An attractive garden wall of concrete masonry, a concrete planter box, a concrete patio or lanai surface can add beauty to your landscaping plans and harmony to your outdoor living. Concrete is easy to clean, is termite and rot proof, will last a lifetime, and will add value to your home. Concrete. It's made right here in Hawaii.

CEMENT AND CONCRETE PRODUCTS INDUSTRY OF HAWAII
Suite 1110, Control Data Building
2828 Paa Street, Phone 833-1882
September Is Aloha United Fund Month

Last year, in support of the Aloha United Fund, 163 of the 711 architects and their employees who make up our "industry," contributed a total of $8,413.

By comparison, the engineers (who are about equal to architects in number) contributed $31,280. Doctors, 42 per cent of whom gave, contributed $39,000. Dentists - 50 per cent gave, for $12,000. CPAs - 69 per cent. Federal employees - 84 per cent. State employees - 84 per cent. City employees - 92 per cent. 23 per cent of architects (including draftsmen, and secretaries) gave.

We talk about community leadership. We talk about our "enlightened" community consciousness. We worry about our public image. Yet when it comes to performance, we are not there. Hawaii's architects found it in their hearts to contribute in excess of $500,000 to political candidates in the last series of elections. What about support for programs that benefit PEOPLE!

This is not the place to list all the services and activities supported by the Aloha United Fund. Read their literature. If voluntary contributions cannot support the Agency's programs, there are but 3 choices: 1 - each "cause" can conduct its own fund. 2 - discontinue the activities and services, or 3 - turn to governmental funding. Compare the efficiency of the Aloha United Fund, where 93 cents of every dollar contributed goes to the intended users, with separate fund drives, where 50 cents to 70 cents reaches the user, and with governmental funding, where 15 cents of every tax dollar is consumed in administrative costs.

The point is obvious. The Aloha United Fund supports important community activities. It does so efficiently and without all the bother of separate fund drives. Hawaii's architects must do THEIR PART to support these activities. Your contribution need not be large, but DO CONTRIBUTE. DO IT THIS YEAR!

TCEP Recommendations Have Strong Points

Temporary Commission for Environmental Planning has released their recommendations for public discussion before reporting to the legislature on November 1. While Life of the Land's criticism that the recommendations lack teeth has some merit, it would be foolish to write the committee's work off as "just another study." The report has many strong points. The men who make up the committee are those with contact with the problems and the task of dealing with them day to day - and with the authority to do something about them. The assumptions that "...Hawaii's natural environment is finite, limited, and destructible..." and that we should "...present a harmony between man and nature, and that the government should use the tools available to it... to preserve, to heighten this harmony..." are a good place to begin.

What happens now is the real question. George Mason in his August 27th editorial calls for "...a new kind of toughness at the helm in both government and business." He goes on, "The missing link is cohesive and lasting leadership at the political and economic level."

The task before Hawaii now is to turn the direction of these recommendations into policies that really guide action and into laws that back up the

Continued on Page 22
The September and November issues of Hawaii Architect concentrate on the subject of urban design. Seven articles are presented by individuals who are concerned in one form or another with urban design. The first article is by Edward J. Greaney, deputy director of Planning and Economic Development, describing Act 119, which became law as of July 1, 1973. Act 119, “is believed to be the first state law to require urban design plans on a statewide basis.” This Act, Greaney suggests, could have far greater physical impact on our daily lives in years to come than any of the major “reform” proposals in planning and land use controls deliberated by the 1973 State Legislature. 

“Now we have no more excuses, we must have urban design plans,” states James T. Yamamoto as an appropriate footnote to the foregoing article. Yamamoto’s thesis is that “existing tools and policies governing development of the landscape avoids the worst, but fails to raise the level of the common and the pedestrian.” He calls for a redefinition of urban design to break away from “its limited physical orientation; and its focus on property lines.” “We should enlarge its perspective by recognizing basic people’s needs as they relate to spatial and services requirements and uses.”

Tom Creighton poses several interesting questions in his article with regard to the urban design element called for by the State Legislature and the development plan called for by the newly adopted City Charter. “First, there is the basic question: What do we mean by urban design?” The underlying programmatic question, according to Creighton, “is whether urban design is at all possible unless the City (Counts and State) adopts policy for maximum optimum size and sets goals for itself with some indication that it will abide by them.” Will the general plan of the City & County of Honolulu, when it is finally revised, have an urban design element? After talking to a number of people about the responsibilities, Creighton states, “he is not at all sure what it may include!”

