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The Hospital They Built with Porcelain Enamel

By J. A. Strum

Manager of Architectural Engineering

Hettinger Corporation, Waltham, Mass.

"A window," said old Noah Webster, "is an opening in a building for the admission of light and air."

But the "Vision Vent" window walls in Kentucky's Memorial Hospitals will do much more than let in air and sunlight. They'll also save floor space, virtually eliminate maintenance, resist every weather, and add bright color and architectural elegance.

Vision Vent, like so many other architectural developments, is the result of many men and much discussion. Back in early 1952, the Memorial Hospital Association of Kentucky called on architects Isadore Rosenfield; Smith & Adams; and the Office of York & Sawyer, to design a series of ten hospitals in the soft-coal mining area of the Virginias and Kentucky.

From the start the architects set up three primary aims: 1) simplicity of design, 2) weather resistance, and 3) economy of first cost and of maintenance.

Unfortunately no conventional window unit met these basic requirements. The architects approached the Truscon Division of Republic Steel for something new, handsome and economical. In time, Truscon window engineers with the cooperation of Hettinger Corp.'s architectural engineers came up with Vision Vent porcelain enamel window walls.

"Revolutionary new concept" is a phrase often overused in architectural circles, but it truly describes Vision Vent, a complete porcelain enamel wall and window section—with fixed lights, awning-type ventilators and insulated steel panels, designed to cover the entire wall surface of any building.

For the all-important insulating panel, Truscon and the architects wanted another plus—a colorful coating that would add permanent beauty without requiring continual maintenance. They again turned to Hettinger, and porcelain enamel.

Why porcelain enamel? Because it's strong and sturdy . . . will not fade, crack or craze . . . resists corrosion, abrasion, acid, everything.

If you happen to be in Kentucky take a look at the new hospitals being built in Pikeville and Harlan. Consider how those sparkling window walls would look on hospitals, schools, factories and office buildings in the other 47 states. It's an exciting thought!
In selecting a floor for the new American States Insurance Building, the architect and owners insured themselves for a lifetime of flooring beauty and serviceability by specifying Robbins LIFETIME Vinyl throughout.

Beautiful, harmonizing colors, captured in 100% homogeneous Robbins Vinyl, will be a source of pride for many years. Color and pattern go all the way through each tile so that they never wear off. Occasional damp mopping and buffing will preserve the bright new look for a lifetime.

The contractor found that the perfect squareness of each Robbins tile provided fast, efficient installation of the 60,800 square feet which was installed in the beautiful new home of American States Insurance Company.
ROBBINS LIFETIME Vinyl TILE

Architect:
McGuire & Shook
Indianapolis, Indiana

Robbins Distributor:
E. F. Marburger & Son, Indianapolis

ROBBINS FLOOR PRODUCTS, INC.
TUSCUMBIA (Muscle Shoals) ALABAMA
Now more than ever before...
There is no equal to

Introducing Another In a Series of
Major Fenestration Achievements

**LUDMAN AUTO-LOK MODEL B**
with Torque Bar and POWER-LIGHT Operator

Showing all vents closed and locked, with fresh air night vent automatically left open. Torque bar operation is required only to bring in bottom night vent. Pin B engaging Keepers A on each vent eliminate the necessity for any pressure being exerted on hinge points of all other vents, as occurs on other awning type windows, enabling LUDMAN Auto-Lok windows to last for the life of the building.

**POWER-LIGHT operator** (available in both over-the-sill and angle types). Note cross section showing nearly four tooth engagement of strip-proof worm thread gear and oil impregnated powdered metal (bronze and steel) gear cast into operator arm (see shaded area)

LUDMAN'S MODEL B with torque bar operation Auto-Lok Window, retains all fundamental operating principles of Auto-Lok Standard Model A Window.

Refer to SWEET'S FILE 16

**OTHER AWNING TYPE WINDOWS WITH TORQUE BAR**

Where there are no locking devices pulling in vents, pressure must be exerted on hinge points of those vents (see 1 and 2 on adjacent illustration) that are closed first in order to bring in the other vents. This excessive pressure will cause wear and tear on hinge points and will throw vents out of alignment.

Minor adjustments can be made a few times, but ultimately it will be impossible because of the constant pressure on hinge points and limits of adjustments to secure permanent closure.

LUDMAN Corporation, Dept. AIA-12, North Miami, Florida

WORLD LEADER IN WINDOW ENGINEERING
High temperature drop system requires smaller piping and less building space—another important savings in initial cost.

