The Campus in the City

Judith Turner, photographer of the Baruch College North Academic Center under construction.
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Weaving academic buildings into urban fabric goes against the American grain. Our oldest campuses were either gated or relegated to the countryside. But the growing importance of continuing education, the limited number of large-scale sites, students’ need to work, and New York City’s popularity as a college town all suggest that local schools will continue to expand facilities along our streets and avenues.

On the East Side, between 24th and 25th streets, as Baruch College’s new North Campus building tops out, the positive impact on lower Lexington Avenue is becoming clear. Already, officials at Appalachian State College have moved their school’s Manhattan home away from home out of Tribeca to this neighborhood north of Gramercy Park. New York University dormitories are now having a similar effect just east of Union Square, on 14th Street. Further down the block, many mourn the Palladium, which has been razed to make more room for student housing. But the once-tawdry street is cleaner and more stable than it has been—even sprouting sidewalk cafés.

Although the massive presence of NYU threatens to tip the balance on small-scaled Greenwich Village streets (and too great a concentration of institutional facilities can deaden any urban area), buildings for education sprinkled throughout cities make welcome contributions. Campus expansions have created havoc in some neighborhoods, but campus planners have learned to work with community groups to ease transitions and to design projects with payoffs for everyone. For the local community in Morningside Heights, a new Columbia residence hall on the corner of 113th Street and Broadway will have stores and a branch of the New York Public Library in the base. The facade treatment will blend into the streetscape. Even the new Alfred Lerner Hall within Columbia’s gates fosters continuity.

The growing campuses of elementary and secondary schools are making good neighbors, now that educators and their architects are trying to blend into rather than stand out from nearby dwellings. In Manhattan and even Williamsburg, Brooklyn, schools are maintaining the scale of surrounding buildings with carefully-considered facades intended to preserve a sense of local history, rather than signal change. Schools move in for the long haul and build facilities for their own use. Unlike commercial developers, they expect to live with their results for years to come.
ON THE DRAWING BOARDS

Places to Live, to Work, and to Shop
by Nina Rappaport

In the South Bronx, the Melrose Commons Urban Renewal Plan (Oculus, June 1995, p. 12) is moving forward again. Construction began in November at the Plaza de Los Angeles, on Elton Avenue between 156th and 159th streets. The 26 three-family homes currently under construction will be joined by nine more next year. On a sister site, ground was broken last month for the mid-rise La Puerta de Vitalidad. Larsen Shein Ginsberg + Magnusson Architects designed these projects on a pro bono basis to help realize the goals of “We Stay/Nos Quedamos,” the neighborhood community organization.

Also in the Bronx, between 180th and 181st streets, Meltzer/Mandl Architects recently completed the Hughes Avenue Apartments. The seven-story, 72-unit rental development features 36 studio apartments and 36 two-bedrooms ranging from 450 to 850 square feet. The architects kept costs down by exercising ingenuity in layouts and building form and by using inexpensive materials such as brick and colored Dryvit. The 200-foot depth of the site even allowed them to create an outdoor courtyard.

In a recently completed renovation to house an art studio and private gallery, Abelow Connors Sherman Architects retained the historic character of a 17th Street loft. At the center of the project, a media room with a state-of-the-art audiovisual system is flanked by a painting studio to the north and living spaces to the south. The floor has been coated with an epoxy-urethane finish, while partitions are covered in joint compound (in lieu of paint) to establish an abstract three-dimensional gray background for the owner’s paintings. Vistas to the paintings and to video monitors are seen through framed spaces.

Sydness Architects designed the renovation of the J.P. Morgan Investment Management Group’s offices at 522 Fifth Avenue. (When Jeff Sydness was a principal at Swanke Hayden Connell, the project was awarded to that firm in an invited design competition between four New York architects. Sydness continued work on it after he formed his own firm.) The new design reduces the existing first-floor arcade to a three-bay entrance portico flanked by new retail spaces. The lobby has been relocated to the center of the building. Respecting the structural columns, the architects created an elliptical space to ease the flow from the entrance, through the lobby, to the elevator bank. Lower facades of the building have been re-clad in beige granite. Storefront windows are made of bronze.

For two full floors of the World Trade Center, Cetra/Ruddy Incorporated has been awarded a contract to design the national headquarters of Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, an institution-oriented securities broker. The modern, wood-paneled 97,800-square-foot space, with metal details and a limestone floor, will have an 80-person trading floor, state-of-the-art conference facilities, a cafeteria, and 200 workstations. Baseboards and ceiling moldings will be made from steel channels. A stainless-steel flying stair will descend to the lower level, where a floor-to-ceiling glass wall—sculpted and frosted with an abstract pattern—will allow light into the reception area. Completion is expected in the fall of 1999.

Hillier New York, the architect of record for the Moet Hennessy Louis Vuitton Tower on 57th Street (designed by French architect Christian de Portzamparc), has been retained to design the company’s 108,000 square feet of office space spread over 24 floors. Each division will have a floor of its own with a distinctive lobby. Since the designers envisioned the building flying on its side, like a row of chic storefronts, every “retail store” will be have an individual personality identifiable as you step off the elevator. Completion is scheduled for the end of September.

The DKNY world flagship boutique, designed by Architectureproject, is under construction at 655 Madison Avenue. The 17,000-square-foot project on three floors has retail space above and below a corner storefront (the space on the second level was once a Knoll showroom). A grand concrete stair will connect the three levels in an open interior volume 35 feet high. In December, the same firm completed the retailer’s first U.S. location, a 4,800-square-foot Donna Karan Collection boutique on two levels at the Americana Shopping Center, in Manhasset, Long Island. The central space there has a cantilevered steel staircase with limestone treads. As in the firm’s DKNY stores worldwide, the perimeter walls and ceilings are painted black, and the interior wall panels hang from the ceiling, appearing to float; they are covered in gold leaf, armor-coat plaster with marble dust and other elusive materials.
Clothing hangs from trapeze bars that drop out of slots in the ceiling which also hold light fixtures.

For a commercial strip outside Dover, Wisconsin, the same architects are designing two new retail interiors to two-story retail-and-office building in the shape of a sculptural parallelogram. The exterior of the 15,000-square-foot project will be dramatically lit from troughs recessed in the ground around its perimeter.

**Michael Neumann Architecture**

was two new retail interiors to its credit. For Laundry by Shelli Segal, the architects designed a prototype store in Wooster Street in Soho. A translucent white fabric scrim trenched over steel frames materializes the whitewashed brick walls of the store, providing alternating display surfaces and niche ranging areas. The narrow entry is mitigated by wide, ow display steps to direct customers into the central pace, where the ceiling slane drops and splits, creating a continuous flow. Fitting rooms, at the back of the double-height space with a balcony, are enclosed by metal-net curtains.

For the Coach prototype store in a 2,200-square-foot pace at 84th and Broadway, the architects created an upscale design with some traditional materials to harmonize with the product line. The structural elements are industrial in feel, with rough-sawn timber lintels at the windows, but the custom cabinetry is made of English oak and brass. The scheme will be used as the basis for a new store in Tokyo.

**Congratulations Due**

Five architects have been elected to compete in the 100,000 Prize Competition for the Design of Cities (Oculus, February 1999, p. 5), sponsored by the International Foundation for the Canadian Centre for Architecture. Finalists, who will focus on the west side of midtown Manhattan, are: Ben van Berkel and Caroline Bos of Van Berkel & Bos UN Studio, Amsterdam; Peter Eisenman of Eisenman Architects, New York; Thomas Mayne of Morphosis, Santa Monica; Cedric Price of Cedric Price Architects, London; and Jesse Reiser and Nanako Umemoto of Reiser + Umemoto RUR Architecture, New York.

The winners of the Pier 40 Design Competition, cosponsored by Manhattan Community Board 2 and the Van Alen Institute, were announced at a ceremony and panel discussion at New York University on January 11. From 140 entries, three schemes were selected to share equally the first-place cash prize of $6,000 that was funded by the pool of the competition entry fees. Majid Jelveh and Christian Joiris of New York proposed a park that would open up to the water with a skeletal remnant of the pier as a frame; Deamer + Phillips of New York proposed removing only the center of the building to allow the river to run through as a channel for swimming; and Knorr, Triebwetter, Liang and Kroehling of Germany conceived a park with sports facilities and a marina.

Honorable Mention went to Robert James of New York, who used the existing structure, with a central baseball field and large outdoor rooms in the outer ring—and to Jabbawy, Wang and Tai of Philadelphia, which would increase the connection to the water with a boardwalk and floating wooden deck. The winning schemes all met the community’s request for open space in a public park (with amenities such as baseball diamonds and swimming pools). Each winner also maintained something of the existing structure.


