coating for areas where cleansing maintenance and resistance to staining is a factor. A special Self-Sanitizing feature is also available with both coatings.

Keeping up appearances is a National responsibility.

Gypsum Company

The name Gold Bond identifies fine building products from the National Gypsum Company. For more information on Nondirectional Solitude, write Dept. AF-79C, Buffalo, New York 14225.
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man Maillard (Rep., Cal.) and others would amend Title III of the National Housing Act to permit the GNMA to guarantee obligations of state agencies to finance low- and moderate-income housing. The California Assembly has passed legislation permitting state financial institutions to invest in corporations formed to develop low-income housing and approved a referendum on a constitutional amendment to constitute the interest rate on the state’s general obligation bonds. (Of course, this won’t lower costs but it will make more capital available.)

Several writers emphasized the need for subsidies to bring decent housing within the means of low-income people and suggested that adequate funding of the subsidy programs already on the books (the Sections 235/6 1 per cent interest and Section 101 rent supplement programs were specified) was a more fruitful approach than that suggested in the article, which would not reduce costs and risk creating still another housing bureaucracy. The need for subsidies was clearly emphasized in the article, which did not, as we have already said, suggest either that the proposed use of welfare funds would provide a substitute for them or that it could, by itself, substantially decrease the price of housing. What it did suggest was a way both of increasing and of protecting the benefits of present and future welfare expenditures. To do this is essential whether or not existing subsidy programs are fully funded. The “bureaucracy” thus created need be no more cumbersome than that of the local development corporations whose formation HUD encourages to make use of existing programs and, unlike most existing bureaucracies, it could be organized under the control of tenants or neighborhood organizations.

One writer, who asked not to be quoted (as would we have, had we made his remarks), said we didn’t pay sufficient attention to the use of public housing by welfare recipients. The “large public housing program” to which he called our attention provides only about 610,000 units nationally. To quote again the HEW report referred to in the article: “Public housing, federally subsidized, is at best only a limited resource for low-income families and persons. The available supply is totally inadequate to the need.”

In San Francisco, the local housing authority has ceased even to accept applications for either individuals or large families. We might as well have discussed the importance of truffles to the starving.

We regret that the article apparently did not make our view of vendor payments sufficiently clear. We believe them to be undesirable because welfare recipients, like everyone else, have the right to dispose of their incomes as they alone see fit. We also believe them to be unnecessary to insure that the housing program proposed would be supported by welfare funds. The cost of delivering decent housing to the applicant is likely to be the same with or without the awarding of funds to the housing agency. We believe that there is no reason to believe that the subsidy provided by the housing agency to the developer will be in a position to take over the management of the building in the case of default of the developer. We further believe that such subsidies are not a valid basis for the construction of decent housing.

A one per cent interest bonus, however, will give the developer an incentive to keep his costs low and will help in covering the cost of the subsidy. The cost of the subsidy will, however, be a reasonable one and will not be a burden to the developer.

We have no specific comments on Roger Schaler’s analysis; we believe the relevant ones are included in the remarks we have already made.

In sum, most of the comments on the article were nit-picking. What we had hoped for—and still look forward to—is a discussion of the basic political issue which we raised in the article. That is simply that there are over one billion dollars per year of taxpayers money spent on housing welfare recipients. Apart from providing marginal shelter, this money goes down the drain. We have suggested one way of plugging that drain. Our critics have not suggested another.

JOHN M. BAILEY JR.
Architect
San Francisco, Calif.

HENRY SCHURBAJt JR.
Architect
San Francisco, Calif.

MORE ON SLUMS SUBSIDY
Forum: The September article entitled “One Billion Dollar Subsidy for Slums” was most interesting. Through it all ran the constantly recurring theme that there was never enough money to do a proper reconstruction job in the slums since private capital could not undertake such a massive task and more taxation was unthinkable.

This to me seems to be utter nonsense. There is adequate money available to completely rebuild the worst slums in this country without increasing taxation one cent. This idea was submitted to Mr. Romney and our congressman some time ago without causing a ripple. Because it is simple and would not cost the taxpayer apparently it cannot be considered.

The crux of the plan is simply this. We have had a 10 per cent annual surtax and a 5 per cent surtax in the past two years and may phase the surtax out at the end of this year. We say this should not be done. It should be entitled a “Refundable Surtax” and passed on a per cent basis for the next ten years. Total income from it should be pledged to 3 per cent to 4 per cent direct loans to build low-cost standard housing or to rehabilitate solid but substandard housing. A totally new labor approach should be encouraged in this by using the taxpayer as a labor source, thus bringing employment to the area where it is needed and training a whole new group of construction tradesmen. They will do the best possible work as they are going to occupy and possibly build these houses. Loans should be kept in reasonable amounts to encourage a lot of the smaller builders to get into this market. When a project is complete, the builder can sell it in individual units and the buyer can secure the same low interest loan if he is qualified. If there is no subsidy pass part of the monthly payment, that is immaterial. We have succeeded in adding a property owner and a taxpayer to the country and removed a blighted piece of property from existence.

To keep it from costing the taxpayer it would be set up as follows. Each year when you paid your “Refundable Surtax,” (annually for the next ten years, you would get in return ten coupons from the government, each representing one-tenth of the amount of “Refundable Surtax” you had paid and one cashable each succeeding year for the next ten years. Supposing your surtax amounted to $600 per year for the next ten years. Each year you would get ten $60 certificates. Since these amounts are as good as cash they can be used to help in padding your “Refundable Surtax.” It is easy to see that if you pay the same amount for ten years each year for ten years, the tenth year you are (continued on page 14)
We make a strong case for calling a Formica man.

Your Formica man has a case with a variety of complete pre-packed systems such as bath and wall paneling, doors and toilet compartments... yours at a single source of supply.

Specify FORMICA® laminate for any scheme your imagination creates... in any interior that calls for design to defy wear.

Time saving Spec-Data® forms give accurate fingertip information for many FORMICA® brand products and applications, technical back-up and qualified sources of supply for all types of product uses.

More patterns and woodgrains give you virtually unlimited design versatility. Sixty-eight solid colors are new, coordinated to mix, match or blend.

Want to discuss surfacing? See the man with this case. Your Formica man. He can give you fast, accurate information about FORMICA® brand product uses and reliable sources of supply. Call him soon. He'll be there promptly with a case full of answers and idea-starters.

Leadership by design

© 1969 • Formica Corporation • Cincinnati, Ohio 45232 • subsidiary of CYANAMID
Let us do your laundry at our office

If your next job requires a laundry you can get the job done easily—and professionally by simply calling your nearest American sales office. Once you give our sales engineers the necessary details we'll do the rest. We can give you complete floor plans, equipment recommendations, capacity data, operating and staffing suggestions.

Further, our nationwide network of Service Engineers can supervise or handle the installation.

Whether your job calls for a small laundry or a high-volume, automated laundry system, you can depend on American for complete and competent assistance. Just call our nearest office or write: American Laundry Machinery Industries, Division of McGraw-Edison Company, 5050 Section Avenue, Cincinnati, Ohio 45212. Your laundry will be ready in short order.
R-W Operable Walls for TOTAL Room Flexibility and Versatility

Colleges everywhere are finding a partial answer to rising construction costs with Richards-Wilcox Operable Walls. Net result: classrooms, lecture halls, gyms, auditoriums and other open areas all become more functional at less cost. And since R-W walls are "custom" partitions constructed of standard components, you get the exact job for your needs.

Little or no maintenance becomes another economy. The R-W wall rides free and clear with no sagging or binding. Just smooth, easy operation year 'round.

So, for added room flexibility and economy plus control of traffic and sound ask your architect to investigate R-W Operable Walls. And write for Bulletin A-600 on Room Functional Flexibility.

Richards-Wilcox MANUFACTURING COMPANY
110 THIRD STREET • AURORA, ILL. 60507

On Readers' Service Card, Circle 212
Free
16 page
industrial
door catalog!

Yours for the asking

A must for your files...this is the most comprehensive industrial door catalog ever issued! It contains descriptions, specifications and diagrams of power-operated and manual, single and double-horizontal sliding, bifold, vertical sliding and double-swinging and industrial doors for the control of traffic, handling of material and the elimination of drafts and noise. Write or call for your FREE copy now!

CLARK
IDOOR
Doorway specialists since 1878

---

(continued from page 14)

in the world, a welfare mother with six kids is never going to make even a marginal contribution to her community. She can't. As Herbert Gans has pointed out, practically nothing in the way of resources is allocated beyond survival funds to the ghetto dweller, while almost everybody else is a beneficiary of enormous and endlessly varied subsidization. Most especially, the upper-middle class people who are so desperately needed in the city—not as advocates of the poor but in a sense as company for them. As you know, the kids in architecture schools think advocacy is the thing. I think it is both sophomoric and self-defeating.

WILLIAM HOUSEMAN
New York

SYMBOLES OF AN AGE

Forum: To bid farewell in one issue [Sept.] to two such giants as Mies van der Rohe and Walter Gropius; and to preview a new "world game of practical cooperation" designed by Bucky Fuller, with MHD machine and with wall paint that conducts electricity and serves as a heating element—this is our time in a nutshell. With Mr. Fuller's sober optimism we think that "making peace profitable" is within the reach of mankind.

EUGENE PABANY-GULYAS
Billings, Mont.

Forum: It should be emphasized, that in this day of spiralling land, construction, material and money costs, there is no such thing as new "low cost" housing. The truth of the matter is that in our inverted economy, we are building new housing for low-income families which is more costly than much of the housing occupied by more affluent middle-income families. This is especially true in New York State where rent control has restricted landlord income on multiple residences and led to self-destructive deterioration and eventual total abandonment. In many of our major cities, multiple residence properties just beginning the slide to deterioration can be acquired for less than one-fifth the cost of new construction. With a minimal additional investment for rehabilitation, these properties can be restored to standard condition and made available for all families of low-income, including those receiving public assistance.

Perhaps the demonstration cited by Secretary Romney could be expanded to include the application of Federal public housing funds in tandem with the public assistance housing expenditures. These combined funds, applied to acquiring and upgrading existing, basically sound housing could go a lot farther than if they were used to create far costlier new construction. We are exploring just such an approach in New York State.

CHARLES J. URSHTADT
Commissioner of Housing and Community Renewal, State of New York Division New York City
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VARI-TRAN

GOLDEN

REFLECTIVE

GLASS

F-O-1-0-1
You’ve never had such freedom of aesthetic expression combined with practical control of the sun.

If you want a warm effect, there are three golden tones. If you want a cool effect, there are three silvery tones. Each reflects a precise amount of sunlight; you can have exact control of glare and heat on all elevations of your building. Vari-Tran reflective glass comes in laminated form and in Thermopane® insulating glass units.

For glazing, it offers you a material with a unique combination of aesthetic and practical attributes; beauty that pays for itself in more productive environments and lower air-conditioning costs.

For performance data, just turn the page. For full information on all L-O-F hi-performance glass, talk with an L-O-F Architectural Glass Specialist. Libbey-Owens-Ford Company, Toledo, Ohio 43624.
If you're near any of these buildings, take a look. They're all being glazed with Vari-Tran reflective glass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building</th>
<th>City, State</th>
<th>Architect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASHLAND OIL CO.</td>
<td>Russell, Kentucky</td>
<td>Keilam &amp; Foley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbus, Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BARTOW FEDERAL SAVINGS &amp; LOAN</td>
<td>Bartow, Florida</td>
<td>Leslie Pickett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEM CITY SAVINGS &amp; LOAN</td>
<td>Quincy, Illinois</td>
<td>John Benya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St. Louis, Mo.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAVIOLETTE GLASS CO.</td>
<td>Detroit, Mich.</td>
<td>(Owner's Plans)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLOOMINGTON NAT'L BANK</td>
<td>Bloomington, Indiana</td>
<td>McGuire, Shook, Compton &amp; Richey, Indianapolis, Ind.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIVINE SAVIOR HOSPITAL (CHAPEL)</td>
<td>Portage, Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAKE ERIE ASPHALT CO.</td>
<td>Cleveland, Ohio</td>
<td>Don M. Hisaka &amp; Assoc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cleveland, Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENERAL TELEPHONE BLDG.</td>
<td>Everett, Washington</td>
<td>Bryant, Butterfield, Fretts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Everett, Wash.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAUGHTON HOUSE</td>
<td>Nassau, Bahamas</td>
<td>Michael Daniels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nassau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BETH-EL SYNAGOGUE</td>
<td>St. Louis Park, Minn.</td>
<td>Bert Bassuk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAKESIDE PLAZA BUILDING</td>
<td>Lake Oswego, Oregon</td>
<td>Thomas Vadnais, Portland, Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGNODE CORPORATION</td>
<td>Cincinnati, Ohio</td>
<td>Tweddell, Wheeler, Strickland &amp; Beumer, Cincinnati</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFFICE BUILDING</td>
<td>New Shrewsbury, N. J.</td>
<td>Rodetsky &amp; Siegel, Freehold, N. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLUE CROSS-BLUE SHIELD</td>
<td>Memphis, Tennessee</td>
<td>Wadlington &amp; Marshall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Vari-Tran Silvers reflective glass.**
Compare

SHADING COEFFICIENT (No Shading Device)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Shading Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOUBLE STRENGTH WINDOW</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8&quot; PLATE/FLOAT</td>
<td>.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8&quot; PARALLEL-O-BRONZE</td>
<td>.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8&quot; PARALLEL-O-BRONZE</td>
<td>.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SHADING COEFFICIENT (No Shading Device)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Shading Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAMINATED VARI-TRAN 220</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMINATED VARI-TRAN 214</td>
<td>.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMINATED VARI-TRAN 214</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THERMOPANE VARI-TRAN 220</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THERMOPANE VARI-TRAN 214</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THERMOPANE VARI-TRAN 208</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L.O.F Hi-Performance Glass
LIBBEY-OWENS-FORD CO. TOLEDO, OHIO 43624
Speed Queen can assist you in planning a coin-operated laundry room.

For dormitories, low and high rise apartments.

NO OBLIGATION

Mr. E. W. Jess  
Manager, Commercial Department  
SPEED QUEEN, Ripon, Wisconsin 54971

Mr. Jess, please forward your laundry room design brochure.

I would like a Speed Queen representative to call.

Name and title

Firm name

Address

City  State  Zip Code
With one quiet claim about our Chateau faucets: they operate quietly.

We build a silencer into every one of our single-handled kitchen faucets, lavatory faucets and shower valves.

We even have decibel ratings that say you won’t find quieter faucets anywhere. If you’re in the apartment business, these will be comforting facts.

Just write our Apartment Department. Moen, division of Standard Screw Co., Elyria, Ohio 44035.

Chateau by MOEN.
WHERE THE GOING GETS TOUGH GET CABIN CRAFTS® LESCARE™ CARPET

LesCare and Griffin have passed the test, from grade school through universities. Today you'll find LesCare doing jobs carpet never did before. And doing a better job where conventional carpet used to go. Specify LesCare with complete confidence. LesCare and Griffin are two of many fresh ideas that keep coming from Cabin Crafts. Mail coupon today for more information.

Handsome auditoriums
Select Cabin Crafts
LesCare carpet in the color and style to complement your decor. It improves acoustics dramatically—and lasts graduation after graduation.

Clean classrooms.
Ink, gum, chalk: easy to clean away. Yet color stays. It's locked in the Acritan® acrylic fiber.

Quiet libraries. LesCare (and Griffin, a heavier version of LesCare) encourages study. No more scraping chairs. LesCare quells noise while taking the wear.

Inviting entries. Muddy shoes go to school. But lukewarm water plus household cleanser expels mud from LesCare.

No hair-raising hallways. No more bedlam when the bell rings. LesCare lowers the decibels as it lasts and lasts.

Impressive offices. School boards love LesCare because it's practical. 17 styles and colors for individuality.

On Readers' Service Card, Circle 218
Send for the new, easy-to-use AAMA Selection Guide for aluminum windows and sliding glass doors.

ARCHITECTURAL ALUMINUM MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION
One East Wacker Drive • Chicago, Illinois 60601

Gentlemen:
Please send me the AAMA Short Form Selection Guide for aluminum windows and sliding glass doors.
I understand that with the tables and charts available, I can easily determine which windows and sliding glass doors meet local code requirements for wind loads, water leakage and air infiltration.
So send the guide. I thank you.

NAME

TITLE

FIRM

ADDRESS

CITY STATE ZIP

Architectural Aluminum Manufacturers Association
One East Wacker Drive • Chicago, Illinois 60601

On Readers' Service Card, Circle 219
soft pad group by charles eames  herman miller inc., zeeland michigan
Now, the convenience of Electrac by Kirsch in two time-saving money-saving “snap-off” drapery systems.

*Electrac* — the new electromagnetic drapery system — has been adapted to Ripplefold and Accordia-Fold, Kirsch’s two snap-on, snap-off drapery heading systems. Ripplefold hangs flat fabric panels in graceful folds — without pleats, buckram or pins. While Accordia-Fold features sharp, slim, single-fold pleats that stack back into half the space needed for pinch-pleats. Both are designed for the easy hanging and removal that makes for low maintenance costs. And both — with *Electrac* — are the maximum in contemporary design and convenience.

**Electrac by Kirsch: the world’s first electromagnetic traverse rod!**

Operates without cords, pulleys, gears or separate motor. Just press the switch and draperies open and close as easily as lights turn on and off. Operated quietly by the power capsule behind the rod, *Electrac* can be used for a single window or a bank of windows, is easily installed and operates on regular current. And *Electrac* has consistently saved effort, time and maintenance costs when used in hospitals, hotels/motels and offices.

For complete details, write Kirsch Company, Department AR-1169, Sturgis, Michigan 49091. Or, refer to Sweet’s Architectural File, Section 15e/Ki, or Sweet’s Interior Design File, Volume A4/a.
snap them off... snap back on.

Kirsch
DRAPERY HARDWARE
For windows people care about
The versatile Sundberg Chair—a graceful design of cast nylon

This is the Sundberg Chair—designed for American Seating by Carl Sundberg of Sundberg-Ferar, noted design consultants. The planned simplicity of this chair lets it quietly blend into any modern architectural decor. It achieves design flow throughout an entire installation through the use of the shell on fixed lecture room furniture, movable classroom units, and a stackable chair that goes anywhere.

The Sundberg Chair is made of tough stuff. Cast nylon. A new material that resists cracking, chipping, scratching. Cleans easily. Comfortable. Mounting and upholstery options add even more breadth to its great versatility. Bold colors anticipate style trends of the future.

And there's Duramatte®—a new non-glare finish for metal legs and pedestals that wears almost six times as long as ordinary enamel.

We've got a handsome new brochure that tells the whole story about this new chair. Write Dept. AF-680, American Seating Company, Grand Rapids, Mich. 49502.

For the Environment of Excellence

AMERICAN
SEATING
Meet AFKA...Krueger's new all-purpose chairs that offer a dramatic new concept in the coordination of line, form, materials and colors. Seating elegancy that not only meets your most demanding needs of today, but tomorrow as well. Smart, durable—yes, practically indestructible fiberglass shells, designed and contour-shaped for body conforming comfort serve an added function by carefully protecting the luxurious, thick and comfortable seat and backrest cushions from wear and tear. Naturally, all upholstery shows signs of soil and wear in time. Don't fret...there's no need to invest in a complete new chair. With AFKA, you simply replace the cushions. Fresh, new ones "lock-in" in about 2 minutes. Change color schemes, too, according to your own whims. For details, please write.
Last May, Vice President Agnew received a standing ovation in San Francisco for remarks that included the following: "I don't want to appear super-simplistic...but no domestic problem, no matter how critical it is, can be placed on an equal basis with the continuation of our ability to exist as a society. If there are no cities by reason of a nuclear attack which we cannot respond to or defend against, then there is no need for a HUD." He was, of course, hawking the ABM.

Last month, Congressman Joseph G. Minish (Dem., N.J.) provided a curious echo, in reflecting on the $215-million reduction in Model Cities funds to be expended this year: "We just might find that without housing and urban development programs, there is no need for a Housing and Urban Development Secretary."

Hastening to blunt criticism of the spending slash, Secretary Romney called it a "downward revision," brought about by the cities' sluggishness in preparing plans and by delays imposed by his own review of the program. None of the 46 present commitments, he said, would be affected.