Hot water system with high temperature drop requires smaller circulating pumps. Result—reduced installation and operating costs.

ventilator with cover removed. Water heat permits use of control valve which results in lower cost and closer control.

We've been in "HOT WATER" for years!

Herman Nelson Unit Ventilators Proved In Hot Water Heating Service

Completed in 1951, Cincinnati's Riverside-Harrison Elementary School is typical of many schools that enjoy such cost-cutting and comfort features as forced hot water heat and Herman Nelson Unit Ventilators.

Hot water and unit ventilators began working as a "team" several years ago when Herman Nelson engineers developed the first high efficiency hot water heating element for unit ventilators—a 4-pass serpentine coil designed for high temperature drop.

So, if your choice is hot water, remember—only Herman Nelson Unit Ventilators permit you to take full advantage of its economies and still maintain highest standards of classroom heating, ventilating and cooling. For complete information, write Herman Nelson Unit Ventilator Products, American Air Filter Company, Inc., Louisville 8, Ky.
Everything Locked Together!

LOXIT FLOOR-LAYING SYSTEM

The versatility of the Loxit Floor Laying System effectively meets every wood floor condition. A favorite with progressive architects, engineers, contractors and builders. Easily and quickly installed. Requires no special tools. Will remain permanently beautiful with reasonable care. Write today for literature, samples and recommendations.

LOXIT SYSTEMS, INC. • 1217 W. WASHINGTON BLVD. • CHICAGO 7, ILL.
IT'S RANDOM SHADES OF MATICO PARQUETRY ASPHALT TILE — not expensive wood block flooring

MATICO PARQUETRY surprises a lot of people . . . in a way they like to be surprised. For now . . . at the low, low price of asphalt tile . . . they can have the luxurious beauty of expensive parquet flooring!

Low initial cost . . . low cost of upkeep . . . excellent resilience underfoot . . . outstanding resistance to stains, scratches and water . . . remarkable durability . . . MATICO PARQUETRY is ideal for virtually every type of installation.

MATICO PARQUETRY can be installed on, above or below grade. Four desirable shades — walnut, mahogany, maple and oak—fit with all types of decor. Write department 12-12 today for full data and specifications!

MASTIC TILE CORPORATION OF AMERICA
Member: Asphalt Tile Institute
Joliet, Ill. • Long Beach, Calif. • Newburgh, N. Y.
Indiana Limestone

In it the warm beauty of nature is as evident as in the loveliest cross-country vista. With its soft, natural colors, uniquely uniform-texture, and remarkable freedom from impurities, Indiana Limestone offers the ultimate expression for your most distinguished designs in every type of building. That's why Indiana Limestone is to be found in so many of the nation's most beautiful structures. Still reasonable in cost . . . still immediately available . . . it is still

The Nation's Building Stone

INDIANA LIMESTONE

INDIANA LIMESTONE INSTITUTE P. O. BOX 471, BEDFORD, INDIANA

You are invited to make full and frequent use of our technical counsel without expense or obligation
WHEN FLOORING PROBLEMS ARE DROPPED ON YOUR LAP...
CALL ON THE KENTILE, INC. FLOORING REPRESENTATIVE

WHY? because floors can be a sound investment or far too costly—depending on how well-suited they are to the area in which they will be used. For example, a corridor requires certain flooring features...cafeterias need different ones...base-

ments must meet other standards.

And, because your decisions affect the finished job...it will pay you to consult with the fully qualified Kentile, Inc. Flooring Representative. For his name and address, write the nearest office listed below.
Houston's building code once required a 13-inch thick exterior wall. Not any more. The selection of a 7/8" Georgia Etowah Pink Marble veneer for the new Anderson Hospital permitted a width reduction of four inches that still met the four hour fire rating. The code was changed, and 3400 square feet of usable floor space were gained.

This is only the beginning. Georgia Marble's dense, crystalline structure will eliminate practically all maintenance problems. Its sparkling beauty will endure the extremes of climate for generations.

Over thirty varieties of marble and limestone are available from the Georgia Marble Company. Sales representatives, located throughout the country, can provide you full information on the use of these products for modern or conventional construction. These services are yours without obligation.
Philosophy—Variable or Constant?

By Robert Woods Kennedy

At the last convention of The American Institute of Architects there was held a panel discussion called, "The Changing Philosophy of Architecture." The contestants, and the audience, were not asked whether architectural philosophy is changing. The assumption implicit in the title is that our philosophy is in a continuous state of flux. Is this, in fact, the case?