**ON THE DRAWING BOARDS**

Laundry by Shelli Segal, Michael Neumann Architecture

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Sebastian Knorr, Michael Trieswetter, Liu Liang, and Dr. Nicole Kroehling

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Majid Jelveh and Christian Joiris

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Deamer + Phillips

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Sebastian Knorr, Michael Trieswetter, Liu Liang, and Dr. Nicole Kroehling

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Majid Jelveh and Christian Joiris

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Deamer + Phillips

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Sebastian Knorr, Michael Trieswetter, Liu Liang, and Dr. Nicole Kroehling

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Majid Jelveh and Christian Joiris

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Deamer + Phillips

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Sebastian Knorr, Michael Trieswetter, Liu Liang, and Dr. Nicole Kroehling

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Majid Jelveh and Christian Joiris

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Deamer + Phillips

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Sebastian Knorr, Michael Trieswetter, Liu Liang, and Dr. Nicole Kroehling

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Majid Jelveh and Christian Joiris

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Deamer + Phillips

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Sebastian Knorr, Michael Trieswetter, Liu Liang, and Dr. Nicole Kroehling

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Majid Jelveh and Christian Joiris

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Deamer + Phillips

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Sebastian Knorr, Michael Trieswetter, Liu Liang, and Dr. Nicole Kroehling

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Majid Jelveh and Christian Joiris

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Deamer + Phillips

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Sebastian Knorr, Michael Trieswetter, Liu Liang, and Dr. Nicole Kroehling

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Majid Jelveh and Christian Joiris

Prizewinning Pier 40 scheme, Deamer + Phillips
IN THE STREETSCAPE

New Schools on the Horizon
by Nina Rappaport

Contextualism may have spawned some overgrown high-rise monsters in small-scale residential neighborhoods, but new school buildings and additions, designed with the existing streetscape in mind, are making positive contributions to the city... 

\[\square\] At Bartlett and Harrison streets, in Williamsburg, Brooklyn, Fox & Fowle Architects is renovating P.S.133 (the “Beginning with Children School”), which is located in an old Pfizer factory. The architects are building an addition on the site of the abandoned plant and original 1849 Charles T. Pfizer headquarters. One of the new buildings recalls a lost Pfizer façade; the other is, frankly, infill. The 33,000-square-foot project—with classrooms, science labs, a computer classroom, an art room, a performing arts classroom, and offices for the new upper school—will allow the existing pre-kindergarten through sixth grade school to run through the eighth grade. There will also be a new gymnasium and a school yard with a separate recreation area for younger children, a grass playing field, full- and half-court basketball areas, and a teaching garden. Construction begins in April.

\[\square\] Having acquired the third floor of a loft building adjacent to three town houses it occupies, Grace Church School is now able to add needed facilities while maintaining the historic character of its mixed-use Greenwich Village neighborhood. Fox & Fowle Architects is designing the new resource center, classrooms, art studios, multimedia center, and science lab. The renovation, which will begin construction in May, will be connected to existing facilities.

\[\square\] Completed last year, the Buttrick White & Burtis addition to Trinity School enhances West 91st Street with rooflines, materials, and proportions similar to those of nearby apartments, town houses, and the original school. A four-story glass entrance pavilion and connector replaces a single-story building on the site. The new middle school structure, which provides horizontal and vertical circulation for the entire campus, houses 14 classrooms, a computer center, a learning center, and faculty offices. It has a major athletic facility, with two competition-size gyms and training facilities. Two floors of classrooms bridge the gym on trusses that define the rhythm of the classroom bays.

\[\square\] On East 73rd Street in the Upper East Side Historic District, Butler Rogers Baskett Architects expanded the Buckley School onto the site of an adjacent building. The architects had the building demolished. But they removed and stored its façade, slightly altering it during reinstallation to align with floor levels of the existing school. Behind the facade, an entirely new structure accommodates current academic requirements.

With no building site available for an addition, The Chapin School on East End Avenue had to grow upward. While maintaining the scale of the neighborhood, the same architects created 20,000 square feet of new space. They managed to place a gym above the new library—using a heavier grade of steel than was structurally required—to stop transmission of sound between the two.

\[\square\] Richard Dattner Architect’s new 72,000-square-foot elementary school hugs a sloped site on a residential street in Yonkers. A circular window highlights the main entrance to New School 15 and enlivens the streetscape. Curved metal roof monitors bring northern light from clerestories into the classrooms, and perforated-metal shading devices project above windows of the east facade to eliminate glare. The brick school for 500 pupils has an auditorium that seats the entire student body, a kitchen, a cafeteria, a gym, offices, a library, and special classrooms for art, music, and computers.

\[\square\] For the Cathedral School, at the Cathedral of St. John the Divine, a library renovation costing over $100,000 was recently completed. Designed by Marty Nystrom and Anita Cooney, this Barclay Learning Center, which has new study carrels and a book-arts area with ample natural light, is fully integrated with the school’s computer center. Next for the school will be the redesign of its playground.

\[\square\] Oppenheimer Brady & Vogelstein and Ethelind Coblin have been designing charter schools in Jersey City. Two years ago, the architects renovated and added classrooms to the Boys and Girls Club building, for the Learning Center Charter School. In September for 500 children, they completed the Golden Door Charter School, which was built of modular construction. Now they are designing an $8 million Community Educational and Recreational Center that will house a school for 500 children, a community center, and a regulation high school gym to be used as the home court for the famous St. Anthony’s Parochial School team.
Campus Architects as Clients  We tend to think of colleges as leafy precincts separate from the workaday world, and historically they have been—at least in the United States—as Alan Plattus pointed out in his introduction to a conversation with university administrators in charge of campus planning at the Architectural League last fall. Plattus is primarily a faculty member at Yale, where he serves as an associate dean in the architecture school and directs the Yale Urban Design Workshop. But he describes himself as an interested faculty member who “sticks his nose into” what Yale campus planner Pamela Delphenick does.

Since Plattus considers the university’s development from both perspectives, he opened the program with a history of buildings for academia. “Teaching began almost as soon as the American continent was settled,” he said. Though colleges here were both more numerous and more isolated than their counterparts in Europe, our persistent idealism underlies the idea that universities are special places, he explained, and the gate separating the campus from the city reflects this.

Plattus compared a view of Oxford University in England (completely integrated with the town) and William Burges’ 1875 plan for Trinity College in Hartford, Connecticut, which was a series of enclosed Gothic quadrangles. He noted that the American idea “was to remake the world” and showed Thomas Jefferson’s 1817 plan for the University of Virginia. (“Very explicitly a projection of an ideal vision of education uncorrupted by fleshpots.”) Later buildings there, by McKim, Mead & White, Michael Graves, and Tod Williams Billie Tsien and Associates—as he showed—“create a very different spatial order and very different values” from Jefferson’s idyllic vision.—Jayne Merkel

Stanford Builds

The campus architect at Stanford University, David Neuman, works purely within the American tradition. His efforts go toward making Stanford an even more special and unified enclave, with an “enduring planning framework, complementary landscape treatment, strong contextual architecture, controlled perimeter treatment,” and “carefully designed interactions.” Even though the university is located on an 200-acre site adjacent to suburban Palo Alto, the architect sees one of his challenges as dealing with growth and density. "Especially in the core of the campus, he said, the mount of space required for search and teaching has increased—though the university population remains about the same. He sees creating “unity, despite disparate programs” and developing “a distinct image of Stanford as a place” as other challenges.

Neuman has been trying to “reinvigorate” the original Beaux Arts campus plan by Frederick Law Olmsted and John C. Olmsted, which he said was dictated by the founders. It prefigures, and may have influenced, the Olmsted’s classical approach to Chicago’s Columbian Exposition of 1893.) Stanford has just spent $200 million rehabilitating the original quadrangles (with

Hardy Holzman Pfeiffer’s Las Angeles office). And Neuman has been trying to integrate the fast-growing medical center campus, where a million square feet of mid-century facilities designed by Edward Durell Stone were built, “reorganizing the orthogonal plan in what Stone called ‘his way.’”

Neuman showed new construction at Stanford, such as Robert A. M. Stern’s Gates Computer Science building and Antoine Predock’s Allen Center for Integrated Systems Expansion (both completed in 1996). Richard Olcott, of the Polshek Partnership, designed the Iris & B. Gerald Cantor Center for Visual Arts, a new wing and seismic restoration of the 1891 Stanford Museum (open since January). The Schwab Residential Learning Center for the Graduate School of Business by Ricardo Legorreta opened in 1997; Lyman Hall graduate dormitories by Tannor Leddy Maytum Stacy and the Center for Clinical Sciences Research by Norman Foster are going up now. But the largest project is the Science and Engineering Quad—four new buildings by James Ingo Freed of Pei Cobb Freed & Partners, with landscaping by Laurie Olin & Partners. Two of the buildings were completed in 1997, and the remaining two will be finished this summer.
**Distinction at Princeton**

The director of physical planning at Princeton, Jon Hlafter, seeks more architectural diversity. The school, though bucolic by New York City standards, is still part of the town. Only a fence and a grassy front yard separate it from Nassau Street. "Historians say the word 'campus' came into use after the College of New Jersey was established in the town of Princeton, in 1756," Hlafter explained. The oldest building, Nassau Hall, was designed that same year by a doctor and carpenter Robert Smith. The cupola was replaced with a taller tower, and the hall was "updated in a contemporary way" after various fires.

