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The Original Chair for the New World.

Today's professional is better educated, more sophisticated, a team player in whom the entrepreneurial spirit lives. Dedicated, demanding, men and women who will pursue a problem for the sake of accomplishment, regardless of the hours it takes.

Not surprisingly, such individuals deserve the best in contemporary office equipment—beginning with the Equa Chair, an egalitarian seating solution of extraordinary design. In the comfort of an Equa Chair, a person can work on into the night, oblivious to circumstance or the passage of time.

At the very least, a just reward.

Introducing the Equa Chair
LETTERS

Whitney ‘Clutter’: Allow me, an admirer of Breuer’s Whitney Museum, to express a brief opinion about Mr. Graves’ proposed addition (see Aug., page 11; Sept., page 48). It is a shock to me. He surround and surmounts Breuer’s classic with a clutter of anachronistic, unrelated style elements that are as out of place as the Breuer masterpiece would be incorporated into the Pantheon in Athens (Athenians would never allow it because they would consider it a sacrilege) or integrated into Notre Dame in Paris (or any other Gothic cathedral). If Mr. Graves’ design is “the kind of amalgam that this country is known for” then we are in real trouble. Uncluttered plain walls, without openings where possible, faced with granite, would do the least damage to Breuer’s masterpiece.

Stephen H. Gabrielson
Suffern, N.Y.

Whitney ‘Ironic’: Why don’t they get off Graves’ back? The current debate between popular and academic architectural critics regarding the proposed expansion of the Whitney is both amusing and ironic. What did anyone expect from Mr. Graves? What examples of his recent work would lead anyone to believe that he would propose a building that was not “an amalgam” of “modernity” and “elaborate and figurative tradition”? Of personalized ornament and intentionally (assumes) naive formalism? Corporate institutional clients normally hire architects because of what they have built, and expect more of the same. There are more “discreet” architects available to the Whitney, but none was chosen.

The Whitney expansion could only disappoint those who expected Mr. Graves to experience a Damascus road conversion when brought face-to-face with M. Breuer’s masterpiece. After all, Michael Graves has been made an institution on his own personal “style,” which has been thoroughly discussed by the same critics who continue to prolong their independent soliloquies regarding the stylistic merits/demerits of the tail that now wags the dog.

I must assume that Michael Graves, an architect who has clearly arrived at some conclusions about the way he makes architecture, would be trying to do just what he does even in the absence of publicity. He is required to conceive and realize a complete building, not a few paragraphs. I cannot (unlike many of Mr. Graves’ critics) begin to truly evaluate a design proposal without seeing much more than the three-dimensional facad study that has been widely published. If Sjogren, Facility Management Institute, 3971 South Research Park Dr., Ann Arbor, Mich. 48104.

Addendum: Landscaping for the Primary Center of the San Francisco Zoo, shown on the June cover and in an article beginning on page 42, was by Royston Hana moto Alley & Abey.
alvar Aalto Medal to Ando Followed by Sometimes Heated Symposium

Tadao Ando, a self-taught architect from Japan, was awarded the fifth Alvar Aalto Medal at the recent symposium held at Yväskylä, Finland. Previous recipients include Aalto himself, James Stirling, Hon. A., and Jørn Utzon, Hon. FAIA.

Ando gave a brief presentation of his work, highlighting his attempt to combine the fragmentary composition and idol obsession of traditional Japanese architecture with a rationalistic modern design conception based on geometric form. Ando then departed, leaving approximately 300 architects, students, and critics (from 24 countries) to spend three days with Michael Graves, FAIA, Charles Correa, Hon. FAIA, Herman Hertzberger, Marc Treib, and Göran Schildt discussing Aalto's work and issues of modernity and popular culture. Less formal lectures were given by Henning Larsen, Roland Weitzer, and representatives of Finnish art and literature.

The theme of the conference was intended to be "modernity and popular culture," raising such issues as the role of architecture in a consumption society and the split between architecture as entertainment and architecture as provocation. However, the widely differing personal approaches of Graves and Hertzberger were the dominant topic of discussion, while Correa managed to moderate to a certain extent and to provide a revealing glimpse of India as a culture striving for the very modernity called into question by Graves and others.

Lectures by Göran Schildt and Marc Treib attempted to discuss the theme of modernity and popular culture in terms of Aalto's work and the American pop vernacular, respectively. Schildt, author of the definitive Aalto biography, was delighted to confirm that, unlike much of the International Style, Aalto's work avoided being stylistically alienating to the general public. Aalto was sufficiently concerned with the issue of popular acceptance of modern architecture to propose

continued on page 12
or morphologies in the work of Aalto's successor, Reima Pietila. Instead, Schildt emphasized the grounding of Aalto's work in a belief that civilization proceeds as a series of practical inventions, from barbarism and poverty to reason and prosperity. Graves, by way of contrast, repeatedly rejected any idea of historical progress, particularly insofar as modern abstraction is viewed as a progression from historical figurative languages.

Implicit in Aalto's fascination with invention is a base of practicality and appropriateness of use that allowed him, in Schildt's opinion, to regard "the church, the campanile, the theater, the English hall, and the monumental square as inventions in the same way as the rafter, various wall facings, ventilation systems, and so on."

Treib and Correa also spoke of invention and transformation. Treib defined "low style" architecture (specifically, the American strip vernacular) as 90 percent invention and 10 percent invention, while "high style" architecture was seen as emphasizing the transformation of convention. Much of Treib's discussion of "high style" architecture concentrated on Frank Gehry's work, which Treib saw as pragmatic, non-ideological, and embodying a classic American ingenuity. This description closely paralleled Schildt's description of Aalto earlier in the symposium. Gehry enjoys a substantial following in Finland, while Aalto of course has become a national hero-figure. His image will soon be honored anywhere.

Graves did not get off on the right foot when he remarked to a national newspaper in Helsinki that Aalto's late work was not very good. This was quickly made into headline material in which Graves was quoted as denouncing Aalto's entire oeuvre. Even after ameliorative efforts had been made, Graves found himself facing a thinly veiled hostility from the symposium audience. The still unrealized potential of modernism enjoys a strong following in Finland, and there is almost no work being done that could be linked to Graves, Venturi, or Stern. Graves appeared, in this context, to be what Correa called a "sacrificial lamb." Of course, the most heated criticism of Graves came from the Americans in the audience, even to the point of a shouting match during the question period following Graves' lecture.

Graves made several points that the audience found difficult to accept. He began by rejecting Rietveld's Schröder house and Mies' brick country villa project of 1923 as architecture. In Graves' eyes, both of these projects display an abstract architectural vocabulary that is self-referential, with no connection to commonly understood architectural conventions such as front door/back door and so on. Graves emphasized hierarchies of plan, section, and elevation, as seen, for example, in the Villa Madama or the Villa Rotonda and rejected the Schröder house by showing that the elevation could be shown upside down without changing the meaning of the house. He also rejected the mechanistic esthetic of modernism and argued for a series of forms based on the human body as having deeper associative value.

Even the use of color in the Schröder house was fallacious for Graves: The primary colors employed by Rietveld were not references to nature or anything other than themselves. (An interesting question in this connection is whether the thing itself is sufficient or whether it must be a metaphor for something else.)

The opportunity to test Hertzberger to challenge most of Graves' convictions. Hertzberger accused Graves of not responding to anything other than esthetic concerns in architecture. Graves' office buildings, in Hertzberger's eyes, are not essentially different from the horizontally or vertically striped buildings that Graves criticizes. Hertzberger presented his own Central Beheer office building as one in which the ideas of decentralization and differentiation within a horizontal structuralist tartan grid system have produced a new type of office environment praised by its users. Graves acknowledged the virtues of the horizontal city, to the point of saying, "I would rather work in the Uffizi or Versailles than in my own Humana building." Correa also urged the idea of turning tall office buildings on their sides, assuming land cost feasibility.

But Hertzberger's approach was based on more than just horizontalité: In his eyes the parts of the building all acquire equal value. One could detect an almost politically motivated democratization of the building itself: Front door equals back door, served spaces equal servant spaces, etc. Monumentality, for Hertzberger, does not exist, nor does the surrounding context seem to have much importance for his buildings.

Over the course of the symposium, it proved to be just as difficult to speak about architecture philosophically as to speak of philosophy architecturally. Perhaps a Bertrand Russell once wrote, "The value of philosophy ... is to be sought largely in its uncertainty."

Mr. Finne is a practicing architect and 1985 Fulbright fellow in Helsinki.

State Department Says Security Concerns Won't Stifle Design

Despite strong threats from international terrorism and the concomitant need for a $3.5 billion construction program to completed in eight years, the State Department's new security coordinator has made a strong commitment to continuing State noted quality architecture program for America's embassies (see Sept., page 56, Feb., '83, page 36).

Robert R. Blackburn, deputy director of FBO, explained, "Cookie cutter emblems are not the idea. I have trouble conceptualizing the same building in Reykjavik as in Riyadh." He pointed to a new set of standards for the embassies of the future is being drawn up at the National Academy of Sciences. But, he said, that is not the same as stamping a design.

Blackburn reports that FBO is currently running $500 million in annual billing. That's some five times what it was just a few years ago.

He said that FBO plans to continue to utilize its architectural review board process. Bill N. Lacy, FAIA, president of Cooper Union and former director of Architecture + Environmental Arts, joined the panel last summer, recognizing Ralph Rapson, FAIA. Other mem continued on pag
As for the existing buildings designed by some of America’s most prominent architects in postwar years. Robert Lamb said that to the best of his knowledge none of them is threatened. The Advisory Panel on Overseas Security chaired by Admiral Bobby Ray Inman reported that 126 of the State Department’s 262 overseas posts posed security threats to their occupants, and suggested that some facilities might have to be abandoned. The department is understandably cagey about revealing the exact trouble spots lest it inadvertently disclose a potential problem area to terrorists.

Richard N. Dertadian, a career employee with the State Department, replaced Harvey Buffalo as director of PBO during the summer.

CARLETON KNIGHT III

News continued on page 16
Removal of Serra's Sculpture Under Consideration in St. Louis

Less than two months after GSA's decision to recommend moving sculptor Richard Serra's "Tilted Arc" from Foley Square in New York City, a bill was introduced in the St. Louis board of aldermen to remove "Twain," a work completed by Serra for St. Louis in 1982.

The bill is currently in committee, no hearing has yet been scheduled, and Thomas Zych, president of the board of aldermen, says, "My gut reaction is we're not going to accept it. We have enough trouble deciding what's good legislation without deciding what's good art."

In its design and siting, "Twain" differs dramatically from "Tilted Arc" (see July, page 11). Situated on a landscaped public block owned by the U.S. Park Service, it borders no buildings and gets in no one's way. It consists of eight panels of steel from six to nine feet high, depending on the terrain, in the shape of an irregular triangle, each of whose sides is roughly 125 feet. It has nine door-sized openings and was intended by Serra as a place from which to look at the city; the St. Louis Arch, on axis with it, is prominent just a few blocks away.

There are other major differences between "Tilted Arc" and "Twain." The panel that decided to remove "Tilted Arc" stressed that "an elite group of so-called art experts recommended its placement in the plaza without any community or local consultation." By contrast, an outdoor sculpture for St. Louis was first proposed in 1971 by a civic group formed to develop and maintain public art. The organization obtained an initial grant of $45,000 from the National Endowment for the Arts, which oversaw a process in which Serra was unanimously selected as artist by a jury of six, including the mayor, two art museum directors, an art critic, a professor of art and architectural historian, a businessman and art collector, and Emily Rauh Pulitzer, a former curator of the St. Louis Museum of Art.

Of the $330,000 spent for the sculpture and landscaping, $15,000 came from the endowment, $15,000 from the Missouri arts council, and the remainder from 35 corporations, businesses, and individuals. The fact that "Twain" is on public land and had a mixture of private and public funding might make its ownership, and therefore removal, a complicated question. In fact, removal might be illegal.

"Twain," with new plantings and the Arch.

because of a contract between the city and the artist.

From its completion, "Twain" has prompted grumblings. As Frank Peters, arts editor of the St. Louis Post Dispatch says, "There's a hatred. There are educated people who are bitterly against it. It isn't just a redneck versus Brahmin thing going on."

According to aldermen president Zych, "There is a group of aldermen who have a belief that this city block should be used in some other fashion, either as a park or for development." Serra's lawyer, Gustav Harrow, has "no doubt there is a cause and effect relationship between a notorious issue like the one in New York and this situation, whether people are willing to admit it to themselves or not."

Alderman Timothy Dee, who introduced the bill for the sculpture's removal, says, "Nobody walks through that 'park. They're scared of that damned foreboding thing that stands in the middle of it. It's imposing. It's scary. You just don't know what's on the other side."

Serra did design "Twain" with mystery in mind. As Pulitzer says, "You don't see the shape of the thing until you're inside it; it was intended to be enigmatic."

The arguments become tangled and finally beside the point. "My concern," says Richard Andrews, director of the visual arts program of the National Endowment for the Arts, "is that because of "Tilted Arc" decision art works may become political footballs, scapegoats for other concerns. Possibly that may be happening here."

Andrews continues, "We owe it to ourselves and to future generations to be extremely cautious about ripping things out and changing them." Our public, he says, "is a pretty accurate reflection of the work of artists of our time, of our time itself. In a sense, it is our legacy."

Andrea Oppenheimer Dean

St. Bart's Claims Economic Hardship in Third Application

St. Bartholomew's Episcopal Church filed an application with the New York City Landmarks Commission that conte the church is facing an "extreme economic hardship" and again seeks permission build an office building on its landmark site on Park Avenue between 50th and 51st streets.

Twice within the last two years the commission has unanimously rejected des proposals by St. Bart's (see Aug., page July '84, page 27) to demolish its community house and replace it with a hi rise tower in a continuing controversy (the city's authority over historic lands continued on pag
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This most recent application before the commission, which details the church's finances, is unlike the two previously rejected requests for "certificates of appropriateness" for specific design proposals, both by Edward Durell Stone Associates. (The first was a 59-story sawtooth tower of reflective glass; the second was a similar 47-story building with a brick and limestone base.)

In the lengthy report, St. Bart's argues that the income generated from an office tower would allow the church to "carry out its mission" and solve its economic problems, as well as provide money for needed repairs that have been estimated would cost about $11 million.

Although the church's rector, the Rev. Thomas D. Bowers, has argued that the constitutional separation of church and state allows church officials to build a tower on its property regardless of landmark status, he said a "decision was made to exhaust all of our remedies" by filing the hardship application before taking the matter to court.

Gene A. Norman, chairman of the landmarks commission, said that after the request has been reviewed by the 11-member commission to determine if the church does indeed have an economic hardship, a public hearing would be set.

The church was designed by Bertram G. Goodhue and completed in 1918. Ten years later the community house by Mayers, Murray & Philip was completed. The complex, one of the first landmarks designated in 1967 under a new law, was noted for its "handsome modern versions of Romanesque and Byzantine architecture."

Whitney Stalls Approval Process

Trustees of the Whitney Museum in New York City have delayed the approval process of the proposed addition by Michael Graves, FAIA (see Aug., page 11). The museum withdrew its application that was before the New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission, and a date has not been set for submitting a new application.

Jennifer Russell, assistant director of the Whitney, said that the museum, had originally planned to begin the review process this summer but withdrew the application because it was not as complete as the commission required. Russell said they now hope to present the Graves proposal at a November hearing of the commission.

Russell denied any relationship between the controversy surrounding Graves' design and the filing delay. "It is just that there are various questions that have to be answered internally," she said.

The museum has no idea how long the review process will take, because, as Russell said, "You never know in the city of New York."

IIT Erects, Then Removes Multichrome Library Canopy

Only hours after its completion last month, a multicolored steel canopy addition to Walter Netsch's 1962 library on the campus of the Illinois Institute of Technology in Chicago was hooted by faculty and students, causing IIT's administration to order it torn down within 24 hours.

The decision came after outraged complaints by those on the Mies van der Rohe-designed campus that the rainbow canopy was nothing but a "giant tinkertoy," "a mockery," and, according to an IIT architecture student quoted by the Washington Post, "just another attempt for the postmodernists to attack Mies, because they all hate his style."

The "postmodernist" in this case is Robert Nevel of the Chicago firm Mekus/Johnson, who was contracted by IIT to make recommendations for repairing the library's weather-worn plaza (in preparation for the library's rededication last month).