An impact is expected, however, on 29 first-round Model Cities designees that have yet to sign grant contracts and on 75 second-round choices still in the planning phase.

Romney conceded that $162 million had been cut from HUD's overall budget for the current fiscal year, in response to the President's call of last July for "rollback, slowdown, stretch-out"—the Nixon Administration become clearer every day," said Congressman William A. Barrett (Dem., Pa.), chairman of the House subcommit on housing. "It is their policy to repeal, through tight money and freezing of federal funds, all of the gains in housing legislation made over the past two decades."

DELUGE AT BREAKTHROUGH

By the end of this month, HUD hopes to announce the selection of between 10 and 20 industrialized building systems from among those submitted in response to Operation Breakthrough (July/Aug. issue, page 110). Described as "overwhelming," the call drew proposals from 575 designers and manufacturers (some with more than one entry). These included both Type A—complete housing systems—and Type B—subsystems.

In the next phase, contractors will construct prototypes on eight sites selected from the 210 offered by local authorities across the country.

Helping the 90 HUD staffers evaluate entries are design professionals, and an inter-agency board representing GSA, Agriculture, Commerce, Consumer Affairs, DOT, DOD, etc.

WINNERS

BLUE DANUBE PRIZE

Cesar Pelli and a team of designers, all of Gruen Associates, have been awarded first prize (of nearly $20,000) for the design of an International Congress Center in Vienna, which will house the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), and other organizations.

It will be located on an island in the Danube northeast of the city and may cost 1.200 million Austrian schillings ($46,457,600).

Second prize went to the Building Design Partnership, London; third prize to F. Novotny and A. Maehner of West Germany; and fourth prize to Johann Stabe of Vienna. Five honorable mention awards included three Americans—Charles F. D. Egbert; Roger O. Boyer; and Guy Rando (U.S.) with Kevin W. Miller (Italy).

Members of the international jury: Josef Krzisch, Architect Ferdinand Schuster, and Anton Seda of Austria; and Architects Jiri Novotny of Czechoslovakia, Heikki Siren of Finland, Sir Basil Spence of the United King-
In the belief that civil engineers tended to isolate the international relationship between efficiency and aesthetics, were taught by students of protest that the Establishment, at least, was swiftly radicalized. Members of the engineering faculty helped put up the domes: Monsanto Chemical Co. donated much of the plastic; and the administration was cooperative.

Unstructured—no credit—classes on such subjects as drugs, sex, and esthetics, were taught by faculty members who volunteered their time; and a "guerrilla theater" stage rehearsals of official courses considered non-relevant.

A spokesman for Brewster characterized the changes as "responding to the problems brought to our attention in the spring." Students, however, found an indication of tighter administration control in this remark by Brewster: "The lines of authority between those who preside over faculties ... and the central administration are more direct and less ambiguous."

 Perhaps so. But to an outsider, a course in semantics might help to figure it out.

 Under the reorganization, there will be two deans instead of one. Howard S. Weaver, formerly dean of the school, is now dean of the faculties in arts. (Under Weaver are three directors of studies: Lester F. Johnson, painting; James Rosati, sculpture; and Alvin Eisenman, graphic design).

 Charles W. Moore, formerly chairman of the department of architecture, is now dean of the faculties in design and planning. (Moore is also director of studies in architecture; Christopher Tunnard is director of studies in planning).

 Tunnard, it should be noted, was dismissed last spring as chairman of the planning department for his role in the "unauthorized admission" of twelve students.

 **NEW START AT YALE**

 Reconstruction of the Arts & Architecture building at Yale, following the flash fire of last summer (July/Aug. issue, page 41), has been postponed until it can be related to the outcome of a series of studies into the "programs and objectives" of the school. Meanwhile, Yale President Kingman Brewster Jr. has reorganized the school—"for this year of reappraisal only"—at scattered sites around the New Haven campus.

 A spokesman for Brewster characterized the changes as "responding to the problems brought to our attention in the spring." Students, however, found an indication of tighter technique—portions of a structure, modeled in plastic and weighted to reproduce actual stresses, are placed in polarized light to reveal the physical forces acting within. Mark showed that a number of Gothic design characteristics, once thought to be purely decorative, are integral structural components. Above is Mark's Amiens Cathedral in a 60 mph wind, wherein structural stresses show up as rainbow-like psychedelic swirls.

 **WEAKENING THE FOUNDATION**

 Sydney Howe is president of the Conservation Foundation, a non-profit, tax-exempt corporation that engages in "research, education, and training programs designed to expand and apply knowledge regarding the earth's resources ..." It is financed primarily by grants from endowed foundations.

 Last month, Howe testified before the Senate Finance Committee holding hearings on the omnibus tax reform bill already passed by the House. While sharing the public's concern for the need to correct foundation tax abuses, Howe zeroed in on Section 4915, subparagraph (c), in which private foundations would be fully taxed for expenditures that include: (1) "any attempt to
influence legislation through an attempt to affect the opinion of the general public or any segment thereof, and (2) "any attempt to influence legislation through private communication with any member or employee of a legislative body, or with any other person who may participate in the formulation of the legislation [i.e., lobbying], other than through making available the results of nonpartisan analysis or research . . . ."

Present law prohibits propaganda to influence legislation when such activities are a "substantial" part of a foundation's objectives.

While Congress provides tax benefits to private businesses for public information programs dealing with legislation, Section 4945 could penalize public-information organizations like Howe's for "affecting the opinion of the general public," or, taken literally, kill them. It would also penalize the endowed foundations who support them for not "policing" their grants to verify that the money is being spent for "proper purposes"—those, presumably, which go beyond "nonpartisan analysis or research."

"In one sense," says Howe, "every charitable organization is and should be 'partisan' in the performance of the duties and objectives for which it was established."

RELEVANCE IN ARCHITECTURE

Young Architect Brockhurst C. Eustice built a house for himself (below) in a suburban subdivision of Arlington, Va., that does not "dress right" with the nondescript rank-and-file on N. Military Road.

For making the very most of a narrow lot, squeezed between a neighbor's carport and a highway access road, it was accused by neighbors of violating a covenant attached to Eustice's property deed, which forbade building a house that was "inharmo-

nious" with its neighbors. Since the only thing its neighbors have in common is mediocrity, the point was well taken. Judge Charles S. Russell ordered Eustice to tear the house down, and granted a 60-to-90-day stay to "lessen the hardship imposed."

Judge Russell found that since Eustice himself was an architect he should know about restrictive covenants. That he should know about architecture was irrelevant. He had not, after all, submitted his plans to the Rivercrest Subdivision's Architectural Review Board. That this August body had never met was also irrelevant, since no one had ever been so "inharmo-nious."

It is, of course, a rude house. It has no friendly electrified gas lamps, no extraneous brass door knocker, no bright shutters that don't shut, and its garden is private and hidden. Perhaps worst of all, there are no picture windows—or windows at all—for neighbors to peer into. In short, a rugged individual. Or, on N. Military Road, insubordination.

TRANSIT

CLASSIC SUBWAY

Late in September, Congressman William H. Natcher (Dem., Ky.), under pressure from the White House, released his hostage—the Washington subway system—held for four years against a go-ahead on the city's stalled freeway program. DOT Secretary Volpe immediately released $34.7 million in federal funds, which had been appropriated last year, and impounded, to the Metropolitan Area Transit Authority. Another $86.2 million are presently involved, subject to Senate approval but virtually assured.

The eventual $2.555-million cost of the 96-mile, city-and-suburban system will come from subway revenues and a federal (two-thirds)-local (one-third) formula of matching grants.

The four years of stalemate have been used by Metro to thoroughly research and design a master plan and program for development, which was adopted in March, 1968, and revised this year. Designing the equipment and facilities was a joint architectural-engineering team headed by Architects Harry Weese & Associates and Engineers DeLeuw, Cather & Co. Their overall concept, station de-

signs, and standard details have been endorsed by the Fine Arts Commission.

Subsurface stations in the District—"in keeping with the classic public architecture of the federal city"—will be on two levels beneath gracefully vaulted and coffered ceilings. The automated fare collection system and passenger services will be located on free-standing mezzanines cantilevered over train platforms ("Metro Center" station, top). Train control and communications will also be automated; and all subsurface stations will be air conditioned and sound deadened.

Surface entrances (Dupont Circle, above) will be in parks, squares, or arcaded in buildings wherever possible. Construction bids will be opened on the 21th of this month and digging is expected to begin before Christmas on either of two sections in an initial six-mile link between Union Station and the White House.

GETTING THERE FASTER

Some other transit developments from across the country:

- San Francisco is back in the business of building cable cars. BART, unable to buy back antique cars sold to collectors and museums, will build three new—"but "antiquated"—cars to join the 39 now in service. They will be built in the municipal railways shops and cost about $88,000 each. BART also announced it would build a three-block extension to fisherman's Wharf on the city's most popular cable car route.

- Seattle's frustration is in May 1970, to decide a $400-million bond issue proposition to finance their proposed rapid transit system (Jan./Feb. '68 issue). The proposition was voted down last year in favor of highways.

- Pittsburgh has announced the first phase of a 60-mile rapid transit system to include two bus roadways on streetcar and abandoned railroad rights-of-way, and an 11-mile "Skybus" line from downtown to suburbs in the South Hills. The Skybus (Jan./Feb. '68 issue), a rubber-tired, fully computer operated, electric vehicle developed by Westing-
neral pyre, the warblers were fatally attracted to the buildings glowing "crown of light." So the management turned it off for the migrating season.

ENVIRONMENT

LEARNING FROM THE GOAT

While the stockpiling of Anthrax and Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever make better copy, there are those scientists who are involved in what might be called the peaceful uses of germ warfare. In June we reported on a primitive demonstration by a 16-year-old of how sewage dumps could generate electricity (page 28). Now, Biochemist W. Dexter Bellamy has shown that solid waste (rapidly becoming the nation's number one environmental threat) could be recycled to man's use by converting it into animal fodder.

He has, in effect, reproduced in the lab what goes on in a goat's stomach, nature's own garbage disposal-all. He is operating an autoanalyzer (below), which measures protein produced by the one-celled, heat-loving bacteria which he has isolated.

These germs withstand temperatures that kill harmful bacteria and viruses, and, as in the goat's stomach, they digest cellulose, nature's most common organic compound. (Man converts cellulose into paper products, cotton fabrics, etc., and together with nature's products, they account for up to two-thirds of the solid wastes deposited in a municipal refuse dump or about three lbs. per person per day.) As the bacteria digest the cellulose, they produce a "biomass" containing a high percentage of microbial protein.

If this protein could be produced on a scale far surpassing the cud-chewers and given a form and flavor they would find appetizing, it would shrink the size of garbage dumps and the amount of land now needed to raise livestock fodder. It could also have a salubrious effect on water pollution, as the waste-digesting bacteria require certain added nutrients which could be supplied by sewage sludge.

KEEPING ONE'S EQUILIBRIA

The British publication New Scientist, in reporting on the Berkeley, Calif., underground's "adoption of ecology as its ideological banner," firmly places itself on the side of what they call the "straights." Or is New Scientist pulling our leg again, as it does so well?

They tell us about the "four-letterly forthright" reviews of ecologically inspired books and environmental issues in the Berkeley Barb and the Los Angeles Free Press; and about the Free University summer course in global ecology and the establishment of an Ecology Center in a former store—"where students and their friends maintain 2,530 sq. ft. of bookshop, discussion room, poster-making equipment, and general talking-place."

And what do they talk about? Says New Scientist: "The anti-consumption bias of modern functional ecology, with its emphasis on diversity of systems and dynamic equilibria."

But, presumably, they find some way to be "four-letterly forthright" about it.

FESTIVALS

AFTERTHOUGHTS ON EXPO

In September, the American Revolution Bicentennial Commission reviewed elaborate multimedia presentations of schemes in behalf of Boston, Philadelphia, and Washington. At a subsequent meeting, it was reported by James Doyle of the Washington Bureau of the Boston Globe, the commission was seriously questioning whether a showy international exposition should be held at all, while our social programs go underfed.

The single-site expo has, of course, been challenged by many—from Tricia Nixon to Robert Venturi (October issue). (continued on page 87)
The building illustrated on these pages is an apartment-hotel on a hill behind the Bay of Sitges, south of Barcelona. It contains about 90 living-sleeping units with bathroom, kitchenette, and terrace arranged on top of a communal ground floor that houses restaurants, shops, a laundry, a sauna, cardrooms and areas for relaxation—all overlooking a swimming pool. The hotel is operated on a do-it-yourself basis, and it was financed largely by Swedish travel companies that provide the bookings. The project was developed in 1964, and completed a few months ago. The designers were the Bofill Architectural Workshop—the same group that built the Xanadu apartments (June 1968 issue). Peter Hodgkinson, an English member of the Bofill Workshop, is responsible for the following text. A translation may be obtained by writing to Mr. Hodgkinson, c/o Bofill Arquitecto, Nicaragua 97 y 99, Barcelona 15, Spain.—ED.
A fragmented castle on a hill behind the Bay of Sitges preaches new possibilities to the landscaped listeners of sea, sand and mountain. The castle follows its inbred architectural role with extroverted gusto and can turn you on 3 miles away, maximum tune-in distance. This is a vibration building, almost frightening in half-light as its silhouette takes on forms and movements of mystic fairy-story monsters. This can conquer any preconceived disillusionment with the existing architectural conventions and has been built for normal cost in normal time, thus effectively erasing these standard excuses of the professional architects busily destroying the world's environment and beautiful places.

The Castle is one more aspect of the White Goddess in Life in Death and Death in Life, and to be in the Castle is to be in a royal purgatory awaiting a resurrection on the north winds.

The concept of the Castle—a
spiral plug-in—is related interestingly to the Archigram school. The major similarities are the use of a separately expressed living capsule attached at will to a vertical or diagonal support and circulation structure (see plan, page 38). These imagery-archigrams have the capsule well disciplined to traditional layouts and as such the plug-in is at times dangerously close to being merely a science fiction version of traditional lineal thinking and not an experimental experience on all fronts of the housing problem. Here the capsule expresses new potentials, the only admission that these, of course, are not real capsules in the sense of being structurally self-sufficient, but could readily become them when the technology in Spain has become advanced enough to cope with what does not yet exist elsewhere. . . . a plug-on to the plug-in is used here; anything can be plugged to the mother unit: bathroom, kitchen, extra sleep space, terrace—even a garden, the plug-in unit being structurally capable of supporting the plug-on units which are all theoretically free-flowing except for their contact point. There is obviously a limit to the plug-on capacity to avoid smothering the air and view outlets of the plug-in mother unit.
A spiral-stepped solution adds a new spectral possibility to the tired old hacks of architectural vocabulary. Using a series of vertical staircase cores structurally auto-sufficient with the mother units plugged in at increased height levels gives each unit its own entry focus and unobstructed views and privacy over every other individual unit. Each staircore is structural brick which extends to support half of each mother unit, the other half being supported on two steel columns, one at each corner. A two-way ceramic slab cantilevers in one, two or three directions to form the floors of the plug-ons above and the roofs of the other plug-ons below. With the three-directional cantilevers a floor area 75 per cent of that of the mother unit is achieved. The plug-ons are then built up in lightweight materials, while the plug-ins are filled in with anything that can be laid hands on. Roof drainage pipes are used as window openings and simple timber carpentry is added. The whole is stuccoed and painted.

After a series of random disciplines developed out of experience with earlier random disciplines had formed a cagework in which to begin, the usual office formal model and methodology were studied on the basis of spiral movement and increasing
relative height. Half cubes of wood with metal pegs were used to push into multihold sticks in every conceivable position with varying numbers of representative units; from these experiments laws were developed setting up a natural selection list for the project conception. One stick and woodblocks were then pressed into another stick and woodblocks in every possible way, the most advantageous circumstance noted and the experiment continued with 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 sticks and woodblocks, etc.

A representational scale model was made of the solution and the office set about thinking how to solve the paper information problem so clearly that traditional presentation could be dispensed with. The fact that each staircore was fixed at ±0, that four units spiraled 300 degrees and that each level changed by 0.70 cm up the flight of stairs produced an equation which when elaborated produced all the relevant information necessary to build the project on one sheet of paper, with the aid of two secondary drawings clarifying the variations of the put-ins and the plug-ons... Thus the project was built with the information deduced from five sheets of paper. All detailing over and above the basics was decided directly on site.
To what extent does this weird fragmented castle break with traditional modern architectural thought and production? Is it an historical throw-back or a real new thing-building in the sensible magician school as Xanadu (June '68 issue)?

Why does non-linear scatter thinking produce a result which might be criticized by hard-hearts as down-the-line paper-maché medievalistic plagiarism? Basically the conception is very difficult to grasp for those who have dragged their way through decades of linear-thinking product-buildings, but every effort should be made to see this fragmentation with the digested information of the scatter thinkers. For in scatter thinking one continually shifts the initial perceptual choice and often little logic is required to make the right choice.

Architectural thinking shows us more than ever the rigidity and inevitability of perceptual linear selection when solving visual problems, whereas scatter thinkers (a "scatterbrain" is a compliment) are the sensible magicians and successfully attempt to escape the self-maximizing properties of the brain system in order to generate new ideas...

The structural happening and superfluous literary explanations
follow the theatic pattern of the world at large. They are part of a scene in which we live against our will. Accordingly a powerful time bomb has been built into the structure whose rhythmic clock-beats can be heard echoing in the patio by those initiated into magical sensibility. The hour of explosion is unknown and uncontrollable though the effects are well calculated to destroy the laughing faces of angelic children, the long brown legs of blonde beauties, the aged hearts of sun-hankering widowers and the conglomerate of owners, financiers, operators, crooks, workers, hippies, and architects who built this Kafka's castle. When the mountain collapses in an architectural fury and the bodies are flung miles into the sky, the reader can tear the pages out of this magazine and burn them. Peace will be with you.

Taller De Arquitectura
Barcelona

FACTS AND FIGURES
Built in 1889 as a 39-family apartment building, The Margaret became a hotel in the late '90s. It was long the highest building on Brooklyn Heights and, prominently set at the edge of the bluff overlooking Manhattan, was celebrated in books of views of the city as a Brooklyn landmark. The building is now painted a dull gray; the open promenades between the corner towers have been closed in and the original fire escapes have been replaced by more conventional ones. Inside, the lobby is cramped and the "immense glass dome" which covered the central court is long since gone, as is the court itself.

The four brash, picturesque buildings illustrated on these pages are the work of a Brooklyn architect named Frank Freeman who started practice in the late 1880s. The earliest of them was built when he was 28, the last when he was 32. Freeman left little record of his work behind him.

Architectural magazines of his day covered contemporary work in no more than a haphazard fashion, and no more than perhaps a dozen of his earliest (and best) buildings were published. No plans, it seems, were published at all. There were occasional interviews. We learn that in 1892 he was about 32, had worked six or seven years before as a draftsman in the office of a New York architect (not named, but apparently Francis H. Kimball), and since then had designed many houses and churches. He maintained an office of his own from about 1887 until the early 1940s. That is about all the published record tells; at his death in 1949 his own papers and drawings were thrown out.

But his known extant works illustrate young Freeman's development. The earliest, an apartment house of 1889 (right), is an oddly tactile, eclectic building. The exterior is Romanesque-Moorish; the entrance and entrance hall were, before alterations, classical Roman. And the building's upper reaches boast—in a dry, underscaled form—detail of the sort one finds in the work of Freeman's Chicago contemporaries: floral friezes, strapwork, etc. The building is now painted, but originally Freeman's taste for variegated surfaces was expressed here in green copper, buff brick, red pressed brick, terra cotta and brownstone. A house of the same year in Brooklyn Heights (not shown) is irresolute in composition and still underscaled in detail; but it is definitely Romanesque and polychromatic.

Two buildings of 1892 (following pages) represent Freeman's mature style. He now has assured control in the massing of buildings, which, in detail, are enlivened by an off-handed mannerism. In one building, for a political club, simple volumes are composed beneath a taut skin of Roman brick and terra cotta and are set under one almost unbroken roof. The second building was a fire headquarters, essentially one facade in a row on a downtown city street. Here deep reveals in the heavy brickwork and pyramidal roofs are used to produce a building which is not only plausibly three-dimensional but remarkably plastic. On close inspection, though, one finds a set of colonnettes resting smack over the center of an arch. In both buildings keystones dissolved in dry ornament are undersized; impost blocks are equally ornamented and either vestigially small or strikingly oversized; elements change at whim from bay to bay and from story to story.