"The cupola was replaced with a taller tower, and the hall was 'updated in a contemporary way' after various fires," Hlafter explained. The oldest building, Nassau Hall, was designed that same year by a doctor and carpenter Robert Smith. The cupola was replaced with a taller tower, and the hall was "updated in a contemporary way" after various fires. Hlafter uses this change-within-tradition model as a guidepost. But the school also emphasizes architectural excellence, an objective that has been recognized with eight AIA Honor Awards for different buildings. (The Office of Campus Planning even received one.)

Princeton's architectural ambition began in the nineteenth century, with President James McCosh, who "made it a showplace reflecting a broad world view of education, with Florentine palazzos, Greek temples, Byzantine delights. He turned a small college into a world class university." His successors' architects would make the place look like Oxford or Cambridge—at least in pictures.

The Collegiate Gothic quadrangles at Princeton are not built into the city grid, the way they are at Yale. And within the idealized sanctum, Hlafter seeks variety. He showed recent buildings by Robert A. M. Stern, Gwathmey Siegel, and Rafael Viñoly that are very different from one another. Stern's Center for Jewish Life was conceived as an addition to an old Princeton eating club (it ended up as a total replacement reminiscent of its predecessor). Significantly, "the view from the public street" of the dining hall and social center "is less open than the side facing the campus green," Hlafter said. Gwathmey Siegel's 42,000-square-foot MCDonnell Hall for the Physics Department completes Princeton's physics and math complex, enclosing a plaza. "By using contrasting materials, he avoids a sense of closure." As a modernist, Gwathmey was less concerned with a continuity of history than of space.

In a different style (but in the same spirit), Viñoly decided not to replicate or incorporate fragments of beloved old Palmer Stadium, "a true sports icon designed by Henry Hardenbergh in 1914." The concrete was crumbling, and there were also had too many seats. For the renamed Princeton Stadium, Viñoly reduced capacity by more than twenty-five percent; his replacement seats only 27,800. It is surrounded by a horseshoe-shaped colonnaded structure with facilities, bathrooms, and "wonderful outdoor rooms under the grandstands," Hlafter said.

**Preserving Yale**

What's going on at Yale forms an interesting contrast," Plattus remarked when he returned to the podium. "Yale is an old place that hasn't always aged well. And it is not a place apart. It is in every way embedded in its host city of New Haven." The university has made its architectural heritage the centerpiece of its building program and is now committing the bulldog's share of its resources to rehabilitation, though doing so represents a departure from tradition.

"The Yale you see today is clearly not the Yale of 1717 that grew up on the northwest corner of the New Haven Green," Plattus noted. The original rows of buildings in that location, known as "Old Brick Row," was "arguably the first formal campus plan in America," Plattus said. It influenced a number of colleges built in the nineteenth century but didn't last at Yale. "The first building there was replaced three times, and South College, which replace that, is now re-erected as a memory of itself." After the turn of this century, historic buildings by Bruce Price and other distinguished architects were demolished.

A recent master plan, by Cooper Robertson with landscape architect Laurie Olin, established a preservation policy. The bulk of the structure being restored are in Yale's Collegiate Gothic quadrangles, which were built between 1917-35, largely by James Gamble Rogers (according to a plan by John Russell Pope). The are more archetypical than Yale's original buildings because the quadrangles cre-
ate the greater sense of enclosure that has usually been preferred in this country. Yale’s quadrangles, however, are more a part of the town—and more like the medieval English universities—than any other American examples. When they were built, New Haven was already a declining New England industrial center, not a quaint country village.

Significantly, the Cooper Robertson plan “argues that these buildings’ role in the urban environment is as important as their own merit,” Plattus said. Yale’s rehabilitation program, unlike Stanford’s, encompasses the buildings of the 1960s “when, under President Griswold, Yale became a veritable museum of contemporary architecture,” with major buildings by Louis I. Kahn, Eero Saarinen, Paul Rudolph, Gordon Bunshaft, and Philip Johnson (his Kline Biology Tower is the only white elephant).

Kahn’s Jewish Community Center of New Haven, which was not originally part of the Yale campus, is now being renovated by Deborah Berke for the School of Art. Rudolph’s deteriorated Art and Architecture Building is scheduled for rehabilitation when Berke’s project is finished. And Kahn’s last work, the Center for British Art, has just reopened after an extensive rehabilitation by Greggs & Wies. Plattus noted that it was “the first Yale building on the other side of Chapel Street. The original plan didn’t have shops and called for tearing down the church next door that houses the Yale Repertory Theater.”

Beyond preservation issues, Yale planners struggle with “the extent to which university planners should be planners of the town.” Historic Hills House Avenue, once a grand private street with houses by Andrew Jackson Davis, is now virtually a part of the campus, with offices and other facilities housed in the residences.

In an open discussion period, Plattus asked the planners from the other schools about preservation guidelines. “We don’t have institutional guidelines, but there was a lot of sentiment to save Palmer Stadium, and we’ve allocated $25 million for preservation of the Ralph Adams Cram Graduate School. For that amount of money, we could have built quite a number of buildings,” Hlafter replied.

Neuman, who had already said he found most of Stanford’s buildings from the 1960s and 70s to be “problematic,” explained, “We have guidelines mainly on massing, zoning things, the material and color palette, and landscape guidelines to go with the Mediterranean architecture and paving. We’re still dealing with the haphazard development that took place between 1902, when Leland Stanford died, and the 1980s.” One era’s ambition is another’s nightmare.

From the audience, Margaret Helfand (who is acting as an advisor to the University of California at San Francisco’s new Mission Bay Campus) asked what to do when “there is no context or historic precedent, when there is a completely blank slate.” Hlafter offered: “New universities usually begin with a clear piece, such as Nassau Hall or Dartmouth Hall, that can later become the basis of a whole.”

How they choose.

Asked if Stanford selects architects in competitions, Neuman said, “In the last five years, we’ve made 600 commissions ranging from $200,000 to $120 million (for the Science and Engineering Quadrangle by James Ingo Freed). Eight have been design competitions; the other 592 were made through a screening and interview process after an RFP.

We keep a list of anyone who has said he’s interested in a certain kind of project, consider about 35, and decide on 13 to invite. Then the president, the senior deans, the participating constituency, and the person-in-charge invite four to submit schemes, knowing full well that our compensation does not pay the architects’ costs. We try to level the playing field as well as get as much feedback as possible from the process.”

Plattus wondered, “How much pressure is there to select a different firm for each project?” Not too much, apparently, as Neuman said they have a lot of “repeat offenders.”

Hlafter said that at Princeton they create a small committee with someone from architecture, from the department that is building, other interested people, assorted deans, and vice presidents. “Usually we’ll have a list of 30 or so firms that we’ll discuss and narrow the list to five to interview. Occasionally, the board will want to interview the top two or three.” Princeton has not held a competition in the last 30 years.
At a panel on colleges as clients, Yale Associate Dean Alan Plattus advanced a theory about “the relative ages of universities and the distances of their buildings from cities” (p. 7). His idea was that old colleges were part of the urban fabric, whereas newer ones were placed to be as isolated as possible. It worked for the comparisons at hand—between Yale, Princeton, and Stanford—but the theory begins to fall apart in New York City. Columbia, the city’s oldest university, founded in 1754, has a gated campus, with buildings in loose quadrangles facing one another, rather than the world beyond. (Of course, Columbia moved twice: from Wall Street to 49th Street in 1859 and from midtown to Morningside Heights in the 1890s; and the school has been building on surrounding streets in recent years.)

New York University, founded 1831 on Washington Square, abandoned the traditional McKim Mead & White campus it built in the Bronx in 1893 (that campus now belongs to Bronx Community College). Since 1973, NYU has been consolidating its facilities around the original, un-gated urban location. A few years ago, it even moved the Stern School of Business to Greenwich Village from a 1975 Skidmore, Owings & Merrill building on Trinity Place, near Wall Street. And, instead of expanding City College’s elegant, turn-of-the-century Collegiate Gothic campus on 138th Street, the City University of New York has been scattering (or acquiring) colleges throughout the Boroughs. It has especially begun integrating the Manhattan campuses of John Jay and Baruch colleges into city neighborhoods.

Filling almost an entire city block on Lexington Avenue between 24th and 25th streets, the 14-story Baruch College North Campus Academic Center, designed by Kohn Pedersen Fox, with Castro-Blanco Piscioneri and Associates Architects, is transforming the slightly-shabby neighborhood north of Gramercy Park into a bustling collegiate enclave. The massive 785,000-square-foot, $270 million building reinterprets the traditional academic quadrangle—bringing the School of Business, School of Liberal Arts, performing arts center, student center, and recreational facilities under one roof. The building’s 10-story atrium replaces the traditional, grassy square, and its three levels below grade will house a concert hall, black-box theater, swimming pool, gymnasium, and squash courts. On the ground floor will be a bookstore, cafeteria, and student union—all welcome new amenities for the 15,000 Baruch College students who have been meeting around a few wooden benches on the sidewalk outside the original high-rise classroom building at Lexington Avenue and 23rd Street.