Nevel suggested, among other improvements, a steel canopy to give the library entrance a stronger definition. Nevel's proposal met swift approval, and the project, estimated at a cost of $250,000, moved forward last month.

The yellow, blue, red, green, and white canopy was erected shortly after Labor Day. A few days later Nevel and IIT officials met about the offending polychromed canopy. At one point there was discussion about painting it black, but IIT officials decided to leave it alone.

Nevel was quoted in the Chicago Tribune as calling the removal of the canopy "the architectural equivalent of book burning." Netsch praised IIT's action as "a wise decision," and added that he didn't understand why IIT had allowed it to be erected in the first place. "Ther is seems to be a left hand and a right hand comment," he said.


The program called for approximately 10 housing units to be located on a 28,000-square-foot site in an older, lower-income neighborhood in Cincinnati and stated the design should have a cost effectiveness, marketability, and environmental considerations. Developer was invited to submit proposals for constructing one of the five winning designs and the city will sell the site for $1.

Of the five winning designs the jury singled out the entry (above) by the continued on pc
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Schwartz-Kinnard, Neil Payton, and Ison-Byrd for incorporating the most important programmatic requirements.

To groups of three town houses provide a stepped facade and define the main entrance to four additional town houses forming two "garden pavilions" that frame a sloping landscape to the north. The ones facing the street have a rusticated stone base, with upper floors finished in painted stucco. The jury said the sign "utilized the entire site and seemed completely adapted to its hillside location... There was a distinct sequence of spaces formed by the various units." Although the city grid of streets gives credibility to the natural contours of the site," said the jury, "all the competitors were forced to decide early on in their solution whether to follow the contours with a horizontal layering scheme to step up the site in contradiction to terrain. The winning solutions tended to be combinations of both approaches, with special attention to and orientation views of the city."

In addition, honorable mentions were sent to Adele Naude Santos Architects of Philadelphia; Barry Stedman of Cincinnati; and Osler Milling Associates of Ann Arbor, Mich.

L. Lacy, FAIA, chaired the jury. Other jurors were Charles W. Moore, AIA; William Pedersen Jr., AIA; Carl Strauss, FAIA; Steven F. Blofield, AIA; and landscape architects William Gehneke and Anne Whiston Spirn. P. Graves, FAIA, served as the petition's professional adviser. The Hillside Trust is a nonprofit, land preservation group founded in 1976 to serve and enhance "Cincinnati's beautiful but fragile hillsides."

Ive Architects Recognized 1985 Red Cedar Awards

Ive architects were recognized in the Red Cedar Shingle & Handsplit Bureau/AIA architectural awards program for "demonstrating design excellence and significant functional or esthetic values of red cedar shingles and shakes."
The winning firms in the residential category are: y Jones & Associates of Fayetteville, N.C., for a residence in Fayetteville; ark Simon, AIA, of Centerbrook in Westport, Conn., for the Crowell studio/residence in Long Island, N.Y.; y Izenour with Christine Matheu & Michael L. Rauch & Scott Brown of Philadelphia for a residence on Long Island, Conn.; rman Jaffe, AIA, of Bridgehampton, for the Hillman residence in East Hampton, N.Y.;  

Crowell studio/residence on Long Island by Mark Simon, AIA, of Centerbrook.

- Bull Volkmann Stockwell of San Francisco for the Stillwater Cove residential resort in Crystal Bay, Nev.
- Sandy & Babcock of San Francisco for Foxbridge at Vista Del Lago condominiums in Santa Rosa, Calif.

In the remodeling and restoration category James Cutler Architects of Winslow, Wash., was cited for the Parker residence on Bainbridge Island, Wash., and Cardwell/Thomas & Associates of Seattle was honored for the Marina Plaza office park in Sausalito, Calif.

Four firms were recognized in the commercial and institutional category: Fisher... continued on page 24
LOF Glass introduces ECLIPSE reflective glass, the pyrolytically-coated float that leaves traditional alternatives fading completely from sight.

ECLIPSE reflective is a dramatic new alternative for the building designer's palette, combining high reflectivity with excellent daylight transmission. Exteriors take on a striking yet subdued appearance; interiors are bathed in a soothing warm glow. Beautiful.

Technically speaking... absorption so low that colored substrates in most vision applications require no heat treating; UV control that blocks over 90% of the sun's damaging ultraviolet rays; sealant compatibility that borders on universal. And the list goes on.

ECLIPSE reflective means options: Cut, temper and fabricate it as you would any annealed glass. Expose the coated surface to the exterior. Use it as the second surface in an insulated glass unit. Bronze. Grey. Blue-green. The choices are yours.

Choose ECLIPSE reflective for the fastest, most reliable delivery. Choose ECLIPSE reflective for the commitment and expertise of the manufacturer that cares about providing the industry's best float.

Choose ECLIPSE reflective from LOF Glass. Be part of a remarkable solar occurrence. Call: (419) 247-4803. Write: LOF Glass, FGP Marketing Department, 811 Madison Ave., P.O. Box 799, Toledo, Ohio 43695.

Visibly Superior.
Maintaining a sterile, efficient surgical environment in a busy hospital requires careful procedures and the most modern facilities available. That's why Mount Sinai Hospital installed twenty-six Sloan No-Hands automated scrub stations for its surgical personnel.

The Sloan OPTIMA No-Hands system uses an electronic sensor that "sees" the user and automatically turns the scrub station faucet on and off—only as needed. This eliminates awkward arm- or leg-actuated faucet controls and helps provide a fast—yet thorough—scrub up.

The results: A more sterile, efficient scrub station. Reduced water usage and maintenance. And greater convenience for surgical personnel.

The Sloan OPTIMA No-Hands system meets all building codes and easily adapts to existing sink and plumbing hookups. The system is also compatible with soap dispensers and hand dryers.

Ask your Sloan representative about Sloan No-Hands automated systems. Or write us.

SLOAN VALVE COMPANY
10500 Seymour Avenue, Franklin Park, IL 60131
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Energy saver.
• Up to or greater than R-34 (4" plus 2" thick) or R-24 (4" thick) for exposed beam construction. Other thicknesses from R-10 to R-24.
• A composite of strong, weather-resistant, 1/2" - thick Homasote 440 structural board... plus urethane foam—today's most efficient insulation—and a backer of fibrous glass.
• No asbestos, no formaldehyde.

Nailbase.
• One-step panels are nailed directly to existing roof or new roof decking. Cuts roof labor in half.
• Felt and finish roofing are applied directly to nailbase Thermasote®. Reduces construction costs.

For full details, call 609-883-3300, or write
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Friedman Associates of San Francisco the Marina Plaza office park in Sausa
The Robinson Green Beretta Corpor
tion of Providence, R.I., for a Veteran
cemetery chapel in Exeter, R.I.; Bul
Volkan Stockwell of San Francisco
Spruce Saddle Restaurant in Vail, Co
and Roland/Miller/Associates of Sar
Rosa, Calif., for Mendocino office pa
in Santa Rosa.

The jury commented that the geogr
ical diversity of the entries "illustrates flexibily of shingles and shakes as a bi
ing material."

Jurors were Hugh Newell Jacobson
FAIA (chairman), Gerald L. Allison
FAIA, and Rembert Huygens, AIA.

Landscape Architects
Honored in ASLA's Awards

The American Society of Landscape Architects has presented seven hon
awards for 1985.

The SWA Group of Dallas receives
an honor award in the commercial de
category for Williams Square in the
planned community of Las Colinas in
Irving, Tex.

In the park and recreational facilit
design division Lee Weintraub, HPD Li
scape Architects of New York City, w
cited for Longfellow Garden in Hunt
Point Triangle in the South Bronx.

Daniel D. Stewart & Associates of Y
York City was presented an honor aw
for residential and garden design for
deMenil house in East Hampton, N.Y.

Richard E. Chenoweth and Benarc
Niemann Jr. of the college of agricult
and life sciences at the University o
Wisconsin-Madison were recognized in
landscape planning and analysis cate
for their evaluation of the Alpine La
area.

Three honor awards were presented
communication: William Lake Doug
with Susan R. Frey, Norman K. John
Susan Littlefield, and Michael Van
Valkenburgh for Garden Design: Hist
Principles, Elements, Practice; Lewi
May of CRS Sirrine, Inc., for Landsc
Architecture in Saudi Arabia; and Lindley Cotton and James McNair &
Trees for San Francisco.

Merit awards were also presented
to 27 landscape architects.

John Reynolds chaired the three aw
juries. The design jury was comprised
Diana Balmori, Meade Palmer, Stu
Dawson, Bronson Binger, AIA, and I
Jacobs. The planning & analysis/coom
munication jury consisted of Joseph Edmiston, Deborah Weldon, Willarir
Marken, and Thomas F. Zarfoss. Br
K. Ferguson, Kenneth F. Lane, and I
Zube served on the research jury.
National Building Museum Announces Terra Cotta Winners

Winners, all of them architects, have been announced in a competition for new signs in architectural terra cotta. The competition was organized by the National Building Museum in Washington, D.C., with the support of Ludowici Ladon Co., Inc., a terra cotta and clay roofing manufacturer. The entries were judged by six architects or firms—Hugh Rddy, FAIA; Robert J. Frasca, FAIA; H. Stow Chapman, AIA; James Wines; and Robert Venturi, IA—each of whom was asked to choose a favorite project.

The winners, respective to the jury members, were: Giorgio M. Zigliotto of Alto, Calif.; Eric Gazley, AIA, of Portland, Ore.; Carl Vogtmann of Chicago; Peter J. Fortier, AIA, of Metairie, La.; H. Stow Chapman, AIA, of Louisville, Ky.; and Terry Brown with a team of Muller & Brown of Cincinnati.

Each jury member is to produce a drawing of an actual or proposed application of the design chosen, while the winning signs will be added to Ludowici Cela's line of modular panels (each winner also received $750 and product royalties). The winning entries and their cast signatures will be on display at the National Building Museum in February and then travel the country as part of the museum's traveling exhibition program.

News continued on page 89

The reasons for using Thermasote® roof insulation keep building up.

Energy saver.
- Up to or greater than R-34 (4" plus 2" thick) or R-24 (4" thick) for exposed beam construction. Other thicknesses from R-10 to R-24.
- A composite of strong, weather-resistant, 1/2"-thick Homasote 440 structural board...plus urethane foam—today's most efficient insulation—and a backer of fibrous glass.
- No asbestos, no formaldehyde.

Nailbase.
- One-step panels are nailed directly to existing roof or new roof decking. Cuts roof labor in half.
- Felt and finish roofing are applied directly to nailbase Thermasote®. Reduces construction costs.

For full details, call 609-883-3300, or write

homasote Company
PO. Box 7240, West Trenton, NJ 08628-0240
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White Cement
Imagination in Architecture

The geometric simplicity of circles and squares...the dramatic sweep of curves...the intriguing complexity of multiplanar surfaces...the whimsy of filigree...are achievable through the plasticity of visually stunning architectural white concrete. From wall panels to window casements, columns and spandrels, concrete made with architectural white cement enables you to mold your design concepts into unusual, interesting, beautifully distinctive shapes that can be cast-in-place or precast and replicated precisely in quantities for quick, efficient production and erection.

Send for our new Architect’s Reference Guide to White Cement in Design and let your imaginings take shape.

Lehigh Portland Cement Company
718 Hamilton Mall, Allentown, PA 18105
215-776-2600
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The Arts

Stairs and Seats as Sculpture

The creations of Tom Luckey, an architect/sculptor in Branford, Conn., balance upon the line that separates his dual career. Whether they're sculptural architecture or architectural sculpture, the works shown here take as their point of departure the function required of them—a stair or a window seat—and then Luckey gives them a twist.

Or a slide, as in the case of a stair for a house in Vermont (above). In one form it's a rugged staircase, almost primitive with its irregular surfaces and absent risers. With a pull of a cable the stringers pivot and slide along a track, folding and disappearing behind the hinged treads, which flatten out. The stair is now a saw-toothed slide with a soft underside filled with mysterious relief carvings. It's made entirely of cherry, chosen, says Luckey, because he "liked the honey color and it's great stuff to carve."

Another stair (right, top), this one in a house in Connecticut, doesn't move, but it's not quite at rest either. The opaque aids of cherry veneer are pinned to the second floor structure so that the staircase is suspended above the floor, floating like a buoy in a white, partitionless interior. Luckey sees it as a room divider that creates a small space behind the fireplace, the flue of which rises through the stairs' top like a ghost.

At first this staircase appears to be simply a generous spiral, but it is actually a forced perspective that's been bent. One railing is straight while the other arcs out and back again, varying the width of the oak treads from 30 to 72 inches.

The third piece (right, bottom) gives another Connecticut interior a sculptural object that, in Luckey's words, "breaks up the total ugliness of that sliding-glass door." The arch defines the door's operable half, then swings sharply down to create a window seat.

This sculpture is actually two objects made of bent, laminated plywood with a cherry veneer. They're separated by a narrow gap of space that curves up and down, like an invisible line drawn in thin air.

MICHAEL J. CROSBIÉ
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This issue deals with the entire constellation of issues involving the architectural relationship between present and past—the relationship of history, built and otherwise, to what is being built today. These issues include historicism, of course; contextual fit of new to old; preservation, renovation, and adaptive use.

We keep returning to them because they are such a large and active area of architectural concern. In fact next year we will introduce a regular section of the magazine called Heritage. It will deal with buildings involved in these issues and will have the same format as the Kaleidoscope section (page 66). Submissions are, as always, welcome.

Speaking of next year, its successful beginning is up to you, our readers. In January we will announce results of our post-bicentennial poll in which readers are asked to nominate additions to the 1976 list of the best works of architecture of the nation's first 200 years. Additions would be from American buildings completed in the ensuing 10 years. The original list and other details are on page 103. Please cast your ballot now. D.C.
Eliel Saarinen, Then Pei, Now Meier

So the Des Moines Art Center has grown.
By Andrea Oppenheimer Dean

This museum in Iowa's capital city houses some 3,000 works of art in a remarkable complex of buildings. The first, by Eliel Saarinen, was completed in 1948. Constructed of native flat stone, it is U-shaped, mostly single-storied, and wends its way quietly without diversion or theatrics.

The museum's first addition, intended for large sculpture, was finished by I.M. Pei, FAIA, in 1968. It closes the U-shape of the original museum to form a square doughnut around a reflecting pool and relates to its predecessor through its horizontal emphasis and use of a concrete aggregate reminiscent of Saarinen's stone. But its brutalist style, typical of Pei during the late 1960s and early '70s, is far more muscular than was Saarinen's, and it is linked to his building only by two unremarkable connector hallways, which close the circulation system. Remarkably, though, while Pei's addition functions as an independent two-story building, it is virtually invisible from the original museum because of the slope of the site.

The Des Moines Art Center's latest addition consists of three buildings by Richard Meier, FAIA. They house the 20th century collection, traveling exhibits, a restaurant/meeting space, and additional storage and services areas. Their highly charged, sinuous, gleaming white porcelain shapes are vintage Meier, but even more complex and elaborate than usual. And there is a new element here—the use of granite as a major building material. Meier is the first to acknowledge that his buildings in Des Moines mark the beginning of a new development in his singularly consistent career.
The criteria used by the center for selecting architects was established by the first building committee in the mid-'40s, which emphasized that "the Des Moines museum should be a good example of the best architecture of the period in which it was built." Less than a month ago, current president Robert E. Helmick said of Meier's appointment (and of Pei's before him), "We wanted a series of architectural monuments and we selected our architects on that basis."

In the early-'80s, some 12 internationally renowned designers were asked to submit schemes for the third addition. Among these was Michael Graves, FAIA, who, apparently, praised the Saarinen building and then suggested a scheme that would wrap a building of his own around the original museum—shades of the Whitney.
the left, courtyard with reflecting pool is a stage for museum’s
phases—in foreground, a Meier fin; at right, his pavilion
nded to Saarinen; in background Pei. Left, looking west
s pool from Saarinen. Above right, only spot at building’s
ery where all three architects meet.

ier, when interviewed by the committee, suggested trans­
ing to Des Moines a development of the concept he had
ed for Atlanta’s High Museum. David Kruidenier, chairman
architect selection committee, visited the High and liked
he saw.

ever, before recommending Meier to the trustees,
ienier extracted an important concession from him: that
use more stone than at the High. Otherwise, Meier was
given virtual carte blanche for the siting and design of 28,000
quare feet of new space. The architect recalls that when first
isting the site he was “struck by the wonderful scale relation­
ship which the art center had to the site, as well as by the respect
Pei showed for the Saarinen design.” The only way to build a
le addition without violating the existing complex, he says,
ould have been to fill in the courtyard and its reflecting pool,
which, among other things, brought natural light into the existing
galleries. He decided instead to append, as though weaving, three
new elements “at appropriate points” onto the original museum,
respecting existing axes and the horizontal emphasis of both ear­
ier buildings.