Jack Sidener attempts to provide the practitioner with a set of urban design principles which will enable him to deal with the complexities of problems at the urban design scale. To illustrate his principles of urban design, Sidener uses the Manoa Campus as an example of “one major institutional focal point which needs reinforcement.” He stresses the need to strengthen the visual image of the campus through design.

In the November issue, Ron Baers, by the same token, attempts to develop a public framework for urban design. He stresses the need for professional planners to interact directly with community organizations, particularly at the neighborhood scale. Here’s where citizen participation is mandatory and where the ultimate “user” can be most easily identified. He discusses five essential criteria which must be defined to make public urban design a salable and functional activity, within the context of incremental development, i.e., appropriateness of scale, placemaking, flexibility, connectiveness, and compatibility. The challenge to urban design, Baers states, is not to predict development form, but to develop a public framework which is responsive to community goals and values.

The next article by Richard Hopper describes the State’s effort to develop a quality growth policy for Hawaii through the State Office of Environmental Quality Control. Mr. Hopper discusses the work of the Temporary Commission on Environmental Planning established by the Governor and supported by the legislature to come up with a program for action to deal with the environmental concerns of the community. During over five months of hearings on all Islands, citizen groups voiced generally the same thought — “people see the problem as one in which government must become more involved — a strong sense of frustration was evident in the people’s description of the failure of Federal, State, and local government to work together.”

The final article by Michael Lee concerns itself with how urban design relates to attempts to meet the housing needs of Hawaii’s people. “We must deal not only with the quantity of housing to be provided and other quantitative issues (e.g. sizes and prices of units), but also with the quality of housing and residential living and the impact of housing on neighborhood environments and urban form. “The key question linking these concerns is whether housing needs can be met in ways that are compatible with urban design objectives.” Lee raises a number of issues at several levels of planning and urban design which he suggests should be considered by persons in various design and planning fields as well as in the housing and development industries.
“We almost settled for the usual two-handled faucets.”

Lincoln Property Company’s 800-unit Raintree community was well into the third stage of construction when Bill Curson switched to Aquamix.

He liked the price at first sight. And he knew prospective residents would like that clean, contemporary styling. But would Aquamix hold up in the long run?

We had news for him. The ceramic cartridge inside is completely unaffected by sand, silt, grease and other impurities that cause leaky faucets and nagging tenants. In fact, Aquamix may well outlast Bill’s own kitchen faucet at home. In a laboratory test, we turned five Aquamix fittings on and off 250,000 times (the equivalent of 10 years of normal use) and those fittings are still going strong today.

Bill Curson is an Aquamix believer, now. Your plumbing contractor has the figures to make you one, too.

Ask him.

“I’m from Missouri, but Aquamix showed me.”

Says builder Bill Curson, Lincoln Property Company, St. Louis, Missouri.
The Design Plan Law: An Act For Architects

by Edward J. Greaney, Jr.

Major changes in planning and land use controls, deliberated by the 1973 State Legislature, caught public interest this past spring. Comparatively little attention was given to a measure which actually passed and became law, however, and could have far greater physical impact on our daily lives in years to come than the "reform" proposals.

Entitled "Relating to the Development of Urban Design Plans for Each County," Act 119 is believed to be the first state law to require urban design plans on a statewide basis. A State Administration sponsored bill, it experienced relatively smooth sailing in its course through both houses and became law as of July 1 with Governor John A. Burns' signature on May 17.

The Act requires each of the State's four Counties to prepare and observe one or more urban design plans "to facilitate quality design in future development and construction, both public and private."

The new law is a product of the 1972 State of Hawaii Tourism Impact Plan, issued by the Department of Planning and Economic Development, which stresses the importance of the relationship between the architecture of resort development and its larger setting, whether urban or rural.

* Volume One of the two-part Plan deals with statewide tourism concerns and devotes one of eight chapters, and one of its seven "findings," to the design of resort areas. Three recommendations are made in regard to design standards: (1) design review boards for each county "as technical review committees of public and private construction;" (2) an "adopted" urban design plan for each County as an amendment to its general plan; and (3) zoning ordinances which influence the appearance as well as govern the use of buildings.

The review board recommendation calls for the site plan design review for all construction - subdivisions as well as single hotels or planned development. The zoning ordinance recommendation has specific reference to height limitations in relation to visual and physical requirements of the environment; it calls for a height restriction map based on a landscape and urban design survey. It also urges consideration of such zoning incentives as broadening the density bonus concept but calls for its repeal for properties abutting public open space.

** Authors of the Tourism Impact Plan - inevitably dubbed TIP - envisioned urban design plans as being expressions in law of standards and guidelines regulating resort development. One component would be a "development restriction survey" which considers historic sites, the landscape, wilderness areas, the shoreline, significant views, the location of existing towns, and other features of the environment "to be preserved and/or enhanced." As befits the role of State planning to set forth only broad guidelines for County action, the TIP design finding declares "implementation will be concerned with guiding the concentration of development around specified nodes of growth."