Upstairs in the new building will be meeting rooms, lecture halls, and classrooms for 3,000 students (with vertical transportation to allow them to change classes in 15 minutes)—and facilities for distance learning. Like most buildings nearby, facades of the lower floors will be sheathed in brick. Upper stories, clad in aluminum and curving inward, will almost disappear into the sky.

The new tower helps tie the old high-rise Baruch College building south of 23rd Street to the new 330,000-square-foot library Davis Brody Bond installed in a turn-of-the-century arcaded industrial building on the north side of 25th Street. A Shakespeare & Company bookstore has located next to the old Kenmore Hotel, on 23rd Street, and the collection of buildings for the college (including the Children’s Court Building at Lexington and 22nd Street, renovated for Baruch by Kohn Pedersen Fox) is turning the area into a campus.

Just across Lexington Avenue, Appalachian State College has purchased a loft on East 24th Street for visiting students and faculty. The North Carolina college had a raw loft in Tribeca—almost a crash pad—for a dozen years. When the college lost its lease, the idea of giving up their home in New York led to protests, so school officials decided to buy and build a proper one, in a location adjacent to the growing Baruch campus. Murphy, Burnham & Buttrick configured the 4,000-square-foot space to have two 12-bunk communal bedrooms, a faculty suite, and a studio apartment for a rotating faculty director who
University has recently completed a crisp, white precast concrete residence hall with 637 beds. Davis Brody Bond designed the project to feature aluminum canopies that shelter a sidewalk café. Just down the street, on the Palladium site, the university has broken ground on lodgings for 998 students. Designed by Kevin Roche John Dinkeloo Associates, the project will have stores on the ground floor and sports facilities in the basement. The same firm is creating a new NYU student center (still in schematic phase) to replace the glassy modern one by Harrison & Abramowitz now standing on the corner of Washington Square South and LaGuardia Place. In addition to replacement meeting rooms, cafés, and club facilities, the center will have a 1,000-seat theater for drama school productions.

On Eighth Street, the NYU film school’s boldly-striped Iris and B. Gerald Cantor Film Center. By Davis Brody Bond, is now in operation. For NYU, R.M. Kliment & Frances Halband recently renovated a nineteenth century house for the Deutsches Haus on Washington Mews and is updating the Kevorkian Center for Near Eastern Studies. In a building designed by Philip Johnson and Richard Foster in 1972. They are also renovating the 56,000-square-foot Languages and Literature Building that was built in 1904 for the Merck Company.

On Tenth Avenue in the West 60s, Rafael Vinoly Architects is adding onto John Jay College of Criminal Justice. Along with developing the master plan for the college, the architects designed a dramatic new library in an old Flemish-Baroque high school building and added an athletic center in 1988-89. At Queens College, George Ranalli has designed a 46,000-square-foot Languages and Literature Building that was built in 1904 for the Merck Company.

On Tenth Avenue in the West 60s, Rafael Vinoly Architects is adding onto John Jay College of Criminal Justice. Along with developing the master plan for the college, the architects designed a dramatic new library in an old Flemish-Baroque high school building and added an athletic center in 1988-89. At Queens College, George Ranalli has designed a 46,000-square-foot Languages and Literature Building that was built in 1904 for the Merck Company.

Columbia Builds
Columbia University continues to expand (OCEUS, Sept. 1996, pp. 14-15), and the construction budget is $100 million larger this year compared with last year. For 364 seniors, Robert A. M. Stern Architects’ Broadway Residence Hall is under construction at 113th Street. It will have an arcaded base with shops on the ground floor and a branch library. On the roof will be trellised outdoor spaces. Two blocks north, ground has been broken for Gruzen Samton’s Robert K. Kraft Center for Jewish Student Life, on 115th Street between Broadway and Riverside Drive. But the most noticeable addition to the campus is the new Alfred Lerner Hall, a student center by Bernard Tschumi and Gruzen Samton. It is nearing completion inside the quadrangle, where from the steps of Low Library, the copper-clad rooftop mechanical penthouse weighs heavily on the eastern end. The University’s desire to continue the tradition of the redbrick, copper-roofed McKim Mead & White buildings along Broadway and the architects’ desire to energize the campus with expressionistic glass-enclosed ramps make the building read as a series of additions.

Actual additions and ren-
Auditorium at the School of

The architects are upgrading

ditions may appear to be

more integrated. Scott Marble

Karen Fairbanks Architects is

converting the Frank Altschul

Auditorium at the School of

International and Public

The architects are upgrading

audiosvisual systems,

replacing the stage with a

podium, and opening the

entry corridor to the outdoor

courtyard with glass doors

that bring in natural light.

R. M. Kliment & Frances

Halsband is modernizing the

undergraduate biology labora-

tories in Schermerhorn Hall. And Weiss/Manfredi

Architects is developing a

master plan for improve-

ments to the Graduate School

of Business, Uris Hall; Davis

Brody Bond has the same task

for the International Affairs

building.

One of the most dramatic

ditions to the cityscape is

the recently-completed glass-

and-steel New York State

Psychiatric Institute, which

bouts over the West Side

Highway in Upper

Manhattan, forming a new

to the Columbia

University Medical Center

campus. The blue-and-green

institute was designed by Peter

Pran (now of NBBJ, Seattle)

when he was at Ellerbe

Becket, with Jill Lerner (now of

KPF) and Tim Johnson (now of

NBBJ, New York).

Further north, across the

Hudson, R. M. Kliment &

Frances Halsband have com-

pleted a master plan for

Columbia’s Lamont-Doherty

Earth Observatory in

Palisades, New York. On a

bluff at the Observatory’s

140-acre campus, Rafael Vinoly

Architects’ $7 million

International Climate

Prediction Center will over-

look the Hudson River. The

long and low, cedar-sided

structure crawls along the ter-

rain, supported by a series of

closely spaced, paired roof

trusses. Completion of the

wood-framed, metal-roofed,

one-story facility for 85

researchers is expected by

the end of the year.

Beyond the City

Even outside New

York, campus planners are increasingly

interested in forging

connections with surrounding

towns. These connections

are a primary aim of Cooper,

Robertson & Partners’ master

plan for Yale, alongside his-

toric preservation (see p. 10).

The university is planning

needed renovations of

famous Louis I. Kahn and

Paul Rudolph buildings. One

of the Polshek Partnership’s first
decisions was to relocate the

School of Art so that Paul

Rudolph’s Art and

Architecture Building could

be adapted to current needs of

the architecture school.

Luckily, the Jewish Com-

munity Center (across Chapel

Street from the Art and

Architecture Building) was

moving to the suburbs, so

Deborah Berke Architect was

commissioned to transform

the center’s 75,000-square-

foot Louis Kahn building into

art studios, a gallery, and

a theater for the School of

Drama. Graduate painting

studios will be housed in a

50,000-square-foot addition

facing Crown Street. The

center’s handball courts will

become the gallery, and its

gymnasium, with soaring

Gothic-arched trusses, will

house the department of

graphic design. (Even the

swimming pool will be turned

into photographic studios.)

Berke sees the restoration of

Kahn’s grided glass, stainless

steel, and white-marble

facade, with brickwork just

behind it, as one of the most

exciting parts of the job, since

it will make a noticeable

impact on the streetscape.

Berke used the same gift

for subtle insertions to create

a master plan last year for tiny

Marlboro College in

Southern Vermont, where she

is also designing a new

Admissions building. In the

tradition of the fiercely demo-

cratic school, the community

helped to decide where to

add onto the simple white

frame buildings (and which
to renovate). Burke also

helped the school assemble a

list of young architects to

undertake the projects.

Rafael Vinoly Architects is
designing the first building of

a new academic quadrangle

on the eastern edge of Brown

University. For the Watson

Institute for International

Studies, two long-and-narrow

bars pierced by square win-

dows will parallel a 200-foot-

long atrium, while glass

pavilions will reach back

into the courtyard. The three-

story, 44,000-square-foot

building of brick, brown-

stone, and glass will house

offices of the Journal of

World Affairs and The

International Review. There

will be 70 research offices,

classrooms, seminar rooms,

and a television studio. And as well, the

University’s popular

International Relations pro-

gram and Center for Latin

American Studies will be

located there. Also for Brown,

Koetter, Kim & Associates of

Boston recently completed a

$30 million teaching-laborato-
ry building called W. Duncan MacMillan Hall.

Kohn Pedersen Fox has designed a new academic building for undergraduate and graduate programs at the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania. The 300,000-square-foot structure at the corner of 38th Street, Locust Walk, and Walnut Street will negotiate the transition from the campus center to a neighborhood commercial/pedestrian spine. Similarly, the interiors are intended to create both a corporate environment and a collegial community for faculty and students.