The last of the works shown here was done in 1893, the year of the Chicago Fair, when Freeman turned to the Classical style. Symmetrical and almost Florentine in character, this warehouse is the most restrained of his early buildings. It is in the extraordinary use of architectural lettering here that the real distinction of this building lies. Freeman's first Classical building, the Brooklyn Savings Bank, which to Brooklyn's shame was torn down five years ago, was all but picturesque in its massing. The buildings that followed, apparently at ever wider intervals, were never as satisfactory in appearance as the earlier buildings; when composed in simple blocks they were dull, when classical details were stuck onto a complex mass with turrets, the results were grotesque. In any case almost all are now gone— as is one of Freeman's better-known early achievements, the sprawling, shingled Colonel House residence (1891) in Austin, Texas.

In the heyday of Freeman's career, the critic Montgomery Schuyler could write (of two houses of Freeman's on Riverside Drive, Manhattan—no longer standing) that they were "not only by far the most artistic examples of the Richardsonian Romanesque in our domestic architecture but . . . among the most artistic of our dwellings in any style." Brooklyn is lucky to have five extant buildings from that period.
Although this building for the Bushwick Democratic Club is five stories high and has two large assembly rooms on its flank, it nevertheless has a domestic character. No doubt this was a canny response to the needs of a Democratic political club in the '90s, and it did not keep the building from dominating its neighbors slightly but decisively—both by its size and by the sunlit look of its yellow Roman brick. After its days as a political club it housed the Knights of Columbus for a time. Now, after some disuse and considerable vandalism, the building is being rehabilitated by its loving but imppecunious owners as the Bethesda Pentecostal Church.

The Jay Street Firehouse was built in 1891-2 to house the administrative offices of the Brooklyn Fire Department. Its ground floor was taken up by a ‘wagon room’ and stables. The four floors of offices were surmounted by a watchtower. The latter, now disused, has been ineffectually—but only too visibly—pigeon-proofed; the original roof tiles are gone; and stone letters spelling FIRE HEADQUARTERS have been excised from the floral band of the arched wagon entrance. Freeman's tower clearly owes a debt to that of Richardson’s courthouse in Pittsburgh, though here the perforated caps to the piers are not air intakes as they are in the original. Of Freeman’s surviving works, only this one has been designated a landmark.
One would be hard put to find architectural lettering elsewhere as fine as that on the frieze and around the arched entrance of the Eagle Warehouse & Storage Co. Here the lettering is informative, legible and above all architectural in scale and character. Probably only after 1925 are there comparable examples in Western architecture. This brick palazzo was built in 1893 near the Brooklyn waterfront beside the two most trafficked routes to Manhattan. Since the closing of the Fulton Ferry, Frank Freeman's warehouse has dominated a neglected backwater between the Heights and the Brooklyn Bridge.
Advocacy planning in Charleston, West Virginia, as a city grapples with the need to plan, and as citizens struggle for the right to participate.

Urban planning is as complex as urban life, and without a scoreboard it can be difficult to tell the players apart. In this report of recent developments in Charleston, W. Va., a scoreboard in hand at the outset is almost crucial.

Very briefly, then, three plans have come under fire from Charlestonians—1) a comprehensive plan for the entire city up to 1985; 2) an urban renewal plan for the Triangle neighborhood, a choice piece of in-town land; and 3) the plans for three Interstate highways due to converge in the city in five years.

The official bodies on which these attacks have been launched are (respectively): 1) the Municipal Planning Commission; 2) the Charleston Urban Renewal Authority; and 3) the State Road Commission. The protesting groups who have rallied to the specific situation or have grown to meet it are: 1) the Intra-City Council of Neighborhoods; 2) the Triangle Improvement Council; and 3) the Architectural League of Greater Charleston. The first two of these groups employed an advocate planner.

This report is intended not so much to identify heroes and villains as to look, in detail, at the course and content of opposition. The experience of this small city—up to its cars in planning and change—is not unusual. Its experience with conflict is unusual. What this experience says about planning in general (and advocacy planning in specific) is worth examining in some detail.


debatent along the Ruhr

Charleston lies in a valley less than a mile wide; 85 per cent of its land is in hills and “bollery” (left, top). It is a quiet place with fewer than 90,000 people—capital and largest city of a slow-moving, impoverished state.

The valley has been called “the Ruhr of the U.S. chemical industry”—Union Carbide and a dozen other chemical companies have settled in the Kanawha Valley. Yet more than half the residents earn less than $6,000; only one-eighth (including 20 million and some more than $10,000). Many of the young people leave.

Local government is described by one newspaper as “pure hickism, sheer Babbitry.” But an opposition of outspoken councilmen is taking shape (one man, white, a neo-Populist; another, black, a chemist out of NAACP)—a coalition of men who would probably be enemies in another city. The existence of a power structure is denied by anyone who might be considered a card-carrying member, although one powerful man concedess that there is “a certain cohesion with a mutuality of interests.”

The minority population is below 10 per cent—and declining—but the legacy of John L. Lewis allows poor Appalachians, black and white, to work together for their mutual interests.

Physical changes are fast approaching. Three urban renewal projects will change the character of the center of town (one is already completed, the second just approved, the third just submitted). The state is doing its own “renewal” at the Capitol. Much of downtown—now in small buildings and large parking lots (left, bottom)—is expected to be rebuilt in the next 25 years. A Community Renewal Program, not yet approved, proposes a series of action projects.

And, not least, three Interstate highways will converge in this narrow valley in the early ’70s. Counting only the projects already programmed (excluding those in the CRP), a staggering 10 per cent of the population, most of them poor, will be displaced in the next few years. With the CRP projects, a total of 4,500 families could be displaced by 1895.

These plans are not going unchallenged. The first to be questioned was the Comprehensive Plan prepared by the Municipal Planning Commission and its outside consultants. Three years in the making, the Comprehensive Plan is no better or worse than most such documents. In standard planningese, it discusses the character and problems of the city: it states objectives so blandly that few are likely to quarrel with them; it outlines policies for parks, schools, hospitals, etc., that could easily have been written by the departments involved. (The plan’s view on the adequacy of public transit, in fact, is based on information supplied by owners and operators of the transit companies.) A major part of the plan analyzes the impact of the Interstates on the local traffic system. Missing from the document is the urgency with which the consultants—Marcon, O’Leary & Associates—reacted to Charleston; they recall their first impression of a relocation crisis overriding all else.

The Community Renewal Program is a more solid document, prepared at the same time and by the same firm (with social and economic studies subcontracted to Hammer, Greene, Siler Associates, also of Washington, D.C.). The CRP designates a series of “action projects” and “policy treatment areas,” and spells out mechanisms for relocation, citizen participation, and funding.

The first advocacy

Reviewing drafts of the plan, enough people felt left out or threatened to persuade a local antipoverty worker, Norman Kilkpatrick, to take action. He knew about advocacy planners, and helped get OEO funds to retain one on behalf of the Intra-City Council of Neighborhoods, a group of seven (now eight) areas—some black, some white, some mixed—with 20,000 people. They hired Abeles, Schwarz & Associates, a New York firm familiar with West Virginia from several recent consulting jobs: its two principals are housing consultants to the national OEO.

Peter Abeles could only spend a brief two weeks with the documents, the city, and the ICGN people. But at a public hearing last August, he spoke for people who trusted him and applauded him. (The project director for Marcon, O’Leary was silent that evening, leaving the presentation to local planners and the rebuttal to later memos.)

At the hearing, Abeles asserted that the lack of a neighborhood-by-neighborhood analysis made it impossible to use the document for policy decisions; that deleterious effects of the Interstates were not evaluated; that subsis conditions causing poor drainage, slippage, and flooding were not mentioned; that the downtown area was overemphasized at the expense of the whole community; that the economic forecast for the city was “overly optimistic”; and that factors outside the city—such as the possible abandonment of the present airport and the heavy pressure from the chemical plants—were not considered.

Abeles charged, also, that proposals for highrise housing in the valley failed to consider possibilities for development on hills and farms. Charleston was being made more like New York, and no one thought about it.
River (the plan hardly mentions the river), and for the encouragement of hillside housing for low- and middle-income families. He suggested a kind of Homestead Act in the hills, with the city buying land, putting in utilities, and selling parcels to people who would build their homes over a period of years, in the tradition (above) of these self-reliant mountain people.

In discussing a 2,500-family New Town proposed for North Charleston (a part of the city, and of ICCN), Abeles argued that critical air pollution there made the proposal "ludicrous." The entire valley has a serious pollution problem, severe in certain areas—the land in North Charleston is level with stacks from the chemical plants across the river (below). Unequivocal written advice by the state pollution control board against further housing in that area was ignored by the city's planners.

Turning to the specific neighborhoods he represented, Abeles urged the city to equalize the provision of basic services. His clients had pointed out numerous grievances that went unnoticed by Marcou, O'Leary's staff member during his one-year residence in Charleston. Among the conditions needing attention: a school with high-tension wires over it (below); a residential area lacking the secondary egress needed during sudden chemical accidents; other areas lacking adequate sanitary and storm sewers (sites of flooding and drowning); still others lacking fire hydrants and safe roads. In the following months, the city made 17 changes in the plan—dropping the New Town proposal, lowering the density some what in various places, and adding needed facilities in the seven neighborhoods. O'Leary suggests that the New Town site was never considered ideal, but was seen "in terms of a strategy, not as a discrete unit of land"—it is city-owned and thus immediately available as a relocation resource. Many of the Abeles criticisms went unanswered. A year later, Marcou, O'Leary did not recall the Homestead proposal, and the mayor did not understand it to be self-supporting. The CRP, now outdated, was never approved; a hearing on it was cancelled and never rescheduled.

Renewal for whom?

Opposition to an urban renewal proposal for the Triangle, one of the ICCN neighborhoods, came next. Again Abeles was retained. The Triangle's 82 acres of prime valley land are slated for almost total clearance through urban renewal, and residents of one of West Virginia's largest black communities are due for "removal." Most of the households are white (largely in single-person families); most of the people are black, however, according to Triangle people who disagree with official figures. "We're not against upgrading, just against elimination," says the president of the Triangle Improvement Council. The city looks at it differently. "This project is for the whole community, not for this small area," says Eric Hemphill, executive director of the Urban Renewal Authority. City officials speak of a 100:1 bargain—the value of completed redevelopment might reach $75 million, for a city investment of about $726,000. "The whole point of the Triangle renewal," says a councilman opposed to the plan, "was to get more land for the central business district."

TIC's objections to the plan and its procedures are numerous: that the project's densities of up to 80 units per acre are inappropriate to the life style of West Virginians (Hemphill says that a developer will not necessarily utilize the maximum allowable density); that so much commercial reuse is unjustified; and that the proposed uses reflect a "pattern of favoritism"—two all-white organizations, the Beni Kedem Shrine and the Society of Colonial Dames, will get land; a local hospital will get land for parking (one of its board members is an urban renewal commissioner); and one of the few business buildings to remain is owned by a member of City Council.

In addition, TIC charges that the city has overestimated the availability of relocation housing in its application to HUD: has not itemized the 1,140 families to be displaced by the Interstates (Form 6122 of the urban renewal application must include displaces from all other public projects); that the major relocation resource (in North Charleston) is remote, and not due for upgrading; and that the clearance schedule—the black portion first—will result in two segregated housing complexes.

Rehabilitation is a major point of contention. TIC cites an unreleased URA survey of 1966, with only 60 per cent of the housing substandard; the "official" survey, dated 1967, has 92 per cent of the 485 buildings substandard. "If the Triangle project were in North Charleston," says the local HUD man, "I'd go along with some rehabilitation, but the land is just too valuable. One of the biggest interchanges will be a stone's throw away. And the 40 or so good houses are too scattered to be salvageable."

Negotiations and accusations

TIC unveiled an alternate plan last October, changing the boundaries somewhat and leaving the area largely in residential use (see maps). The URA ruled against each change. Most TIC proposals were again quickly rejected during a 60-day negotiating period this spring, with two remaining for consideration: to
A page from the comprehensive plan, showing major thoroughfares for 1985. The eight members of the Intra-City Council of Neighborhoods are pinpointed: seven were members during ICCN's opposition to the comprehensive plan.
change the area south of Washington Street from commercial reuse to residential (the URA said no, but will allow residences within the commercial designation); and to rehabilitate 25-50 houses, using federal loans and grants available to property owners in the renewal area (the URA said no).

"We made all the concessions," says Hemphill. "They wouldn't negotiate: there was no horse trading." The URA later refused to pay the fee it had promised Abeles as TIC's counsel during the negotiating period, and the City Council assumed the commitment calling it part of the city's share of the renewal in any case. One URA commissioner resigned in protest.

A Project Area Committee has been a sore point, both cause and effect of the breakdown in communication. The first PAC, given to TIC's president to choose, was disbanded as unrepresentative. A new one was chosen—according to the mayor, from a list of 100 people who indicated they'd be available—but according to TIC, from people who were approached on the street. In any event, a PAC should be elected, according to HUD. "They should have had a PAC from the beginning," says the local HUD officer.

Triangle people feel they have been treated shabbily, denied access to information, and denied serious consideration of their views. "We are not human trash to be dumped from one landfill to another," says one man.

At the suggestion of "someone at HUD" (outside Charleston's Region II), Hemphill's experience as head of renewal in Kingston, N.Y., was investigated. His demolition and relocation practices had brought unusual action—HUD suspended his salary and a U.S. Congressman conducted an inquiry into the whole renewal operation. In the knockdown/drag-out fight that ensued, the city council of Kingston also voted to suspend his salary, and it "became known" that renewal funds would be held up by Hemphill's continued presence in Kingston. He left soon afterward, for Charleston. He had the full support of the Kingston URA, however, which resigned to the last man at his departure. Now, two years later, when it was suggested that Hemphill should leave Charleston, the revelations were blasted as "character assassination."

The protesters, of course, have been called mentally unbalanced, obstructive, and unrepresentative ("70 per cent of the people never say a word"). A TIC officer replies: "I challenge you to find any organization more responsible; we've petitioned formally and informally. We broke no guidelines, we've gone practically on our knees. They've perniciously broken the guidelines."

The renewal plan is now in Washington, and TIC is filing an administrative complaint seeking to have the plan rejected (thus losing one of its few Establishment friends, the head of the new West Virginia Housing Development Fund, who had been trying to get TIC—along with several other nonprofit organizations—named developer of some housing in the renewal area). TIC did not want to be accused later of having sat on its rights, but it was strongly urged to let the plan go unopposed now and get it changed during execution. Abeles left it up to TIC to decide how hard to fight the plan, after giving TIC his view of the consequences.

Valuable land in contention

Just northeast of the renewal area is a large empty site—nine acres acquired in 1966 by the West Virginia Water Company for a treatment plant. Hastily cleared of some 250 people, the land is still empty (above). HUD has suggested that the city acquire the site for housing under the federal Open Land program. But the City Council, having rezoned the land for residential use in April, zoned it back to industrial use two weeks later—under what is referred to as "unusual influence."

The $34 million project is expected to double or triple the cost of water to users. The city's director of public works, previously an engineer with the water company, thinks that the most economical site for the plant is out of town at the source of supply. The state supreme court will shortly hear an appeal of Public Service Commission approval of the Triangle site.

In the meantime, the company has permitted the cleared site to be used for recreation, but balked at its temporary use for mobile homes for relocation. This summer, a group of black youths created a Tent City ("no one said 'recreation' couldn't in-
the U.S. Bureau of Public Roads refers to Charleston as having "one of the three most critical urban penetrations" in the entire Interstate system.

Triangle people are not the only ones upset by the routing. Across the Kanawha River to the south, owners of expensive homes are incensed at the low bids offered by the SRC: they suspect "deals" about whose land was taken and whose was spared.

Forty-six of the city's architects banded together as a result of the road crisis, forming an Architectural League of Greater Charleston. Accepting the chosen corridors, they ask why the Interstates can't be used as a "springboard to improvement" through the federal government's joint development program. They hammer away at the idea of the railroad-to avoid scarifying the scenic hillsides, to provide multiple use of precious valley land, and to preserve and enlarge the tax base of the city. They point out that the federal government will pay nine-tenths of the basic cost of such projects.

Largely through their efforts, the road will be built on structure, not fill, at three places—one in the Triangle and two across the Elk River in the West Side. The land underneath will be available for parking, recreation or other uses.

The architects are "still fighting," reports League activist C. E. Silling: they are trying to have "the entire East Side intrusion" built on structure. Clarence Moran, an architect who is director of the county's Regional Development Authority, says, "If something is built on a right of way, there is nothing to move at all!" He claims that locating the road over the railroad was never carefully considered by the SRC, and bona fide estimates were never given. Nor were other possibilities investigated, he says: perhaps the railroad track could have been removed altogether, and the two railroads (north and south of the river) made into one.

Architects have waited in vain for an extensive model of the roads and the existing topography promised by the SRC. One architect, Robert Martens, a prime mover in the Architectural League, built an 8 by 9 ft. model himself, donated it to the city. The model was never used. Four architects offered their services to review comparative designs but received no precise information. Two architects wrote last year's country-music hit, "The Interstate Is Coming Through My Outhouse"—not so much illuminating a specific situation as the general problem.

The SRC's argument against joint development is that having to elevate the road over the railroad on the south side and the flood plain on the north would put it too high to have a platform above it. Also, says Hayne, with space available for as little as $3 per sq. ft., it makes no sense economically to stack the road with other uses. (This seems inconsistent with the city's pressure for high density because of the scarcity of land.)

Hayne has repeatedly warned that any delays would be "disastrous"—even "catastrophic." Morgan expresses the views of other architects: "We'll be looking at this thing for a long time. Is one more year going to make that much difference?" The Mayor's Committee on the Interstate (formed in response to the architects' complaints) is as firm as Hayne; one member denies that cutting into the rock would leave ugly scars—"God made the rocks just as He did the trees."

Now the governor is concerned, though. In July, he ordered the SRC to study the possibility of moving the road off the hillside behind the Capitol and putting it on stilts over the railroad. "We're not going to lose that mountain," he says. His secretary of state, Jay Rockefeller (incidentally, a Democrat), contends that if the governor (incidentally, a Republican) can move the road to preserve the scenery, he should also move the water company site, and more housing, into the Triangle.

This latter struggle entered a new phase in mid-September when the SRC began speeding up demolition in the Triangle; residents told the SRC their local offices were not safe, and state troopers came in with shotguns. Following this flareup, Secretary Volpe halted all demolition in the Triangle, and ordered an investigation of all aspects—including relocation—of this segment of the route. Only two weeks earlier he had pledged to block any new federal highway project that did not have relocation housing already built for displacedes. James Braman, who is DOT's Assistant Secretary for Urban Systems and Environment, and who recently helped to kill the New Orleans Expressway, is in charge of the review.

Through it all, the SRC has enjoyed less than full credibility—partly, no doubt, because of the scandals of recent years. Among other persons (including a former governor who was indicted in 1968 but not convicted), the State Road Commissioner and his deputy commissioner were sentenced to two years in federal prison for an Interstate bribery plot, another SRC official got four years for making off with government property, and this spring an SRC supervisor was fired on grounds of falsifying pay records. (There are also allegations that certain members of the country's governing body, having received illegal advance notice of the final location of the Interstate, purchased a house along the right-of-way.) So, although the SRC says the road is fully approved, there seems to be some doubt as to whether the specific path, or only the general corridor, is approved. And now there is renewed opposition to having the Interstates anywhere within the city.

In-city or bypass routing

The city's planning director, Theodore Wilding, took the road as given when he arrived in Charleston four years ago. The Marcon, O'Leary firm, too, accepted the road's location, feeling that their professional responsibility was to the municipal agency: although they had some early doubts, they felt it was not good professional practice to raise such questions publicly.