When the bill was first introduced expressing this line of thinking, it drew criticism from County planning directors who objected to the provision that the design plans be amendments to County General Plans. As such the plans would be subject to the Dalton ruling, they observed, and design plans should be treated as implementation devices for carrying out policy, not policy statements themselves.

Hence, the implementation section of the bill was amended to read: "Each County shall develop an appropriate method of implementing its design plans. It may program the design plan into a series of actions or projects with assigned priorities that will reflect a systematic method for ultimate design plan implementation."

Continued on Page 8
We know 450 potential buyers...

who are looking for a good project.

On our last project, the Fairway Villa, we sold out 236 units without even advertising the building. We had so many interested buyers we had to have a drawing to determine who would get to buy.

We couldn't satisfy everyone. In fact, Frank Herman has a list of 300 people on the Fairway Villa alone who would like to buy if someone else can't qualify.

Frank has an additional list of 150 buyers waiting for an apartment in other projects we have recently sold. That's 450 people who would like to buy an apartment now in areas like Waikiki, McCully, Makiki, University, or in the downtown area, Pearl Ridge, or Pearl City.

If you are a developer, or if you own property in one of these areas, we'd like to talk to you. We could use two or three good projects right now, and we can handle them from the ground up if necessary.

With 32 successful projects behind us, like Fairway Villa, Four Paddle, Waipuna and the Marco Polo, you know we have the experience. We have the time, and we also have the buyers. That's a great combination. So if you are planning a project or have the land on which to put up a building, let's get together.

Remember the name

Hugh Menefee, Inc.

1441 Kapiolani Boulevard, Suite 1105, Honolulu, Hawaii 96814
Telephone: Honolulu, 941-1555 • Maui, 661-0021
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Ahead of all others.
How can you make sure the xerographic toner you use will give good copies while keeping your machines in top running order?

You can use Xerox’s own toner. And get consistently good results. Or you can use Nashua toner. Get just as good or even better results. And save hundreds of dollars in the bargain.

P.S. Nashua also makes the only full line of developers that, along with Xerox, are ahead of all others.

Distributed by:
on Oahu—
Webco Honolulu, Inc. 521-3491

on Maui—
Maui Office Machines, Inc. 244-7902

on Hawaii—
Office Things, Inc. 935-5401

Greaney from 6 plan accomplishment.

An annual progress report is required to be filed with DPED each September by the counties. Mayors may select whatever County agency they deem best for the assignment and “citizen participation shall be encouraged during . . . preparation and implementation.”

If Counties are now required to have such plans, how are they defined? While no specific definition is offered, the Act says they contain at least five “elements”: (1) the land uses permitted by their general plan and zoning; (2) pedestrian and vehicular circulation systems; (3) necessary and desirable public and private community facilities; (4) historic sites, significant natural land and water features, and views and vistas which must be protected and enhanced; and (5) “basic concepts of environmental character, including architectural character.”

At this fifth element Act 119 may be breaking new ground in legislating aesthetics. It states these concepts are preserved by six design controls. The first of these, height limitations, “should bear a relationship to the natural land features and views which are to be protected under the plan.” Further, “structure heights should not compete with natural land forms nor should buildings interrupt those views which should be available to other property owners or the public at large.”

The second control, densities, should “prevent excessive concentrations of people which would alter the intended character and integrity of resort and historical areas.” The remaining four controls are coverage ratios, shoreline and other setbacks, building material and color standards for conforming with the “setting” involved, and sign regulation.

There are nine identified “issues” in the TIP design chapter which calls for the legislative proposal. They are: overloading of facilities, Waikiki’s density, “urban (resort) sprawl,” rural historic sites, visual impact of resort development, architectural preservation, shoreline accessibility and appearance, the lack of mobility of the tourist as this relates to encouraging more visitor activities on the Neighbor Islands, and support and infrastructure concerns.

It should be observed, however, that Act 119 does not require that the design plans shall be for, or restricted to, the resort areas of each county.

It remains to be seen what County initiative will make of the Act. By its very existence, however, the new law has reasserted the importance of the design professions in the “comprehensive planning.”

* Volume Two is a regional development plan for West Hawaii.
** Authors of the chapter on Urban Design were Carl Mahoney, then with the U.H. School of Architecture, Brian Choy, and Jane Preuss.
You build with "Wolmanized" wood.
We field treat it.
We treat the soil.
No pilikia.

Announcing Diamond W. Protection.