In a “design concours,” which was a kind of ideas charrette, KPF originated a preliminary scheme for a new academic building at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology’s Sloan School of Management. The architect for the project remains to be selected, but the 127,000-square-foot Tang Center—if built—will face Memorial Drive in Cambridge. Across from Briggs Field on Vassar Street, Steven Holl Architects is designing a new MIT dormitory.

At Smith College, in Northampton, Massachusetts, where Frances Halsband is acting as Architect Advisor, the Polshek Partnership is renovating and expanding the Art Center, and Weiss/Manfredi Architects is designing a Campus Center of between 50,000 and 70,000 square feet, with meeting spaces, offices, places to eat, a bookstore, and a post office. Weiss/Manfredi is also renovating the 60,000-square-foot Performing Arts Center at Trinity College in Hartford, Connecticut, and the firm is creating a 40,000-square-foot addition, to form a core in the long, narrow Collegiate Gothic campus.

At the once-acclaimed campus of SUNY Purchase, Kevin Hom + Andrew Goldman Architects developed a master plan with recommendations for new buildings. The firm was subsequently invited to renovate the 60,000-square-foot 1974 library by Edward Larabee Barnes, adding academic support spaces. The architects are also designing a 41,000-square-foot addition to their own SUNY Stony Brook Student Activities Center, which anchors the central campus mall. The brick building with an aluminum-and-glass curtain wall will feature two large multipurpose rooms, an art gallery, a wellness center, a lounge, offices, and an addition to the cafeteria. At SUNY Binghamton, the same firm was commissioned to design the University Union, a 95,000-square-foot addition to the student union, with a post office, multipurpose rooms, offices, lounges, food services, and a clock tower as a landmark. The building unifies two existing structures, with new interior and exterior treatments, and it integrates the upper and lower levels of the campus. Its brick skin with expanses of glass will connect to newer areas of campus. H+G is also renovating and expanding the Marvin Center University Union at George Washington University, in Washington, D.C. The $19 million, 363,000-square-foot project, has a modern facade proportioned to relate to older buildings nearby. It will have a theater, great hall, lounges, dining rooms, meeting rooms, and multipurpose rooms.

Community colleges, which are growing even faster than private universities, are frantically building to keep pace with expanding programs and enrollments. Perkins Eastman Architects completed a master plan for Dutchess Community College, in Poughkeepsie, New York, and is now designing three major projects there. One is a science-arts classroom building to replace Hudson Hall, which is being renovated to be a library with spectacular reading rooms and views of the hills and valleys in the distance. The existing library will be altered and expanded to house student services. The same firm is also designing a new 60,000-square-foot building with an auditorium, conference facilities, and a long-distance learning center, for Mohawk Community College, in Utica, New York.

With March Associates of Utica, Perkins Eastman is creating new entrances and quadrangles, a student services center, and improved science laboratories and classrooms in existing buildings. Some small improvements make big differences. At the Ruth Leff Siegel Center at Sarah Lawrence College, in Bronxville, New York, Buttrick White & Burtis added a third series of additions to what was once a gardener’s cottage. Responding both to the architecture of the surrounding residential neighborhood and to the character of the liberal arts college, the architects created an asymmetrical set of irregular forms, with a series of nooks and crannies as well as a larger dining room.

**Out West: Cranbrook and Beyond**

Even though the site is luxuriously suburban at the historic Cranbrook campus in Bloomfield Hills, Michigan, Steven Holl Architects faced the problem of fitting into a strong existing context. The architects continued the shaping and bracketing of exterior spaces with their recently-completed addition to the...
1927 Cranbrook Institute of Science by Eliel Saarinen. (Renovations of the little-changed existing building are beginning now.) To open the dead-end circulation between the Hall of Minerals and the Hall of Man, the architects inserted a slipped U-shape derived from the scientific diagram for “strange attractors” (described by meteorologist Edward Lorenz). It provides various routes through the displays. The new Institute surrounds a garden where various scientific phenomena will be exhibited. Even the south-facing glass wall in the new entry, which contains many types of glass, is a “light laboratory” demonstrating the different characteristics of light.

Among numerous other college projects around the country is Kohn Pederson Fox’s master plan for the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business. The same firm is designing the Engineering Center Building at the University of Wisconsin in Madison, and Hardy Holzman Pfeiffer Associates has completed the Murchison Performing Arts Center at the University of North Texas in Denton.

At the University of Iowa in Iowa City, Gwathmey Siegel has recently completed the Levitt Center for University Advancement, a sequence of public gathering spaces and private administrative offices which anchors the perform-

ing arts campus with a striking composition of primary geometric forms clad in Indiana limestone and anodized aluminum. The same firm is also designing the 185,000-square-foot information, technology, and education library at Ferris State University in Big Rapids, Michigan.

Margaret Helfand (now of Helfand Myerberg Guggenheimer, see p. 22) has been acting as a design advisor for the University of California at San Francisco. UCSF is building a new 43-acre urban campus on a plot formerly owned by the Union Pacific Railroad. The site was donated by developers of the surrounding 303-acre planned community called Mission Bay, which is more than a dozen blocks from Yerba Buena Gardens. The Catellus Development Company believes that integration of the campus into the street grid will be a catalyst for the birth of the entire neighborhood—6,000 housing units, a 500-room hotel, 960,300 square feet of retail, 6.6 million square feet of research-and-development facilities, light-industrial buildings, other commercial space for biotechnology and multimedia companies, and 49 acres of open space.

Machado and Silvetti of Boston was selected in a competition to develop a master plan for the campus.

Behind the Streetscapes

Some college building projects never see the light of day because, like most commercial improvements in New York, they are built within existing buildings. Much of the work involves technological upgrades of one kind or another. Fox & Fowle Architects has recently completed the first phase of the New School University Knowledge Union, a 22,000-square-foot technology center with a multimedia lab and classrooms equipped for computer graphic instruction; computer, video, and sound presentation; and digital video and audio editing. The second phase of the project, which will be used by architecture students at Parsons School of Design as well as others from the New School, is now under construction one floor up.

And in a 1925 dormitory at Barnard, Robert Gray Architects has created what the students call “the bathrooms of our dreams.” As requested, these new Hewitt Hall bathrooms have oversized stainless steel kitchen sinks for hair washing, raised shower stalls for leg shaving, terra cotta floors, peach-colored patterned walls, and flattering multi-phosphor fluorescent lighting.

A symposium, “Yale: Planning and Building for the University’s Fourth Century,” will take place from Friday through Sunday, April 9-11. Presentations will be given by Vincent Scully, Catherine Lynn, the architects of new projects, and university officials. Architectural tours of the campus will be offered. For information and registration, call 203-432-2889.
Are Planners Good Husbands?

by Raymond Gastil

Cities Back from the Edge: New Life for Downtown argues that “project planning” is a bad way to create cities and “urban husbandry” is the good way. As authors Roberta Brandes Gratz and Norman Mintz explain, project planning is top-down and out-of-touch with the real possibilities of a place. Urban husbandry, however, which comes from below, is defined by a close attention to existing physical, social, and economic fabric. The authors’ argument is admirably unified, and they expand it into an important book (Wiley-Preservation Press, 1998, 361 pages, $29.95) for anyone who cares about the future of cities.

Through scores of examples, project planners are painted as remote experts imposing their will. By contrast, “urban husbands” are often amateurs who become redevelopment experts while rebuilding districts block by block, storefront by storefront. Project planners tear things down; urban husbands restore, renovate, and preserve. The authors organize examples, which are more than just war stories, into sections such as “Transportation and Place” (focused on how traffic can—but doesn’t have to—tore a city apart), and “Big, Little, and Predator,” which explains beyond anecdote how destructive a Wal-Mart or Wal-Mart wanna-be is.

For the architects, planners, preservationists, and developers who have worked to restore urban places, Gratz and Mintz provide reminders and ideas on how to get things done. But will the book play anywhere but Peoria? Does it speak to architects (especially younger designers) who practice in New York? Does it speak to designers and students engaged in an “eco-tech” visual and theoretical conception of the city that is far different from the authors—or to the emerging sense that something valuable, meaningful, and urban can be wrenched out of our big buildings/big roads/big screens world?

It probably cannot connect to that audience, in part because is simply not visually driven. It is excellently designed, the renderings are good, the photographs fine. But design is not the authors’ focus. The book suffers because its illustrations are dull, in black-and-white. But it also is hurt by odd visual-content choices. The wintry cover photograph, of Bed Bath and Beyond on Sixth Avenue, shows four frigid pedestrians and four trash cans. This is too modest and mean a vision of what it has meant for New York to come “back from the edge.” And that stretch of Sixth Avenue, for all of the preservation success it represents, may not be the goal to which we aspire. New York can and has done better; so can the rest of the country.

The question, Couldn’t we do better? is really at the heart of the book. Yes, cities are their people, and people are their activities. If people come downtown to ride the carousel in a once-abandoned neighborhood, we probably should not complain about the homely case that the painted ponies come in. It’s how we build today. Get used to it.