The smallest and simplest of Meier’s three additions is attached
directly to the west wing of the Saarinen building, extending its
Meier placed his second small building, the restaurant/meeting spaces, in the southwest corner of the courtyard. He thus created the only visual meeting place, a stage, as it were, for the museum's three different architectural phases and styles. Almost doll-like in scale, Meier's little complex backs right into Saarinen's flat stone wall and makes a curving gesture toward the Pei wing, enlivening what was before a dull, unused open space. His contribution consists of a granite cube, which acts as a pivot for two white porcelain pavilions, one curved and glazed, the other rectilinear. In effect, Meier wraps animated porcelain shapes around a solid core of granite in much the same way as he attached his new building elements to the stone surfaces of the original museum.

The most prominent and controversial of Meier's additions is his 20,000-square-foot, three-story building for the center's 20th century collection and its temporary exhibits. It is just north of the original building and linked to it by a glass-enclosed gallery. Its four totally different elevations are of bright white gridded porcelain in loops, lintels, posts, curves, fins, and other energized elements that in places threaten to swirl free into space but are anchored by a central rectangular volume sheathed in granite and topped by a flattened pyramid—again the theme of enclosing and folding shapes of one material over another.

Meier chose granite, he says, because the quarries from which Saarinen had extracted his stone were closed, and granite seemed close in texture and color. Most important, however, this core of stone, which Meier sees as a form within a form, is the one constant in a complicated composition, the one element that is consistent at all three levels. It has allowed Meier to create his most elaborate, active creation yet while keeping its elements from decomposing or moving beyond a reassuring field of gravity.

Meier describes his new gallery as "an attached villa." By contrast, he calls the High "totally introverted, self contained," and his recent museum in Frankfurt, West Germany, "a free-standing villa in the garden."

The complaint in Des Moines is that Meier's "villa" is too prominent, that, in the words of director David Ryan, "it shouts, 'see me.'" It has been called brash, overwrought, cold, industrial-looking. Meier's response is to simply say, "The reaction will fade." And, in fact, Saarinen's original museum, now treasured for its low-key simplicity, was faulted at first for looking too plain, too much like a factory. The favored "look" then, as now, was neo-this-and-that.

Meier justifies his building's prominence in part by pointing out that the original Saarinen scheme, larger than the one that was built, had a tower at the end of its educational wing and reached farther toward the community. "I tried to reacknowledge this intention and axis," says Meier, going on to explain, "I think what's happened is that this grand old museum faded over the

Above, the east elevation of the largest of the new buildings, described by Meier as 'an attached villa,' and the gallery linkage to the Saarinen building. Right, the west elevation with the largest building's curving, porcelain facade, left in photo, and the smallest addition, which sits directly behind the Saarinen.
The new addition tries to say, "Hey, we're the most important institution in town. Come visit us." What he intended, and best about the assemblage, is that it transforms what existed into something totally new. "It's not an addition," he says. a new place with a new life." Indeed it is, and, in the words hairman Helmick, "Even people who don't like the addi- realize one of its purposes is to bring new thoughts and s together, sometimes dazzlingly." hen asked whether we should expect more complexity and riality from his work in the future, Meier answers, "of se," but where it will take him he doesn't know or isn't ready gy. The Des Moines interiors, which have been almost uni- applaud—except by some curatorial staff members—give some clues. They indicate, among many other things, that Meier will probably be as relentlessly consistent in his approach as he always has been.

Focused on a quarter-circle atrium lit by clerestory windows and perimeter skylights, the interior spaces are a drama in con- trasts of scale, light, and overall feel—alternately soaring, focus- ing down, opening out again. And inside, Meier creates a con- stant awareness, absent outside, of the presence of the museum's three different buildings by framing views of the original and Pei's addition. He feels this is as important as providing wall space for hanging art.

The overall plan is similar to the High's. The light-flooded atrium is narrower and steeper but comparable in its exquisite detailing, theatricality, and shifting sun and shade patterns. Its ultraviolet light was controlled from the outset, though the eye still strains to accommodate when moving from atrium to gal- leries. And, overall, the Des Moines center is a better place for hanging and viewing pictures.
Upper floor

This page: Top, third level galleries glow with light from high square windows; center, second floor spaces with uninterrupted floor-to-ceiling wall space; bottom, first floor gallery somewhat encumbered with diverse architectural elements. Right, atrium stairwell topped by webbed skylight.

Still, it is less flexible than the director would like, and in places upstages its art. This is especially so where the interiors are most ethereal, namely on the top floor where a band of square shaded windows just below the ceiling gives the galleries a gauze-like quality, and at the so-called monitor wall, which curves up full height on the west to resemble a shimmering chapel—reminder of Ronchamp. These spaces were, Meier admits, designed for particular paintings. He then observes, "Maybe it should get better paintings." A contradiction, of course; he simply couldn't restrain himself from creating the most sublime space possible for art works that are earthbound and often hard-edged.

As gallery space, the second floor, with its uninterrupted serenely beautiful wall space, is the happiest solution from a curatorial point of view. The least successful, without doubt is the lowest story, which doesn't have the architectural interest or merit of the upper levels and is somewhat cluttered with curved wall, railings, and cutouts, which either defy the hanging of pictures or fight them.

Meier claims that the building's great variety of spaces (there are some 20 ceiling heights) allows almost any painting to be hung—though not, of course, anywhere. "I've been through arguments with curators many, many times," he says wearily. "What many don't realize is that in order to get people to look at pictures you have to give them an environment that's exciting." His intent, he adds, was to create a dialogue between architecture and art and people.

Meier's attitude toward museum making was, in fact, polarized by Pei, not at Des Moines but at the National Gallery East Building in Washington, D.C. The attempt there was to make the museum itself as enthralling as theater. And, ironically, the very complexity of Meier's building makes the experience of it as an art museum easier and less daunting for more people, because there is so much to engage the senses in addition to paintings and sculpture.

One can't help but wonder, though, how much more complex Richard Meier's designs can become and still maintain a simple sense of integrity. The Getty museum should yield an answer. ☐
Echoes of the Prairie Style
On a New England Campus

Mayer Center, Tufts University
Jung/Brunnen. By Robert Campbell
The Elizabeth van Huysen Mayer Campus Center, the new student center for Tufts University in the Boston suburb of Medford, appears at first glance an unusually large mansion designed by Frank Lloyd Wright one of his Prairie Style colleagues around the year 1910. Most of the typical motifs of the early Wrightian style are projecting hip roofs, piled up in a pyramidal configuration, hover over long, deeply shadowed strip windows. Paved acres extend the building out to engage the landscape. Brick piers and piers enclose it. Even the underlying sculptural idea—the Meyer Center as a pinwheel cluster of three or four penetrating cube-like masses, anchored by the tallest one—is Wrightian.

The architect, oddly enough, firmly denies any awareness of Wrightian precedent. Robert M.C. Hsiung, AIA, of Jung/Brannon Associates insists that the Meyer Center’s design was derived, without visual preconceptions, from a careful analysis of program and context. This, of course, is the kind of thing students are supposed to say in architecture school until the changes recent years made it O.K. to talk about precedent. Good architects have always had their sources, no doubt, but, instead wowing up to them, they have often talked pseudoscience about visual preconceptions. The building as the inevitable expression of its program. The building was, and as anyone who has ever tried it knows in his or her heart, you can’t derive architectural form from any amount of analysis of context or program or anything else. There has to be an arbitrary formal gesture at some point, or the design process can never begin. That being so, one can’t help feeling in creating this fine building, Hsiung let his talent for inventive architectural imagery get ahead of his willingness to talk to himself or to others—about where it comes from.

Sources aside, the Elizabeth van Huysen Mayer Campus Center, to give it its full name, is a remarkably successful building. There is, as a matter of fact, little in its context that particularly suggests the Prairie Style. But there are many pleasant houses nearby in an eclectic variety of styles, many of them occupied by Tufts professors, and it was important not to overwhelm these houses with the much larger building that the Mayer Center would necessarily be. The Wrightian style breaks down the large building into smaller pieces that step down the sloping site, and the hip roofs bring its edges down close to the ground, nearer the heights of the houses.

The building grows taller as it gently climbs the hillside site, its tallest and most solid mass being at the crest (across page). The succession of sweeping, graceful roofs (below) almost make it seem a succession of pavilions stacked one upon the other.
The roofs accomplish another thing. They express the building as a cluster of four hipped pavilions climbing to a peak. This is a massing that encodes metaphors of both the social and the natural worlds. Socially, the building is a close-knit family of shapes, gathered together around the tallest as if posing for a group portrait—what the critic Robin Boyd used to call the "matched luggage school of architecture," matched luggage being another metaphor for family. At the same time, the pavilions are like a cluster of hills in the natural landscape. Both metaphors offer us ways to understand the building, and to engage it imaginatively, both are appropriate to its use and setting, both give it meaning.

Mayer Center is at its best on its exterior. Besides its massing it possesses another level of interest in its surface and detail. A dark brown brick is set into the prevailing orange brick in horizontal stripes and in emblem patterns. The patterns provide, at little extra cost, a surprising amount of interest and a sense that the building has been thoughtfully considered and crafted. The outdoor terraces and gardens (designed by SWA Group)
Topping of roof levels provides many opportunities for inserting natural light at various levels, allows for a constantly changing series of volumes. Interior materials and finishes are played and plainspoken in contrast to the richly decorated or with its patterned, multicolor brick walls.

Quiet, never overdone, yet they offer a rich variety of different kinds of places—enclosed nooks, like backwaters, for intimate talk or study, courtyards for youthful strolling in a kind of neo, and lawns for sunning or frisbie, all of them places of scale that seems to plead for human habitation and use. Ours, the Mayer Center is also successful, but a little less vivid in color, the interiors are finished in warm-toned, lasted concrete block, granite, and oak—three materials tending to be too close to one another in tonality, giving an almost gray sense of grayness. And by putting all the richly colored materials outside and all the plain ones inside, the architects created an unfortunate effect of the building as an unfinished shell.
Spatially and functionally, however, the interior works well. The interpenetrating volumes of the pavilions make for a lot of variety inside. You enter at the middle of the three levels, at a corner under a low ceiling, then move past the reception desk out onto the floor of a double-height lobby with a rather grand granite wing stair on one side and, overhead, a magenta-colored sculpture (by Robert Brannen, AIA, of Jung/Brannen) hung from an elaborately decorative sunburst wood truss.

A square doughnut of student activity offices rings the lobby at its upper level, opening onto a balcony furnished with oak benches and planters. There is a sense of ceremony, of celebration in the generous height of the lobby and in the stair, the balcony, the sculpture, the truss. Together they give the building a feeling of dignity and permanence like those of a public building, as opposed to the kinky, ephemeral record-jacket graphics that architects sometimes think fitting for student worlds. Perhaps the dignity does go a little too far in the oak benches, massive and judicial, that line the balcony.

Where spaces interpenetrate as the building steps down the hill there occur many kinds of overlook, where one space becomes, at its edge, a terrace over another space. The lobby, for instance, becomes at its westernmost extension an ice cream parlor overlooking the main dining room. There are many different ceiling heights and shapes, and there are also—just as in the outdoor landscape—many different kinds of tucked-away corners, including a pub and a study loft. As a user, you have a great deal of choice: You can join the crowd in one of the big social rooms, or you can hide yourself in a private study cell.

The center is complicated. There's a lot to explore and there are a lot of opportunities for you to stake out a bit of privateturf or even to feel you have found a place that only you, perhaps, know about.

The center culminates at the bottom of the slope with its largest room, the dining room, spacious and light-filled, with continuous glass facing onto a large lawn—a fine room, although southwest-facing one that looks as if it might be worrisome bright when a low December sun reflects off the snow. (We're on the subject of practical problems, one wonders to about the behavior of rain, snow, and ice on the cascading tileless roofs. Tufts' buildings and grounds confirms that both water falling onto walkways and icicle buildup are some problems that will require remedial work.)

The Mayer Campus Center as it now exists is incomplete. Three more wings have been programmed to be built in later stages. A breezeway will connect one of the existing pavilions with a new campus bookstore to the north, while to the south there will be two additions—a wing of faculty offices at the top of the slope and a faculty dining room at the bottom. The aggregative principle on which the building has been conceived should make it an ideal candidate for this kind of growth.
Varied Spaces in a Noble Shell

Davenport Campus Center, Wesleyan University, Perry, Dean, Rogers & Partners.

By Michael J. Crosbie

A, Dean, Rogers & Partners of Boston has created a buoyant interior of soft colors, layered spaces, and rooms within rooms renovation of a turn-of-the-century laboratory at Wesleyan University in Middletown, Conn. The new Davenport Campus Center is the crossroads of the campus, a transition point between room buildings and student housing filled with various eating and meeting places.

The building dates from 1903, designed by New York City architect Charles Alonzo Rich, a prolific designer of buildings impuses such as Colgate, Smith, Amherst, Barnard, and Dartmouth alone he designed 20. The building was a until the early 1970s when the new Science Tower emptied of faculty and students. It then sat idle for a decade. This time the traditional form of social integration at fraternities and sororities—began to fracture and the for an environment that would bring students together was seen in the building's heart, where a wide, oak staircase winds its way through four levels. The staircase was enclosed with brick walls and lit with a two-story stained glass window now puncture the walls, allowing views from room to room and from level to level. Flanking the staircase on the two upper levels are public areas where students can chat or study or observe each other. Tucked away and accessible from only one side, these spaces have a dual public/private character; they're very open but at the same time closed because of their limited entry. The brick walls were merely painted and still bear the gouges of pipe chases that remained after the plumbing was removed. A few are now filled with neon tubing that, in Foote's words, "calls attention to a vestige of the building's past."

Foote used a range of public and private spaces to zone the building. The spaces become more and more private as one ascends the staircase and, incidentally, more and more quiet. Starting on the lowest level, one finds the campus post office, which is a non-stop buzz of activity. The post office is directly accessible from outside via a ramp through a door that was previously a basement window, allowing for a quick stop and run. Many students check their mail at least twice daily, and down the hall is a lounge where care packages can be opened and letters read. The lounge is the first of a four-level space. The next up is another lounge, a double-height space thanks to the removal of a second-level classroom floor. The floor of this lounge is raised to the sill level of the old basement windows, which are now doors to a terrace on the building’s east side. Many of the perimeter walls have been furred up for insulation, and in this lounge the window depths are exaggerated to create cozy sitting nooks.

A few more steps and you are in the third pocket of space, this one ancillary dining for the second-level grille. One last short flight delivers you to a balconyed eating area, which floats like a boat out into the double-height lounge you passed through.

1, exterior of Davenport Campus Center, sans its ivy, with stoned stonework and new windows. Left, four-level lounge sitting spaces in the building's east wing.
Above, post office on first level, aglow with Wesleyan's ZIP code. Across page, above, cabaret on third level with skewed glass block walls for acoustic deflection. Across page, below, coffee house dining with cornice and views.

on your way up. The grille on the second level is cafeteria-like for a quick bite. But it has a dining area that invites you to linger a bit, divided into three distinct zones. Again, there is a mix of public/private; amid the larger, free-flowing spaces sits a freestanding "room" with mirrored windows and a low ceiling upon which glows a white neon placesetting with two green peas that have slid off the plate.

The next level up has spaces frequented by fewer students. Near the building's center is a cabaret, a high-ceilinged space that was once a lecture hall. It now features a "stage" front and center and includes a framework for theater lighting and video equipment for such collegiate cultural events as "Monday Night Football." Two side stairs ascend behind skewed walls of glass block to the mezzanine level—steel platforms with metal railings. This space is the building's most "techy," and during the day usually the most quiet, drawing students who want to study while they lunch.

Beside the cabaret to the east is the pub, similar to the grille's dining area in that a new, freestanding object occupies its middle to create flanking spaces of different character. The object in this case is a bar, fabricated of salvaged lab tables (which give it that old pub feeling) and enclosed with columns and a curved glass block wall. The pub was to be one of the attrac-
Above, one of four sitting lounges for small groups that flank Davenport's central staircase, this one on the top level. Steel beamed openings into these spaces are new. Across page, stairway's open quality was achieved by inserting arched openings.