The three best ways to protect against termite and rot damage have never been offered from a single source... until now. Only Honolulu Wood Treating Company offers all three:

Soil Treatment: A licensed pest control operator will treat the soil around and under the construction. In addition, we'll remove all woody scrap material from the homesite.

"Wolmanized" Wood: All framing and structural lumber and plywood, and wood in contact with concrete and masonry will be "Wolmanized" (or Tribucide) pressure treated wood.

Field Treatment: A licensed operator will be on hand during construction to end coat all field cuts and holes with Wolman X-71 solution.

3 guarantees make homes more valuable.
$5,000, 20-year warranty bond guarantee on all "Wolmanized" wood.
Others make promises. We give an ironclad written warranty bond guarantee. It's even transferable...remaining in force regardless of ownership.

1 year guarantee on all untreated wood.
Ordinarily the contractor is responsible for a one-year warranty. We take the monkey off his back. If even non-treated components are damaged by termites within the first year of construction, we'll make repairs, free. Diamond W protection is that effective.

5-year guarantee on soil treatment.
If there is any outbreak of subterranean termites within five years of construction we'll retreat the soil free of charge.

HONOLULU WOOD TREATING CO., LTD.
2290 Alahao Place/Phone 847-4661
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Will Honolulu’s General Plan Have an Urban Design Element?

By THOMAS H. CREIGHTON, FAIA

The answer would seem obvious to the question: Will the General Plan of the City & County of Honolulu, when it is finally revised, have an urban design element? The State Legislature, in its last session, passed an Act requiring it. Each County is mandated to include urban design as part of its general planning procedures. Yet questions may remain, because the order will be difficult to carry out and we can’t be sure what the end results will be.

The Legislature’s action appeared to be a triumph for the individuals and professional and citizen groups who had been insisting for some time that design of the urban environment is as important as the technical, social, and economic elements in its planning. However, the mandate hands back to the protagonists of urban design some problems that they may not have foreseen, and raises questions that the County planning departments and planning commissions may not be ready to answer.

First, there is the basic question: What do we mean by urban design? Prior articles in this series have approached that one. The reply seems easy at first, but anyone who tries to give a really usable, noncontroversial definition faces difficulty. The wide and continuing argument over San Francisco’s urban design element in its general master plan gives a slight indication of the hassles that lie ahead.

Even if we could all agree on a description of urban design that the various Planning Directors would be willing to use as a working definition, large decisions would still remain. As a general plan element, in what ways does design relate to the other aspects of the plan: transportation, housing, land uses, and so on? Which comes first? Do you prepare a design (as San Francisco did in its height, bulk, and other guidelines) and then make the other elements fit into it? Or would it be better to let the design grow out of the functional program for living, working, circulation requirements — following after com-

The underlying programmatic question is whether urban design is at all possible, unless the City adopts policy for maximum-optimum size and sets goals for itself with some indication that it will abide by them. Every architect knows that you can’t begin designing until you have a program worked out with your client. How many patients is this hospital to care for: chronic, acute, ambulatory? How many units will this residential development provide, with what room count? In the same way, how many people are going to live in this city, at what densities?

SPUR (San Francisco Planning and Urban Renewal Association), an effective citizen planning organization in which many of the local architects are active, has criticized that city’s urban design plan for lacking the guidance of such a quantitative program. Setting height and bulk envelopes and plotting open areas are meaningless exercises, says SPUR, “when the city has not determined its optimum level of development — or congestion.” In Hawaii, not only have Honolulu and the other cities no goals for ultimate, optimum sizes, the State itself is still debating growth policies and population limits.

In a sense it’s amusing and frustrating that we have to admit to indecision at this late date about design as a part of the planning process. Not too long ago city planning was large-scale architectural and landscape design and not much else. Since L’Enfant’s plan for Washington, no one except sanitary engineers had cared much about how American cities would grow — in what forms, with what arrangement of buildings and streets and parks and other necessities and amenities — until the city beautiful movement and the formation of municipal art societies around the turn of the last century. Borrowing their ideas for the design of cities from the garden city concepts of Ebenezer Howard and Sir Patrick Geddes in England, the new big city master plans were grandiose, beautifully landscaped large-scaled site plans by architect-

![Could an Urban Design Plan eliminate this?](Hawaii Architect)
... or control skyline?

Institute publications (including Paul Speirigen's definitive book, *The Architect of Cities*) have consistently urged inclusion of urban design considerations in urban planning processes.