Gratz and Mintz focus on preservation because they have no hope for better contemporary buildings. But shouldn’t they be part of the struggle against defining design deviancy down? The question extends beyond the book’s detachment from contemporary design, to the unquestioning celebration of all “urban” activity. No doubt the authors are right: It has been a long hard fight for public spaces, and we must never look back. But haven’t they ever seen a street fair that they found foul (or a flea market they didn’t like)? Haven’t they ever wondered whether the world really needs another gourmet coffee shop/bakery in an old building?

Cities hunger for the new, and another place to get a fresh scone doesn’t always satisfy. This leads to the substantive question: Can we really condemn project planners? Or do we, for our cities to thrive, in fact need to embrace large, ambitious projects? Yes, we need to learn from the mistakes of urban renewal. But, given our infrastructure needs, the scale of urban rebuilding, and the new construction necessary, the urban husbandry model is not comprehensive enough. Urban husbandry didn’t bring us the Brooklyn Bridge, and to truly come back from the edge, our cities need the contemporary equivalents of the Brooklyn Bridge just as much as they need scones.

Raymond Gastil directs the Van Alen Institute. He earned a master’s degree in architecture at Princeton, taught landscape architecture and urban design studies at the University of Pennsylvania and Pratt Institute, directed the Regional Plan Association’s regional design- and transit-oriented communities programs, and co-authored the booklet, Redesigning the Suburbs: Turning Sprawl into Centers.
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**AT THE PODIUM**

**Capit**

**tal  Ideas  at  Parsons**

by Douglas Duhan

Since the demolition of the Berlin Wall, in 1989, the open space that divided the historic capital into two cities has become one of Europe’s busiest construction sites. But the rebuilding of Berlin is no easy task. As architects and planners redesign the city, they have to grapple with reuniting two countries and reorganizing the economies. And they must assimilate social systems, integrate infrastructures, and reconcile numerous painful histories before they can even ask how the city should look.

As frenzied construction proceeded last November, a symposium sponsored by the Goethe Institute and the New School University’s Parsons School of Design provided an opportunity to step back and look at what is emerging. “Nach Berlin: Kapital Architectures” was an all-day event organized by Karen Van Lengen, chair of the Parsons department of architecture, and Dr. Stephen Nobbe, director of the Goethe Institute-New York. It brought together, in Tishman Auditorium, historians and critics, city planners, and architects involved with Berlin to discuss social, cultural, and architectural issues surrounding the colossal changes there.

Van Lengen opened the conference with a lecture on social and political history, showing how architecture has embodied the culture of Berlin. As the capital of Hitler’s Third Reich and ground zero for the conflict between communist and capitalist societies, the city, of course, was the scene of frightening and repressive political realities. However, it has also been a center of enlightenment and religious tolerance in northern Europe, and a progressive attitude can be detected in the development of Berlin’s housing blocks.

Seventy percent of Berlin was destroyed during World War II, and Van Lengen described the current building boom as the continuation of postwar reconstruction. However, when the city was divided, East Berlin rebuilt to support a culture centered around the state—with parades and state functions being the design focus—while West Berlin was redeveloped to erase the recent history of fascism.

With an inspired planning scheme for Berlin’s new government center, architect Axel Schultes, won the 1992 Spreebogen master plan competition with his partner, Charlotte Frank. Schultes explained the difficulty imposed by the city’s heritage, and the constraints of the site, the model was not the polis but the acropolis—the non-city. The intention was to create a state, not a city, so the scale of the grid has always been too grandiose. “Friedrichstrasse isn’t almost all right,” he joked, intentionally misquoting Denise Scott Brown and Robert Venturi. “The Berlin block itself ought to be reviewed. All we have to fear is the nostalgia of the locals.”

Schultes and Frank saw their task as letting the federal buildings spread out into the city, so they proposed a loose, linear complex of buildings that extends across the River Spree in two directions, symbolically reuniting the once-divided city. (An east-west orientation also cancels out Albert Speer’s intended north-south axis, as Michael Z. Wise later pointed out.) Schultes then gave a rather poetic presentation on the partners’ design for the Federal Chancellery. He showed how the evolution of their winning design for the building, located within the Spreebogen district, became increasingly complex, abstract, and transparent in response to political pressures.

Michael Z. Wise, the author of Capital Dilemma: Germany’s Search for a New Architecture of Democracy (October, Nov. 1999, p. 15) then spoke about the role Berlin will again have as the capital of Germany. He reviewed the architectures that German governments have used to represent themselves over the past century: the Reichstag, built (1884-94) to house the Imperial Diet after unification in 1871; the grandiose plans of Hitler and Speer to renew Berlin; and the new government seat in Bonn, after the World War II. Wise showed the first West German Bundestag, a temporary parliament which was located in a simple, Bauhaus-style teachers’ college. And he explained how a new emphasis on transparency culminated in Günter Behnisch’s 1992 steel-and-glass parliament building, in Bonn. After Hitler, as Wise noted, government had to be an open, visible tool of the German people—an idea that lives on in Sir Norman Foster’s design for the renovation of the Reichstag, with walkways for looking down on the proceedings and a transparent dome.

Delving even further into history, Alan Balfour, dean of the School of Architecture at Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute and author of Berlin, The Politics of Order 1737-1989, traced the evolution of the city’s form: from its walled, medieval origins, through the late arrival of industrialization. (The railways appeared in 1838 and apartment blocks were built in the 1870s,)
unleashing an “unabashed mercantile nature.”) Bringing his story into the present, Balfour said that the two forces affecting a “critical reconstruction,” are “the inherent strength of the historical plan and the damage inflicted by traffic engineers, which has been complicated by excessive speculation.”

Chicago architect Hemut Jahn talked of the future in an exhaustively detailed description of his Sony Center mixed-use complex, now under construction in Potsdamer Platz. He called it “a city within a city,” and then proceeded to describe every single detail, down to the Mullions of the double-facade sunscreen.

The conference concluded with a panel discussion moderated by Michael Sorkin, who has been teaching in Vienna for several years. Joining the speakers on the podium were Wallis Miller, a University of Kentucky architecture professor; Schultes’ partner Charlotte Frank; and Volker Hassemer, a partner in Berlin GmbH, the organization coordinating the rebuilding of Berlin. Sorkin tried to lighten up the debate, and he suggested that Berliners, who seem “trapped in a collective guilt,” do the same. Yet Sorkin pinpointed a flaw present in much of discussion that had taken place during the day. “It focused on a terribly limited set of iconographic strategies: transparency (glass walls, transparent domes) and ‘not.' Every building seems to be argued in terms of not embracing something. . . .” This problem of no-past leads to a lot of watered-down architecture.” He would prefer to see emphasis on “what Germans want—greatness, ambition, potency, pride.” Sorkin asked, “What about adjectives such as self-effacing, witty, sustainable? . . .” The discussion finally concluded with a question-and-answer period where a Parsons student asked Hassemer whether city planners have set aside space for future generations to build, once the dust has settled. To the pleasure of the audience, Hassemer responded yes.

Douglas Dohan is a third-year graduate student in architecture at the Parsons School of Design.

What’s the Word?

Each year, books appear which promise to demystify technical issues or unravel confusing building codes. This winter, Oculus asked members of the Building Codes Committee to review recent additions to the AIA New York Chapter library . . .


This is the last update, as further editions of the Uniform Building Code have been suspended. (The new focus is on printing the International Building Code by the year 2000.) The fourth edition is the first to address the Uniform Building Code since adoption of the “common code format.” The chapter on “means of egress” has been completely rewritten; it will become the model for the International Building Code. Changes have also been made in the accessibility provisions. The text is clearly divided into easy-to-read reviews in a large, traditional typeface, while code sections are in a smaller, modern boldface font. Illustrations are crisp and concise, while the section reviews provide well-rounded clarifications and systematic explanations with insight into the intentions of the code. For practicing design professionals seeking more information on specific regulations in the UBC, this book is a very useful tool. —Marcel de Winter

U.S. Landscape Ordinances, An Annotated Reference Handbook by Buck Abbey, ASLA (Wiley, 1998, 408 pages, 6 1/8 x 9 1/2, 184 black-and-white illustrations, cloth, $74.95)

This volume contains a brief history of the evolution of national landscape ordinances, and it includes a concise list of requirements for landscape ordinances throughout the United States, as interpreted from local requirements. In many cases, there is a brief description of the intent of a local ordinance, followed by location diagrams and lists of permitted plant species. Also included is a particularly useful glossary. Although a good deal of effort went into the acquisition and communication of the information, its use is somewhat limited. Practicing designers would be wiser to gather the current information directly from the local regulatory agencies than to rely on time-sensitive information from a handbook likely to become outdated.—M.W.

continued on page 19
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Harold Edelman, FAIA, Remembered
By Ron Shiffman

Harold Edelman, FAIA, was more than an architect and a teacher. In his serene, quiet, and forceful manner, he was a humanist with a sense of justice who was blessed with an aesthetic sensitivity and a desire to learn as well as educate. He died in December at the age of 75.