...tions for faculty to visit after class for an afternoon beer or glass of wine. But then a terrible thing happened. The state of Connecticut boosted its drinking age, first to 20 and, as of last month, to 21. So now the pub serves ice cream and health food (and, less frequently, faculty). It is still crowded and noisy at lunchtime, as all good pubs should be, with table seating to the south and a lounge with a new fireplace to the north. As in other spaces where there are rooms within rooms, the pub's interior is of dark colors—grays, blues, and terra cottas—"chosen for their 19th century character," explains Foote, who adds that they create a subdued background against which these objects contrast. The dark colors also set off the light oak woodwork.

On the top level are two meeting rooms that are spatially similar to the former physics classrooms, and a student store. Ascend a few more steps, accessible near the store or the meeting rooms, and you are in the coffee house, an oasis of light and views far from the high-pitched activities beneath your feet. The coffee house dining area is actually reclaimed roof space, enclosed with windows above brick parapet walls, with open-air dining as well. From here one can see the sweep of the Connecticut River Valley and, for a real jump in scale, the egg-and-dart moldings and dentils of the building's limestone cornice, which is now part of the interior.

The only clinkers in the Davenport Campus Center are the required fire stairs, two square towers of brick located in the reentrant corners to the south. They meet the building with little grace and seem alien to the light and sensitive touch that distinguishes Davenport's interior from top to bottom. ☐
How nice it would be if we could get through into Looking-House! Let’s pretend the glass has got all soft like gauze that we can get through. And certainly the glass was beginning to melt away, just like a bright silvery mist. In another moment Alice was through the glass, and had jumped lightly through the door of the Treehouse at the Philadelphia Zoo you will step, much as Alice did, into a world where you become a frog or a honeybee, where you can hatch from a cocoon, where you can spy fish beneath the water or watch nocturnal animals beneath the ground, where you can make pets sing or a dinosaur roar. It’s a magical space within a century-old structure, created by a team of architects, zoologists, sculptors, painters, and inventors, all coordinated by Venturi, Rauch & Scott Brown. The result of nearly four years of design and construction, the Treehouse is a place for children to learn about the natural environment through play.

“I was searching for a way to create a setting in which kids would feel some awe or empathy for nature,” says Mary-Scott Cebul, the zoo’s director of planning, who developed the concept of the Treehouse. “The idea came to me that if you can pretend to be an animal, maybe you’d feel something about the animal. So why not create contexts in which kids’ imaginations can take off, which would immediately transport people when they opened the door.”

Cebul determined that the best way to the imagination is through the senses. Six separate environments are found in the Treehouse—a primordial swamp, a milkweed meadow, a beaver pond, a honeycomb, a ficus tree, and an everglade—and each invites the visitor to sensually explore the special qualities of the environment. Our sensory experiences of the world shape our understanding of it, so each environment is designed to be perceived by the visitor in a way similar to the way its inhabitants do—having a bee’s-eye-view of a honeycomb, for instance.
example. “Having gigantic things immediately changes the scale,” says Cebul, “and gets you out of your own skin.” The sensor experiences are more than just visual. The photographs on the pages cannot convey the sounds of the Treehouse—squeaking birds, croaking frogs, rainfall, bats and rats, howling monkeys all amid screams and yelps of delight from the visitors. And the are scents, too. Crawl inside the beehive and there is the smell of honey. Poke your head up into that of the hadrosaur for a prehistoric view of the world and you will smell the grass that he holds in his mouth.

To translate Cebul’s ideas into reality (or illusion) Steven Izenour, associate-in-charge of the project, assembled a collection of artisans and technicians—about a dozen in all—through whom the Treehouse took form. The setting for their work was the zoo’s Antelope House of 1876, designed by George Hewitt (one-time partner of Frank Furness), home of the zoo’s hoof stock until the mid 1970s. The zoo considered demolishing the Antelope House and replacing it with a Butler building to serve as a “black box” for the Treehouse illusions within. That’s the classic Disneyland approach to the design of such environments; the interior architecture is subdued so not to detract from the illusion being created “on stage.” But the concept of the Treehouse was different from Disneyland in that the visitors would be invited to physically become part of the illusion. Besides, it would have been criminal to destroy Hewitt’s marvelous interior. The building’s neo-Gothic style (which, as Izenour points out, borders on art nouveau) is also appropriate because its architecture is an idealization of the natural world and creates a chi storybook setting for the six environments. Many of the more than 200 meetings of the design team during the course of the project took place in the Antelope House, and Cebul remembers the interior as a source of inspiration. “Having the tree in a certain spot, or the honeycomb, was prompted by the building,” she explains. “We were looking for ways to get people into these wonderful spaces.”

The building was restored with an eye toward function rather than historical accuracy. The exterior (which had been multicolored) was painted complementary shades of green and the stonework repointed. A new cupola, located where an origin had been, makes room for the 24-foot-high, 16-foot-wide ficus tree inside. The interior, says Izenour, was always green, and the columns bow out approximately five degrees, distorted by the heavy slate roof, which has been repla with a lighter material. “It’s stable,” comments Izenour of the skewed structure, braced with tie rods, and “it adds a little cha

As eccentric a project as the Treehouse could not be designed on paper. Izenour likens the process more to the way buildings were created a century ago, when artisans and craftsman wor in unison with the architect. “We’d have squats,” he says, “ing sessions with our core group of people, and we’d work it maybe two months on one area, hashing out what we were try to teach here, what was the goal, the best environment to te those ideas, what it would look like.” The ideas were transfer to a model (which changed continually), materials were experimen with to create the effects sought, mock-ups were made and more ideas were thrown back and forth. This hands-on involvement with the project, Izenour points out, was similar to the goal of the Treehouse—to engage imagination and cr tivity through physical activity.

The collaborative talents of the team are revealed in the of new materials and techniques to bring the Treehouse to Fred Kreitchet, whose Sculpture Workshop did all of the en ronments, usually works with molds. That process was pro tiev in cost for the Treehouse, so Kreitchet and a team of sculpted most of the pieces with urethane foam. “It’s terrifi

Above left, Treehouse’s restored exterior; left, stages in life a monarch butterfly in the milkweed meadow. Above right, ing for a frog’s lunch; right, inside a tunnel of frogs’ eggs.
work with because it sets up fast, and within 10 minutes you can carve it,” Kreitchet says. For many of the pieces foam was applied to a wire mesh armature, sculpted, and then coated with glass fiber. For the tree, fabric sheets were hung to enclose a staircase and then sprayed with foam, which replicated the creases and folds. After coating with glass fiber, holes were cut and then color-impregnated resin was applied. When sculpting prehistoric creatures such as the hadrosaur or dragonfly, Kreitchet used information supplied by the zoo or a model. “The Philadelphia Academy of Natural Sciences loaned us a dragonfly and we just enlarged it,” he says.

To create the sound effects that pervade the Treehouse, film maker and audio technician Christopher Speeth says that he relied heavily on sounds collected by naturalists all over the country. He combined their contributions and some sounds that he recorded to create a special sound track for each environment. Speeth, who studied classical violin, says that he “took the best recordings based on my musically trained ear—they were clear, clean, and crisp—and then mixed them to make musical tunes out of them. I call them animal songs.” Where he couldn’t obtain a sound directly from nature—in the case of the prehistoric hadrosaur—he collaborated with paleontologist David Weis­hampel, an expert on the animal. “The hadrosaur had a bone cavity in its head,” says Speeth, “and that hollow bone chamber was actually a horn.” To duplicate the sound, Speeth recorded a tuba and the breathing of zoo tigers, both slowed down an octave, and combined the two sounds.

For the overall environmental sounds, comprised of from three to six tracks, Speeth used loop tapes that repeat every dozen minutes or so. For the short sounds like the dinosaur or the frog (which croaks when someone sits inside), the sounds were transferred to solid state computer chips—the first time chips have been used in such a way, Speeth believes. “The sound digitalized into a binary system,” he explains, which is burned onto the chips and can then be “read back” by a computer to produce the sound. “There are no moving parts, except for electronics that are running through these microchips,” says Speeth. “This means that the sound system should last indefinitely, because there is nothing to wear out.

The animal sounds and a host of other special effects are triggered by “magic rings,” given to every visitor over the age of 3. Mounted on the rings are small magnets that when he against pinholes of light found throughout the Treehouse create a small piece of metal to move into a fiber optic light path. When the light is blocked an electric impulse is transmitted, activating a “gizmo” (the collective term used by the design team to refer to the mechanical special effects). The magnetic swit the rings, and a host of gizmos are the creation of architect Lou Rodolico. Rodolico likes to fiddle with machines, and designed his own or combined pieces of off-the-shelf mechnisms to make crickets sing, plants grow, bunnies pop from warrens, bees perform their waggle dance. Some gizmos, s
Below, lenses fitted on PVC pipe allow visitors to see live fish in the beaver dam. Right and across page, views from and of the ficus tree, which visitors can climb inside to its peak.

as the growing plants, are operated by reconditioned power steering transmissions picked up in a junkyard and guaranteed by Rodolico "for 50,000 miles or five million kids, whichever comes first."

Joyce Nathan, manager of the Treehouse, says that the gizmos have been "every bit as durable and as consistent in performance as Lou promised." Nathan is responsible for day-to-day operations and after six months' observation of the Treehouse in use says that it prompts many different reactions. For those under 3, who cannot readily distinguish fantasy from reality, the Treehouse can be frightening. "With the noises and the size of the creatures, it's more than they can separate in their own minds," she says. Visitors between 4 and 10 can spend hours pretending to be animals and role playing. "Other kids have a good time finding the magic ring lights," says Nathan, "like an Easter egg hunt." The best visits occur when kids and their parents discover the secrets of the Treehouse together. There are no signs in the building, which puts young and older visitors on an equal footing. "The kids can be as expert as the parents if what you're doing is discerning information from the clues that are given," Nathan explains. This leads to some surprising one-upsmanship, as in the exchange I heard between a woman and her 5-year-old daughter, who was sitting beneath the monarch butterfly in a chrysalis: "Are you a pea in a pod?" asked Mom. "No! I'm a caterpillar in a cocoon!" There are usually five Treehouse volunteers on the floor to help kids and their parents with the discovery process. They also police the place, wary of visitors who climb too high on the beehive or grab hold of a rafter from the top of the frog egg mass. There have been only a few skinned knees, however, and only one magic ring swallowed.

The only dead space in the building, now used for storage, is right behind the beehive where an environment has yet to be installed. Climbing through the beehive and confronting a stack of folding chairs is anticlimatic, but there are plans for a fallen log that kids can climb through and spy animal life. Treehouse exhibits are to change yearly. Most importantly, the Treehouse appears to be encouraging its visitors to use their imaginations to explore the natural world. "It's really an education of the senses we're after," says Cebul.

Alice, no doubt, would feel right at home.
Despite her 150-year-old record in the preservation of historic architecture, France has made several bloopers in recent years, which have puzzled and dismayed her most loyal supporters: The wanton destruction of Les Halles, that grand old glass-and-metal market house that symbolized French mastery of 19th century technology in much the same fashion as London’s Crystal Palace; the razing of the Bourse and its replacement by a postmodernist hole-in-the-ground of a shopping center; and the razing of a sizable chunk of the Marais, one of the oldest intact quarters of Paris, to make way for the Beaubourg—that much-touted bit of “high-tech” eclecticism that, in less than a decade, looks dated, forlorn, and shabby.

Thankfully, this disturbing tendency is reversed in two big restoration projects now being completed in Paris: that of the Gare D’Orsay, on the left bank of the Seine facing the Place de la Concorde, which will house a newly assembled collection of French art; and that of Les Halles des Boeufs at the old municipal abattoir, La Villette, in the northeast perimeter of Paris. Although both buildings were designed to serve utilitarian purposes, the two could scarcely be more dissimilar in appearance. The station is an exuberant essay in the last flamboyant idiom of the Beaux-Arts; the abattoir is an example of functionalism as free from embellishment and historicizing anecdote as a carafe of clear spring water.

Both of these Parisian structures confronted the preservation architects with the same two-sided problem: the immaculate conservation of the historic fabric on the one hand and the insertion of a new range of facilities on the other. Conservation work on both has been carried out at a very high level of expertise, but design of the new infill of the cattle hall and the railway station shows two very different philosophies at work. Of the two, that of the hall seems clearly the more successful, since it follows very closely that of the old market. The architects of that earlier building had reasoned that, since it has absolutely no ceremonial or monumental uses, it should be absolutely innocent of architectural or iconographic

Dr. Fitch, the eminent architectural historian and author, is director of historic preservation for the New York City firm of Beyer Blinder Belle.
The airy elegance of the 125-year-old Les Halles des Boeufs pavilion has been preserved and enhanced by a discreet intervention.
embellishment. Reichen and Robert, the architects of restoration, have wisely followed the policy of their predecessors of a century and a quarter ago. On the other hand, the architects of the new infill in the station, headed by Gae Aulenti, unfortunately have chosen to ignore completely the essential quality of the great vaulted concourse of the Gare D'Orsay. Instead, they have chosen to "make a statement of their own" that is visually at odds with its host structure.

In their adaptive reuse of the hall into a museum, Reichen and Robert have observed the three cardinal principles for work of this type: (1) they have kept to the minimum any disturbance to the original fabric; (2) they have designed all new elements so that they are at once both visually distinct and architecturally reversible; and (3) they have detailed all new work so that it is always visually distinct from the old, but at the same time aesthetically congruent with it.

From the standpoint of adaptive use, the most attractive aspect of the old pavilion was its astonishing size (32,150 square meters) and large clear spans (the central nave is 19 meters high and 241.57 meters long). The modular organization of this great hall permitted great flexibility in subdivision in the horizontal plane. And the height of the side aisles permitted the insertion of new mezzanines—all with ample "air" for pasarelles, footbridges, and moving cranes—to facilitate vertical and horizontal circulation.

All of the new structural members are detailed in the same elegant simplicity as the original ones. Yet the very difference in fabrication methods between the 1860s and the 1980s—small wrought iron sections bolted together in the former as against larger welded steel components in the latter—have produced significantly different structural systems.

As in many light, metal-framed buildings, the skeleton of the Grande Halle under its great hovering roof would have been more dramatic before the enclosing glass-and-metal curtain wall was in place. Because window glass, even when clean, is transparent when light levels are higher inside than out, most windows when seen from outside normally appear as opaque (when dirty) or as mirrors (when clean).

To maintain a sense of airy lightness in the refurbished building, the architects have recessed the new perimeter curtain wall a full bay around all four sides, thereby reducing the mirror effect—and, incidentally, producing a handsome loggia for protection against summer heat and winter rains. To bring more daylight into the center of the hall, new ranges of dormers have been inserted over the side.

Grande Halle's new elements are distinct from the historic structure.
aisles, their slope the exact reverse of the gently pitched main roof.

In keeping with its light framing and lack of any load-bearing masonry walls, the old Grande Halle had no continuous foundations; each column was carried on its own granite block pier. This system has been maintained, having been found remarkably intact and aligned. The only new foundations are those that surround the new subterranean auditorium and sunken utility rooms. A new concrete slab, surfaced in end-grain wood block, now furnishes an elegant platform for the entire pavilion.

One of the typical pavilions perfected by the Victorians to handle their mundane secular activities—railroad stations, market fairs, and factories—the hall La Villette is a marvel of functional clarity. It is a modular, prefabricated, glass-and-metal shed. The clear spatial organization of lofty central nave and six side aisles is perfectly delineated by the light and airy detailing of the cast iron columns and lattice wrought iron trusses—all of them now repainted again in the light gray-blue of the original. All the new structural elements—stairs, railings, glass-and-metal curtain walls—are carefully detailed to read as modern welded steel, congruent with, but nowhere mimicking, the old bolted wrought iron.

This is a "listed" historic building, itself a museologically notable artifact, and the architects have shown the greatest discretion in adapting it for modern use, even though some quite sizable interventions were required to make the building useful for a wide range of exhibitions, shows, demonstrations, and theatrical events.

The Gare D'Orsay adaptation involved two distinct interventions: (1) the conservation of the old Beaux-Arts railroad station (redundant since the early '50s) and (2) the insertion in the great vaulted concourse of the museum itself, in the form of a series of galleries and mezzanines. The first intervention, a careful restoration, was carried out by a state agency, Etablissement Public; the second stage was executed by a group of private architects headed by the Italian architect Gae Aulenti.