The road almost had to be in the city, because there are no clear traffic generators are there," says Moran. Abeles agrees that improvement of valley traffic is needed, "but when you have kidney trouble, you don't go for a heart operation." The Interstate Coordinator believes that eventually a bypass will be needed, but if it had been built first, the city would never have gotten the in-city route. A study in 1964 by Tippets, Abbott, McCarthy & Stratton investigated about a dozen routes for the Interstate system, strongly recommending this one. The Chamber of Commerce has steadfastly pushed the in-city route, initially in the face of city objections (in the '50s). They believe the Interstates will stimulate the city, relieve its traffic problems, and end its isolation. One newspaper columnist also suggested it would provide "a long-needed shift in the city's population"—a curious phrase that is interpreted in some quarters as a euphemism for Negro removal. Abeles asserts that, as proven elsewhere, the in-city route will encourage the development of suburban shopping (now almost nil) more than it will aid the downtown area.

The relocation crisis

The Interstates will also, of course, displace some 1,110 families—maybe more, when all the rumps are counted. The need for replacement housing between '66 and '71, says the CRP, is a staggering 2,857 units. All housing in the city, as of 1960, totalled only 28,610 units, and the number has decreased since then.

In a sense, however, no one makes no bones about the deficiency. The city's planning director has said that of the 2,129 families to be displaced by 1971, most will be lost to the city. In September, a postal delivery report confirmed this forecast, projecting a decrease of 5,000 people between 1960 and 70. The mayor calls this "the price of progress." Abeles says: "They think that people are dispensable, that there are plenty enough from the hills. But the minute you treat one human as less valuable than the others, you devalue everyone."

But an urban renewal application requires a "relocation plan," so the URA has one for the Triangle. Abeles called it a fraud at a public hearing, and was almost drowned off his feet by the chairman of the URA. The director of renewal defines the plan. "If housing develops as we think, we'll have enough." Yet his list of anticipated projects includes many that are not yet approved, and one that is in a town 20 miles away. And basic statistics are in contention: the head of the new state Housing Development Fund says the URA has an unreleased study showing 125 more families being displaced than are listed on the application to HUD. To HUD.

Other agencies are also under attack. A devastating study of the Housing Authority, done by two "neutral" councilmen, put it this way: "Although we respect the delusions of the position taken by the Housing Authority that the quantity of public housing at the moment is adequate and that present plans will provide for the interstate and urban re-
The practice of advocacy

“Peter Abeles coming into Charleston is like Admiral Perry opening up Japan,” says a local newspaper; “Things can never be the same again.” Abeles is more modest. He sees a small success in the changing of a few policies, but a greater potential impact in the possibility that “nobody will try the fast ones anymore.”

Advocacy planning has unquestionably entered the vocabulary of Charlestonians. Some claim not to understand it; the conservative Daily Mail complains that “the reasons why it’s superior to plain planning are far from clear.” Others understand it in their own way: Planning Director Wilding says, “I'm an advocate planner. All planners are, if they’re doing their jobs.” In fact, the new Community Renewal Program recommends “independent technical advice” for local groups, since local staff members cannot give an objective view of plans they have themselves created.

There are unofficial ground rules, though. “Advocacy must be based on facts,” says Marcon. O’Leary, implying that much of Abeles’ analysis wasn’t. “You have to know what you’re talking about,” says Abeles. “No one ever said I was wrong.”

Should the advocate work with the local agency? Wilding says. “Data collection is a big part of planning, and we had all the data. He never asked for it.” Abeles reports that he sought information on one subject and found it inadequate. But in any case, he wanted to use only what was in the written documents, wanted to avoid a “professional buddy-buddy” situation with local planners, and did not believe he would have access to information that had already been denied his clients. At one point he received what was probably an “overture” to meet with city officials, but since his clients were to be excluded, he declined.

Could the city’s consultants and the advocate planner have worked together? “It’s an interesting idea,” says O’Leary. Says Abeles, “If I’d been in from the beginning, on their backs, the city would have gotten a much snappier plan.”

Abeles believes that HUD should put 10 to 15 percent of its planning money into advocacy planning—to keep everyone honest. He feels that the legal basis of planning lies not so much in the state enabling acts, zoning, subdivision, etc., as people think, but in the tradition of law itself. “In law, there are two equal advocates; in planning, there’s only one side.”

Some sectors of the community, in fact, were horrified to see the federal government funding “two plans”—one out of HUD, the other out of OEO.

Abeles issued a formal statement: “Our system of government has as one of its guiding principles that groups and individuals must have available to them the strongest and best representation when there are disputes.” Although relatively new to planning, the concept of retaining an expert to advocate one’s position is common to any private citizen who retains counsel to advise and protect his position.

Marcon, O’Leary are not opposed to advocacy; they have been involved in Chicago in a process they call “responsible militancy”—aiding residents of Lawndale to redevelop their neighborhood under local control; OEO has just granted $1,100,000 to the economic arm of their client, the Lawndale People’s Planning & Action Conference. But O’Leary has a word of caution: “The political process is to reconcile, develop consensus, negotiate.” If the planning process emphasizes disagreement, it’s wrong. Advocacy can end up like the poverty program, fighting over a bone that has no flesh on it.” He sees the real work of planners as one of finding the mechanisms to get

The release of this letter a month later, not by the mayor (to whom it was addressed) but by an opposition councilman, prompted the mayor to demand that President Nixon investigate HUD for “incompetence, inefficiencies, not doing their homework, and wasting the taxpayers’ money.” The mayor particularly asked for Hawthorn’s dismissal, saying that the code deficiencies mentioned in the letter had already been corrected, and that the “warnings” on relocation were simply the agreements already reached between the city and HUD. This in turn prompted another opposition councilman to suggest his own investigation of HUD—specifically why the Workable Program was recertified at all, in mid ’69, since it was hardly different from the submission that was rejected in early ’68.

The practice of advocacy

Peter Abeles coming into Charleston is like Admiral Perry opening up Japan,” says a local newspaper; “Things can never be the same again.” Abeles is more modest. He sees a small success in the changing of a few policies, but a greater potential impact in the possibility that “nobody will try the fast ones anymore.”

Advocacy planning has unquestionably entered the vocabulary of Charlestonians. Some claim not to understand it; the conservative Daily Mail complains that “the reasons why it’s superior to plain planning are far from clear.” Others understand it in their own way: Planning Director Wilding says, “I’m an advocate planner. All planners are, if they’re doing their jobs.” In fact, the new Community Renewal Program recommends “independent technical advice” for local groups, since local staff members cannot give an objective view of plans they have themselves created.

There are unofficial ground rules, though. “Advocacy must be based on facts,” says Marcon. O’Leary, implying that much of Abeles’ analysis wasn’t. “You have to know what you’re talking about,” says Abeles. “No one ever said I was wrong.”

Should the advocate work with the local agency? Wilding says. “Data collection is a big part of planning, and we had all the data. He never asked for it.” Abeles reports that he sought information on one subject and found it inadequate. But in any case, he wanted to use only what was in the written documents, wanted to avoid a “professional buddy-buddy” situation with local planners, and did not believe he would have access to information that had already been denied his clients. At one point he received what was probably an “overture” to meet with city officials, but since his clients were to be excluded, he declined.

Could the city’s consultants and the advocate planner have worked together? “It’s an interesting idea,” says O’Leary. Says Abeles, “If I’d been in from the beginning, on their backs, the city would have gotten a much snappier plan.”

Abeles believes that HUD should put 10 to 15 percent of its planning money into advocacy planning—to keep everyone honest. He feels that the legal basis of planning lies not so much in the state enabling acts, zoning, subdivision, etc., as people think, but in the tradition of law itself. “In law, there are two equal advocates; in planning, there’s only one side.”

Some sectors of the community, in fact, were horrified to see the federal government funding “two plans”—one out of HUD, the other out of OEO. Abeles issued a formal statement: “Our system of government has as one of its guiding principles that groups and individuals must have available to them the strongest and best representation when there are disputes.” Although relatively new to planning, the concept of retaining an expert to advocate one’s position is common to any private citizen who retains counsel to advise and protect his position.

Marcon, O’Leary are not opposed to advocacy; they have been involved in Chicago in a process they call “responsible militancy”—aiding residents of Lawndale to redevelop their neighborhood under local control; OEO has just granted $1,100,000 to the economic arm of their client, the Lawndale People’s Planning & Action Conference. But O’Leary has a word of caution: “The political process is to reconcile, develop consensus, negotiate.” If the planning process emphasizes disagreement, it’s wrong. Advocacy can end up like the poverty program, fighting over a bone that has no flesh on it.” He sees the real work of planners as one of finding the mechanisms to get
things done. And DOT is where the money is today—not HUD. With 15 per cent of the Interstate left to be built, he says, involving 40 per cent of the program’s funds and some of the most destructive and controversial urban intrusions, DOT may have to make some compromises to get the job finished. He asks why the joint development concept can’t be broadened to require the construction of housing for every person displaced by highway construction.

**Special interests**

It is alleged that groups hiring an advocate planner are only pleading for their own concerns, with no interest in the “total problem.” But what is any city’s “total problem”—who defines it, and who makes the crucial decisions?

Every city has its different groups, each seeing its interests best served by different policies. A growing number of planners see their job as maximizing the opportunities of all people in a city, rather than maximizing the value of all land in the city.

But “the vested interests want to stay vested,” as one vested citizen puts it. “Losing a fight is one thing,” counters a city official sympathetic to the protesters, “but not being able to fight is another.” A less sympathetic view is summarized by the head of the Chamber of Commerce: “the egg was already scrambled, now they want it fried. Next time let them have a voice. Of course, they did this time,” he adds hastily.

It won’t be easy, considering Charleston’s record. Only ten months ago, the URA spelled out to HUD an example of resident involvement—a clean-up campaign whereby existing vacant lots can be cleared of dangerous rubbish (rusty nails, glass, etc.). Of course, resident involvement in such aspects as planning and other technical areas is not feasible. More recently, the planning department tried to rush through a new zoning ordinance and failed to make maps available until an hour before the public hearing. (The document itself was only distributed two days earlier.) And, concerning the comprehensive plan, HUD went on record in August with the statement that “inadequate meaningful citizen involvement and inadequate representation of low-income and minority group members during development of the plan appears to be one of the main causes of the controversy.”

The constituency of some bodies is changing—the URA and the Planning Commission now have “minority” representatives—but the same mechanisms for communication (or lack of it) may still persist. Local planners seem to think of “communication” as a one-way street, an attempt to explain without jargon what the professionals have already decided. The Housing Authority, too, has received new blood, which may result—at last—in the serious attempt to utilize scattered sites, turnkey housing, and leased housing, all of which have been resisted by the ultra-conservative body. And the Housing Development Fund is a new force in the state, in a situation where few banks have the capability for dealing with federal requirements, and only two builders in the state erect more than 100 houses a year. (The Fund faces a constitutional fight this fall.)

But to some extent the city may be stuck with plans from more benighted times. Charlestonians may well look with envy at a major city not far away, now in preliminary studies for a pioneering joint development project that uses air rights over an Interstate highway. The planners are Marcou, O’Leary. This firm is also looking into a new kind of cost-benefit analysis (one that includes social factors).

Charleston’s first urban renewal project (above, left and right) is all that is visible so far on the skyline. Pressure will increase to see something realized for what one local architect/planner estimates is $1 million spent in the city on planning in recent years—probably closer to $2 million, counting the state’s highway planning. (The advocacy planner received $6,000 for his firm’s two-year involvement.)

By 1985, reads an editorial in the liberal Charleston Gazette, the city will show “a bright and shiny facade to the casual visitor. But underneath its gleaming facade, the old sickness of special interest and deprivation of human rights will continue to eat away at the city’s vitality.” Some will argue that this isn’t true; others, that it need not be.

—ELLEN PERRY BERKELEY

Every weekday last summer, 2,000 children in yellow school buses converged on a 240-acre tract of woods in the middle of Long Island. Many of them spent well over an hour traveling from New York City to reach a special kind of day camp, the Usdan Center for the Performing Arts. Here they could practice music, dance, and visual arts under the guidance of nationally known performers and teachers; and between their strictly programmed sessions with the arts, they could lunch in the woods, swim, or play volleyball.

The mission of the camp’s architects, Conklin & Rossant, was to provide sheltered spaces where these 2,000 (eventually 3,000) kids could concentrate on their arts without being either isolated from nature or distracted by other activities. They gave each space only as much enclosure as needed to keep out weather and to keep in sound. And they designed all structures to function with natural lighting and ventilation only.

Each of the arts, of course, required a different kind of shelter, and the architects have emphasized these distinctions by exaggerating certain functional features in each area, such as the projecting walls of the dance studios (facing page). The resulting forms give the camp a festive look, deliberately unlike the campers’ home environments or the spartan shelters of sports-oriented camps.

All of the camp’s widely varied structures have one feature in common: the use of plywood for virtually all exposed surfaces, including roofs—a rare, if not unique, application of the material. The redwood-surfaced sheets (chosen, incidentally, before redwood conservation became a major issue) have been applied with ship-lapped joints, effectively waterproofed with sealant tape. Although all of the structures are clad in weathered wood, the overall effect is far from woody. Prominent strips of bright metal and black sealant at the corners outline the vigorous building shapes.

The Usdan camp is open to children from 8 to 18 years old, who are contacted through a network of community organizations. Campers are accepted not on the basis of their accomplishment, or their ability to pay the modest tuition (which may be waived), but on the basis of their interest. If they are ready to follow a rigorous daily schedule calling for two hours of intensive practice in a “major” art, plus an hour in a “minor” field, Usdan Center is ready for them.
The four dance studios in each building (left) are separated by storage rooms and projecting walls, which keep piano or phonograph accompaniment in one studio from disturbing classes in the next one. Strip skylights illuminate the white-painted back walls of each studio, so that dancers are never in the sun, but silhouetted against a light background. Low eaves and solid fences around the outside of the studios protect perspiring children from wind without closing them in visually. Studio floors are designed to professional standards, with resilient wood framing, soft-cored plywood, and several coats of urethane enamel. One studio (top photo) has a turned-up roof on one side and movable flats inside, so that it can serve as a mock stage for an audience seated on the natural bank facing it. Steep ramps, coated with nonskid enamel, lead up to a roof-deck (bottom photo) on top of the four-studio cluster.

Typical music practice sheds (right) are placed 90 ft. apart in a regular pattern. The woods between them, largely undisturbed, muffle sound that leaks out from under the helmet-shaped roofs. Despite these precautions, the camp staff was anxious about acoustical isolation—a chronic problem in other music camps. The results here are, in the words of camp director Andrew McKinley, "absolutely marvelous." All is well as long as the young musicians stay inside the sheds; a single flute played out in the woods disturbs the whole area. The three large rehearsal sheds—for orchestra (bottom photo), band, and chorus—are located 115 ft. apart, with blank-walled storage rooms on the sides facing the other sheds. Like the smaller sheds, they have wood-framed roofs supported on cylindrical steel columns, with plastic skylights inserted at the peak.
The visual arts area (left) is strongly identified by its curved studio roofs, which are made of double layers of %·in. plywood bent over wood frames. The frankly playful curved shapes are repeated in the tongue-in-cheek, half-arched doors. The studios are clustered around a central open space that will eventually be dominated by a cylindrical art gallery. The 19-ft.-tall north wall of each studio (bottom photo) opens out to an outdoor workspace roughly the size of the indoor studio, enclosed by tall fences that double as display boards. Since visual art is a "minor" field for the campers, who "major" in one of the performing arts, this cluster will grow as other facilities expand toward an ultimate capacity of about 3,000.

The three circular pools (right)—for diving, swimming, and learning—are set into a cluster of "volcanoes" formed by reshaping the side of the camp's tallest knoll. The purposes of this earth-shaping were to protect performing areas from the nuisance of pool noise and to get the pools well removed from the shade of the woods, as well as blowing leaves and other debris. The earth banks, planted with vines, extend up above pool level, absorbing pool sounds and deflecting them upward—and also warding off winds that might make this exposed platform uncomfortable. Acoustical control is so effective that pool noise is hardly noticeable, even around the pools themselves.

FACTS AND FIGURES

REVIEWED BY DONALD HOFFMAN

The late Willard Connelly, in his biography of Louis Sullivan, noted that the architect Henry Van Brunt "with his limp moustache and pince-nez looked like a seedy English nobleman." William A. Coles, in a long introduction to his selection of Van Brunt's essays, dismisses Sullivan by asserting his work in general never commanded wide and enduring public enthusiasm: "Like most Romantic Secessionist ventures, it has quickly dated once its novelty has worn off."

Van Brunt and Sullivan, it is clear, both were more important than their respective critics have allowed. The essays of Van Brunt, to Coles, are intellectually coherent: they offer a unique and central perspective on American architecture; and they are capable of restoring us to the full context of later 19th-century thinking about art.

Those are heady claims. Coles, for all his scholarly apparatus, significantly does not compare the essays of Van Brunt with the writings of Montgomery Schuyler, John Root, or Louis Sullivan—which are more incisive, more intellectually coherent, and, alas, more progressive.

There are really two Van Brunts. The reader can take his pick, with the frustrating experience of seeing one set of ideas contradicted in the next essay, or on the next page. Coles chooses Van Brunt the conservative.

Coles begins to reveal his own point of view when he analyzes Viollet-le-Duc's impact on Van Brunt's thought. (The reader should be aware that Coles edited the book American Architecture: A Battle of Styles with Henry Hope Reed Jr., who refers to modern architecture as "Picturesque Secessionism," predicts its demise, and urges a return to "taste." ) Coles finally makes his stance evident by declaring the Court of Honor at the World's Columbian Exposition of 1893 "a natural step in the reform and educated growth of American architecture. . . . Henceforth would be built the great public buildings, libraries, universities, museums . . . banks, stores, and exchanges which suited not only the nation's essential to modern needs but its lofty aspirations. . . . Van Brunt helps us to understand why we can call the period launched by the Chicago Fair the American Renaissance."

Henry Van Brunt was born in Boston in 1852. He attended the Boston Latin School and was graduated from Harvard in 1874. He spent a year with Richard Morris Hunt in New York, was an early officer of the American Institute of Architects, and published his first essay in 1860. After serving in the Civil War, he joined William R. Ware in the prominent partnership of Ware & Van Brunt, which lasted until 1881, when Ware left to set up the architectural program at Columbia University. Frank M. Howe continued the partnership with Van Brunt; from 1887 until Van Brunt's death in 1903, Van Brunt & Howe was the dominant firm in Kansas City, Mo., where the partners had moved to expedite a large number of commissions from the Union Pacific.

Architectural and Society is profusely illustrated; the plates are eccentrically numbered, but there are about 240. Most of them nicely illustrate what Van Brunt discusses in the essays. Some 80 of them are of the work of Van Brunt's own firm.

Who did the designing in the firm is not discussed by Coles, who rather thoughtlessly appends a building list to footnote 45 to the first section of the introduction. The most impressive buildings are the handsomely massed First Church of Boston, Unitarian, which burned only last March; the picturesque Union Station at Worcester, Mass.; Memorial Hall at Harvard, its clock tower now truncated by fire and its future evidently dim; the highly articulated St. Stephen's Church in Lynn, Mass., and the "free Romanesque" store for Bulle, Moore & Emery in Kansas City, lately abandoned.

The body of this very well-produced book reprints 22 of Van Brunt's 41 essays, reviews, and speeches. Van Brunt provides a literate index to the changing winds of late 19th-century American architectural thought. His principal concern lay in urging architects to become a "true exponent" of American civilization, thus qualifying it to enter the ranks of the notable styles of the past.

In March 1886, he wrote that the Richardsonian Romanesque was not developing "that classical essential to modern requirements:" in November 1886, after Richardson had died, he termed Richardson's work "so elastic to practical use." After John Root's death in January 1891, Van Brunt wrote a warm appraisal, typically clouded by an irrelevant application of classical terms to such a skyscraper as the Masonic Temple (."a perpendicular tyranny of pilasters, resting upon an inadequate open stylobate"). This was only two years after Van Brunt's excellent essay on "Architecture in the West," in which he recognized not only the importations of the modern high office but the emergence of the Chicago school.

His papers on the World's Columbian Exposition noted--as did Schuyler, more forcefully--that the Fair was an "unsubstantial pageant," and asserted that American architecture should be judged by the structures in and near our larger cities: then he contended that the "Roman classic forms" of the Court of Honor would "present to the profession here an object-lesson . . . of the practical value of architectural scholarship and of strict subordination to the formulas . . . ."