City planning, it seems, has moved and is still moving around a circle of interpretations: from physical design, with other elements carelessly considered; through an emphasis on technical analyses; to a concern with social implications of the general plan; and now back to a realization that the whole planning effort must add up to a coordinated design. It's gratifying to realize that through all these developments the American Institute of Architects has held a remarkably consistent position. A national AIA Committee on Urban Design was set up in 1920, and

... and provide parks?

City after city followed the lead of Chicago and San Francisco in hiring these civic-art proponents to make handsome designs for the future, many of which remained simply drawings. The First National Conference on City Planning, held in Washington in 1909, was both the high point of the city art planning movement and the first hint of a new comprehensive planning approach. However, the city-beautiful city planners continued active in the burgeoning profession of planning at least until the 1927 Standard City Planning Act, landmark legislation that just preceded the Great Depression.

From that point on, "design" began to take a back seat in city-planning development. When the next significant planning legislation was passed - the Housing Act of 1949, which made submission of general plans a requirement for Federal financial aid - the elements that were called for in general planning were land use, circulation, public utilities, and community facilities. No mention of anything like urban design. And at the next turning point, the Housing Act of 1964, social planning was included specifically for the first time in the definition of a general plan, but still there was no reference to urban design.
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scenic considerations as well as detailed land uses almost answers the need for urban design studies. If it should happen that the new development plans turn out this way—a kind of enlargement of the planned development total-design concept—the result could be an interesting approach to urban design.

Such a method of meeting the Legislature’s requirement would, in a sense, recall the original understanding of city design-planning: site planning on a very large scale. Is that what the Legislature had in mind? In fact, did the Legislature as a whole have an idea of what it was requiring, beyond some fine sounding words? Put in another way, are there as many mental pictures of an urban design among the members of the Legislature as there are different personalities?

What about the problem of designing without a fixed program? There seems to be only one possible answer, similar to the technique that all planners of long, long range distances into the future have had to adopt. It is to identify alternate goals, describe them in some detail and attempt to assess their implications, and then block out designs for the most promising and the most likely. Bob Way has tentatively suggested something like this as a way out of the dilemma, although he hasn’t had time to analyze all its potentials.

If the Honolulu urban design element comes out as something similar to the San Francisco model, this approach would mean that alternative height and bulk envelopes, for instance, would have to be made for all of the various parts of the Island, and alternative Island-wide guidelines developed from them. The amount of work involved in such a proceeding is appalling: developing and designing the alternatives, explaining and defending the process at public hearings, carrying the reasoning through the Planning Commission and the City Council. Endless time would be required.

As a matter of fact, time will be an important consideration in what the Planning Director and his advisors decide to do in Honolulu, and I’m sure that it will weigh heavily in the other Counties also. The Honolulu revision program is late, struggling to catch up with time lost through inadequate funding and staffing, and nothing is welcome that delays the program further at this point.

Certainly the mandated job will not be shrugged off; a number of thoughtful staff people are giving the problem careful analysis. But it will be done with a methodology as simple as possible and with some inevitable hedging because of lack of sufficient programmatic background. The result may be disappointing in certain respects to some urban design advocates. I’m not at all sure that we can anticipate consistency among the Counties. There certainly won’t be any beautiful 1900-type perspectives of an ideal city; the future is just too fuzzy at this point for such visualizations to have any meaning.

Nevertheless, the return of design to urban planning is a development that all of us in the architectural profession should surely applaud. We’ll just have to be patient until its proper place among all of the other plan elements has been fully explored. Everyone is feeling his way today among practices and procedures for a systematic, holistic design of the environment, and if the first steps are faltering, maturity will eventually make us more sure-footed. There is no doubt that we are witnessing another important stage in the history of the planning of cities.
And now a word from your water heater.

"Hello,
I'm your electric water heater. Since I don't make waves, you may not know much about me. So let me introduce myself.

I come in just about every size imaginable. You can find me in 5 to 20 gallon capacities when you look in small businesses.

If you check out really big places like condominiums and skyscrapers I may be a giant, holding up to 10,000 gallons.

I might look a little different because my big tanks come in horizontal styles and when there are high ceilings around, vertical ones.

In all modesty I can say that my maintenance costs are almost nil. Just look in on me occasionally to see that I'm not leaking or anything.

Sometimes it's nice not to be noticed at all!"

Hawaiian Electric
Urban Design
As a Public
Discretionary Responsibility

By JAMES T. YAMAMOTO

It is not without some irony that we should be admonished by the State Legislature to develop urban design plans for our several Counties. The compelling nature of this legislative mandate has been lost among those of us who are seeking legislative intent. Since the State enabling legislation governing the responsibilities of planning does not limit the several Counties from adopting and implementing urban design plans, it is interesting to find that its discretionary character has been swept aside. Now we have no excuses; we must have urban design plans. What a relief! We have settled that issue; now, we move on to another.