There could scarcely be two more dissimilar phases in any single operation. The government group conserved the metal-framed vault, removing and repairing all the cast plaster coffers and reglazing the skylights with insulating glass. But the private architects took quite another course. Their intervention is harsh and pretentious. Although the new galleries are framed in light concrete, they are sheathed in granite plates that give the whole thing an Egyptoid look. Perhaps these compartmentalized galleries were needed to yield the required wall space. But surely nothing in the program could have dictated such hostile and tomb-like enclosures. The result is claustrophobic. □
Hotel’s Two Wings a Textbook Example of Changing Taste

The new wing of the former Sonesta Hotel on the Charles River in Cambridge, Mass., stands next to its predecessor as if the two were a pair of slides chosen by a professor of art history to illustrate just how far architectural taste can travel in a single generation.

To the eyes of today, the old Sonesta looks like an enormous upended waffle. Yet I can recall being told by an older architect that in the 1950s, when there were no other modern buildings of any size in the Boston area, he would take his architect visitors to see the Sonesta as the only large-scale example of modernism in town. The Sonesta then must have looked radical and rational.

Eventually Boston caught up with modernism—so much so, in fact, that the proliferation of upended waffles all over town has stimulated a counterinsurgency movement. The new wing of the Sonesta is a part of that countertrend. Ironically, just as the original Sonesta was the first big modern building in town, so the new wing is the first big postmodern building—although it gains the title by a hair, since several challengers are close to completion.

What has been accomplished at the Sonesta, by architect John Olson, AIA, of John T. Olson & Associates, is a complete interior renovation of the old hotel plus the addition of a new wing larger than the original. The name of the combined new hotel has been changed. Reflecting either its new importance or its postmodern theatricality, it is now the Royal Sonesta.

Few Bostonians have failed to notice the Royal Sonesta. Its bold, flat, cutout shapes and unexpected colors have made it an instant visual landmark, like a huge billboard seen across the open water of the river. The billboard’s message, according to Olson, is one of domesticity. You’re supposed to read the building as a home away from home, as something like a big apartment house topped with multigabled penthouses, instead of as an anonymous filing cabinet of identical rooms. The message is encoded largely by means of a single architectural ideogram: the gable—or perhaps we should call it, since this is the Royal Sonesta—the pediment.

Pediment shapes are everywhere. On the exterior, they appear as false penthouses atop the hotel’s many shallow projecting wings, making the whole building look like a cluster of row houses stretched to a dozen stories in height. These pediments are faced with green tile, and the walls beneath them are orange brick. The green is meant to suggest weathered copper roofs, and the combination of copper-color and brick is meant to suggest the traditional brick-wall/copper-roof construction of Boston’s older neighborhoods. The green is what has most attracted the attention of the public. For a while, you used to hear people asking when they were going to peel all the green plastic off the new Sonesta. Within some of the pediments, there are half-circle cutout holes that deliberately emphasize the stagey thinness of the architecture. With this motif, the new Sonesta makes a clear comment on the old. It’s all right, the cutouts say, to make form for its own sake, all right to be playful and silly, all right to put on a costume—old ideas to Charles Moore, FAIA, perhaps, but new to the world of commercial hotels. The cutouts also, by their shape, recall (while mocking) the Georgian fanlights and Roman Diocletian windows of Boston’s older houses and institutions.

The pediment ideogram reappears at every point where energy...
concentrates in the new building. At the main entrance, it is copper canopy, at the garage entrance it is subtly fashioned brick set flush into a brick wall, at the garage corners it pops up as a parapet, at the main lobby it is a painted drywall vestibule, and at the riverfront it is repeated both in a row of pointy-healed roofs over function rooms and as a vinyl striped awning.

On the Sonesta's interior, the architectural language tends to switch from pedimented neoclassical to curvy art deco. Muted mauves, pinks, and grays are set off against accents of black of bright metal and glass. Together with the round columns with their luminous capitals, the colors create a modish art deco anachronism that lacks only the requisite white piano and white telephone to serve as the set for an early Fred Astaire musical.

Interspersed among these trendy effects is a remarkable collection of art. The Sonesta is one of a small chain owned privately by two brothers named Sonnabend. The Sonnabend headquarters is in Boston, and the family's attitude toward their local hotel is proprietorial. The owners have decked out the public areas with an impressive array of works by Anthony Caro, Jonathan Borofsky, Frank Stella, Buckminster Fuller, Robert Longo, Andy Warhol, Paul Heroux, Katherine Porter, and numerous others. Each guest room, too, contains a signed, original work of art.

To evaluate the Sonesta fairly, you have to accept it for what it is. A hotel aimed at business people is not normally a promising opportunity for an architect. Construction cost is rigidly governed by formula: at an assumed rate of occupancy, the hotel must charge a rent of X dollars per room per night for every Y dollars spent on construction. Since the room rate must remain competitive, the margin for architectural innovation becomes very thin. Given these realities, it is not surprising that the Sonesta lacks the richness of detail possessed by the old hotels and apartment houses that it emulates. Both inside and out, the Sonesta looks like a stage set replica of such buildings—a replica made out of papier-mâché and canvas and paint, a house of theatrical cards rather than a richly modeled and detailed work of architecture. It is a nostalgic poster to another era. As such, it is successful and individual. If the exterior suggests a logo rather than a fully wrought artifact, if it is graph design as much as architecture, it is nevertheless an improvement on the waffle esthetic next door.

The Sonesta doesn't have the luxury, of course, of being the product of an era in which society's ideas about art and architecture have achieved any degree of general resolution or consensus. Transitional is an overworked word and usually meaningless, but in this case it's appropriate because values from entirely different eras seem to cohabit in the building. The contrast is obvious in the relationship of the architecture to the works of art. The architecture is figural, theatrical, representational, and associative; the art in some cases is all of these things, and in others it is purely abstract. When it's abstract, particularly the abstract sculpture—it doesn't sit well in the building. It seems to yearn for the kind of neutral Cartesian field that might have been created for it by a modern build a field within which the artwork could take its accustomed place as object and foil. The Sonesta's postmodern art, on the other hand, does much better, most remarkably so, perhaps, in the case of Borofsky's "Hanging Man"—a somehow menacing figure, flat and cutout like the building itself, which hangs in the air above the patrons of Toff's Bar. The modern art seems to want spaces, but the Sonesta gives it rooms. It asks for asymmetry, and the Sonesta replies with symmetry. At the entrance court, for instance, the Sonesta seems to wish for a figure...
The Royal Sonesta remains incomplete. The road street that now divides the hotel from the riverfront is scheduled to be closed and made into parkland. The windows and terraces will thus soon overlook the riverfront y, and it will be possible to walk through the lobby directly the bank of the Charles with its view of the towers of Boston across the water. And the street closing itself is no more than a small element in the larger revitalization of this whole section of Cambridge, an industrial strip known as Lechmere. The old Lechmere Canal, long unused, is being restored by landscape architect Carol Johnson to become the centerpiece of a new urban park around which offices, shops, and condominiums will cluster. Meanwhile, virtually all the former warehouses and factories in the neighborhood are being rehabbed for new ones, while several new buildings are being added in a sympathetic red-brick vocabulary. A couple of blocks from the Sonesta, the historic Middlesex County Courthouses complex has been renovated by Graham Gund as Bulfinch Square. At the same time, procedures have been established by the city to inhibit the gentrification of the abutting residential neighborhood known as East Cambridge, a moderate-income community of largely Italian descent.

The Sonesta thus takes its place as the flagship of a fleet of improvements going on all around it. If it has its debatable features, it also possesses the virtue of bravely addressing the single most persistent complaint made by the public against the architecture of recent decades: that it's boring, anonymous, and meaningless. The Sonesta is none of those. It converts a routine program and budget into a vivid and memorable image.

ROBERT CAMPBELL
Moorestown is something of a Victorian jewel in Southern New Jersey, a place where Philadelphia railroad executives in the late 1800s came home to hang their hats in colorfully painted houses of gingerbread. Today, the town's streets are lined with these elaborate leftovers, many restored to their former glory. Just about where Main Street turns from commercial to residential sits Moorestown's Emergency Services Building, which is part restoration and part recreation. The new building, which extends from the side of a rejuvenated house of the 1880s, is neighborly both in its appearance and its polite discretion to not reveal the controversy that preceded its arrival.

Nearly 10 years ago the volunteer fire department was bulging at its seams, housed in a narrow, old building that the trucks barely fit into. The rescue squad occupied a waterless tin shed behind the firehouse. The department proposed a "modernistic" new building to be constructed down the street, which would accommodate both companies at the sacrifice of an existing Victorian house. Still smarting from a rambling, overscaled, white "modernistic" municipal building completed a few years earlier, a vocal group of townspeople formed a coalition to block the invasion of another concrete bunker and managed to defeat a $1.2 million bond issue. Tempers flared between residents and firemen (who only wanted better facilities), and the project was put on hold for a few years.

A new architect, Herman Hassinger, FAIA (with Gary Wagner, AIA), of Moorestown was chosen by the department to design something appropriate for Main Street. Instead of demolishing the house, the architect chose to use it to anchor the new facility. The building's exterior was restored while the interior was renovated to include new public meeting rooms, office space, and lounge areas. The new connects to the old via a hallway that leads to an illuminated, glazed trophy case (the size of a small room) that now displays a Franklin handpumper from 1700s. The equipment rooms, one three bays wide for the fire company and the other two bays wide for the rescue squad, bright thanks to skylights that extend the length of each room. Bands of color (red for the fire company and blue for the squad) also enliven these interiors.

Between the equipment rooms stands the octagonal-shape command post and communications center. Behind it, on the second floor, are training rooms with views of the fire engine. The octagon's stature and decorative brickwork gives the addition its own Victorian presence, and is contrapuntal to the horizontal character of the garages. Setting the addition back gives prominence to the existing residential architecture, and the apron is even planted with "grasscrete" (zoysia growing through perforated concrete), which provides this firehouse own front lawn. M.J.C.

Above, a segment of Moorestown's Main Street, with the new firehouse in context with its older neighbors. Across page, above, new facility has the quality of railroad architecture; below, trophy case with equipment room beyond.
Pulling Together a Row of Undistinguished Buildings

The city hall of St. Mary's, Ga., and an adjacent commercial building were like snaggleteeth in an otherwise fetching smile. Sited at one end of the tiny town's five blocks of early 19th century frame houses were these two 1960s buildings. City hall was an anonymous "modern" presence that could have as easily housed a printing plant or paint store, and in fact the town fire station occupied the rear. Its main facade was a symmetrical composition of surpassing boredom centered on a concrete entrance hood that projected some three feet from a brick facade. Next door stood the Davis Building, shown at right in its original, somewhat worn state.

City fathers and mothers contemplated these drab buildings for years before buying the Davis Building and inviting several architectural firms to compete with facade renovation schemes. They said the buildings should relate to downtown in some way, and the design chosen, by the Spriggs Group of Savannah, deftly picks up design elements and materials from nearby houses.

The buildings were sided with rabbeted beveled cedar, new double-hung windows were installed, and wood-framed gables with standing seam terne metal roofs were appended. A single gable extending the old entrance hood fronts city hall, a theme repeated in two other gable pairs along the Davis facade. City hall was landscaped with a strip of grass enclosed by a picket fence, while the Davis got a porch with a sitting fence where diners can wait out of the sun to be seated in the restaurant. (Eventually, St. Mary's will convert the Davis Building into city offices.)

"We knew these should not and could not look like historic buildings," says C. Kenneth Spriggs, AIA. "The length and shape precluded any attempt at strict historicism." Instead, they recede and complement their more authentic neighbors. ALLEN FREEMAN

Right, before and after; below, the strip with city hall at far end.
A Robust Old City Hall
Given a New Skin and Spire

There was more to restoring Oconomowoc, Wisconsin's 1886 Romanesque city hall (shown "before" at right) than is at first apparent. Years ago the soft brick face had been covered with who-knows-what to halt deterioration. Obliterated under this asphaltic blanket was the contrasting coursing that made the facades come alive in a brickwork syncopation.

When the restoration architects of The Durrant Group of Madison couldn't find a way to strip the brick, they resorted to skin removal in which the outer layer of brick, toothed into the bearing walls, was extracted in sections and then replaced with new closely matching the original.

The other major component of the $1.7-million project was the addition on the north end of the building. Designed in the precise vernacular of the original, it incorporates a scaled-down version of the clock tower over a second major entrance and ends in a gabled bay like two others on the east facade.

Also new is the 30-foot-high cupola atop the clock tower. The original, made of wood, had been removed several years ago, and the architects had only a rendering on which to base its replacement. This they had fabricated in glass fiber.

Inside, a large, second-story auditorium was converted into smaller council chambers (right), yielding perimeter space with natural light for new offices. But the room regained some of its original flavor when a latter-day dropped ceiling was removed to expose handsome (original but nonstructural) beams. A.F.

Above right, the drab exterior in 1981; above, the building today seems more heroic yet friendlier. Right, new council chambers.
Apartments in a Restored Rural Mill and an Intown 'Farmhouse'

Top, renovated Crown and Eagle Mill spans canal whose running water offers placid feel to grounds, in contrast to fiery ruins (left) of building in 1975. Right, Millville housing reinforces country ambiance of community. Plans show how simple, one- and two-bedroom units were fitted into mill (above) and Millville (below).
Just as a museum reconstructs a shattered Grecian urn by filling in missing shards of pottery with plaster, so it was with the historic Crown and Eagle Mill complex in Uxbridge, Mass., which was damaged severely in a 1975 fire. Under the direction of architects Bruner/Cott & Associates, 62 units of housing for the elderly were inserted within the burned-out walls of the mammoth stone and brick buildings that date from 1825. No effort was made to hide the fact that something had happened; new construction utilizing stucco-looking Dryvit and metal siding contrasts sharply with the old, but the original silhouette, like the Grecian urn, remains.

The 51,000-square-foot complex had been under renovation as housing at the time of the fire, and then lay abandoned for seven years before Greater Boston Community Development Corporation, a nonprofit consulting group that assists local housing agencies, entered the picture. Perceiving a need for elderly housing in the community, the corporation asked Bruner/Cott, which had experience in renovating burned-out buildings, what could be done. At the same time, a similar need for elderly housing was seen in the neighboring town of Millville, and Bruner/Cott was commissioned to undertake both projects for the Uxbridge/Millville Regional Housing Association.

The fire had so damaged the mill's walls—the granite spalled from the heat—that they were unstable. As a result, an entire new structural frame of wood was installed within the building. The differing heights of the original ceilings were made a uniform eight feet by utilizing varying-depth wood trusses spanning the interior. This standardized and eased installation of wallboard to cut costs, a significant factor in the HUD-funded project. Recycling helped too; fancy iron brackets formerly on the front were placed over the old loading dock at the rear to create an entry canopy, and old wheelhouse pipes and equipment were turned into a water garden. Landscaping and a white picket fence complement the design, which won a New England Regional Council/AIA award. Construction totaled $2.8 million.

In Millville, the community, notes Simeon Bruner, “sought a farmhouse look, not like something dropped out of a helicopter.” The design, a rambling, clapboard farmhouse painted gray to contrast with the red “barn,” appears as if it has long been a part of the neighborhood. The architects realized they had achieved success when one prospective tenant selected the barn, saying, “I want to live in the chicken coop.” The 12,200-square-foot project cost $675,000. CARLETON KNIGHT III
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Elk introduces a new standard of excellence in the art and science of roofing.

The art.

**Prestique® Plus.** Behold its exceptional beauty. Notice how the subtle, random variations in depth and thickness play with light and shadow like no other shingle; how they weave texture and dimension reminiscent of more expensive, but less durable, alternatives. And just imagine how Prestique Plus can enhance both the appearance and value of virtually any structure, from the finest, custom homes to the most prestigious office parks. Yet it's not just the beauty that's impressive. It's also the technology behind Prestique Plus.

The science.

**Prestique® Plus.** Designed like no other shingle. Its vital layers of fiberglass and asphalt are coated with weather resistant granules not just on the top, but also on the bottom for extra thickness. And therein lies the true beauty of Prestique Plus. It not only looks better than ordinary shingles, it handles easier and protects better against weathering and aging, as well. So much better, in fact, that Elk backs Prestique Plus with a Limited 30 Plus 10 Year Warranty — a longer, more comprehensive warranty than that offered by any other fiberglass, organic or wood shingle on the market. Plus, it's U.L. Class “A” rated for fire resistance. And when you consider that, along with its exceptional beauty, it's easy to see why new Prestique Plus is the standard by which all other premium shingles will soon be judged.