Such writing may well mean that Van Brunt's greatest contribution lay in his translation of the first ten of Viollet-le-Duc's celebrated Discourses. His was an earlier and better translation than Benjamin Bucknall's. What Van Brunt translated as "an arch of triumph, a purely monumental conception erected by Tiberius Claudius, son of Drusus, to celebrate the introduction of water by the Claudian Aqueduct into Rome," Bucknall translated as "the Great Gate that serves for the Issue of the Claudian water" (which sounds, a contemporary archaeologist has noted, like a giant piazzetta).

It was Viollet-le-Duc who, even more than Ruskin or Pugin, furnished the theoretical basis for modern architecture. Sullivan and Root knew his words, and when the young Frank Lloyd Wright began visiting the Madison Public Library, it was Van Brunt's translation of the Discourses that he found there.

Mr. Hoffman is the art critic of the Kansas City Star and author of The Meanings of Architecture: Buildings and Writings of John Wellborn Root.
In spite of many shortcomings, this is an important, thought-provoking book. Reyner Banham is to be congratulated on the selection of his reference-frame, even if some of the fill-in is confused, some of it missing, and some of it erroneous. His basic point is that architectural history and architectural criticism have been weakened by a lack of interest in environmental controls. Referring to the historians' treatment of Wright's Larkin Building—which anticipated the monumental handling of the ventilating shafts in Kahn's Richards Laboratories—Banham says: 

"So shallow an interest in so profound a building was inevitable. The aim of writing and expounding the history of architecture has been allowed—by default and academic inertia—to become narrowed to a point where almost its only interest outside the derivation of styles is haggling over primacy in the field of structures. Of these two alternatives, the study of stylistic derivations now predominates to such an extent that the great bulk of so-called historical research is little more than medieval disputation on the number of influences that can balance on the point of a pinnacle."

Which leaves out, he says, "a vast range of historical topics extremely relevant to the development of architecture... Some are external—patronage, legislation, professional organization, etc.: others are internal—changes in use, changes in users' expectations, changes in methods of servicing users' needs. Of these last the mechanical and environmental controls are the most obviously and spectacularly important... yet they are the least studied."

When demonstrating the gains to be had by correcting this "most spectacular" deficiency Banham is at his best, especially in dealing with the early works of Frank Lloyd Wright. He even succeeds in quoting the master himself, very much to the point: "Another modern opportunity is afforded by our effective system of hot-water heating. By this means the forms of building may be completely articulated, with light and air on several sides. By keeping the ceilings low the walls may be opened with a series of windows to the outer air, the flowers and the trees, the prospect, and one may live as comfortably as formerly, less shut in... it is also possible to spread the buildings, which once in our climate extremes were a compact box cut into compartments, into a more organic expression, making a house in a garden or the country the delightful thing in relation to either or both, that imagination would have it."

Of this quotation (from the English version of the first Watson volume) Banham says: "Few writings of any architect relate mechanical equipment [to] plan and section so directly as does this compact and holistic vision of Wright's. Few statements of method can be so directly and revealingly tested against actual buildings. Although the statement begins with hot-water heating it proceeds directly to the improvement of aspect and ventilation made possible by articulating the house into more separate parts." He then goes on to show in interesting detail how these and other environmental control principles were successfully applied to the Baker house in Wilmette, Illinois (1908), and other Wright houses of the period.

In view of the above, it is little short of amazing that so perceptive a scholar and observer should have missed the superb integration of daylighting and electric lighting in Wright's Unity Temple (1905) which would be outstanding if done today. This omission is made even more evident by the rather tame examples of architect-designed lighting Banham does include. Similarly, he cites—and even illustrates—the use of a multiplicity of bare light bulbs (suspended on cords) in the Great Hall of Stokesay Court, by Thomas Harris, in 1889, while quite unaccountably failing to mention the more integral and designful (and better known) use of the same device at the same date by Adler and Sullivan in their Auditorium Theater.
OFFICE IN SUSPENSION

A unique suspension system resistant to earthquakes was worked out by Canadian Architects Rhone & Iredale for the offices of the Westcoast Transmission Co. Ltd., a natural gas pipeline company in Vancouver, British Columbia. A single, post-stressed square concrete core supports the structure; it contains all mechanical and electrical equipment, and stairs and elevators. The core was constructed by a slipform technique in under three weeks. Across the top of the core, and clamped to its edges, hang 12 steel cables. The 12-story office block is suspended from these cables; steel framing members of each floor are bolted to the core, and attached at their outer ends to the cables by friction clamps. The cables support a bronze-tinted, glare-reducing glass curtain wall at 36-ft. intervals. The 138,000-sq.-ft. office block hangs 36 ft. above a landscaped plaza, under which is a three-level parking garage.

AFRICAN SHRINE

The pilgrimage center and shrine designed by Swiss Architect Justus Dahinden in Mityana, Uganda, takes its motif from ancient forms and symbols of Bantu belief. Three cupola segments echo Bantu mask shapes; they also mirror the shape of grass huts typical of the area. The cupola segments face inward towards the main altar and nave; each contains private worship space and chapels and one, the baptismal font. Beyond the nave are walls which open out to open-air extensions used as classrooms. Instead of a belltower, the church has a drumtower. The structure is of brick, with wood ceilings. The cupola segments are protected on the exterior by a thin layer of cement of an earth color. The center was erected by the Association for the Uganda Martyrs' Shrines in remembrance of the first three African saints.
SIMPLE FORM FOR SERVICE
Occupyng a triangular corner site in downtown Boston, Mass., is the Jewish Family and Children's Service building. Marvin E. Goody, John M. Clancy & Associates designed the three-story structure as a strong, simple form to blend in with the larger buildings of Boston's Government Center surrounding it. The main focus of the building is its entrance which is set back under the cantilevered upper floors. The entire structure is sheathed in brick, which is also used for the paving of the sidewalk. Inside are private caseworker's rooms and a meeting room.

TUNNEL SECTION UNDER WATER
DUTCH FEAT
The 600-million IJ tunnel in Amsterdam, the Netherlands, is an engineering feat. The 1,040-meter, double-tube tunnel had to be submerged in a very poor subsoil under the IJ River; there was, also, a high level of ground water in the surrounding area. Each section of the tunnel (prefabricated nearby, right) was sunk onto pile foundations, as the excavated area was drained by a "return well" system of de-watering or pumping up water from the site and then pumping it back further away.

Between the two tubes are three ducts for lines and cables transmitting electricity and pipes conducting water from one part of the city to the other. The tunnel is encased in waterproofing and steel shells. Enamelled steel sheets cover inside walls; sunscreens protect entrances.

TOWER FOR KNIGHTS
New Haven, renowned for its efforts in urban renewal, now boasts the recently completed world headquarters for the Knights of Columbus among its newest additions to the redeveloping downtown. The 360-ft-high, 26-story building dominates entry to the city from the major highway. It is constructed of four cylindrical corner towers, slip-formed of concrete and then faced with dark brick, between which girders are slung supporting steel-framed floors. Surrounding the $17-million structure is a large civic plaza. Architects were Kevin Roche, John Dinkeloo & Associates.
SHINGLED SPIRAL

The spiraling structure above is the Precious Blood Roman Catholic Church in St. Boniface, Winnipeg, Manitoba. Canadian Architect Etienne Gaboury (with Associate Architect Denis L. Lussier) focused on the tension created by his asymmetrical design to draw movement around to the geometrical center of the spiral, which is, also, the structural center of the building—and the place where the altar stands. The pews partially encircle the altar. The floors and wall are of brick.

The exterior roof is covered with red cedar shingles and hand-split shakes which weather to a grey tone. The spiral is rippled (right) to accentuate the three confessional areas inside. Over the main entrance (above) is a stained glass window also designed by the architects.
Dronten is a frontier town in the Netherlands—a community of about 10,000 on the polders of East Flevoland, which was reclaimed from the Zuider Zee only 12 years ago. Until recently, Dronten’s only public gathering place was an open market square, and piazza weather is rare there.

Architect Frank van Klingeren, commissioned to design a $1-million community center, saw the possibility of making it an all-weather annex to the square—an indoor “agora” with all the diverse functions of the ancient Greek model plus a few distinctly modern ones (such as community TV-watching). Above all, he saw the “agora” as a place for people “to do nothing but watch and wait and fool around.”

Van Klingeren did not want to erect barriers between activities, which would fix their locations and discourage “watching,” although he recognized that there would be conflict acoustically. It is sometimes necessary to ask people outside the theater (which has no ceiling of its own) to quiet down; but then again, it may not be, since most of them may be watching the same performance by closed-circuit TV on a 370-sq.-ft. screen. In its architectural form, the agora is meant to look like a covered extension of the town square: hence the glass-walled, Miesian enclosure. But van Klingeren also wanted to emphasize that a building serving such variable functions could never be truly complete; hence the diverse blocks that intersect the enclosure at its base.

Inside, these little appendages produce a series of alcoves and terraces surrounding the vast main volume. The one fixed division in this space is the wall around the oval theater, which will seat 550 to 700 spectators, depending on which of six possible stage arrangements is in use. Beneath the terrace adjoining the theater are dressing rooms for both actors and athletes. A balcony at the opposite end of the hall may be used for exhibitions or dancing. In this vast public room, opportunities for watching are almost limitless.

FACTS AND FIGURES


PHOTOGRAPHS: top left, “Bell”; bottom left and right, Jan Versnel; right, Florian J. Lem.
"Sure we can rebuild America," said the renewal administrator to the concerned and questioning representative of the League of Women Voters at one of those forums on the city-housing-jobs crisis. "Just give us the right of eminent domain, the power to ignore local zoning and unlimited financing."

Like every urban state, New York has been fighting a losing battle against decay. Its slums are spreading faster than its rate of new construction. Its old commercial cores can no longer compete with suburban shopping centers and are corroding. Its industry is fleeing to the countryside, leaving a potential workforce in the cities on unemployment and welfare. It is a mess, as anyone can testify who has toured New York City's South Bronx, Harlem, Brownsville and Bedford Stuyvesant, Buffalo's downtown, Albany's backstreets and the mill towns of the Mohawk Valley.

Urban renewal at best has been an inadequate tool, trying to drain the floor of blight with an eyedropper, and at worst a quagmire, replacing neighborhoods with vacant lots and promises. According to a recent report, New York has 2,741 acres under urban renewal, of which 198 acres are completed projects, 280 acres are in advanced project stages, while the remaining 2,263 acres are in limbo, lacking feasible plans and viable sponsors. The state's renewal effort becomes even more frustrating when measured against the estimated 100,000 acres in substandard condition in and around the cities of New York.

Since it takes on the average 13 years to complete an urban renewal project in New York City and eight years upstream, it would take New York at its present pace about 350 years to eliminate its slums, assuming that their present festering is contained and that new housing starts keep up with demands of the state's burgeoning population. (Of course, both assumptions are optimistic fantasies, given the state of the cities and the economy today, and given the utter lack of a commitment to the housing problem by the federal government.)

New York's renewal experience is not unique. Every urban state is suffering. But the magnitude of New York's problem is such that its failure is more glaring, much to the consternation of Governor Rockefeller, who has prided himself in his administration's herculean rebuilding efforts. Establishing public benefit corporations to avoid bureaucratic pitfalls, and unleashing billions in bonds, the state of New York in the last ten years has built a vast higher education plant, rebuilt its mental health facilities and hospitals, extended its highways and is now tackling mass transportation and water pollution, following a 1967 public vote of confidence in the passage of a multi-billion dollar bond package.

With these victories behind and bolstering him, and the chaos of the cities daily front page news, the governor waded into the urban renewal morass two years ago. Ignoring bureaucratic and political pressures to fatten up the lethargic State Division of Housing and Community Renewal, the governor again elected to seek the quasi-government form of the public benefit corporation to get the job done.

He also sought out Edward J. Logue, who has perhaps the best reputation in the nation as an urban administrator dedicated to getting a job done. Logue was a part-time professor of public administration in Boston and a constant renewal consultant and critic, following an overwhelming rejection by voters in
his 1967 bid for the Boston mayoralty. Logue built his national reputation while rebuilding New Haven and Boston; and he had previously toyed with the challenge of New York City when Mayor Lindsay offered him the job of Development Administrator in 1966—after Logue had given Lindsay a report outlining the position and what had to be done. When Lindsay failed to deliver the reforms Logue considered necessary (principally the subjection of the City Planning Commission to a new Development Administration), Logue declined the job. He had been quite excited by the prospect of tackling New York City. But Logue felt he needed some strong teeth to bite into the Big Apple.

Working as consultant to Governor Rockefeller, he translated the reforms he considered necessary into an outline of a new state corporation that embodied the fantasies of every renewal administrator in the U.S. The resulting legislative proposal called for a development corporation with vast powers which would allow it to condemn property, to plan new structures or even new cities, to build them if no one else would, to own them and lease or manage them, to receive tax exemptions and, if need be, to ignore local zoning and building codes. In addition, Logue recommended that this development corporation be given $5 million as a starter to cover operating costs, $35 million in "first instance" appropriations to cover planning and project costs prior to permanent financing, and a bond authorization of $1 billion. Two subsidiary sister agencies to the corporation were also proposed: a Corporation for Urban Development and Research, which would plan and initiate demonstration projects; and an Urban Development Guarantee Fund, which would get around some state charter problems in financing and would guarantee mortgage loans in project areas.

Reading more like the recommendations of an "urban workshop" than a serious legislative proposal (especially by a Republican administration), the proposal was greeted with gasps. Legislators, lobbyists and journalists in Albany gave it little chance of success, despite the governor's strong support. There was almost no area for compromise in Logue's package: the state administration held firm on the need of the corporation for absolute powers—particularly its option to ignore local zoning codes, an issue that rallied opponents from Montauk to Niagara Falls. Zoning is the bedrock on which home rule stands, and in New York, as in other states, home rule stands next to God. The package languished, collecting derisive remarks and dust.

On April 6, 1968, the Rev. Martin Luther King was assassinated in Memphis. Governor Rockefeller sent a special message to the Legislature two days later, urging passage of the urban development package—as a tribute and a memorial to the slain civil rights leader—and then flew to Atlanta to attend the funeral. During the afternoon of April 9, the day of the funeral, the proposal passed the Senate, but in the Assembly conservative upstate Republicans joined liberal New York City Democrats in their concern over home rule to defeat the package 85 to 48. Reacting strongly to the rebuff, after the funeral in Atlanta, the governor started making telephone calls, urging, cajoling and threatening legislators to reconsider the vote. Patronage and pet bills were dangled before Assemblymen in a dazzling display of political power by Rockefeller, and within seven hours 40 votes were turned. The package went back before the Assembly at 11:30 that night and was approved 86 to 45. The governor signed the proposal into law the following day, and the most potent government-created apparatus for tackling the problems of urban development was in business in New York State.

"Sure we can rehouse America," said the housing administrator to the angry representative of a community action committee at one of those housing workshops. "Just give us unlimited use of land writedown, interest and rent subsidies, and remove all restrictions from every housing program."

A year and a half has passed since the UDC became a legal entity and Ed Logue no longer slips into the governor's Manhattan office on West 55th Street off Fifth Avenue, but walks boldly into the corporation's modern offices two blocks south, where its executives share the tenth floor with the Ted Bates advertising agency. Following Logue and filling the offices have been many old friends and associates from the good old New Haven and Boston days, among them Robert M. Lithke, New York City regional manager, and John Stainton, Rochester area regional manager. They and others have been attracted no doubt by the excitement that surrounds their 48-year-old boss, the autonomy and potential of the agency and, last but not least, the handsome salaries offered.

Parading less boldly into the offices have been mayors, renewal directors, planning commissioners, developers, real estate operators and a host of consultant planners and architects to get a piece of the action and perhaps find a taker for a parcel or a plan. Most of them have not been disappointed. The UDC staff, which has grown from 6 to 160, have reviewed almost every urban renewal project in the state for possible involvement by the corporation, while launching dozens of studies. But as the studies are bound and circulated, and the minutes of the last meeting typed and reviewed, it has become evident to observers and some staff members that the UDC is having problems bridging the gap from rhetoric to reality, particularly its promise to provide low- and moderate-income housing—the UDC's most important objective, according to Logue.

Though it can plan, build and manage any form of housing, it cannot finance subsidized housing, other than to provide short-term construction loans. For low-income housing, it must turn to the local housing authorities and work through their channels to the federal government, acting as any other turnkey developer, hat and plans in hand. To achieve moderate-income housing, it must act as a packager-developer on behalf of a local nonprofit sponsor in applying for FHA mortgages, an increasingly difficult road to travel.

Its position is somewhat better in undertaking middle-income housing, where its legislation directs it to work closely with the State Housing Finance Agency, which provides below market rate mortgages and has at its disposal a variety of rent subsidy tools. But the fact remains that though the UDC can be a constant prodger, especially when headed by Logue, it does not have the final review powers for its housing projects. It will perhaps be able to edge its way to the front of the mortgage commitment line, but nevertheless must stand outside the door while an agency that was bypassed when the UDC package was proposed (and whose staff members earn substantially less than UDC personnel in comparable positions) review their plans.

Architects working on housing studies for the UDC confide that they eventually expect a clash between the HFA and the UDC over design and project costs. Logue has always prided himself in being sensitive to design considerations and that somehow, someway, cost problems can be resolved. On the other hand, the HFA has adhered strictly to cost considerations, with design merely an afterthought. (Co-op City in the Bronx—see Jan./Feb.
to process papers for local renewal agencies and to move the projects through the bureaucracy; with the resources to build the projects, own them and manage or lease them; and with the option to ignore local building or zoning codes, the UDC is, in fact, a super-developer.

The immediate result has been that the UDC has become what can best be described as the developer-of-the-last-resort. Renewal projects across the state that have been vacant lots for years, collecting garbage and defeating incumbents while awaiting a developer with cash in hand, are now being reviewed by the UDC. "There is no doubt about it," said a staff planner, "we are getting the dogs."

After a year of reviewing and negotiating, the UDC has agreements in principal with 11 cities to proceed on projects totaling $600 million in construction. The cities are Amsterdam, Binghamton, Buffalo, Ithaca, Newburgh, Ogdensburg, Ossining, Peekskill, Utica, Yonkers and New York City, which apparently has had some second thoughts after announcing and almost defeating the UDC in the Legislature.

Most of the projects involve housing, with more than 20,000 units planned. 11,000 of them in New York City. The most ambitious of the projects is the development of the 117-acre Welfare Island, in New York City's East River (October issue), where a new community of 5,000 units of housing in a park setting has been designed by architects Philip Johnson and John Burgee. According to the UDC, its income range formula for almost all the housing is 70 per cent income- and 20 per cent low-income and 10 per cent elderly, though it is expected that some communities will suggest different breakdowns. Other projects include parking garages in Ithaca and Syracuse, some industrial and commercial facilities in Yonkers and in and around Buffalo and recreation areas in New York City. The UDC also is studying and negotiating possible projects in 35 other localities.

Though its legislation allows it to, the UDC does not want to go into the construction business and certainly not into property management. This would tie up its capital and weaken its future bond position, while perplexing the local municipalities, which will not be able to collect full or perhaps even partial taxes on the projects since the UDC is tax exempt. The state has agreed to reimburse the localities for tax losses, but the issue of how much and for what would more than likely involve the UDC in a hornet's nest.

In essence, the UDC wants to become a package-in-the-public-interest. It would like to limit its role to developing plans, arranging financing and then selling the "package" to a developer, whom it will oversee to assure that the project is carried out as agreed and as expeditiously as possible.

"We are in the position, we believe, to initiate sound development projects and take them to the point where the private sector can step in, take over and build and own them," Ed Logue has said. "If we can, in fact, deliver such packages, we will have enough takers to keep us from becoming a construction agency. The indications we have are that private equity and development capital will be available if we perform our catalytic role properly."

The test will come soon enough as the UDC's first plans become working drawings and its negotiations become contracts. The hope is that private developers will be attracted by various tax incentives written into the corporation's legislation, including tax abatement (with the state making up the difference to the local governments) and tax credits for the creation of new jobs. The UDC is also seek-
ing nonprofit sponsors capable of assuming its moderate-income projects.