It is the general thesis of this brief exposition into urban design that existing tools and policies governing development of the landscape avoids the worst but fails to raise the level of the common and the pedestrian. Why? The existing tools remain focused upon the single lot image of land development; we have retained the lot-by-lot property line approach in the design of land uses and development.

We have simply added a more sophisticated treatment of its use through density-intensity ratios, view planes, minimal property standards, minimal site improvement standards, and the flexible planning “unit” development. All of these innovations are property line oriented, the PUD notwithstanding. The concept of property still pervades the design professional’s practice — this focus on individual property lines is an obsession in the design process, with attendant effects which should prick our social and aesthetic conscience.

Let me support my thesis by drawing some illustrations on how the existing and predominant application of developmental standards has failed to improve the level of urban design by any reasonable standard of measure. I believe that we need not question the quality of our urban landscape relative to its design; it certainly leaves a great deal to be desired architecturally and environmentally speaking.

The two standard tools of urban design are the zoning ordinance and the subdivision ordinance. The former governs uses, lot size, density, intensity, and minimal on-site improvements; the latter governs the design of land for sales and improvement, requiring minimal off-site improvements. Both of these ordinances have an adverse and exclusionary impact on individuals and families ill-equipped to pay the going rate to improve property in the name of health, safety and welfare.

This exclusionary impact, while inadvertent, raises the cost of improving lands to the lot owner and eventually to the home consumer. The current practice of land planning and improvement fails to recognize this exclusionary impact. If it is in the public interest — that is, the community as well as the home consumer benefit — then it might be well to distribute the cost of these improvements among the community at large. This is a plea for economic equity in the face of rising land improvement costs and prices.

Another impact of these public policy standards is the sustaining influence of the lot line design and development orientation. These policies
promulgating a dated concept in environmental design controls relate developmental standards on a property lines basis. It is certainly a legalistic approach to design. The relationship of spatial and services needs beyond the property lines are seldom considered in the design process, generally initiated by the property owner or developer. Public policies do not fully inform the entrepreneur of his responsibility beyond the property lines – the parks dedication statute aimed at this responsibility though its compliance has been very spotty indeed – and moreover, the public is not assured that the jurisdiction is looking after his best interests on the impact of the development within the general area within which it is to be located.

Most of the Punchbowl-Makiki example is an appropriate case in point. By any spatial and services standards, this is a net deficient area. The resident of the Punchbowl-Makiki area must compensate for these deficiencies by seeking these services elsewhere at his own expense. Fortunate or unfortunate, the majority of residents of this area have the wherewithal to engage in this kind of discretionary behavior.

Picture if you will what would have been the impact if people with limited means were the residents of this area. Its saving grace is that the residents of the area, at present, have the capacity to seek out their needs elsewhere. The shift from a predominantly single-family area to a high density multifamily area without the accompanying spatial and services needs commensurate with the changing density and population composition serve simply to establish an area of permanent transition. Though, there are signs of quelling this movement to alter the area more dramatically—e.g., the maintenance of the single-family units is remarkably high in face of this onslaught of multifamily developments within the area.

The existing concept of urban design has proved wanting. It is, simplistically stated, unusually non-people oriented; it is a physical concept, albeit a narrow one, with its singular emphasis upon scale limited, by and large, within the confines of a single lot. It permits the steady development of high-rise slabs along the freeway, with limited regard for basic people needs. The physical parameters of design predominate in the developmental pattern permitted within the area.

In brief summary then, existing practice of urban design by both public and private individuals and their participating design professionals can be faulted on these two basic points—its limited physical orientation; and its focus on property lines. We need to revamp our thinking about urban design and its practice in order to capture the fading opportunities of maintaining the unusual qualities of Island living of unparalleled physical beauty and life styles. As a start, we might redefine urban design to break away from the constraining limits of its present operational definition. We should enlarge its perspective by getting beyond the property lines; we should enlarge its perspective by recognizing basic people needs as they relate to spatial and services requirements and uses; and, we should fail to recognize the need to establish some implementing mechanism which does not establish a Procrustean

Could the Urban Design Plan control property line mentality.
TIRED OF REDRAWING REPEATED DETAILS?

Eliminate repetitious procedures with

**Opti-Copy Hawaii**

875 Waimanu St.-6th Floor
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
808/531-6456

- Don't redraw a detail that appears repeatedly in your work: draw it just once and send it to us.

- We'll return photographic copies in whatever quantity you need. Mount them wherever they're required on your drawing form, **complete your drawing**, and send us the composite for a **second original**

- You'll **speed and simplify drafting procedures**: the drawing is partially complete before you even begin drafting!
Yamamoto from 15

bed of urban design.