Circle 118 on information card
You can tell a lot about a lamp by examining the shade.

Take our famous **Reading Tent**. Like all our metal shades, it's made of solid brass sections, silver soldered and hand-ground to a perfect fit. The shade is then triple polished for a flawless finish.

To prevent marring even by fingerprints, the shades are tray-carried to special ovens where protective lacquers are baked to a hard, longlasting beauty.

Combining aesthetics with functional elegance, our new **Avanti** shade takes a standard bulb. A slit of light passes through the top to produce a subtle effect. This visually attractive design also prevents heat buildup.

Our **Facets** shade combines gem-shaped elegance with energy efficiency. New Norelco PL9Watt Bulb is equal to regular 60 watt but without the heat. Lamp uses 80% less energy and will burn an amazing 10,000 hours.

Our classic **Crescent** and **Tubular** shades, like all Koch+Lowy swivel shades have a **fully enclosed** solid brass swivel, precisely tooled for a full 360° rotation and a 90° tilt. They'll never sag, droop or flop, and never need adjustment. Virtually indestructible, these swivels are one more reason why Koch+Lowy lamps represent a lifetime value.

Consider these shades and examine our full line at Koch+Lowy showrooms around the country, or write under your letterhead for our catalog.

*Circle 119 on information card*
Student Designs For Rental Housing Rehab

By Michael J. Croslie

The National Association of Housing and Redevelopment Officials has announced the winners of a student competition it sponsored for the revitalization of postwar rental housing. Each student had to choose an actual project to work on for the competition, the only stipulations being that it had to be built after World War II and contain at least 50 units.

Each of the prize-winning schemes in one way or another addressed the issues of maintenance, revitalization, and giving the residents more control over their environment. First prize went to Daniel C. Taylor of the University of Illinois at Chicago's school of architecture for a scheme involving several public housing projects in Chicago that would strengthen grassroots organizations to give them political clout, while renovating the units themselves to provide a more human scale.

The jury for the competition praised Taylor's submission for its "attention to a range of concerns that would supplement the basic physical planning strategies...."

Third prize was awarded to a program developed by an interdisciplinary team from George Washington University's department of urban and regional planning comprised of Kimberley P. Brown, Michele F. Burbano, Patricia L. D'Angelo, William D. Guernier, Kelley S. Roark, Lisa S. Schwartz, and Douglas J. Woods. Their scheme for Fay Apartments in Washington, D.C., presented a number of programs to assist renters to buy their units and to physically upgrade the project. The jury commented that the entry showed "the concrete commitment that will be necessary to bring any project into being, in ways that give residents an economic stake in their own environment."

Winning second prize was David Wang of the University of Wisconsin at Milwaukee's school of architecture and urban planning, whose project is illustrated on these pages. The jury said of his scheme that it "clearly and effectively presents set of design strategies that would address in detail how people live their lives in a hierarchy of social/physical settings."

Wang chose as his case study Chicago's Robert Taylor Homes, the largest public housing project in the world, which had some 4,300 units on 95 acres when completed in 1962. In the past 20 years the number of units has been reduced approximately 100 as ground floor space in some of the 28 16-story super blocks has been given over to support service.

Wang chose as his case study Chicago's Robert Taylor Homes, the largest public housing project in the world, which had some 4,300 units on 95 acres when completed in 1962. In the past 20 years the number of units has been reduced approximately 100 as ground floor space in some of the 28 16-story super blocks has been given over to support service.

Robert Taylor Homes has come to symbolize the problems attending highrise, high-density public housing projects. Two years after its completion, a resident quoted in the Chicago Daily News gave his impressions of life there: "We live stacked on top of one another with no elbow room. Danger is all around. Their little privacy or peace and no quiet. A the world looks on all of us as project rats, living on a reservation like untouchables."

Wang said that he chose Robert Taylor Homes not because it's the biggest project in the world, "but because it symbolizes a lot of problems in public housing highrises."

In researching the history of the project through articles that appeared in local newspapers and then visiting the project firsthand, Wang said that he came to the conclusion that the overcrowding, vandalism, and crime were symptoms of a larger set of problems, which he identified as economic, social, and political: "The people who live in such projects are economically dependent, there is lack of social community and control, politically they are powerless."

Wang's proposal went beyond those visions of architectural improvements. Upgrading would support the establishment of resident groups on a variety of scales, said Wang, through which, he would hope, the residents would mitigate their problems. "What the physical environment can do," Wang explained, "is to support the development of a stable 'tree' structure which allows the residents to mobilize themselves to proper level according to the scale of issues."

Wang proposed four levels of resident interaction: stairwell groups, block groups, defensible neighborhoods, and an identifiable project community.

Stairwell groups are scaled to the pathways, stairwells, elevators, entries and exits among neighbors. Wang proposed elements such as widened entry spaces for 'stairwell groups'; right, strategies for improving housing project.
sories, roof terraces on new lowrise structures, and increased vertical and horizontal connections between floors to provide "abundant settings to encourage the vth of local, informal networks."

lock clubs would provide supervision. In addition, he suggested "urban l" structures in open spaces around blocks. These precast concrete shells, at public cost, would provide shelters or community services and small businesses. "Home-based enterprises, cottage stries, etc., should be encouraged to be in appropriate positions within the local framework," which can be divided temporary partitions, said Wang.

neighborhood defense from crime local gangs, Wang proposed using infill structures to control access to common spaces between the blocks, control of this no-man's-land back residents. Movement within the from one block club to another would occur through secured linkages, which would open onto green spaces, vegetable and flower gardens, and recreational areas accessible only to the residents. An alternative proposal, said Wang, would be to use skywalk connections between the blocks.

Finally, on the scale of the entire project, Wang proposed that Robert Taylor Homes have a positive identity and associations with the city. He believes this can be achieved through dividing the project into his proposed "defended neighborhoods," whose redesign should be undertaken by different architectural firms. The success of the individual block clubs would enhance public perception of the project.

Wang said that he has presented his competition-winning proposal to the Chicago Housing Authority, whose officials have expressed interest in many of the strategies for physically improving the buildings. The authority is now reviewing the technical possibilities for implementing Wang's ideas. But, as Wang cautioned, "The architectural revision is only part of the effort. It won't work by itself, without someone organizing the residents. The architecture is there to serve and to assure the smooth operation of these organizations."

The competition was sponsored by NAHRO in cooperation with the Association of Collegiate Schools of Architecture, AIA, the American Planning Association, and the American Society of Landscape Architects. Jurors included George M. Notter Jr., FAIA; Donlyn Lyndon, FAIA; Floyd Lapp, executive director of the Kingsbridge Riverdale Van Cortland Development of Bronx, N.Y.; landscape architect Neil H. Porterfield; and Robert J. Rigby, executive director of the housing authority of Jersey City, N.J. William L. Slayton, Hon. AIA, served as professional adviser, and Mary K. Nenno, NAHRO's associate director for policy development, was competition director.

The three prize-winning designs were entered in an international competition on postwar housing to be held in Budapest this month.
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Yale Students Build for the Community

A program becomes a tradition over 20 years. By M. J. C.

s year, as they have for the past 20 s, the members of Yale University's 3-year architecture class have designed and constructed the design themselves. The project, completed in July, is a park for the City of New Haven, coming 1,200 square feet of covered area to an adjoining landscaped forecourt with ing. The pavilion will be used by park users who conduct summer and week- programs for New Haven youngsters will also serve nearby residents as a ng for concerts, picnics, and dances. s was true of past first-year building ects, the materials and the site were sted by the local government, and the ents supplied the design and construct- labor. Built at a cost of $27,500, the also provided insurance, transporta-, and food for the students, bringing total project cost to $35,000.

Although the past two decades have many changes in architecture and itural education, the building proj- was a staple of Yale's cur- um, an opportunity for students to ider firsthand the constituent parts archi-ecture—design, client and peer w, community relations, material tion, and building construction. e project started under Charles W. re, FAIA, who headed Yale's archi- department from 1965 to 1971. e first two years the projects were camp buildings in Durham and e, Conn., respectively—but by the year, says Moore, with mounting ern for architecture's social contribu- the students sought to move beyond onlines of Connecticut. As an extrac- activity, several Yale students e time, known as "Group Nine," been working in Appalachia on low housing. They found a need among esidents of New Zion, Ky., for a com- center, and Moore adopted this ct for the first-year class.

e students worked closely with the Zion Community Association in de- the project, which was subject to eview of about two dozen local fam- The class broke into six groups, on- to refine their designs, and the final design from three selected e faculty. The students then moved w Zion, intending to construct the unity center in 18 days.

still remember the first night we there," says Moore. "We were stay- some old VISTA workers' cabin with y roof, and it was pouring, raining st as hard inside as it was out- side. And some kid from his sleeping bag said, 'Mies van der Rohe would have never brought us to a place like this.'" The rain caused delays but the community center was completed in less than a month at a cost of $4,000 for materials.

The projects are still community ori- ented, with students working for a public client who supplies building materials and a site. And they are still undertaken in the second semester of the three-year graduate program, the design phase begin- ing at the end of March and construction in May. According to Herbert Newman, an associate professor who has coordinated the building project for 10 years, the project is assigned at a point where the students have had sufficient exposure to the design of simple build- ings. "At the same time," he adds, "it gives the student an opportunity to make a meaningful and real connection between the theoretical work in school and working with actual clients, materials, and budg- ets, dealing with structure and the ordering of simple space, and seeing the conse- quences of design efforts in built form."

The small scale of the projects (the most recent ones have been beach or park pavil- ions) is also essential, says Newman, in allowing the students to consider how the building's fabrication will affect the quality of its architecture. "A single building with a simple purpose forces the student to deal on a very intimate level with the question of how to build. It brings the student closer than he or she will ever be to the tradition of the master builder."

Physical construction of the project, Newman adds, is an essential part of the process. "The act of building itself generates an understanding and an appreciation for the built object," he says, "understanding the weight of materials, how heavy a 2x4 is, the effort that's required to pour concrete, learning how to use tools. There is a belief on our part at this school that learning how to build provides one with a framework for continuing on as a student for the rest of your life."

Arriving at a design through consensus and then building the project as a group has also served as a model of the collabor- orative efforts required in architectural practice. "Working together in groups is really the way we work in the profession," says Newman. "Experiencing that in one's education forces you to hear the other person's point of view, and enables you to not necessarily compromise, but to accommodate the other person's view."

This year's project for a park pavilion in New Haven's East Rock Park (below) came under the impromptu review of local residents. The park is across the street from a half-dozen early-20th century single-family houses, whose occu- pants consider it an extension of their front yards. East Rock is also noted as a hangout for local toughs, and there was concern that the pavilion would draw fire from vandals. (The students also feared vandalism. After the slab was poured there was a "compulsory barbecue" to keep students on watch.)

"A lot of the neighbors objected to the pavilion," said one student, "so we pre- sented our design at a meeting so that the parks department could hear their pros and cons." Benches and tables were designed to be built in to prevent theft, and to quell concerns about the building's size one student met some of the neighbors at the site and with a tape measure plotted out the pavilion's footprint. The residents then suggested the building be moved back into the site a bit, and an acceptable boundary zone for the pavilion was finally arrived at. "We've since gotten very good reactions from the neighbors who have seen the finished product," says Paul Brouard, construction critic for the project, "particularly from the people who participated in the process."

Brouard, who has been involved with the building project for 15 years, says that the construction phase has an effect on the class as a whole. "It changes the dynamics within the class," he explains, "because there are people who have hidden talents that are brought out."

"Many students see the experience as a "rite of passage" that bonds them with the upperclassmen, with whom they swap war stories about building their respective projects. "It's like a tradition that each class has to live up to," says Brouard.

After two decades, what accounts for the building project's continued stamina? Says Brouard, "I think the idea of getting practical experience and to build is appealing to students today, who are much more career oriented than the students I worked with originally. It's also a satisfying thing to do after doing design work for a year that just ends with a review."
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Michael J. Crosbie has affectionately put together the exploits of Jersey Devil, and of four designer/builders that took their name from a legendary winged creature, the scourge of the New Jersey countryside. Since the early '70s when they started building, they have moved their ping bags twice across the continent to Northern and Southern California and Colorado, then back to New Jersey. Wherever they go, minor devils are up to give them a hand. Though the work illustrated dates from the present, it comes out of the back of the '60s when youth and dissent was the royal road to a born-again architecture. The dissenters that arose in the wake of Robert Venturi's call to reaction against the Bauhaus sometimes banded together and banished themselves from cities to the fields with amazing grace. Indeed, there was something of a religious fervor. Prest Wilson's foreword recalls the start that dissent promised; he quotes Adore Roszak, who saw the young as the matrix in which an alternative but excessively fragile future was taking root. One consequence of designer/builders like Ant Farm, Prickly Mountain, Jersey Devil, and others, is the rise today of architect/developer. Wilson says Jersey Devil buildings at their best are an architecture of the accidental, the vernacular PrincetontSpeak. The accidental arises when the Devils recognize some unexpected and felicitous connection during construction and adjust the design to advantage of it, the vernacular construction of regional building practices and use of off-the-shelf materials in ways intended. As constant readers of logs of stock parts, they discovered dilla barn rafters, made in a variety of dii and normally employed vertically. the Devils placed them on the floor projected them up to create a layered roof. Once when they needed a large mass at the center of a structure, stacked up stock manhole sections. 000 pounds of them. They also included culvert sections into concrete forms to produce portholes. Undertow of Princeton in the building and in the conversations with the Devils conducted and transcribed by Crosbie is not surprising. Three of the four Devils defected from Princeton at a time, they note, "when Graves was big there." Most of the living quarters they built for themselves on construction sites have the spontaneity of something found on the boards of bright architecture students: the biomorphic Sphincter House, sleeping pods in a tree, a cardboard grotto built around a tree. And their commissions —although commissions is too sedate a word for something that looks like so much fun—have the mark of work from an institution that faces Europe. Certainly, it doesn't face inland, as does that of the Kebyar School, which has grown up around the teachings of Bruce Goff and leans on the organic architecture of Frank Lloyd Wright. The Devils are more apt to let their houses ride above the land than root them, as the Wrightians do. They are quick to detect a form and then press it too hard into a recognizable image—the Helmet House, Football House, and others.

The house that brings into play the best of their considerable talents is the Silo House, built in 1975 in Lambertville, N.J. The image grows out of a catalog of prefabricated silos and a plan that joins three silos with a circulation spine. The clients, who had just returned from eight years in Tanzania, were comfortable under conical roofs, so that is the way the Devils enclosed the silos. Then how to put a collector on three conical roofs? How else but to build a truss on the roofs to continued on page 84
Books from page 83 carry it. Not content to let the truss serve one purpose, they made it a clerestory, and then they punctured that with the biggest of bull's-eyes, and left them open for the wind to howl through. That wasn't all. They designed a collector that looks for all the world like a New Jersey covered bridge. Add it up and it is form as a result of wanting too many things from one house. Sheer audacity.

You see the same audacity in a little guest house that is needlessly vertical and high for the amount of space enclosed. No one with a sense of scale would have put that barrel vaulted structure above all that slow-building underpinning. But whenever I open the book it's the first thing I look at. Someone must have had a lot of fun—and a lot of guts.

ESTHER McCoy, Hon. AIA

Ms. McCoy is a historian and critic whose most recent book is The Second Generation.

Greek Traditional Architecture. Two volumes. Edited by Dimitri Philippides.

The mention of Greek architecture evokes in most American minds images of sun-bleached ruins dating from classical antiquity. Secondary associations focus on the whitewashed plastered forms of Cycladic vernacular architecture as exemplified on the islands most tourists visit—Mykonos, Ios, and Santorini (Thera). Few suspect the extent and diversity of Greek vernacular architecture constructed from the 15th century until "neoclassicism became the predominating form."

These first two volumes of a projected eight-volume work reveal the regional wealth of form, color, and material through an examination of the traditional architecture of four major island groups: the Eastern Aegean Islands, the Sporades, the Ionian Islands, and the Cyclades. The work, addressed to the general public and the specialist, is eminently successful, not only meeting its stated objectives, but combining them in such a manner that one does not impinge upon the other.