Despite these problems, it is obvious that the UDC is performing an invaluable service, bailing out a number of floundering local renewal agencies and municipalities, while probably paying off some political debts incurred by the governor to obtain passage of the UDC legislation. Whatever the motivations—social, economic, or political—the UDC as a developer-of-the-last-resort is filling a critical void in the urban renewal program. It is apparent that private developers are just not going to wade into the swampy waters of the cities without having someone else wade in first and cut a clear channel through the swamp. Civic associations and concerned community groups also need someone to hold their hands to lead them through the perplexing planning process.

With its seed money, selected financing, prestige and perseverance, the UDC provides a needed boost to cautious developers, do-gooders and municipalities, breathing some life into the state's urban renewal program: but the program is still weak and basically handicapped. As the UDC noted in one of its informational brochures, the total renewal effort in the state, since 1919, covers a little more than two and a half percent of the estimated 100,000 acres in sub-standard condition.

The problem raised by the UDC's growing and deep involvement with urban renewal is that it will sap UDC's energies and finances and divert them from the task it is almost ideally set up for: the development of new towns and new projects outside the city. It has become apparent to the UDC through a study conducted for it by the Regional Plan Association, and various conferences, that the cities will never be able to rebuild themselves as they must as long as the constant growth of population press upon them.

"I have put 15 years of my life into rebuilding two American cities, at least in part, and I know just as surely as I know the Old New York, Boston, New York—cannot solve this problem by themselves," said Ed Logue to a gathering of city-watchers. "It is time we understand that fact, controversial and difficult as it may be, and build our urban development policies around it."

A few weeks after his statement, the UDC announced plans for two new towns (September issue, page 32.) The first and most ambitious is the Amherst project, on the outskirts of Buffalo, where the State University has been planning a $60-million, 1,000-acre campus eventually to accommodate 50,000 students, faculty and administrative personnel, plus their families. It will be one of the largest university complexes in the world. The state has asked the UDC to integrate the proposed campus into a new town in the surrounding area that can support a population of 200,000 within 13 years and relate it economically, socially and esthetically to the neighboring and growing suburban communities. Beyond the physical plan, for which the British firm of Llewelyn-Davies, architects, has been hired, the UDC also will propose a method of financing and developing the new town. The approach of weaving planned government construction with private development into a new town fabric is unique to the United States, and it is noteworthy that the UDC is undertaking the weaver's job.

The second new community planned by the UDC is 12 miles north of Syracuse in the town of Lysander. Here, the UDC has purchased for $5.5 million, 2,100 acres of the old Baldwinsville Orphanage property, which was used for the manufacture of explosives in World War II and is now vacant except for the omnipresent abandoned automobiles. Preliminary plans developed by David A. Crane of Philadelphia call for an 800-acre industrial park and 4,600 units of mixed-type housing, with a town center and surrounding open and recreation space, to be constructed over a ten year period.

The tract and the plan are modest, but they do resolve some tricky political jurisdictional questions that could lead the way to similar developments elsewhere in the state. They also establish the precedent of the UDC competing with private developers for the purchase of tracts in the open market—and winning. But the proof of the pudding will come after the new town is built, hopefully within the next six months.

The present UDC new town efforts project a total population of 220,000—a start, but a long way to the state's expected population increase over the next 20 years of 4.7 million. Add to this figure an estimated 1.4 million New Yorkers now living in dilapidated or deteriorated buildings, and you end up with a total need of new housing in the state for more than 6 million people.

The UDC also has cast a cautious glance at suburbia, where Logue says he would like to see the corporation sponsor some small cluster developments of about 40 low-income units each. He has said that at this scale he was confident that the UDC could "create opportunities for low-income families to share in the good schools, the safe streets, the fresh air and open space of other Americans like so well without unsettling or unbalancing the suburban communities."

This also was the recommendation of the Regional Plan Association in its study of housing opportunities for the UDC, but so far Logue has given no indication of where and when the UDC will attempt to put its toe into the stormy waters of suburbia. The UDC has only moved into areas to which it was invited, and there has been no such invitation from the suburbs. If anything, the UDC has been warned by politicians, publicly and privately, to stay out. With its appropriations running low and a state-wide election coming up, it is not expected that the UDC will make any major moves to loosen the so-called white noose of suburbia that is choking the cities and enraging civil rights groups.

1970 looms large in the future plans for the UDC. At this writing, Governor Rockefeller has indicated he will run again next year, principally to fulfill his commitment to solve the urban mess, which is interpreted by many as an act of faith in the UDC. As problems for the UDC grow, the governor can be expected to lend his critical support to Logue. If Rockefeller should decide not to run, or if he is defeated, the UDC will have the difficult task of establishing a new relationship with a new governor—at a cost no doubt of some powers and some pet projects. Logue's political acumen will be taxed.

The political situation in the coming year demands that the UDC get as many of its projects as possible off the planning boards and into the ground. This would justify Rockefeller's support and give him ammunition against the inevitable attacks on the UDC. If he should decide not to run, an active building program just might raise the UDC above politics—the ultimate goal—and win bipartisan support. It is easy to fight plans, but nearly impossible to fight buildings, especially buildings supplying jobs, housing and taxes.

The problems are there, but so is the potential. The lights in the UDC offices will be burning bright and late in 1970. The pressure to produce is on.
In June of this year, three proposals were put before the Model Cities Board in Brooklyn, N.Y. Two asked for grants of approximately $50,000 to continue studies of particular areas of Brooklyn; the third was a complete presentation consisting of models and plans, and a feasibility study, ready for implementation, done at no cost whatsoever to the city or the Board. What made the study even more unusual was that it was initiated and executed, not by an established architectural firm, but by three graduate students.

Despite publicity over dropouts and revolt, student involvement in community planning and design is mushrooming across the country. Students want to get away from the sterility of abstract academic problems, and out to where the real problems are—in poverty areas, both rural and urban.

This student project is decidedly urban. Columbia University's requirements for the thesis for the graduate degree in urban design specify concentration on big city problems. Larry Yaw, Tom Thorpe and Garri McNeil, three 1969 candidates for this degree, wanted to undertake a real community planning problem and they wanted to develop realizable plans with the community. They wanted to prove that student involvement in a project of some scale could be meaningful.
not only to the student, but, much more, to the community as well.

They went to the Model Cities Board in Brooklyn, and talked with its director, Horace L. Morancie. Though skeptical at first (many students had come "to help" and had then produced nothing), Morancie was finally convinced, and suggested that they work on a very specific area in the Brownsville section of Brooklyn that had not been studied previously: the Belmont Avenue Market.

The Belmont Market is a string of open-air pushcarts (and store-fronts) located in a wasteland of derelict lowrise buildings surrounded by a sea of slab-like highrise public housing. It is, however, adjacent to a thriving commercial street that is, mainly, used by "outsiders." Besides this commercial enclave, Brownsville has no community or cultural or recreational center, no social gathering place. Yaw, Thorpe and McNeil wanted to develop the Market into a total focal point for the area.

Doing a project of this scale, however, created a whole set of problems not related to design. Not the least of these was financial. They saw that, without outside money, they would have to do everything that a subsidized study can hire experts to do: research, fact-finding, photographic work, etc.

They went to the Urban Center at Columbia and applied for a small grant of $7,000. Their subject was appropriate for a grant, but they could not receive money because projects undertaken for academic credit are ineligible.

Because of lack of funds, the three had to commit time to "legwork" that could have been spent on design and implementation. They also felt the pressure of other academic commitments. Each managed, finally, to correlate all other courses—housing and urban development, urban design and theory, business aspects of income-producing properties—to fit in with the project.

Since there was little previous primary source material to be found, information was difficult to collect. Much of it simply came first hand from trips to the Market area. Each of them spent from five hours a day at the beginning to over 18 hours a day at the end of the academic year working on the project—talking with neighborhood officials, with vendors and store owners, with community leaders, and finally preparing the models and plans both for their academic jury and for the Model Cities Board.

Brownsville is pockmarked by boarded-up, decayed and abandoned buildings. Whole streets are uninhabited except by drug addicts. Some areas are under development, but even the new-

EXISTING STREET SECTION
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Market today—and as projected: modular roof covers permanent carts, professional offices jut out over storefronts.
er housing, all highrise, looks as if it had been built over 20 years ago. Sewage pipes are over 70 years old; transportation service is poor; rats are everywhere. One-third to one-half of the families are on welfare.

The 10-block Market area is gutted, and most of the buildings could be demolished immediately. The buildings form a "corridor" parallel to Belmont Avenue, and are now used to store pushcarts and merchandise, mostly produce. Fewer than 70 families would have to be relocated in this plan, a major point in favor of its acceptance.

This Market, despite its poor condition, serves a trade area of about one mile in radius. Commercial activity of the Market as defined in the study is divided into four categories: indoor stores, indoor-outdoor stores, wallspace stands, and the pushcarts. All the stores are in fair to poor condition—and constitute about one-half of the Market's sales capacity. Professional offices are few. The 60-odd pushcarts operate on a seven-day-a-week basis, and their prices are substantially lower than those in nearby supermarkets.

Yaw, Thorpe and McNeil wanted to retain the character of the pushcarts and the casual atmosphere they generate. They formulated a plan for a 10-block gathering place and community center off the commercial artery, where people could congregate while shopping or afterwards.

In the plan, they propose to close Belmont Avenue to traffic and repave it, then line the avenue with permanent, cleanable carts constructed of concrete. To protect the carts from bad weather, the street will be covered with a modular roll-top roofing (see section).

Off the avenue will be two vest-pocket parks, with benches and refreshment stands; the parks will be backed by storage buildings where pushcart produce can be kept overnight. These buildings will also have public laboritories, one more of the many facilities lacking in this neighborhood.

Midway down the avenue will be an open plaza, with a fountain in the middle designed so that people can sit around it. Away from the fountain, and perpendicular to Belmont, will extend a mall that opens into a larger "park." In the park will be rest and play areas, a day care center for children, and, at the end of the mall, a multiservice center or community center. There will also be an amphitheater for speeches, performances, community meetings, etc.; it is designed for double use as a swimming pool.

Across the park from the amphitheater will be stores, with professional offices above. Most of the existing stores are owned
by Puerto Rican or Jewish shopkeepers who have moved elsewhere; these new stores and others along the mall and avenue will be owned by blacks who will be trained in business by the Small Business Administration, who can also help them finance the stores with loans. An incentive program is proposed to encourage renovation of existing stores and provide more professional space.

Behind the stores are parking facilities; because the entire Market project is conceived for pedestrian use, vehicular traffic will be excluded from the area. Only deliveries, in the early morning, and garbage collection at night, will be allowed.

Pedestrian links will connect the Market to peripheral areas, and to housing projects beyond. One link will go to existing schools; one to the subway several blocks away.

Yaw, Thorpe and McNeil left their study, the models and the plans, with the Model Cities Board after their June presentation. Morancie has started the project on the road to implementation, but the study first has to be presented to other community committees in Brownsville, to generate additional support. The project also has to be approved by HUD in Washington. Morancie is hoping that the Early Action program, which is often used for Model Cities plans, will be applied to the Belmont Market project.

Citizens of the Belmont Market area who have seen the model since the June presentation are impressed. The project proposal might be displayed in the Market to solicit further suggestions from the community.

In the meantime, Yaw and Thorpe come down from new jobs in Boston to talk with Morancie, visit with committees and with the community, working to keep the wheels in motion. McNeil, now teaching at Columbia, hopes to form a coordinating group between students, school, and city officials, to make projects like the Belmont Market study easier for students to undertake in the future, to eliminate many of the procedural steps, and to make information more readily available.

"There is a lot of concerned talent in the schools," Yaw says, "and lots of problems in the cities; this project has convinced us of the real value of coordinating the two." If programs of information exchange with city agencies were organized, if grants were made available and if curriculum emphasis were on actual redevelopment projects, then students could become involved in city programs, and the results could be other thoughtful realistic projects, like this Belmont Market redevelopment.

—Bo Thorne
NEW PROJECTS BY VICTOR LUNDY

Three new buildings designed by Victor Lundy—an education building for a private school and a university chapel, under construction, and a public school in New York City, about to go out for bids—are shown here and on the following pages in a series of his exquisite and masterful drawings. Lundy's new work continues his humanistic, experiential approach to architecture. His carefully studied, painstakingly detailed design solutions are characterized by compositional unity, direct expression of function, and simple use of materials. —JOHN S. MARGOLIES

EDUCATIONAL COMPLEX DEFINES A COURTYARD

The new education building at St. Bernard's School, a private boys' school in Gladstone, N.J., is really three buildings broken apart and connected together below at a lower level. "For proper scale and relationship to the existing permanent brick buildings to the north," says Victor Lundy, "rather than pulling everything together into one big anonymous block, I purposely broke it apart into its basic elements of program and allowed the land to sweep gently through it and past it on down the hill."

Within the complex are an L-shaped humanities classroom wing and a science wing, both with shed roofs sloping down to the inner court, and a 45-ft.-square, 28-ft.-high library, which will become the major interior space of the school. Below the library is a stepped lecture hall and underground connections to the other buildings within the complex.

The construction is brick bearing wall, with a French gray velour brick laid in Flemish bond—traditional at St. Bernard's—used on interior and exterior surfaces.
VARIETY OF EXPERIENCES SHAPES A PUBLIC SCHOOL

In this attempt to provide an "architecture of spirit, substance and artistry accomplished within the restrictions of program, standards and other disciplines that had to be faced," Victor Lundy has designed a sophisticated and innovative solution for Intermediate School 53 in Far Rockaway, Queens, and his client, the Board of Education of the City of New York. Instead of a huge, monolithic mass to meet the complex demands of the program, Lundy organized the building into three connected, four-story elements enclosing a courtyard. Other factors which shaped the massing of the building were an odd, multi-angled site defined by a confluence of streets; a low-key, low density, tree-lined residential neighborhood; and Lundy's desire that "everywhere in the building there be an awareness of where one is in the total scheme—a reference to court, to sky, to ground."

As in his design for St. Bernard's School, Lundy expresses different functions as separate but interconnected facilities. The three elements in this complex are joined above ground level, and, reading counter-clockwise in the rendering at right, consist of the gymnasium-auditorium wing (lower center); an L-shaped classroom wing; and a third unit containing administration facilities, special classrooms, and laboratories organized around a skylighted, four-story-high public hall, and also including a section which bridges the courtyard entrance and connects to the gymnasium-auditorium. The multi-level courtyard, entered by a flight of stairs from the street, will feature a "water wall," water pools, trees, and landscaping.

There are a number of entrances from the courtyard and exterior to the three units in the complex, including an exterior stairway from the courtyard to the auditorium so that it can be used independently by the community.

The program for this intermediate school calls for an enrollment of 1,800 to be broken down into three independent sub-schools of 600 students each. A sub-school occupies each of the top three floors in the complex, and a strong horizontal and ver-
tical organization relates the functions to one another and to the whole. The ground floor contains facilities shared by all—cafeteria and auxiliary classrooms below the courtyard; administration; special study classrooms; guidance rooms; and shops.

The circulation in the complex and on each of the sub-school floors, says the architect, is structured by "an idea of purposetul movement" to provide "a world of experience and effect, of change." Single-loaded ambulatorsies on each floor of the classroom wing look down on the courtyard through bronze-tinted glass, providing a sense of renewal and reference to the outside world between classes. This openness is contrasted with the controlled light in each classroom, where the architect sought to avoid distractions and provide more wall surfaces for educational use. Each floor on the exterior of the classroom wing and on part of the special classroom wing is offset to provide a continuous skylight, with each classroom also having floor-to-ceiling windows in the corners at right angles to the exterior wall. There are three double classrooms in each leg of the L-shaped wing which can be expanded to six classrooms by center folding walls. In the corner of the "L" is a library resources center for each sub-school joined vertically by a dumbwaiter.

The exterior has a velour-textured French gray face brick and bronze-tinted glass. In the structural system reinforced concrete supporting shear walls are spanned by poured concrete slab and beam system, with the beams coffered to receive continuous runs of fluorescent lighting fixtures. Interior walls will be "giant," hollow French gray brick fired under high temperature for easy cleaning. Supporting walls and ceilings will be exposed concrete, and non-bearing walls will go up to door height with glass above. "There is a strong order of structure, light, piping and mechanical heating system to the building," says Lundy. "The systems are all exposed and help make the beauty and strength of the building."
SPECTACULAR SPACE
IN A UNIVERSITY CHAPEL

Victor Lundy's design of the University Methodist Chapel for The Wesley Foundation at Florida State University, Tallahassee, is a direct functional expression accomplished within a small site and limited budget. The program called for a worship place seating 750, also to be used for singing, drama, and as a central student place. To provide this flexibility of usage, the building is essentially a great, clear-span room, 105 ft. square and about 25 ft. high at the center, elevated on an upper level. The Chapel is reached from below by four gentle diagonal ramps and by corner stair towers.

Spanning and enclosing this great space is a steel cable system, with cables hung in catenary curves in concentric squares from diagonal supporting members hanging between the stair towers. The final ceiling and roof surface is formed in one operation with double-tongue-and-groove heavy wood decking clipped to the cables and spiked laterally to one another.

The building is entered from the north at ground level through an indented entry which is expressed as a sweeping chalice form. The interstice of the chalice form is filled with bronze, glare-reducing glass, which washes the north wall of the chapel with natural light. The other three wall elevations are identical expressions of the form of the sloped seating, overhanging the setback of the ground floor walls.

"There is a nakedness to the structure," says the architect. "One is aware of how it is all put up, of how it is supported. The structure, the means, the sequence—is the decoration, the beauty." Construction is entirely of monolithic, poured, reinforced concrete, using plastic impregnated forms, with horizontal pour lines, joint lines and tie holes patterning interior and exterior surfaces.
FOOTNOTE

BOOST — This truck was photographed, not too long ago, by a Forum spy on a construction site in the East 50s in Manhattan. Our man doesn’t know who was responsible for the plug, but we’d like to think that it is all part of the AIA’s recent image-building efforts. Obviously a move in the right direction.

Photo: Tim Street-Porter.

FOURM CONT’D

One commission source, said Doyle, considered it highly unlikely that any of the three cities’ plans would be accepted in their present form (Jan./Feb., ’68 issue, page 43: May ’69 page 95).

Meanwhile, three groups of architects, whose plans had originated independently, have formed a coalition to urge that cities all along the Northeast Corridor be Expo way-stops along a sophisticated new high-speed transit network. One of the groups is Cambridge Seven, who designed the exhibit structure inside the U.S. pavilion at Montreal’s Expo 67.

PEPSI EATS IT UP

COME ALIVE says the Pepsi generation, and in keeping with their message the Pepsi-Cola Co. asked E.A.T. (Experiments in Art and Technology Inc.) to create the ultimate in multisensory experience for their pavilion at Japan’s Expo 70.

The pavilion, already designed by Japanese Architect Tadashi Doi, is a 120-ft.-diameter faceted dome which will be the outer shell for E.A.T.’s sight, sound, and touch environment.

Creator Robert Breer, with Robert Whitman, Forrest Myers, David Tudor, and others, wanted to “allow the visitor to enjoy the responsibility of making his own experience.”

Over the pavilion, sustained by 2,520 vapor-producing nozzles embedded in the dome, will hover a cloud bank which will partially obscure the pavilion. A sun track sculpture, of mirrors rotating with the changing position of the sun, will send a 10-ft., light-beamed triangle into the cloud and onto the surface of the dome. At night the pavilion will be silhouetted within a tilted square light frame of interconnecting light beams radiating from poles at the four corners of the surrounding plaza.

The plaza itself will be populated by seven man-sized, gum-drop-shaped floats which will wander freely over the area emitting sporadic bleeps; they can change direction when touched.

Entrance to the pavilion will be along a shiny-walled tunnel into a “clam room” shaped like a flying saucer. The room, of a spongy texture, will be dark except for a laser shower of multicolored light which will be activated by sounds.

The floor above will be a domed room with a huge, 90-ft.-diameter spherical mirror reflecting visitors upside-down. The floor will be of 13 different surface materials activated by handsets which, like Geiger counters, pick up birdsongs on grass, car horns on asphalt, etc.

Besides individually-initiated experiences, the pavilion will have its own running program of sensory stimuli. The whole turn-on will cost Pepsi $2.5 million.