These three attributes of a more contemporary approach to urban design might be further amplified by introducing some basic elements of public design. One element might consist of a design frame to break away from the property line mentality. It should cover an area determined by site inspection and community analysis; it might cover a traditional "neighborhood" or two. Another element of urban design would be design and performance criteria traditionally found within the land development control ordinances. However, their application within the community should not be uniformly established; rather, they might be appropriately revised for specific design frames. This discretionary application of design and performance criteria should be the product of a joint design process between the public and the private parties, based on an explicitly described administrative procedure established via public hearings.

The joint urban design process would necessarily be limited to land improvements having a significant impact upon the area. For a start, we might set for a coverage of any improvement encompassing 50,000 square feet of floor space regardless of land use category or 50 dwelling units whichever is the lesser. This arbitrary standard would capture most of the significant land improvement projects for a mandatory public review and participatory process. Some may argue that this is much too costly; however, the key question remains, can we afford not to?

Let me close this brief speculative piece by quoting a statement made by August Herkscher:

"Property is now to a large extent abstract and impersonal... And as for owning a plot of earth, it now means less and less... A man's home is no longer his castle when the total environment is altered unrecognizably. "What the individual requires, therefore, is not a plot of ground but a place -- a context within which he can expand and become himself. A place in this sense cannot be bought; it must be shaped, usually over long periods of time, by the common efforts of men and women."

It is this need of a place that makes urban design all the more a public planning imperative. A very noteworthy start is the present effort to relocate the Ota Camp residents into a planned environment meeting their needs and to sustain their life style.
First Principle: Focal points should be created or reinforced by urban design.

In any urban agglomeration, focal points tend to happen naturally. It is the designer's job to see that they are clearly visible (comprehensible), accessible, and serve to illustrate the social or institutional hierarchy of the community. In Honolulu, the Central Business District, the Capital District and Civic Center, and the Tourist Center (Waikiki) are all relatively visible, and so far their cumulative design evokes their functions with reasonable clarity.

The one major institutional focal point which needs reinforcement, in my view, is the Manoa Campus of the University of Hawaii.

The Manoa Campus suffers from an old campus plan which was based upon the notion of pastoral seclusion, while the academic focus has been more toward participation as a major force in the urban community. The recent revision of the plan in the 1960s tended to perpetuate the concept that the campus should be focused inward, and buffered from the community in a pattern common to most American colleges. This standard form is that of an academic core (library, etc.) surrounded by clusters of buildings segregated by academic discipline, and an outer ring of less central functions (East-West Center, athletics, facilities, dormitories), a ring-road and perhaps a green belt (Fig. 1). In the city, the city offices, commercial core and other community centers are somewhat similarly arranged; linkages between the campus and city cores are presently rather tenuous (Fig. 2). As presently proposed, the powerful linkage of rapid transit will miss the present campus core by a considerable distance.

One Mainland campus which recognized a similar problem is that of the University of California at Berkeley, which has developed a very functional focal point for city-campus communication by building a Student Center at the very edge of its land (Fig. 3). This multifunctional complex, which includes a cafeteria, restaurant, snack shop, bookstore, student lounges, and a magnificent new theatre complex, was consciously sited nearest the Telegraph Avenue shopping area, and even provides (Fig. 4) a free speech area "on the line" and several spaces for public assemblies.

The city and campus were in a sense given a stage for confrontation, and The Free Speech Movement, granddaddy of all student movements of the 60s, was ignited by the refusal of campus officials to recognize the rights of students to set up political information tables in this "disputed area" in the fall of 1964, an election year. Many well-known confrontations have occurred in the same place. Whatever your feeling as to the value of such social abrasion, there are signs that conflict was a necessary stage in the social evolution of the Berkeley campus community, and that a true public forum, in the Greek sense, is evolving (Fig. 5). Telegraph Avenue and the student center are inextricably linked as a combination public thoroughfare and gathering place, not unlike the Agora of Athens must have been. People are encouraged by the design and planning to linger, to partake of the smell of Marakesh, the braless beauties, pretzels, and flower carts; the scene is intensely urban, festive, and socializing.

At the University of Hawaii, the functions which might comprise the
In an earlier series (Hawaii Architect, Oct. 1972, Nov. 1972, Jan. 1973), the author presented a definition of urban design as a professional discipline, and discussed some aspects of urban design practice relative to comprehensive planning. This series of articles will attempt to provide the practitioner with a set of principles which will hopefully enable him to more readily deal with the complexities of problems at the urban design scale.