At the outset of the first volume, there are four papers by prominent scholars that provide a general background and identify the scope of the work. In the first paper, historian Spyros I. Asdrahas examines the social, political, and economic conditions of Greece in the 18th century, the time during which much of the country's vernacular architecture flowered.

In the second paper, Charaialambos Bouras, professor of architecture at the National Technical University in Athens introduces the subject of Greek tradition architecture, discusses the range of building types, and delves into the influence of Byzantine, Ottoman, Venetian, and other prototypes.

The third and fourth introductory papers are contributed by the editor Dimitri Philippides, author of Modern Greek Architecture, a study that covers both anonymous and architect-designed architecture since the founding of modern Greece in 1830 (to be released soon in Greek, with an English translation scheduled to follow).

As art books, these two volumes are exceptionally high quality. The set's 60 12x10-inch pages abound with photographs, the great majority in color, all documenting extensively the architecture of the pertinent regions. The scale range from townscape to individual buildings and to details of fenestration, pavement modulations, and ceiling textures. The lig of the Greek sky radiates in photographs, reflecting the ubiquitous sea.

Beyond eliciting nostalgic memories past visits to Greece or wistful aspirations for future travel, the photographs serve a higher function. Supplemented...
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a wealth of maps, measured drawings, sketches, and engravings dating as far back as the 17th century, they reinforce scholarly essays on each of the 15 islands covered in the two volumes.

For example, a photograph showing the whitewashed surfaces of a group of houses in the town of Oia on Santorini, perched on the edge of a cliff overlooking the deep blue of the sea-filled volcano crater, could be a postcard of unusually high quality. The reader of the accompanying essay, however, will encounter it referred to in the text as an illustration for a description of the materials and construction methods that resulted in the rounded edges of volumes, in the plastic molding of various finials, and the lightly textured surface of the walls.

Each essay follows the same general pattern of introducing the island via its geography and its political/economic history. A description of the architecture follows a descending hierarchy: It moves from the urban design of settlements, to that of neighborhoods, and from the individual buildings to interior arrangements and details.

In both content and form, these two volumes are a labor of love, difficult to fault without resort to pettiness. Philippides and his collaborators provide a wealth of data for the academic researcher as well as an abundance of visual stimulation for the casual reader. My appetite has been whetted for the remaining six volumes, scheduled to appear within a year, covering Crete, the Dodecanese, and the mainland of Greece.

CHRISTOS A. SACCOPoulos, AIA

Mr. Saccopoulos is an associate professor in the department of architecture, Iowa State University.


Liberally filled with black and white photographs, this book describes and illustrates 114 city halls in 40 states. Arranged generally in chronological sequence, the city halls range from the 1767 no-nonsense building in Annapolis, Md., to Skidmore, Owings & Merrill's Springfield, Ohio, building (1977-79). In addition to an instructive introduction that surveys the development of city halls, there is a concluding section on city hall features, among them domes and rotundas, skylights, and murals and sculptures. In most cases, city governments and local AIA chapters participated in the project. Fifty of the city halls were included in an exhibition by AIA and HABS.

Modern Oriel on Roofs and Facades: Planning and Design. Klaus Pracht. (Van Nostrand Reinhold, $35.)

Oriel—projecting extensions on building faces, corners, or roofs—have been in disfavor, but now, with a renewed interest in form and ornamentation, oriel are being used to enrich interior spaces and facades. This comprehensive book collects more than 500 examples of oriel, arranged according to design form, terminations above and below, and position in the facade or roof of a building. They are used as corner windows and balconies, in skylights and courtyards as display windows, and as wraparound:


A companion volume to Construction Glossary (1980), this equally useful reference work will be helpful for architects and developers, the construction industry, and allied professions in its definitions of terms related to the use of land and design controls. The compiler reports that he used some 500 zoning ordinance subdivision controls, and building controls in the compilation of the glossary and that he has given every possible definition for each word, phrase, or term included in the text of 930 pages. □
ues and History: That was a great story on Arleton Knight on Ed Logue (July, page 60). I enjoyed every word of it. Every word of it was true. If anything, in retrospect, it was more positive in some areas of the cool light of history will portray. I still feel that any jerk can do it, the sin of the International Forum for the Future of Sam Rodia’s Towers in Watts was conceived and orchestrated by Leon Whiteson, and largely sponsored by the Los Angeles Herald Examiner as a result of Whiteson’s advocacy.

The forum was an undertaking of a Watts Towers committee (alias, I do not remember its official name) recently put together by Whiteson as part of a self-initiated six-month special project that also yielded about eight or 10 flamboyant advocacy articles and sidebars published on four successive days in the Herald. The committee membership, again largely if not totally determined by Whiteson, made up a good part of the conference participants. These include Leon Whiteson, Michael Pittas, Robert Harris, Ed Helfeld, and John Outtredge, and possibly Maureen Kindel, Martin Weil, and others (again, I do not remember the committee’s membership precisely), to name only those cited in the report. This committee apparently reconstituted itself as the “newly formed Watts Towers Community Trust” and passed a resolution at the forum urging that three levels of government recognize it as the basic body for revitalizing the Watts Towers and the Watts community. Leon Whiteson was one of the three authors of this resolution.

The committee is predominantly composed of whites outside the community who have had virtually no prior involvement. The article does not mention the designer of this urban space who has had virtually no prior involvement. The article does not mention the designer of this urban space.

ue and Sin: Your July issue on house was varied and valuable. I cannot foretell my historical knowledge lack of any respect when compared to my current knowledge. In fact, I feel, at the risk of arrogance, that my historical knowledge added to me by training at UT surpasses most in the profession.

I must object to the impression that the faculty is being of less than great stature. When I was a student, one Professor Daniel Leary recognized talents in myself that were not clearly visible to me. With his insight, encouragement, and guidance, I was able to leave the university with not only a bachelor of architecture but also a bachelor of architectural engineering. This dual degree has allowed me to obtain my dual registration and has had a significantly positive effect on my growth in the profession. What more one want or expect but to have faculty members who try to nurture and expose the raw talents of their students? I owe much to men such as these.

Alas, even architectural journalists are not immune to the media soft spot so aptly stated by one of my architectural engineering professors, “If you personally know anything about what’s printed in the papers, you’ll know that they got it wrong.”

Daniel J. Darrouzet, AIA
Class of ’76
Carrollton, Tex.

Watts Towers: Leon Whiteson’s news report in the August issue (page 20) omitted a few salient pieces of information:

The International Forum for the Future of Sam Rodia’s Towers in Watts was conceived and orchestrated by Leon Whiteson, and largely sponsored by the Los Angeles Herald Examiner as a result of Whiteson’s advocacy.

The forum was an undertaking of a Watts Towers committee (alias, I do not remember its official name) recently put together by Whiteson as part of a self-initiated six-month special project that also yielded about eight or 10 flamboyant advocacy articles and sidebars published on four successive days in the Herald. The committee membership, again largely if not totally determined by Whiteson, made up a good part of the conference participants. These include Leon Whiteson, Michael Pittas, Robert Harris, Ed Helfeld, and John Outtredge, and possibly Maureen Kindel, Martin Weil, and others (again, I do not remember the committee’s membership precisely), to name only those cited in the report. This committee apparently reconstituted itself as the “newly formed Watts Towers Community Trust” and passed a resolution at the forum urging that three levels of government recognize it as the basic body for revitalizing the Watts Towers and the Watts community. Leon Whiteson was one of the three authors of this resolution.

The committee is predominantly composed of whites outside the community who have had virtually no prior involvement.

continued on page 90
Letters from page 89
ment with the towers even though there is a long history of Watts Towers preservation advocacy in Los Angeles.

The conference seems to have been mainly a media event, or perhaps a semi-media event, since the L.A. Times did not cover it while the Herald devoted three days of stories to it. Its purpose seems to have been to legitimize and seek power for the committee that arranged the event. Whitson's account omitted any reference to divergent opinions expressed at the event, such as the statement that the community needed a mental health center rather than what the committee was envisioning, and the more general concern that focusing Watts' concerns so strongly on the towers may not be in the wider community's best interests.

In short, Leon Whiteson is an interested party acting as a publicist for one of his own undertakings. The credit line in Architecture was misleading in identifying him as just a participant in the conference when in fact he was its originator, guiding spirit, and an employee of its prime sponsor. The lack of balance and objectivity in his description of the events is evident in his prose style. Here is a sample of honorific phrases: a wide spectrum of representatives; a resounding yes!; the first positive result; produces some rare insights; magical monument; rare and possibly unique instance; help an underprivileged community; passionate concern; officials expressing their support; expressed solidarity with the objectives of the forum. Can a forum have objective, unless it is a closed one?

The Watts Towers have just been restored, after seven years' work, by the State of California. (Although this has been the most significant positive action in the towers' history since demolition was averted 25 years ago, it earned a mere half sentence in the lengthy news report.) Whiteson has repeatedly tried to create the impression that this restoration is somehow too scholarly, and that the towers' future is more precarious than it really is. Who, outside of the Reagan Administration, can be against the towers, against Watts, against the underprivileged, or against cultural centers? The question of what this project will actually do for those constituencies seems to go unanswered.

John Pastier
Contributing Editor
Los Angeles

Kent State Memorial Competition.
Kent State University is sponsoring an open design competition for a memorial to the events of May 4, 1970, when a student demonstration against the Vietnam war and in affirmation of the right of public assembly ended in the shooting deaths of four students by members of the Ohio State National Guard. Paul Spreiregen, FAIA, is professional adviser. For registration information (deadline is Nov. 31) write May Fourth Competition, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio 44242.

Fellowships in American Cultures Study
UCLA's Institute of American Cultures offering graduate and postdoctoral fellowships to support study of Afro-American, American Indians, Chicanos, and American Indians. The stipend for the graduate fellowship is $5,000 per year plus registration fees and out-of-state tuition. Postdoctoral fellowship range from $20,000 to $25,000 per year. The date for submission is Dec. 31. For more information contact Norris C. Hundley, Institute of American Cultures, UCLA, Los Angeles Calif. 90024.

Architectural History from Princet

Diary of the Cavaliere Bernini's Visit to France
Paul Freart de Chantelou
Edited with an introduction by Anthony Blunt
Annotated by George C. Bauer
Translated by Margeth Corbett
Here is the first complete English translation of one of the most important sources for the history of Baroque art: the diary kept by P. Freart de Chantelou during Gian Lorenzo Bernini's five-month sojourn in Paris in 1665. The great Italian sculptor and architect was summoned by Louis XIV to prepare his designs for the completion of the Louvre. Although the plans were later abandoned, the diary remains as a uniquely intimate view of the most celebrated artist of his day and of the society of his time. About 376 pages. 20 halftones. $47.00

The Palace of Charles V in Granada
Earl Rosenthal
Like Earl Rosenthal's earlier book, The Cathedral of Granada (Princeton, 1961), this work is a comprehensive study of an important Spanish architectural monument. The palace of Charles V in Granada is the realized version of an ideal Italian type (the block-design resided with a porticoed round courtyard) and the first High Renaissance palace outside Italy. Describing the design, construction history, and sources of the building, Professor Rosenthal analyzes a conflict of architectural traditions: the Italian view was represented by Luis Hurtado de Mendoza, to whom Charles entrusted the design in 1526 and by his Italian-trained architect Pedro Machuca, while the Castilian parts were expressed by court architects consulted by Charles. About 508 pages. 173 halftones. 8 1/2 x 11". $77.50

At your bookstore or
Princeton University Press

At your bookstore or
Princeton University Press
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Pendalume is an innovative new high performance luminaire from Columbia. It provides the best of direct and indirect illumination.

Columbia Lighting Inc.

For more information, contact your local Columbia representative or write to us at:
P.O. Box 2787 • Spokane, WA 99220 • 509/924-7000
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The American Academy in Rome juries announced the winners for the 1986 academic year: James B. Favaro, signer with Skidmore, Owings & Merrill, Boston; Wesley Clayton Jones of Amman/Robertson, New York City; and Na Dougherty, assistant professor of landscape architecture at University of Virginia. The Graham Foundation prize for research studies in architecture was awarded to Taft Architects in Houston.

Botta Exhibition.

A dedicated exhibition of the work of Botta will be on display in Venice through December 8. The exhibition includes works completed between the 1950s and the present. Institutions interested in hosting the exhibition should contact Sergio Polano, Dipartimento dell'Architettura, Palazzo Badoer, Polo 2554, 30125 Venezia, Italy.

Courses Offered.

Thirty-three courses and workshops for professionals and public members are offered this fall by the Harvard Graduate School of Design. Initial courses last for two to eight weeks. The service sink with style. Space-efficient corner model occupies minimal floor space and provides maximum utility. Front drop facilitates dumping of power maintenance equipment and reduces lifting of conventional equipment. Stainless steel cap protects area of greatest use. And, it's TERRAZZO, the classic choice for appearance and durability.
Briefs from page 91

weeks, with fees ranging from $100 to $500. For more information contact the Office of Special Programs, Harvard University GSD, 48 Quincy St., Cambridge, Mass. 02138.

Architectural Tour

Walter Schamu & Associates of Baltimore is sponsoring an architectural tour of India and Bangladesh Feb. 12 through March 4, 1986. For more information contact Peter C. Doo, AIA, 107 East Preston St., Baltimore, Md. 21202.

CREDITS


A publishing event sure to be widely celebrated—106 illustrations, 29 never before seen, by this century’s preeminent architect...

TREASURES OF TALIESIN
76 Unbuilt Designs

By BRUCE BROOKS PFEIFFER
Director of Archives, Frank Lloyd Wright Memorial Foundation, Taliesin West

Here are the original drawings for over seventy projects that, for a variety of reasons, were never built—many of them among the architect’s personal favorites. The stories surrounding them chronicle little-known episodes in Wright’s career and provide fascinating glimpses of some of the most interesting people and places of our time.

106 illustrations in full color. (J235-2) $60.00
Co-published by The Press at California State University, Fresno

SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY PRESS
P.O. Box 3657, Carbondale, Illinois 62901-3657

MUSSON
Fluff Cord Tile

Exceptionally durable in heavy wear areas such as the entrances, lobbies and ramps of airports, theaters, factories and shopping centers. The carpet-like surface is made of heavy-duty tire stock, bonded to a flexible backing, installed with non-flammable adhesive and laid in a beautiful parquet pattern. Tiles are 3" x 12" square. (Also golf spike resistant.)

For Free Brochure & Samples, write:
THE R.C. MUSSON RUBBER CO.
1310 East Archwood Ave. • Akron, Ohio 44310
THE ARCHITECT MADE ONE SMALL MISTAKE.

He didn’t specify Amocor-PB4 protection course for his foundation and look what happened. The Tower of Pisa took a turn for the worse.

Dramatic example perhaps, but new Amocor-PB4 protects your building’s waterproofing membrane in a way the competition can’t. Because PB4 is the only fan folded, extruded polystyrene protection course available.

Amoco’s exclusive fan folding process makes installation quicker and easier. These 4’ x 50’ bundles wrap easily around a foundation for continuous protection. So if the architect had specified Amocor-PB4 not only would he have put in his foundation quicker, it would have been a better foundation.

Amocor-PB4’s durability comes from a unique process that takes extruded polystyrene foam and sandwiches it between two plastic laminates. This process makes PB4 lightweight and moisture resistant as well as tough. Amocor-PB4 is designed to protect waterproofing membranes from puncture, substantially reducing the chance of costly water damage.

Look at it this way, if the architect had known about Amocor-PB4, maybe he wouldn’t be an unknown today.

Amoco Foam Products Company
2100 Powers Ferry Road, N.W. Suite 200, Atlanta, GA 30099
(404) 955-5595 1-800-321-4402

Circle 133 on information card
Furnishings

As resources for design and objects of design.
By Nora Richter Greer

The Ombre umbrella stand (1) comes with or without an equally playful coat rack inserted in its center. Manufactured by the Italian firm Seccose (and distributed in the U.S. by Vivere Corporation, New York City, and Luminaire Inc., Miami), its base is a black synthetic resin. The umbrella holder and coat rack are polymerized painted steel in white, black, yellow, gray, or red. Also sportive are Kartell’s stools (2). A glass reinforced semi-expanded polypropylene seat rests on lacquered metal legs in front and is supported in the rear by similar legs that are connected by a loop. The loop has the dual function of backrest and handle for hanging the stools. Four heights are offered; colors are white or black or combinations of white and black or red and black. An optional cloth seat cover can be yellow, pink, sky blue, black, white, red, or green.