I first met him in a classroom at Pratt Institute in 1956. He was imposing, yet a bit humble, unlike many of his colleagues. He never played the role of the architect that Ayn Rand portrayed in the popular novel of the time, The Fountainhead.

Along with his partners, Judy Edelman, FAIA, and Stanley Salzman, FAIA, Harold had a profound impact on me and many of my classmates because he took architecture very seriously. He saw it as a part of a larger cultural framework, and I remember his chastising us because we were so fixated on design that we missed the beauty that New York City had to offer: museums, theaters, concert halls, and—most of all—the streets, cafés, and people. It’s the small things and small spaces that change and enrich our lives, and Harold worked to save and enhance them, to make them special. In the process he helped to enrich us all.

Whether designing housing for working people and the disenfranchised, or helping to preserve a park, rehabilitate a church, or protect a landmark, Harold brought sensitivity to his work. As the January 5th article in The New York Times pointed out, he “designed buildings that were not the castles that shaped the city’s skylines, but the buildings that fill the lower spaces, places where people live, worship, or rest when sick.” These are the places that give us space to reflect, to laugh, and to cry; they are places that become settings for our memories. These are the places that shape our lives and, in that process, shape the city. Harold Edelman helped to shape this city.

He was more than an architect to those who sponsored housing for the Two Bridges community on the Lower East Side or those who fought for senior citizen housing in upper Manhattan or Brooklyn. To them he was an advisor, advocate, and friend. Long before it was fashionable, he worked in areas others ignored—the Harlem Triangle, the South Bronx, and Williamsburg—as well as the Lower East Side. His work on St. Mark’s-in-the-Bowery Church, one of New York’s most-recognized landmark churches, extended beyond that of architect to historian, artist, and theologian. Not only did he design the reconstruction of the church and the park that surrounds it, but he carefully researched, designed, and supervised installation of stained glass windows that frame the interior. He did for St. Marks what Chagall did for the Hadassah Synagogue in Jerusalem. But, unlike Chagall, he did it without public acclaim.

His advocacy ranged from the housing work he did for non-profit organizations, such as the Settlement Housing Fund, to the fight to preserve and reuse the Jefferson Market Courthouse. He worked with others in his beloved Greenwich Village community to redesign and enhance Washington Square Park, which had been decimated by years of municipal insensitivity and the intrusion of planners and technicians. He brought to that endeavor what he brought to everything he did: a love for his community, his city, his profession—and a respect for those who worked for and with him.

For me, Harold’s lifelong marriage to Judy and their personal and professional partnership have always been inspiring because of the wonderful loving way they influenced and supported one another. Judith Edelman will continue the practice with Randy Wood and Andrew Knox, though Harold’s own legacy will be with us for generations to come. Those who loved him and were influenced by him will, by using the places he designed and preserved, pay homage to his contribution to this city and to the profession of architecture that he practiced so well.

Ron Shiffman, AICP, is founder and director of the Pratt Institute Center for Community and Environmental Design. He served on the New York City Planning Commission for five years and received the AIA New York Chapter’s Andrew J. Thomas Award last year.

Chapter Notes
The architect-members of the Chapter elected Laurie M. Maurer, FAIA; Rolf H. Ohlhhausen, FAIA; L. Bradford Perkins, Jr., FAIA; Lee Harris Pomeroy, FAIA; and Ronnette Riley, FAIA, to serve on the 1999 Nominating Committee. The committee will select the new members of the 2000 Board of Directors and the Chapter’s elected committees (Fellows, Finance, Honors, and OCS). The Chapter members of the New York Foundation for Architecture’s Board of Trustees are also chosen by the Nominating Committee.
TO RENT:
11’ x 20’ room in small high-end design firm on upper Madison.
212-452-1212.

K + A Design Consultants
33 Queens Lane
New Hyde Park, NY 11040
516-365-4722
Architectural Lighting
Consultation Analysis Design
John Katimarlis
IALD AIA IIDA

Select Interior Door, Ltd.
2074 Perry Road, P.O. Box 178
North Java, New York 14113
1-800-535-9335
FAX 716-535-9923
www.sidt.com
Manufacturers of custom interior, exterior, solid hardwood doors, and millwork

Architectural License Preparation
“The Battista Courses”
for new computer ARE
Institute of Design and Construction
141 Willoughby Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
Telephone: 718-855-3661, ext. 17

Author Needed
NCARB is seeking an author with expertise in city, urban, and regional planning and design for its next Professional Development Program self-study monograph, Community Planning for Architects. The monograph is intended to provide new learning opportunities in community planning for registered professionals. Monograph authors are not responsible for preparing the quiz questions that accompany the publication.

Those interested should send a cover letter, resume, and brief description of professional qualifications to Kim Garrison, Professional Development Program, NCARB, 1735 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700, Washington D.C. 20006. Material must be received by March 31, 1999.
March 8 Registration deadline for the ACSA/OTIS International Student Design Competition, "Urban Housing Plus,” sponsored by Otis Elevator and ACX. Entries must be at least 75-year architecture students. The theme this year is: "Integated Urban Development Solutions." Visit acsa-arch.org/activities/studcomp.html for more information.

March 8 (or March 19 for students) Submission deadlines for the DuPont BenrubiC Awards, recognizing the use of laminated glass in commercial and residential projects. Winning architects receive a sculpture by glass artist Haas Goto Fundel; winning students receive $15,000 for their programs. Call 202-626-7446 for more information.

March 15 The Ninth SXL International Residential Design Competition registration deadline. This year’s theme is "A House for Garthe." Write to: 9th SXL International Residential Design Competition, Shinken-kakusho, 2-31-2 Yashiki, Bunkyoku, Tokyo 113-8501, Japan. Or, call 81-3-5811-7101.

March 15 Submission deadline for the 5th OISTAT Architectural Competitions: World Theatre in Prague 1999. This ideas competition, sponsored by the International Organization of Scenographers, Theatre Architects, and Technicians (OISTAT), asks architects to find a creative solution to the problem of designing a building for public performances. For information, write to the General Secretary of the OISTAT, Competition 1999, P.O. Box 117, 7550 AC Hengelo, The Netherlands.

April 2 Deadline for workshop proposals for Build Boston '99, the 15th Annual U.S. Building Industry Convention in Boston (held November 16-18, 1999). Architects, engineers, contractors, building owners, managers, and other building industry professionals are eligible. Build Boston ‘99 is designed and managed by the Boston Society of Architects (BSA) and the World Trade Center, Boston. Call BSA at 617-951-1433, ext. 221, for entry/guidelines.

April 8 Deadline for the Presidential Design Awards 2000. Projects that have been sponsored, authorized, commissioned, produced, or supported by the U.S. Government (that have been completed and in use anytime between January 1, 1989, and January 1, 1999) are eligible. Current and former Federal employees, Federal contractors, state and local governments, and nonprofit organizations may enter. Call Thomas Gosses at 202-501-1888 for an entry form.

April 8 Nomination deadline for the Special Presidential Millennium Design Awards, recognizing Federal design projects completed in the twentieth century that have made a significant contribution to the environment and quality of life in the U.S. Any individual may submit a nomination. Call Thomas Gosses at 202-501-1888 for a nomination form.
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Commercial projects around the Big Apple now have local support down to the core.

INTRODUCING THE NEW ANDERSEN COMMERCIAL TEAM — TWO LOCAL ANDERSEN® DISTRIBUTORS AND A LOCAL ANDERSEN SALES REPRESENTATIVE. ALL DEDICATED TO COMMERCIAL PROJECTS. ALL EXPERIENCED WINDOW EXPERTS. ALL COMMITTED TO HELPING YOU WITH ONE-ON-ONE SUPPORT RIGHT DOWN TO THE DETAILS. CALL JAMES O’CONNOR, CSI, CDT AT 610-366-9157 OR ONE OF OUR DISTRIBUTORS BELOW. OR, FOR PRODUCT INFORMATION, VISIT OUR WEBSITE AT WWW.ANDERSENWINDONS.COM

ANDERSEN COMMERCIAL GROUP

PARSONS SCHOOL OF DESIGN
66 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10011
Telephone: 1-800-252-0852
Parsons is also an AIA/CES educational provider.