Agora of Manoa are unfortunately dispersed with long and not necessarily pleasant walks between. (Fig. 6). From the fine cafeteria at the East-West Center, to the libraries and soon-to-open Student Center, and then on to the off-campus shopping area is nearly a mile, and includes an unpleasantly barren walk under the H-1 Freeway.

With the contemplated construction of a new University sports arena, a lecture-theatre, Art Department school and gallery, and other facilities, a fresh look at their siting to reinforce the University as a community focal point may be in order.

Since in the Wamecke plan there was discussion of another “axis” perpendicular to the old mall (Fig. 7), why not make this axis the focus of most of the capital expenditures for the next ten years? The result would be a new and exciting complex linking the old campus core with a new shopping and residential area makai of the freeway (at the proposed rapid transit station). The adjacent sketches (Fig. 8, 9) illustrate one possible architectural form that could evolve, assuming a “building” of say 1.5 million square feet of space built in increments, which would accommodate.

Continued on Page 20
The Gas Company introduces a new symbol and an important message.

With the nation and the world facing a growing energy problem, we're focusing on one of gas energy's most important features... gas is the energy saver.
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For some jobs, electricity is more efficient... jobs like washing clothes, ironing and powering televisions.
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modate university growth for several years. This would be a universal space (not unlike the main pavilion at Osaka Expo) accommodating a multitude of constantly changing functions, including large and small lectures, major indoor sports, political rallies, bookstores, individual video-tape "lecture machines," lounges, TV studios; this building would be the multimedia center for the whole campus.

Dormitories and married student housing should be nearby; the viaduct or underpass links to the old campus core and the new shopping district could be lined with galleries and shops as is Rome's Ponte Vecchio. This viaduct could have some form of public transport connecting campus and transit, encouraging heavier use of the proposed parking structures, and elimi-
nating most on-campus parking.

This brings us to another principle.

Second Principle: The scale and intensity of development should be directly related to the degree of accessibility.

The above proposal for a new Agora on the Manoa Campus would follow this second principle, by shifting the concentration of activities to the makai end of campus, near the freeway ramps and proposed transit station. This principle, if followed a few year ago, would have relegated the Bio-Medical Center to the quarry area as well. Its present location with attendant distance from adequate parking confirms the concept.

One of the major benefits would be comprehensibility through visual clarification of campus zones into a hierarchy of “hard” to “soft” forms (makai to mauka). Right now, campus planning tends to homogenize the campus into an all-medium texture, with large structures at both the mauka and freeway ends, confusing orientation and averaging all the open areas into similarly sized spaces which are too large to be urban, and too small to be park-like.

Like elsewhere along the impending transit route, the time to plan is now. By virtue of its vertical separation from the old campus the quarry area has been undeveloped, but the helter-skelter building program of the last few years is beginning to nibble at the edges with single-function buildings unrelated to each other. On the makai end, there seem to be moves by the Highway Department to alter the freeway access pattern, which could be disastrous in the long run unless it is part of a new design for a campus front door.

Fortunately, the building boom has been slowed by economic pressures, and the University of Hawaii has time to reassess its Development plan, and hopefully will do so in accordance with the kinds of urban design principles discussed in these articles.

1. Principles are suggested standardized means by which to solve certain agreed-upon objectives. The agreement we’ll leave to the AIA and AIIP councils; for this series the author will assume the following as objectives of urban design: (1) comprehensibility, (2) efficiency, (3) adaptability to change, (4) feasibility, (5) usability (conformances to needs), (6) affordability, (7) pleasurability, (8) variety, and periodically, (9) contradiction.


September, 1973
The Kuhio Hotel in Waikiki presented a unique opportunity for the extensive use of ornamental plaster. Utilized was Portland Cement on metal lath and furring frames which were fabricated on the job.

Plaster Information — Don Morganella
PACIFIC BUREAU for LATHING & PLASTERING
765 Amana St. – Rm. 304 – Ph. 946-6114

Report from 3
policies with teeth.
The Hawaii chapter of the AIA has within it much experience and expertise of value in making the transition from directions and goals to implementable policies and laws that will do what is intended. We have begun to let our voice be heard on these matters, but only begun. Now is the time to do the hard work. The AIA must make itself available to the legislative committees or to anyone else in pursuit of these same goals.

LETTERS
In my article in the July issue of Hawaii Architect (“H-3 and the General Plan Amendment”), I stated, “no testimony was offered by the public for any of the three highways.” This is incorrect! There were in fact two people who testified for H-3 at a subsequent Planning Commission hearing. They were: Don Bremner, chairman of the Planning Committee for the Kailua Community Council, and Takeshi Uyesugi, president of Kalihi-Palama Community Council. Sorry.
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