Lighting designer V. Lorenzo Porcelli acknowledges Shaker, Japanese, and Italian influences in his Corona Floor Lamp (3). Designed for his New York City firm Porcelli Associates Inc. and named after the white circle of light seen around the sun, the lamp’s “corona” is Japanese rich paper held in place by a metal loop. The hoop is lifted 53 inches by metal rods set on a cylindrical base. Materials are satin black enameled steel and aluminum. Seccose’s Terna chair (4) was designed to easily fold or stack. Its steel legs, of which the bottom pieces form two sides of a triangle when unfolded, can simply be pushed together. Its leather or cloth covered steel back is as flexible, and a small gap in the seat facilitates stacking. As in Seccose’s umbrella stand, the palette is bright—red, yellow, white, or black with white or black cloth or natural or black leather backs. Arflex’s Mac T (5) tip-up auditorium seating consists of three pieces: the seat, back, and arm unit. Made of fabricated steel with foam upholstery, the seat entirely folds up into the slightly curved back. Two sizes are available—54 or 60 centimeters. Accessories are a black laminated flip-up writing arm, an ashtray that fits on the front of the arm, and wiring for simultaneous translation equipment. Acrebis International’s Playbox (6) is a basic cabinet designed to contain computers, refrigerators, or home entertainment centers by incorporating internal wiring for several pieces of electrical equipment. Its design is bold and straightforward: Two cabinets of the same height and depth but different widths are joined. The smaller has a circular transparent glass window; the larger a door that slides on runners and opens on hinges.
From the Elegance of Embossed Craftsmanship...

Steelcraft® Introduces

EmCraft

...a new excitement in Steel Entrance Systems

E-21

E-120

EmCraft combines the stability of steel with the beauty of wood. Designed and developed by Steelcraft to add new excitement to that entrance system.

Features include the exclusive honeycomb and polyurethane foam core; beveled hinge and lock edges; closed top; mortised hardware preparation; thermal break at the top, bottom and edges; and more.

Contact your local Steelcraft distributor or Steelcraft for complete information.

Printed in U.S.A

Steelcraft by American-Standard
9017 Blue Ash Road, Cincinnati, Ohio 45242

Circle 134 on information card
Labmarc's modular laboratory components (1) are self-supporting and independent of ceilings or walls. Work surfaces and utility ledges, available in all standard lab surfacings or optional materials, are designed to be easily adjusted to accommodate various instruments. Divided raceways manage normal power and wiring for emergency systems and communications. (Circle 151 on information card.)

The Prestige series of bath accessories (2) from Watercolors is made of 3/8-inch solid steel tubing and finished in baked enamel in 10 colors and polished chrome or lacquered polished brass. Fixtures are mounted to wall surfaces with a concealed attachment. (Circle 152.)

Roofblok's lightweight concrete interlocking ballast blocks (3) are designed for full coverage over single-ply roofing systems to protect the membrane from wind uplift. The blocks have a beveled design for lockdown, and channels in the bottom provide drainage. (Circle 153.)

Products continued on page 101.
Help us design for the future without nuclear war. Architects/Designers/Planners for Social Responsibility (ADPSR) is a national non-profit organization formed in 1984 to address the catastrophic consequences of nuclear proliferation. Send in your membership pledge today. Come to ADPSR National Membership Day, Monday, November 11. Each chapter and student chapter plans events.

Boston (617) 868-4200
Chicago (312) 649-0899
Los Angeles (213) 829-3482
National Capital Metropolitan Area (703) 860-8262
New Jersey (609) 924-4047
New York (212) 334-8104
Northern California (415) 525-7217
Philadelphia (215) 386-1270
Phoenix (602) 274-2464
Seattle (206) 632-2189
Tucson (602) 624-3216

Membership Form
Architects/Designers/Planners for Social Responsibility
225 Lafayette Street New York NY 10012 (212) 334-8104
I would like to become an ADPSR member and enclose my dues for the following category: ☐ Student $15; ☐ Regular $30; ☐ Contributor $50; ☐ Sponsor $100; ☐ Friend $250; ☐ Patron $500; ☐ Benefactor $1,000 and over

Name
Address
Phone

ADPSR membership dues and contributions are fully tax deductible.

ADPSR Board of Advisors: Stanley Abercrombie, AIA • Edmund N. Bacon, FAIA • Edward L. Barrie, FAIA • Charles E. Baskett, FAIA • Richard Bender • Ward Bennett • I. M. Pei • John Burgee, FAIA • Felix Candela • Donald Catlin, Hon. AIA • Ivan Chermayeff • Daniel L. Dworsky, AIA • James Marston Fitch • James Ingo Freed, FAIA • M. Paul Friedberg, FASLA • John Friedmann • Frank O. Gehry, FAIA • Romaldo Giurgola, FAIA • Barbara Goldstein • Michael Graves, FAIA • Charles Gwathmey, FAIA • Karen Halprin, FASLA • Hugh Hardy, FAIA • Sarah Harkness, FAIA • Dolores Hayden • Hugh N. Jacobsen, FAIA • Helmut Jahn, AIA • Raymond L. Kargle, FAIA • Langley Keyes • Nan Riley, FASLA • Norman Knutholz, APA • Dan Latzer • T. Y. Lin, ASCE, ACI • Gerald McCue, FAIA • Ian McHarg, FASLA, FRIBA • Richard A. Meier, FAIA • Professor Roger Montgomery • Charles W. Moore, FAIA • Walter A. Netsch, FAIA • Gyo Obata, FAIA • Cesar Pelli, FAIA • Professor Mario Salvadori, Hon. AIA • Mildred Schmertz, FAIA • Denise Scott-Brown, FAIA, ARIBA • Kevin Roche, FAIA • Beverly Russell • John Salcedo • Professor Marie Salway, Hon. AIA • Mildred Schmertz, FAIA • Denise Scott-Brown, FAIA, ARIBA • Derek Shearer • Paolo Soleri • Alan Temko • Robert C. Venturi, Jr., FAIA • Wolf von Eckart, Hon. AIA • R. Randall Vosbeck, FAIA (Committee in formation)
Skylight System.
Designed for residential and commercial applications, skylights have a standard venting system designed to be opened by hand or with pole operation. The copper flashing is available for pitched or flat roofs. The units are completely assembled and ready to install, and optional motorized fixtures are also available. (Ventarama Skylight Corporation, Hicksville, N.Y. Circle 158 on information card.)

Hot Water Machine.
LKH-180 hot water machine is designed to provide instant hot water for residential applications. It can provide up to 40 cups of hot water per hour, and the water temperature can be adjusted from 140 to 190 degrees. The unit operates by a push-button control and has a safety lock to protect children and prevent unintentional operation. A compact tank assembly connects to the water valve under the sink. (Elkay Manufacturing Co., Oak Brook, Ill. Circle 159 on information card.)

Ceramic Mosaics.
Mosaic tiles, for residential and commercial installations, are made of fine grained porcelain with color throughout and have an unglazed surface designed to be stain-proof and frostproof. Tiles are available in 42 colors in five sizes: one-inch hexagon, one-inch square, two-inch hexagon, two-inch square, and two-by-one inch. Prefabricated "master-set" sheets are available in 19 standard patterns. Trim pieces are available for both thin-set and conventional installations. (American Olean Tile, Lansdale, Pa. Circle 160 on information card.)

Column Collar.
Molding is designed to be installed around columns to create a clean junction for ceiling tiles or plaster. It is made of a PVC spacer wrapped around the column and a piece of extruded aluminum molding roll-formed to the curvature of the column. The two parts are connected by a band clamp. Standard collars are available to fit columns as small as 10 inches in diameter and are available in medium bronze, dark bronze, black, and white finishes. (Fry Reglet Corporation, Alhambra, Calif. Circle 161 on information card.)

Fry Reglet Is Molding Technology

Responding to new trends in architectural design, Fry Reglet has been developing innovative architectural moldings for over twenty years. Our aluminum products are designed to marry form and function, creating surprisingly dramatic results.

Specify Fry — our products are molding technology.

Fry Reglet Corporation

(818) 289-4744 625 So. Palm Avenue, Alhambra, California 91803
(404) 441-2337 2777 Peterson Place, Norcross, Georgia 30071
Look for us in Sweets Catalog 9.5/Fr.

TOMORROW BEGINS AT WITS TODAY

CHAIR OF ARCHITECTURE

Applications are invited for appointment to the vacant Chair, which is one to two Chairs in the Department. You may in due course be appointed Head of Department. Opportunities for teaching and research exist in your field of interest and you will have departmental administrative responsibilities.

The Department offers Bachelor's, Master's and Doctoral degrees and will be instituting a Master of Architecture (with specialisation in Conservation) course in the near future. The Department is jointly responsible for instruction in the Master of Environmental Planning (Urban Design) course.

Salary: Professor: R35 377 - R40 962 per annum.
Benefits: annual bonus • pension • medical aid • housing subsidy • removal and settling-in allowances (if eligible). A limited amount of private work is possible.

For detailed information contact the Deputy Registrar (Academic Staffing).

Submit applications by 30 November 1985.

It is the policy of the University not to discriminate on the grounds of sex, race, colour or national origin.

UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND

1 Jan Smuts Avenue, Johannesburg 2001, South Africa

Circle 139 on information card
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bonded to 5/16-inch sheathing grade plywood. The panels, which can be installed over solid decking or existing roofs, are designed to eliminate the need for a starter course. Hip and ridge tops and gable rakes are available to reduce on-site fitting, cutting, and waste. (Shakertown Corporation, Winlock, Wash. Circle 162 on information card.)

**Wall System.**

Wall panels are constructed of either steel or gypsum sections with stainless steel, chalkboard, vinyl, powder, enamel paint, or porcelain finishes. Wall cavities provide access for electrical wires, communication cables, and plumbing needs. Panels and framing are designed to be easily dismantled, and optional glazing components are available. (Donn Corporation, Westlake, Ohio. Circle 163 on information card.)

**Table Lamp.**

The Arnia table lamp has a mouthblown Murano glass base that can be illuminated from within. The lamp is available in 21- and 27-inch heights in pink straw or white texture glass, accented with a brass column and a black or white shantung shade. A three-way switch controls both the small bulb in the base and the main bulb, which can accommodate a maximum of 150 watts. (Nessen Lamps, Inc., New York City. Circle 164 on information card.)

**Ceiling Panels.**

Solitude ceiling panels have reveal edges and sound absorption and flame resistant properties. The recessed sculptured panels measure two feet square and can be installed in standard suspension grid systems. (Gold Bond Building Products, Charlotte, N.C. Circle 165 on information card.)

**Door Hardware.**

Designer Bolt exit devices have plated and anodized aluminum surface finishes, a ¾-inch Pullman-type latchbolt, and nylon bearings and stainless steel springs. The hardware is designed to be installed on full-glass, narrow stile aluminum doors, and outside trim pieces are available in a variety of finishes with or without key functions. (Emhart Hardware Group, Russwin Division, Berlin, Conn. Circle 170 on information card.)

**Railing System.**

Tubular rail system and coordinated door pulls and trim are constructed of molded nylon over a steel core. Railings are available in two diameters and 15 colors. (Normbau, Inc., Addison Ill. Circle 161 on information card.)

**Recessed Lighting System.**

Quartz wall wash lighting system is a face mounted three-inch band that is designed to illuminate the wall evenly from ceiling to floor. It uses a 150-watt tungsten halogen lamp. (Modulightor, Inc., New York City. Circle 167 on information card.)

**Two-way Mirror Glass.**

MirroVue transparent mirror glass is made of a thin coating of selected metals bonded to clear or gray float glass in a high vacuum. It is designed to be used for observation windows in schools and medical psychiatric clinics. (Spectrum Glass Products, H. H. Robertson Co., Clinton, N. Circle 168 on information card.)

**Storage System.**

High density mobile storage units have electrical safety sweeps and brakes. TI system is available with carriage length up to 45 feet and load capacities of 4500 pounds. (Spacesaver Corporation, For Atkinson, Wis. Circle 169 on information card.)

---

**Are you on the mailing list?**

Quarterly newsletter features:

- question and answer column for those who specify insulating glass,
- brief description of buildings that make use of insulating glass units fabricated with Morton Thiokol insulating glass sealants,
- announcements of new products, new literature, helpful articles and other items of interest.

To receive your copy, write:
Morton Chemical Division
Mkt. Comm-AIA,
333 West Wacker Dr., Chicago, Ill. 60606-1292

**Morton Thiokol, Inc.**

Morton Chemical Division

---

**REPRINTS**

of Articles or Advertising in this issue are available from the AIA. Minimum Print order: 100 copies. Call or write for a price quotation.

(202) 626-7484

Mr. Jesse Sims, Production Manager
1735 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Manville GlasPly™ Premier and GlasPly IV for '85.
Standard features that mean performance.

Ruggedness.
Tough. Durable. Built to perform. Manville GlasPly Premier and GlasPly IV incorporate a special wet-process fiber mat. One that provides outstanding fiber distribution, uniformity and reinforcing strength. And use of an improved asphalt application process results in an asphalt-impregnated ply of unvarying thickness. As a result, both Manville GlasPly felts exceed ASTM-2178-84 Type IV criteria. That means you get a product that’s longer with greater resistance to moisture and weathering. And with all-around performance.

Advanced design.
The specially constructed mats of both GlasPly felts from Manville are designed to stop asphalt migration problems. Fine, uniform perforations in the fiber glass mats are large enough to provide for venting during application, but small enough to properly stabilize the membrane asphalt, for improved waterproofing.

Beautiful handling.
Manville GlasPly roofing felts have excellent pliability. They lay fast and easily, conforming readily to minor irregularities in the roof surface. They’re easy to mop, easy to work with. For time and money savings.

The best guarantee program in the business.
GlasPly felts are manufactured to rigid specifications, which are the result of Manville’s 127 years of roofing experience. GlasPly Premier qualifies for our unique, 20-year, No-Dollar-Limit (NDL) Guarantee—and GlasPly IV for our standard penal sum guarantee for up to 20 years. Both GlasPly felts give you the versatility to choose and still be assured of top quality and guaranteed performance.

Find out more. Contact Manville Product Information Center, P.O. Box 5108, Denver, Colorado 80217. (303) 978-4900. For export, TELEX: MANVIL DVR 454404.
**NOVA Lighting Controls**


**Nova** is the finest line of solid state energy-saving dimmers and controls... anywhere.

**Handsome on the outside, but rugged on the inside.**

Nova reflects a rich contemporary style complementing any decor. It's the unique lighting control that doesn't have unsightly metal strips on the front of the dimmer. In addition to a distinctly handsome appearance, Nova's rugged solid state circuitry and silver contact switch make it the sturdiest of all lighting controls.

**Vertical Slide Control Dimming**

Nova's patented calibrated vertical slide control glides to the precise lighting intensity you desire—the natural human-engineered way to control illumination. If you move the slider to the center of the control, for example, you know at a glance that the lighting is at 50 percent. With this feature, you can bring theatrical Square Law Dimming into your interior designs.

**Unquestionably Reliable**

Each component is carefully selected, and every finished unit is 100 percent tested to be sure it meets Lutron's strict standards of quality.

**Styling Flexibility**

Nova dimmers, on-off switches and system controls share the same faceplate design to give a clean uniform appearance no matter how you arrange them. They're available in many models and colors with your choice of tamper-proof faceplates and custom engraving.

**Controls Incandescent & Fluorescent Lighting**

A complete array of Nova wall-box dimmers and controls are available in incandescent and fluorescent models. Should you require a sophisticated control or high wattage application (including mercury vapor and cold cathode lighting), Lutron will custom build a lighting control system to fit your design. All models are available from lighting showrooms and your local electrical distributor.

**Nova**—the designer's choice for every lighting control need. • UL Listed

* Nova wall-box dimmers and custom-built systems have been installed in the Hyatt and Marriott Hotel chains, plus the Grand Hyatt, Coca-Cola, Disneyworld & Disneyland, AT&T, IBM, the World Trade Center in New York, Citibank, Arco, Revlon, Pennzoil, Texaco and the White House.

LUTRON

COOPERSBURG PA 18036

Lutron and Nova are registered trademarks. This product is covered by one or more of the following U.S. patents: Des 277, 577; 3,927, 345; 3,919, 592; 3,735, 020; 3,746, 923 and others pending.
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