NO SHOW

No, it wasn’t Woodstock because the rock group didn’t show, and it wasn’t the Museum of Modern Art because the richies didn’t show—it was the New York Avant Garde Festival held, this fall, on Ward’s Island across a footbridge from Manhattan, and pretty much nobody showed.

There was a foam igloo and a plastic tent and a couple of Johnny on the Spots for architecture; a bongo player for music; an ardent typist taking dictation of Alcatraz Island only last month to negotiate a contract for the development of Alcatraz Island only days before New York city presented its plans for Welfare Island (October issue). The “givens” are not exactly comparable, nor are the “gots.”

IREBIRTHS

HUNT CAPTURES ‘THE ROCK’

San Francisco’s Board of Supervisors agreed last month to negotiate a contract for the development of Alcatraz Island only days before New York city presented its plans for Welfare Island (October issue). The “givens” are not exactly comparable, nor are the “gots.”

San Francisco got a scheme by Lamar Hunt, son of ultra-conservative, multimillionaire H. L. Hunt, which, when compared with some proposals, was ultra-conservative at $6 million.

Hunt will conserve the prison, for tours—presumably, re-store it, since structural damage has made the main cell block unsafe. He will revive the 1890s in a shopping area on the island’s north end. And he will build—underground at the southern tip—an Apollo moon landing museum, beneath a vast open plaza with fountain and life-size
that $2 million sound

Philharmonic Hall at New York City's Lincoln Center has been under an acoustic cloud, literally and figuratively, since it opened in 1962. Alterations unveiled this season will, one hopes, end all that. Now, a solid, 13-step ceiling installed over the auditorium replaces the metal reflectors, or "clouds," which had dampened the cellos and reflected the brass.

In all, acoustic alterations to the hall have cost Lincoln Center over $2 million since 1962.

Reactions, as before, were mixed, ranging from that of Harold C. Schonberg, music critic of the New York Times—"a startling improvement"—to that of conductor-pianist Skitch Henderson—"no difference."

monuments

new face on the avenue

Two long-simmering architectural schemes for Official Washington—one monumental, one merely monstrous—were moved back to front burners last month:

The Pennsylvania Avenue Commission's plan, said Chairman Nathaniel Owings, was being given a "shot of adrenalin" by the Nixon Administration in the hope it may be implemented by the nation's bicentennial year, 1976.

Scraping the former Administration's scheme to finance the plan through urban renewal funds, President Nixon, in January, will ask Congress to establish a public-private corporation. Sale of bonds or shares to private enterprise would raise the money for a proposed $200-million revolving fund.

Congress must also approve the commission's plan and grant authority to condemn property. Then, following Owings' remarks, Congress axed the $77,000 requested by the commission to fund its activities until next July. Through its vice-chairman, Daniel Patrick Moynihan, the PAC is urging the White House to tap contingency funds so it can proceed with final drawings, already passed by the House.

J. George Stewart, non-Architect of the Capitol, would demolish the cracked and unsafe walls—all that remains on view of the original structure—and extend the facade as much as 88 ft. to include 100 offices, two auditoriums, two restaurants, 40 bathrooms, and a barbershop. It would eat up much of the spacious terraces planned by Olmsted, that overlook the Mall.

With the Rayburn Office Building still fresh in memories (Sept. '68 issue), few are fooled by cost estimates of $15 million.

The AIA says restoration of the present walls could make them safe at a cost of only $10 million.

Meanwhile, one young architect, John F. Corkhill Jr., has captured the essence of the Stewart Style (see Washington Monument Extension, above).

EIFFEL IN THE SHADE

Albin Chalandon, France's minister of construction, or perhaps President Georges Pompidou himself, may have the unenviable task this winter of choosing a landmark for Paris that

would dwarf the Eiffel Tower. The idea for a tower outside the western gates of Paris, in direct line with the Arc de Triomphe, is backed by banks and private developers as a mammoth symbol for the $1-billion project, Quartier de la Défense. There are two contenders for the prize:

* A conical steel quadripod, with the center pod extending to twice the Eiffel's height, was designed by Belgian Architect Andre Polak. It would cost $20 million, and would support restaurants and shops on circular platforms at the top, plus radio and TV antennae.

* A "Cybernetic Light Tower" by Architect-Sculptor Nicholas Schiffer, also a Belgian, is a variation of his "Cybernetic City" (Jan./Feb. '66 issue, page 95). It is a framework of square, steel tubes rising from a seven-level podium, which would house restaurants, meeting halls, and shops. Schiffer's "luminous and aesthetic thermometer of Paris" mounts a light show that includes 3,226 blue, red, and yellow projectors; 2,000 electronic flashes; 32 reflecting projectors; 563 curved and revolving mirrors.

**NO MORE MONUMENTS**

From the announcement of a national competition for the design of a mathematics facility at Yale, is this given objective:

"The campus was characterized in the past decade as the 'greatest open air museum of modern architecture on the continent.' The architecture of Louis Kahn, Eero Saarinen, Philip Johnson, Paul Rudolph, Gordon Bunshaft and others... mostly stands in strong contrast to the buildings and courts of the years around 1930 in the Gothic and Georgian styles which form a superbly integrated fabric unifying the central part of the campus.

"Not surprisingly, Yale, proud as it is of its modern monuments, now finds itself looking again toward the integration of new buildings into the strong existing fabric and to the provision of workable, economical, generally non-monumental space for the conduct of its teaching and its research."

**LIFE UNDER CONCRETE**

If you were speeding in or out of downtown Miami on the elevated expressway (see top right) you wouldn't be looking for the M. Athalie Range Park, or wouldn't know it if you saw it, or couldn't get there if you tried.

It is under the roadway—five acres of grass and concrete, a handful of struggling trees, a basketball court, and some play equipment. And it is the neighborhood's "turf" (right).

The neighborhood, almost entirely Negro, has reclaimed its little patch of land from the state through the tenacity of Mrs. M. Athalie Range, grandmother, funeral home owner, bank president, and the first Negro to be elected to Miami's board of commissioners.

Following snags in the state legislature over use of the land, she got the city to fill and grade the land and sow grass, and helped round up donors to provide the equipment.

Mrs. Range has already picked out two other sub-expressway sites, one for a sitting park for black senior citizens ("The elderly black man or woman is much more lonely than his white counterpart. I have studied this and know it is true"), the other of her prospective sites would be for teen-agers with, perhaps, a skating rink, a boxing ring (to "get them out of the poolrooms").

**AWARDS**

* Mexican Architect Pedro Ramírez Vázquez was awarded the Industrial Designers Society of America’s special Award for Excellence in Design last month. The award, to "innovators and activists," has been given only three times in the past decade. (The other recipients were Constantine Doxiadis and R. Buckminster Fuller.)

Perhaps best known for his Museum of Anthropology in Mexico City, Ramírez Vázquez was also cited for his designs at world’s fairs in Brussels, Seattle, and New York; his design directorship of the 1968 Olympic Games in Mexico City (Oct. '68 issue); his federally supported housing projects in the Republic of Mexico; and his “general influence throughout the world.”

* A first group of 31 fellowships has been awarded by the Robert F. Kennedy Memorial Foundation to professionals in their mid-20s. They will complete one-year assignments in poverty programs throughout the country. Among them is Robert Lovejoy, 24, who will work with The New Thing Art and Architecture Center in Washington, D.C. (Oct. '68 issue).
Land of the powerful nostrils

BY RUSSELL BAKER

WASHINGTON, Aug. 2—The poet patriot sings of America as perceived by his delicate olfactory sense after a trip along the East Coast:

I sing of America reeking, heady aromatic country of the big shoulders and the powerful nostrils. Big are your shoulders, America. Powerful are your nostrils. American nostrils can take it, the big-shouldered men boast, inhaling exhalings from a thousand smoke stacks, snuffling fumes of sulphur along the Delaware, unflinching in the newer gases of New York.

I have smelled them all already. smelled them all, and my powerful American nostrils have stored the memories of them all.

“America needs a deodorant,” they whine.

I sing not to such men as these. My song is for those of the big nostrils, for they are the men who will stand beside you and not run when the morning air is dense with the scent of bloated fish dead of bug killer on the river’s bosom.

I sing for those to whom stink is part of America’s glory of America.

The great jets that make the air stink of kerosene, these are the glory of America. And the hundred million cars that make the roadside wildflowers stink of exhaust gasses, what of them? Could there be glory for America if there were no car garbage to smell on the wild roses?

I sing of the glory that American nostrils scent when they breathe deeply of a beautiful harbor clank with the odor of rancid hamburger drippings. Of the glory embodied in an August night when the breeze from the river carries memories of freshly loosened sink grease.

Impartially, unflinchingly

With my powerful nostrils I smell them all without flinching because they are all America reeking to the world of its glory. I smell them impartially, impartially smell the jet kerosene, smell the sulphur, smell the deadly car gas and the latest fish kill, inhale the rancid grease, smell the rotting garbage on the rivers and the burning garbage on the dumps, smell the sour creeks with their clotted sewage.

Powerful are my nostrils and precious are they to America. America needs nostrils to match its glories.

PHOTOGRAPHS: Page 32 (center), Fritz Goer-LIFE magazine © Time Inc. Page 33 (bottom left), the Washington Post. Page 88 (bottom left), Billy Davis-Courier-Journal and Louisville Times. Page 89 (top left), Keystone Press Agency Inc.; (bottom left), Studio Yves Hervochon; (top right), courtesy Miami-Metro Department of Publicity and Tourism.
The J-M Townsend Shingle. Made to be noticed, not stared at.

The Johns-Manville Townsend Shingle complements any design, without competing with it. Townsend's unique shape, together with random application, forms a gracefully 'unplanned' textured surface. (No more rows of straight-line shingles marching across the rooftop.) Giant surface granules and a heavy shadowline add a mellow depth. And Townsend's colors are tastefully muted.

But appearance is only part of the story. The Townsend Shingle has a Class A fire rating. And its fiber glass and asbestos reinforcement helps it far outlast ordinary premium shingles.

For more information on the shingle that will never fight the design, write Johns-Manville, Box 290-BA, New York, N.Y. 10016. Cable: Johnmanvil.

Johns-Manville
Take yourself off the anxious seat with Republic's Frame-A-Lite stick system.

Unlimited framing design flexibility for entrances, halls, and walls! And after you've seen Frame-A-Lite, you'll be surprised at its low cost. Economical in the long view, as well—won't warp, sag, rot, or shrink. Ask for a demonstration. Ask about style-able steel doors, too. Principally, to get yourself off the anxious seat.
Pilkington Deep Flemish
for a bold design on light

See the Pilkington range for bold, brilliant Deep Flemish; and for individual exclusive designs in patterned glass—glass that divides space and shares light, versatile, with a real place in modern design.

For samples, and further information please write to Box P.B. 369, The Architectural Forum, 111 West 57th Street, New York N.Y. 10019

PILKINGTON GLASS
A home for the arts displays concrete with **POZZOLITH** admixture

The new Atlanta Memorial Arts Center stands as a monument to 122 cultural leaders lost in a plane crash near Paris in 1962. Designed to house the visual and performing arts, it provides facilities for the enjoyment and teaching of painting, sculpture, music, dance, theatre, and opera.

Situated on a six-acre site, the monumental structure measures 232' x 394' x 50' and provides 296,750 sq. ft. of floor space on five levels. Soaring precast concrete columns surfaced with white quartz chips frame a perimetal promenade. The main body of the building is cast-in-place concrete.

Among the Center's many distinguishing features is the striking appearance of the concrete. POZZOLITH admixture contributed performance benefits in both precast and job-placed concrete.

POZZOLITH made a more plastic concrete mix that improved workability and placeability and facilitated consolidation in the forms. Shrinkage cracking was reduced to a minimum. POZZOLITH contributed to uniform strength development and helped produce a dense, void-free surface of superior appearance.

Once again, POZZOLITH admixture was specified and used where predictable concrete performance was needed. Most important, POZZOLITH delivered its many concrete improving benefits where performance counts — on the job and in the finished structure. That's why, over the years, POZZOLITH has earned the name "The Performance Admixture".

For documentation of POZZOLITH's superior performance, call your local Master Builders field man, or write Master Builders, Cleveland, Ohio 44118.

POZZOLITH* manufactured by
MASTER BUILDERS

*POZZOLITH is a registered trademark for MASTER BUILDERS' water-reducing, set-controlling admixture for concrete.
THE MILLER OUTDOOR THEATER, HOUSTON, TEXAS
ARCHITECT: EUGENE WERLIN AND ASSOCIATES, HOUSTON, TEXAS
STRUCTURAL ENGINEER: WALTER P. MOORE AND ASSOCIATES, HOUSTON, TEXAS

For information on bare USS COR-TEN STEEL, the original weathering steel, contact a USS Construction Marketing Representative through the nearest USS sales office, check your Sweet's Architectural File, or write to United States Steel, Box 86, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15230. USS and COR-TEN are registered trademarks.
Of course it's a Haws drinking fountain

... a beautiful drinking fountain shouldn't be too obvious. Agreed? Carefully-sculpted to enhance your ideas... clad in the native splendor of cast stone (five colors, two finishes). The Haws Model 30 outdoor drinking fountain stands exquisitely in harmony with its setting... any setting. A fountain? It could almost pass for a work of sculpture. Yet this sly harmonizer is incomparably rugged—a fountain for all seasons, kid-proof, weather-proof, freeze-proof! Write Haws Drinking Faucet Co., 1441 Fourth St., Berkeley, Calif. 94710.
BLUEPRINT FOR TOMORROW

THE NEW CITY
Edited by Donald Canty for the National Committee on Urban Growth

Essays by an impressive array of specialists and leading architects show how the “new town” approach to city problems can result in a more rational, more humane form of urban development. “Will someone please put a copy of this persuasive, attractive, well-edited, and readable book on President Nixon’s desk?”—WOLFGANG FECHTARD, The Washington Post
180 pp., 117 illus. bibl., index 8½” x 11½” $12.50

Building with Wood
Form, Structural Design, and Preservation
By Kurt Hoffman and Heiga Griese
With contributions by Johannes Wetzel and Helmut W. Kühne

This international survey of the successful use of wood covers all varieties of modern buildings: houses, schools, offices, shops, and churches. Structural details treated include sun-decks, stairs, screens, benches, and roofs. The book emphasizes the importance of wood in modern engineering, presents new possibilities for its use, and fully explains how to protect it from damage. 150 pp., 180 photogs., 60 pp. plans. index 8¼” x 11¾” $15.00

OFFICE BUILDINGS
An International Survey  By Reinhold Hohl

In this book, art critic Reinhold Hohl shows 40 examples of efficient new office buildings in the United States, Europe, and Australia. He discusses decorative aspects as well as functional considerations. The book includes both low-rise buildings and office towers, in addition to examples of isolated office buildings in rural environments and office buildings in urban areas. 176 pp., 359 photogs., 157 plans, index 9” x 11½” $18.50

The Lighting of Buildings
By R. G. Hopkins and J. D. Kay

This authoritative new book is intended primarily for architects and lighting engineers who wish to learn more about recent developments in the field. Stressing the human factors in lighting, the authors establish criteria based on visual satisfaction rather than strict adherence to numerical specifications. 366 pp., 80 illus., 48 drawings and plans, tables, bibliog., index 8½” x 11½” $10.00

New Architectural Drawings
By Helmut Jacoby

A master of his profession for many years, Helmut Jacoby has elevated the practice of rendering to an art form. His genius has attracted many eminent architects to his studio—including Philip Johnson, I. M. Pei, and Marcel Breuer. In his new book, Mr. Jacoby guides the reader through various stages of rendering, including choice of station point, angle of vision, perspective, light and shadow, color and tone, and environment. 96 pp., 85 illus., index 8¼” x 11½” $14.00

American Architecture and Urbanism
By Vincent Scully

In the most extensive documentary on American architecture and urbanism yet published, the renowned architectural historian ranges from broadside to elegy to show the full scope of this historic interplay. Using more than 500 photographs, drawings, and maps, his book traces a complete circle of development from Pueblo Indian cliff dwellings to proposed urban megastructures of the future. 172 pp., 525 illus. 8½” x 11½” $19.50

Architecture Since 1945
Sources and Directions  By Jürgen Joedicke

Author of History of Modern Architecture, Jürgen Joedicke provides a beautifully illustrated survey of post-World War II architecture that places today’s buildings in historical perspective. Besides describing the achievements of individual architects, the author outlines specific movements and directions, from the Bauhaus influence to recent mannerist trends. 180 pp., 460 illus., bibliog., index 8½” x 11½” $18.50

New Japanese Architecture
By Egon Tempel

Modern Japanese architecture has successfully synthesized the country’s ancient indigenous architecture with today’s Western design techniques. Faced with a burgeoning population, the present generation’s architects explore all the possibilities of prefabrication and use new criteria for space-planning and architectural shaping. In this study, a practicing architect illustrates these developments with examples ranging from simple houses to utopian city plans. 220 pp., 300 illus. 8½” x 11½” $18.50

Systems Building
An International Survey of Methods
By Thomas Schmid and Carlo Testa

In view of the growing demand for building with systems that use large quantities of standardized and prefabricated elements, the authors, both practicing architects, survey the present state of industrialized building. They describe technical solutions used in different building systems, cover the “reversed designing procedure” and new theories in modular building, and evaluate the successes and failures of today’s methods. 240 pp., 354 photogs., 76 plans and drawings, bibliog., index 8¼” x 11½” $20.00

Shelter and Society
Studies in Vernacular Architecture  Edited by Paul Oliver

A Swiss chalet, a geodesic dome built by hippies in Colorado, a Pueblo cliff dwelling, a courtyard house in Ghana—what do these buildings have in common? Thirteen experts on vernacular architecture show how these and many other structures were created by individual communities and ethnic groups to suit their particular needs. Fascinating pictures accompany the discussions. 144 pp., 150 illus. 9¼” x 9¼” $12.50
Maybe you owe money to banks, stores, companies or people.

We're in debt to wars, floods, health services, life saving and blood banks.

help us help

The American Red Cross.
Glauberbel Bronze...Outfaces the sun!

Glauberbel Bronze drawn sheet glass is magnificent in appearance, practical in application. Like other Glauberbel window glass, it has greater surface regularity, fewer defects. But even more—it controls the sun, by filtering solar light and heat! Prevents eyestrain, subdued reflected light; temperature control systems work more efficiently, more economically, the year round. And Glauberbel Bronze provides unusually attractive decorative effects for interiors as well!

Glauberbel

For additional information, please contact:
Glauberbel (USA) Inc. Empire State Building
350 Fifth Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10001

Drawn Sheet Glass / Tinted Glass / Cast Glass / Floated Plate Glass
Enamelled Glass / Diffuse Glass / Diffuse Non-Reflecting Glass

See Sweet's Architectural File 4a/GL
Of all the ways America can grow, one way is by learning from others.

There are things you can learn in the Peace Corps you can't learn anywhere else.
You could start an irrigation program. And find that crabgrass and front lawns look a little ridiculous. When there isn't enough wheat to go around in Nepal.

You could be the outsider who helps bring a Jamaican fishing village to life, for the first time in three hundred years. And you could wonder if your country has outsiders enough. In Watts. In Detroit. In Appalachia. On its Indian reservations.

Last year, for the first time, Peace Corps alumni outnumbered Volunteers who are now out at work overseas.

By 1980, 200,000 Peace Corps alumni will be living their lives in every part of America.

There are those who think you can't change the world in the Peace Corps.

On the other hand, maybe it's not just what you do in the Peace Corps that counts.

But what you do when you get back.


MAKE AMERICA A BETTER PLACE.

LEAVE THE COUNTRY.
We design designs.
Not laminates.

Anyone can make a serviceable laminate. The trick is to make a serviceable laminate that's distinctive in design. So at General Electric we don't mix our laminate people with our design people. And we wind up with bolder, more contemporary, more exciting designs than anyone else in the laminate business.

To see our designs write to General Electric Company, Laminated Products Department, Dept. GA
Coshocton, Ohio 43812.

TEXTOLITE®
DECORATIVE SURFACING

GENERAL ELECTRIC
The subject is
A. Epstein

As a Senior Designer, your professional obligation will be to enhance the design creativity and design image with your speciality. The demands are high for architectural excellence in such projects as the Playboy Club Hotels, the Chicago McCormick Inn and a wide variety of industrial, commercial, high-rise and institutional buildings; but the rewards are even higher.