MAGGI SEDLIS, AIA
The Office of Margaret J. Sedlis
36 East 23 Street, 7th floor
New York, NY 10010
phone: 212-777-5598
fax: 212-979-0923
e-mail: magnis@aol.com
Consultants to architects
and designers providing services related to:
project management
contract management
practice management

SPACE FOR RENT
4-6 desks in Arch. Office
SOHO great light.
Shared conference room,
copier, library
212-929-4417
But please don’t feed the ornamental metal.
As builders and architects continue their search for originality, beauty and
timelessness in their designs, only one material satisfies their demands —
Ornamental Metal. For uncommon durability, design flexibility and enduring
appeal, Ornamental Metal outperforms other materials in its class and
creates value in a property which is as boundless as the imagination.
**COMMITTEE MEETINGS**

- March 1, 6:00 PM  
  Housing
- March 2, 8:00 AM  
  Architecture for Justice
- March 3, 5:30 PM  
  Public Architects
- March 4, 8:30 AM  
  Professional Practice
- March 8, 6:30 PM  
  Learning By Design:NY
- March 11, 6:00 PM  
  Environment
- March 15, 6:00 PM  
  Historic Buildings
- March 16, 6:00 PM  
  Minority Resources
- March 16, 6:15 PM  
  Design Awards
- March 17, 12:30 PM  
  Marketing & Public Relations
- March 19, 8:00 AM  
  Marketing & Public Relations
- March 23, 4:30 PM  
  Public Sector Liaison
- March 23, 5:30 PM  
  Health Facilities
- March 24, 6:00 PM  
  Architecture Dialogue
- March 31, 6:00 PM  
  Women in Architecture

**AROUND THE CHAPTER**

**When the Crumbling Building is Home**

*by Kira L. Gould*

Few members who shuffled through the snow to hear a discussion of Local Law 11 on January 14 (see page 23) knew that problems of building maintenance had already poignantly hit the Chapter.

That day, AIA New York Chapter receptionist Rosalia Romero hurried home, not because the facade of her apartment building was crumbling, but because of its structural beams.

The building, at 1064 Carroll Place, near 165th Street in the Bronx, has “been falling apart for some time,” Romero explained. Six months earlier, her grandfather and another man with experience in construction were hired to repair a sloping floor on the sixth floor. They discovered that the beam under the floor was rotting. When they went to work on the floor below, they discovered another problem.

Finally, in January, the fire department evacuated some residents, most of whom wound up staying in Red Cross shelters. Romero, who was required to remove her possessions (no small job—she has a 13-month-old son), was allowed back in to her apartment that night. But deferred maintenance on the building leaves her in limbo.

“We have been to court—even and nothing’s been done,” Romero said. “When the structural problems were discovered, the landlord offered to pay tenants to not report them.” The crisis is intensified by the fact that several members of Romero’s extended family live in the same building, and her whole family lives on the block.

Over the years, their proximity has provided a supportive network for all involved. But with the building at least partially uninhabitable, family members’ ability to help one another is wiped away.

The difficulties at Romero’s building, which had still not been resolved at press time, are reminders that issues the Chapter deals with on a daily basis are not as abstract as they sometimes seem.

At the root of each issue involving the built environment, there is a person or family dealing with the effects of zoning, codes, design, and maintenance.

**Comings and Goings**

- Margaret Helfand Architects, Henry Myerberg Architects, and Peter Guggenheimer Architect are pleased to announce the formation of a new architectural firm: Helfand Myerberg Guggenheimer. The business consolidates an expertise in high-profile design for institutional, corporate, and private clients, developed over the past two decades in the three predecessor firms. Many of these firms’ projects have received awards and international press coverage for innovative design; two won 1998 AIA New York Chapter Architecture Awards (Oculus, Jan. 1999, pp. 13, 18).

The work of all three partners is grounded in a respect for modernist principles, such as the search for simplicity, respect for logic, passion for materials and construction, and responsiveness to site, budget, and use.

Current work of Helfand Myerberg Guggenheimer includes the Beginning with Children Library in Brooklyn, Flatbush Branch Library, Museum of American Folk Art (as associate architect for Tod Williams Billie Tsien and Associates), Stage Technology Laboratory building for New York City Technical College, exhibition and public spaces at the International Toy Center. The firm is producing offices for the Renegade Marketing Group, Time Out New York magazine, and CNET: The Computer Network, as well as retail stores, galleries, and residences. After April 15, 1999, the office will be located at 428 Broadway, New York City.

- Jordan L. Gruzen, FAIA; Pete Samton, FAIA; Scott P. Keller, AIA; Gerard F. Vasisko, AIA; and Michael Kazan, APA, announce that Michael Gelfand, AIA, and Joseph Donovan, AIA, have joined the partnership of Gruzen Samton Architects Planners & Interior Designers. Donovan leads the firm’s K-12 public school efforts. Gelfand focuses on the housing, hospitality, and healthcare work that are mainstays of the firm.

**Opportunities at CUNY**

In January, Liz Arcuri, who is a senior planner with the City University of New York (in charge of Capital Budgets and Planning), presented CUNY’s five-year plan to members of the Chapter at an event sponsored by the Committee on Education. Opportunities for architects and the allied professions abound. Last April, the New York State Executive Budget recommended—and the legislature authorized—an unprecedented five-year capital budget program for CUNY. It has projected $1 billion in bonded projects and $25 million in minor rehabilitation tions between 1998 and 2002.

---

**Margaret Helfand, FAIA, Henry Myerberg, AIA, and Peter Guggenheimer atop Mount Imja Trek in Nepal**

**Michael Gelfand, AIA, and Joseph Donovan, AIA**
On one of the season's snowiest nights, more than a hundred people showed up to hear about Local Law #11, the new regulation for periodic inspection of building facades. The discussion, sponsored by the Building Codes Committee, was one of this year's first Chapter events.

Local Law #11 applies only to buildings of more than six stories. All exterior walls of these structures are now subject to periodic inspection—except those walls less than one foot from an adjacent building. Previously, under Local Law 10/80, only street facades and rear or side facades facing public places were required to be inspected.

A large number of buildings will become candidates for periodic inspection, according to Ron McCain of the Facade Inspection and Audit Unit of the New York City Department of Buildings. All previous exemptions were deleted from the new law, which amends the old administrative code requirements.

The category of "precautionary and ongoing maintenance" was also eliminated. Now there are only three categories outlined: a building may be designated "safe," "unsafe," or "safe with a repair and maintenance program." Another change is that the architect or engineer who makes an inspection and finds an unsafe condition must report it to the owner and to the Department of Buildings immediately. Unsafe conditions must be repaired within 30 days.

McCain explained that the new law was instituted at the mayor's request, after bricks came loose from the facade of a Madison Avenue office building around Christmas in 1997. (The following January, a student was killed by falling bricks at a Borough Park elementary school.) The City Council passed the law in early 1998, and it was refined in response to testimony given by members of the Municipal Arts Society, the AIA New York Chapter, and other organizations at a public hearing.

After McCain explained the law to the audience, Michael Gurevich of the New York City Brickwork Design Center, who has recently lectured on brickwork maintenance (Oculus, Feb. 1999, p. 24), described inspection techniques. The well-attended meeting was a first step toward preparing local practitioners to implement the new law. Both speakers encouraged members to contact them with questions or concerns. Gurevich can be reached at the Brickwork Design Center, 684-4229; or call McCain, at the Facade Inspection and Audit Unit of the buildings department, 312-8157. —by Kira L. Gould and Michael de Luna

CONTINUING EXHIBITIONS

March 12—April 30
Elise De Wolle: Suitability, Simplicity, and Proportion.
Municipal Art Society, 457 Madison Ave. 935-3960.

March 19—April 21
Encounters: The Vernacular Paradox of Israeli Architecture.
Pratt Manhattan Gallery, Pack Building, 2nd fl., 295 Lafayette St. 718-636-3517.

Through March 21
Unlimited By Design.
Cooper-Hewitt National Design Museum, 2 E. 91st St. 849-8420.

March 22—May 7
The American Dream by Mail Order.
Columbia University, 400 Avery Hall. 854-8165.

March 26—May 7
The Presence of Objects: Gaetano Pesce.
Columbia University, Arthur Ross Gallery, Boole Hall. 854-8165.

March 27—October 24
The Astor Place Riots: Looking Back 150 Years.
Museum of the City of New York, 1220 Fifth Ave. 534-1672.

Through March 28
The Little Apple: Souvenir Buildings from the Collection of Ace Architects.
Museum of the City of New York, 1220 Fifth Ave. 534-1672.

Through March 31

Through April 3
Order and Disorder: Architectural Transitions in Prints and Photographs.
The New York Public Library, Fifth Ave. and 42nd St. 930-6564.

Through April 4
Museum of the City of New York, 1220 Fifth Ave. 534-1672.

Through April 4
Over the Door: The Ornamental Stonework of New York.
The New York Historical Society, 2 W. 77th St. 864-3400.

Through May 2
New York Vertical by Host Haemann.
Museum of the City of New York, 1220 Fifth Ave. 534-1672.

Through May 7
Columbia University, 100, 200 Avery Hall. 854-8165.

Through May 9
New York Horizontal: Circuit Camera Views of the City by William Hassler.
Museum of the City of New York, 1220 Fifth Ave. 534-1672.

Through June 27
Landscapes of Hope: Rebuilding New York City's Neighborhoods.
Museum of the City of New York, 1220 Fifth Ave. 534-1672.

Through April 9
Liquid Assets: An Installation by Evan Douglass.
Columbia University, 100, 200 Avery Hall. 854-8165.

Through August 22
Museum of the City of New York, 1220 Fifth Ave. 534-1672.
AIA New York Chapter
The Founding Chapter of the American Institute of Architects
200 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10016

George Smart
5409 Pelham Rd.
Durham, NC 27713