Salary open. Excellent benefit programs, including pension and profit sharing. Please send detailed letter or resume in confidence to: Eugene Nifenecker, Manager Professional Staffing.

A. EPSTEIN AND SONS, INC.
2011 WEST PERSHING ROAD • CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60609
Engineers and Architects since 1921
an equal opportunity employer
New York, Chicago, Washington, D.C. and Paris, France

Arcology: The City in the Image of Man
by Paolo Soleri

The sprawling, essentially flat cities and suburbs that are eating up the surface of the earth are "utopian" in the negative sense that they are absurd and unworkable, asserts Paolo Soleri. He proclaims an alternative, one that folds into a true solid, into a city-building, a work of total architectural aesthetic, the free-flowing invention that Soleri's imagination's architect - his vision concrete form and visible reality in drawings that illustrate a new concept and now looking for an architect at the bottom, as reported and illustrated in The Architectural Forum of June 1955.

- Philip Johnson's New Canaan house is cited as an example of sun control (because of its surrounding border of trees) instead of, say, the residential work of George Fred Keck or that of O'Neill Ford - or for that matter - Johnson's earlier house in Cambridge, which employed a single, south-facing window wall.

Other topics left out altogether despite their obvious bearing on the book's theme, include:
- Panel heating, both in Britain (Liverpool Cathedral and the U.S. (F.L.L.W.). Also more recent instantaneous radiant ("sidewalk") heating.
- The heat pump (!). Also, heat recovery and heat storage schemes in general, which are legion.
- Air-cooled and now water-cooled lighting fixtures (and water-cooled venetian blinds).
- Heat absorbing, darkening, and heat-reflecting glass.
- Electric heating (!) and the single-energy approach to large building projects.
- "Windowless" buildings.
- The problem of heat dissipation in general - which monopolizes the uppermost level of most tall modern buildings and cries out for architectural recognition in buildings of all shapes and sizes.

These omissions simply emphasize that Banham has struck a rich lode and mined only part of it. His special strength lies in the development of broad generalities, such as his distinction between the traditional "massive non-power" approach to building - in which the building mass absorbs and releases heat - and the modern, lightweight structure (a necessary characteristic of multistory buildings) which relies on the injection and extraction of heat-energy for thermal stability. More grandly, he asserts that we are moving out of an era of "forms assembled in light" à la Le Corbusier and into one of "light assembled in forms" - a conception he borrows from Tom Wolfe's comparison of Las Vegas and the Palace of Versailles. And he becomes almost inspired in pointing out that "architects are at the mercy of their first sketches, and those sketches normally represent forms viewed in natural daylight, or some form of abstract universal light such as only exists in architectural sketches." Real light is thus ordinarily one of the first casualties of the process of simplification demanded by this particular process of imaginative creation.

A detail which cannot be left unchallenged is the author's continual references to "foul" and "vitiated" air. These Victorian bogies had some substance, as Banham correctly indicates, in rooms lit by gasoliers, especially before the tardy advent of the Welsbach mantle. But they ceased to have meaning with Flugge's definitive experiments of 1905. Banham mentions these experiments but fails to quote Flugge's unequivocal declaration that the feelings of drowsiness, headaches, and so on experienced in crowded, poorly ventilated rooms, and the feeling of relief experienced when emerging from such rooms into the open air, are the result solely of differences in the physical properties of the air (temperature and humidity) and in no wise result from differences in its chemical properties (lack of oxygen, excess carbon dioxide or Pettenkofer's imaginary "anthropotoxins"). Banham seems unaware of the highly important report of the New York State Commission (1925) which laid the ghost of "vitiated" air and established the thermal theory of ventilation beyond doubt.

This, and a certain naiveté regarding present day air conditioning practices are the book's major weaknesses; its great strength lies in its assertion of the importance of environmental controls in the development of contemporary architecture, and its pioneering if somewhat spotty documentation of its thesis. At a time when this influence is likely to become even more important, the book is most welcome. Hopefully, it will be followed by others of equal merit.
We've been studying your library

Here's what we see

We see your need for extended capacity in today's space. We see the need for your current system expanding into future available space. We see your need for a library system adapting to improved educational technic. We see your need for a library with replaceable parts, easier to use, easier to maintain, easier to change.

Herman Miller Inc. has designed a different system of supportive equipment to solve all your current and future needs. We would like you to see our library concept in a new 24 page color brochure.
Contact Library Systems, Herman Miller Inc. Zeeland, Michigan 49464, phone 616 772-2161

herman miller inc.

On Readers' Service Card, Circle 234
York makes the world's biggest big-building air conditioner. It's also the smallest.

The York Turbopak is the first factory-packaged centrifugal liquid chiller that goes up to the 1,000-ton range. Yet this modern system takes less than half the space required by comparable competitive units!

Eight new models—from 670 to 1,030 tons—are factory assembled, piped, wired, evacuated... shipped with a holding charge of refrigerant.

Precise design by York has made possible a chilling system that gives you greater freedom of design. A system that takes half the space, in floor area or in cubic feet, required by comparable machines.

Size alone is not the entire York Turbopak story, of course. An electronic control center and interconnecting control and auxiliary power is factory-assembled. And, with the addition of these new, larger machines, York now offers hermetic and open Turbopaks in capacities from 90 through 1,030 tons. Ask your nearby York Sales Office for specification data on York's new centrifugal liquid chilling packages. Or write York Division of Borg-Warner Corporation, York, Penna. 17405.

YORK DIVISION OF BORG-WARNER CORPORATION
A carpet should be able to hold its own against the noonday sun. And that means more than just not fading. Because sunlight breaks most fibers down. Causes them to disintegrate. Lose their strength.

But there's one fiber that can take it—the sun and all the rest of Nature's forces. (Along with most man-made problems.) It's Acrilan 2000+.*

This carpet starts with a fiber—Acrilan® acrylic—that's chemically resistant to the sun's ultraviolet rays. And then because there's no dye good enough, we use color pigments. And we add them while it's still a solution. (Before the fiber is a fiber.) That way the color is actually a part of the fiber.

So much so, Monsanto has set 2000 as the minimum rating acceptable on the wet weatherometer test. That's why no matter how much wear it gets, the color won't wear off.

And even the strongest cleaning agents can't bleach the color out. (It's the most colorfast carpet you can buy.)

Acrilan 2000+. It's safe while the sun shines. And that's a big deal.
As Cornell University grows, many of its buildings reveal timeless evidence of the beauty and service afforded by Hope's Windows. For more than a half century, leading architects have specified Hope's windows for many of the buildings designed for the Cornell campus. A partial chronological list follows. We are proud of our role in continuing expansion at this and other great American universities.

1913 Risley Hall
   Architect: W. H. Miller

1923 Boldt Hall (Men's Residence)
   Architects: Day & Klauder

1925 Willard Straight Hall
   Architects: Delano & Aldrich

1926 Boldt Tower (Men's Residence)
   Architect: Charles Z. Klauder

1929 Balch Halls (Women's Residence)
   Architect: Frederick L. Ackerman

1946 Savage Hall (School of Nutrition)
   Architects: Skidmore, Owings & Merrill

1950 Anabel Taylor Hall (Interfaith Center)
   Architects: Starrett, VanVleck & Eggers & Higgins

1953 Riley • Robb Hall (Agricultural Engineering)
   Architect: New York State Department of Public Works

1954 Willard Straight Hall (Student Union) (Addition)
   Architect: Searle Von Storch

1954 Veterinary College
   Architect: C. J. White, State Architect

1954 Aeronautical Laboratory (Buffalo, New York)
   Architect: Jacob Fruchtbaum

1959 Poultry Research
   Architect: New York State Department of Public Works

1962 Charles Evans Hughes Hall
   (Law Student Residence)
   Architects: Eggers & Higgins

1963 Clark Hall (Physical Sciences)
   Architect: Jacob Fruchtbaum

1966 Martha Van Rensselaer Hall (Home Economics)
   Architect: Ulrich Franzen
Now there's a way to put all the exciting advantages of 40 watt U-tube fluorescent lamps to work in a new decorative 2' modular. U-LUME from Benjamin.

Benjamin engineers designed U-LUME with adaptability and appearance in mind. They began with a 20-gauge steel housing that fulfills UL requirements for low-density ceilings—one that takes any make of 40W U-lamp. They trimmed it with stylish-extruded aluminum, finished in soft gold or brown suede (or standard white). Then they added an optional, decorative, snap-in panel of impact-resistant material to further enhance the appearance.

Finally, HOLOPHANE was commissioned to design an optically perfect Controlens for the modular. U-LUME is a brilliant idea wherever decorative modular lighting is needed. And best of all, U-LUME can be shipped complete with lamps. For more information about U-LUME, write Benjamin Products, Thomas Industries Inc., 207 E. Broadway, Louisville, Kentucky 40202.
It had to be 100% waterproof, and it is.

Take the case of the 300,000 square foot, third floor parking area at the new Houston Intercontinental Airport, for example. It has to be 100% waterproof because it covers all the passenger terminal area and air line ticketing facilities.

After extensive research, airport architects and engineers chose our Auto-Gard waterproofing system to do the job.

We’re proud to say Auto-Gard is performing perfectly in the huge terminal. It not only protects the passenger area from moisture, but from dripping car grease, oil and other contaminants, too.

Auto-Gard works because it’s a Neogard fluid applied elastomeric system with Neoprene waterproof membranes that bond to the floor in seamless continuity.

Maybe you could use a system like Auto-Gard yourself.
SMITH METAL WALLS are dramatic...

They are also impressive, attractive, functional, economical. You design Smith Walls to present the image and fulfill the requirements of your specific building project.

Pick any Smith panel. Specify the exterior panel profile most suitable to your design from the wide selection available. Choose the protective coating in the exact color you have in mind. Leave the rest to us.

Smith Walls are custom-engineered to your design and specifications, manufactured, delivered and erected by our own people. We call it the Smith Single Responsibility concept. We do it ourselves so we know it’s right. And you know you and your client will be satisfied. Our record of repeat contracts proves it.

Specify Smith Walls in place for your next assignment . . . new building, expansion or remodeling. For full details, write:

ELWIN G. SMITH & COMPANY, INC. Pittsburgh, Pa. 15202 / Atlanta • Boston
Cincinnati • Chicago • Cleveland • Dallas • Detroit • New York • Philadelphia • Rochester • Toledo

General Electric Company Plant, Oklahoma City, Okla.
Architects: Benham-Blair & Affiliates, Oklahoma City, Okla.
General Contractor: Manhattan Construction Company, Oklahoma City, Okla.
Smith C-Panel. 1½” insulation, aluminum exterior finished in Kynar II. Ribwall profile on fascia. The main wall consists of Contourwall profile panels.
PLEXIGLAS® acrylic plastic allows you lighting design freedom not possible with other types of lens and diffuser materials. Compared to glass, lightweight PLEXIGLAS® lenses let you cover larger areas—5 feet x 5 feet in a single panel is entirely practical. Compared to other plastics, PLEXIGLAS® has high, unmatched resistance to yellowing.

Lighting panels of PLEXIGLAS® are highly breakage resistant, economically maintained and safe to handle. Through precise lensic control, they provide maximum light on the task and low brightness at normal viewing angles.

PLEXIGLAS® is code-approved nationwide as a lighting material. Write for our technical brochure and the names of manufacturers who use PLEXIGLAS® in their lighting equipment.

Plexiglas is a trademark Reg. U.S. Pat. Off.

Plexiglas is made only by ROHM & HAAS
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19105
The first professional resident theater in the U.S. International recognition. Critics' raves. The Cleveland Play House takes a back seat to no other.

How did it get there? It wasn't easy. After a faltering start, it was miraculously saved from total collapse by a last-minute reorganization. And only in 1927 fell heir to a permanent home. Later, the Play House acquired a beautiful old church a few blocks away. This impressive structure was converted into a theater-in-the-round, a dramatic innovation in theater design. The cost? Over a million dollars. And that was a lot of money in those days. Today, box-office receipts cover most of the operating costs.

But enthusiastic groups and individuals are always ready to make up the rest. So the future of the Play House is pretty well assured.

Wouldn't it be nice if this sort of enthusiasm could be lavished—by other groups with time, talent, and energy—on the future of kids like Cheryl Taylor and Eleanore Gerhardt? Kids who exist in the slums which literally surround the Play House. And even though they live in the very shadow of the Play House it's not likely they'll ever get inside. Unless somebody does something.

We are trying to stimulate more active interest in youngsters like these among people like you—architects who must be concerned about the future. That's why we've established the Eaton Yale & Towne Urban Design Fellowship. The award, administered by the A.I.A., provides for one year of graduate study in urban design at an American university and a follow-up tour of urban developments abroad.

Bravo to Cleveland's living theatre! But as long as we're saving the past, shouldn't we save the future? The Cheryls and Eleanores.
THE UV FADING PROBLEM
(and the new solution)

Most motel and hotel owners depend on shades . . . which are usually left open by guests.

This is Amerada's new FADE-SAFE glass

Your carpets, drapes, furniture and other expensive furnishings can now be protected from harmful ultraviolet rays by Amerada's new FADE-SAFE glass.

FADE-SAFE is a clear laminated glass that allows complete, untinted visibility. Its secret is a thin, transparent laminate that filters out damaging UV rays but admits full sunlight.

Within the most damaging light wavelength region, FADE-SAFE excludes all but 1% of the UV rays. It actually reduces the rate of ultraviolet-caused fade 52 times better than 1/4" plate glass within this region.

As an additional benefit, FADE-SAFE can be combined with Amerada "Secur-Lite" burglar resistant glass to protect against riots and vandalism. It is also available with Amerada "Acoustas-Pane" glass to reduce aggravating outside noise.

FADE-SAFE is now available in a full range of sizes and will fit most standard window frames. Write for details on how FADE-SAFE is the solution to your UV fading problem.

Amerada Glass Company, A Division of Globe Glass Manufacturing Company, 2001 Greenleaf Avenue, Elk Grove Village, Illinois 60007 - Chicago area code (312) 439-5200
To order material described, circle indicated number on self-addressed Reader Service Card, facing page 112.

DOORS/WINDOWS 601


Catalog includes technical information on LOF glass; includes Vari-Tran® and Vigilpanel® SA 68. Libbey-Owens-Ford Co. On Reader's Service Card, circle 103.


FLOORING 603

FLOOR COVERING 604

Powerhouse by World Carpets. A 5 64-gauge, level loop quality with 100% solution dyed Acrylan acrylic pile. 10 heather colorations. Sample swatch, descriptive information. World Carpets. On Reader's Service Card, circle 107.

FURNISHINGS 605


Traditional 700 Series. 24-pg color catalog; complete line of Chipendale desks, credenzas, bookcases and correlated seating. Myrtle Desk Co. On Reader's Service Card, circle 110.

HARDWARE 606
24-pg fully illustrated booklet gives information on choosing correct type of hardware for every type of entrance. Kawneer/Amax Co. On Reader's Service Card, circle 111.


HEATING/ AIR CONDITIONING 607
Instant Warning," 4-pg brochure on fan coil air conditioning. Carrier Air Conditioning Co. On Reader's Service Card, circle 114.


LIGHTING 610
Plexiglas for Lighting—44-pg booklet gives complete optical-physical data on Plexiglas® acrylic plastic for indoor and outdoor lenses and diffusers. Rohm & Haas Co. On Reader's Service Card, circle 118.

AREALUME CATALOGS: Ball-light post-tops, pendants, brackets for plazas, malls, parking areas. Stocco Lighting Div. of Keene Corp. On Reader's Service Card, circle 119.

MASONRY 611

CONCRETE ADMIXTURES: 16-pg technical catalog listing and giving data on line of water-reducing admixtures, curing agents, and other concrete treatments. Master Builders. On Reader's Service Card, circle 121.

OPERABLE WALLS 613

PLUMBING EQUIPMENT 615
12-pg 2-color catalog shows American's complete line of laundry machinery. American Laundry Machinery Industries. On Reader's Service Card, circle 123.

32-pg color catalog #168; drinking fountains, water coolers—includes specs, drawings. Haws Drinking Faucet Co. On Reader's Service Card, circle 124.


WALLS/LAMINATES/ PARTITIONS


Metal Wall Panels, including new Foamwall. 20-pg catalog includes complete specs with color photos of walls in place. Elwin G. Smith & Co., Inc. On Reader's Service Card, circle 133.
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“Many businesses are contributing generously to higher education. Have you looked recently to see if you’re giving enough?”

Roger M. Blough*

In addressing business leaders, Roger Blough backed up this question with the alarming estimate of rising costs for higher education. “By the mid-seventies, annual expenditures will reach $30 billion, about double the 1965 figure.”

It’s vitally important that every business evaluate investment in higher education—and do so in light of rising costs. Corporate contributions that are geared to yesterday’s expenditures aren’t keeping pace with changing needs. If your company has not yet started an aid-to-education program, it’s time to get involved.

Business needs educated people to produce money. Colleges need money to produce educated people. With tuitions covering only about 1/3 of the soaring costs, colleges and universities must have more help, now.

Write for: “How to Aid Education.” It’s a booklet for management—of particular interest, if your company has not yet established an aid-to-education program. Address: Council for Financial Aid to Education, 6 East 45th Street, New York, N.Y. 10017.

*Former Chairman, United States Steel Corporation

Give to the college of your choice.

advertising contributed for the public good.
Perfection Gas infra-red keeps stored steel from showing its age.

In its Kansas City warehouse, Armco Steel can store wire products for years. Without worrying about condensation. Because Perfection-Schwank Gas infra-red heaters keep the stored wire dry.

That means everything looks as good as it did the day it was made.

And Gas infra-red's the efficient way to warm up production. Even when doors are open most of the time. That's because Gas infra-red heats people and machines directly.

This efficient use of heat is what makes Gas infra-red so economical. And maintenance costs are low too. Because there are no moving parts.

If you'd like to know what Gas infra-red can do for you, just call your local Gas Company Sales Engineer.

Or contact your Perfection Heat Engineer, c/o Hupp Inc., 1135 Ivanhoe Road, Cleveland, Ohio 44110.

AMERICAN GAS ASSOCIATION, INC.
For infra-red heating, Gas is the natural energy choice.
Air conditioning that puts no ceiling on imagination*

Carrier's Moduline® variable volume air terminals are designed to give you freedom of design . . . to enhance rather than hinder your personal hallmark.

A showcase of what architects have accomplished in ceiling design with the Carrier Moduline is now available in a new portfolio entitled "Great Ceilings."

This handsomely bound reference book includes a variety of installations such as a school, hospital, municipal building, service center, and office buildings. Some are completely new, others are add-ons and/or renovations.

A new 7-minute companion film on the Carrier Moduline is also available for private showings. It clearly describes the unique features of this new unit and illustrates a variety of design-provoking ceilings. The name is the same, "Great Ceilings."

Registered architects who write in on their letterheads will receive a copy of the "Great Ceilings" portfolio and may also schedule a showing of the film.

Write today to William Heck, Product Manager, Carrier Air Conditioning Company, Carrier Parkway, Syracuse, New York 13201.

*Bound to entice the imagination—Carrier's new Architect's Portfolio includes a dozen of the world's "Great Ceilings" suitable for framing.
Now, a third kind of office furniture to help you create a new kind of environment.

The other two, of course, are wood and metal. We call ours Chromattees . . . furniture that combines the best of both . . . furniture that helps you create dramatic new office environments.

You can see some of it here — flat Matte acrylics, Ember Chrome that's as warm as a hearthside, hand-rubbed woods, wonderful responsive fabrics.

For complete details, write Steelcase Inc., Department A, Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501, or visit one of our showrooms listed below.
NEW NORTON® APOLLO
SERIES 7700 CLOSERS

A completely new Norton Closer, incorporating all the desirable features suggested by architects.

Contemporary, narrow-projection styling to meet the needs of today's architecture ... today's decor.

With covers; in anodized bronze, brass or clear aluminum; in 67 exotic and native woodgrains for on-the-job finishing; plus all other popular finishes.

For fine-tuning its power to the environment, a plus or minus 25 percent spring power adjustment.

For exact control despite traffic abuse, a new rack-and-pinion design and adjustable-backcheck protection for all types of mounting.

For easy application, non-handed installation.

Just specify regular arm, parallel arm, or top-jamb mounting.