A philosophy, in the sense used here, means that body of principles underlying a major discipline. If this body is changing, then it must be due to changes in some or all of its component principles. What are the principles of this dulcet art? And in what state of locomotion?

One of them, surely, is that which affirms the primacy of planning for human use. We recognize this, nowadays, under the heading "function." But the idea was well known to Leonardo da Vinci—we do not know under what name—perhaps something as esoteric as "common sense." We feel that we have made great strides in functional planning. There has been progress. But this progress has been in fitting our buildings to our evolving culture. The principle involved, that buildings should reflect human needs, has not changed in the least.

Another principle, so well put by Louis Sullivan, affirms the interdependence of function and form. Sullivan thought of form, in the first instance, as structure. Structure is highly dependent on the tools and resources we have at our command at any one place and moment. It is thus closely linked to the human demands made on buildings at the same point in time and space. Again, the results of the working of the principle are infinitely varied. But the principle itself has never changed.

A third principle affirms that the expressive content of architecture be a true statement, from a single individual's point of view, of his relationship to his physical and cultural environment. We
seek to see mirrored in architecture a reflection of ourselves, through our sense of our own culture, and of another—the creator, through his interpretation of it. Architecture is a form of communication and thus must involve, in clearly recognizable terms, oneself, and another.

This conversation which goes on between the artist and his audience involves, as do all good conversations, speculation as to the future based on our experience of the present and on our sense of the past. Thus, a fourth principle affirms the importance of nostalgia and prophecy, as well as of interchange à la mode. We are in transition, even though the principles on which our actions are based are not.

A fifth principle is that which affirms the primacy of the local place. Architecture must fit its locality, as it functions, in its form, and as expression. Every building is used, seen and felt in a frame and, in its turn, forms part of the frame for another.

Finally, there is the principle which affirms the interdependence of means and ends. Certain classes of structure—filling stations, for example—cannot achieve architecture. They are advertisements, both in intent and in fact. Likewise, documentary and academic sources cannot be used as tools for the creation of architecture. Their primary purposes are standardization and information, not creation. And here, too, is a principle which is as old as architecture, less changed than the pyramids of Cheops, less changed than its latest manifestations.

These major principles underlying the discipline of architecture are highly interdependent. Their interrelationships are complex and far-reaching. We must, in sheer self-defense, treat them as a single tightly knit body—in short, as a philosophy. The fact that they are inextricably intertwined leads to some curious vulgarizations. Thus we have the “organic theory of architecture,” which sounds (purposefully, perhaps?) more like a patent medicine than like the classic, unpatentable principles which phrase attempts to encompass.

The principles underlying the discipline of architecture have not changed since the first piece of architecture was perceived as such. Were they to change, we could no longer see our architectural heritage as architecture—it would be—
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come simply other sticks and stones. And, by definition, our philosophy of architecture cannot be changed. Why, then, the oft-made assumption that it is changing? The confusion is, surely, between practice and precept, between principle and action. While the principles do not change, everything else does. Style changes, techniques change, materials appear and disappear, but our sense of architecture remains constant. And the real subject for discussion is: how can we apply the classic principles to the ever changing demands of our moment? For the practice of architecture is a continuing attempt to arrange a series of variables (technical, social and economic) in an invisible but consciously perceived pattern, the emotional result of which is a constant. The principles which, together, go to form the body of architectural philosophy are no more, nor less, than the intellectual tools we use in this endeavor.

Architectural Education Abroad and at Home
By John Stetson

This writer, being a mere practising architect of very limited success and without a wall covered with degrees, does here-with take pen in hand to rib, jest with, or good naturedly blast our American education system as opposed to first-hand observations of over a dozen of Europe’s best schools, gained through visits made this year. With set teeth, I do hereby absolve The American Institute of Architects of any responsibility due to bodily harm stemming herefrom, have made my last will and testament, and have directed disposal of the body. Let the chips fall where they may.

Since the last Great War, as have thousands of other offices, my practice has occasioned the hiring of draftsmen, designers, and other architects. At the time help was sought, it was my impression that, in addition to offering a slight remuneration for services rendered (which I naturally expected to be given to the limit of my employee’s ability), I would give whole-hearted assistance to the furthering of this person’s experience, and when employment was terminated.
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be most happy to include a letter of recommendation for a job well done.

In my case, time has produced many situations. I am humbly appreciative of the fact that twenty or more graduates of this era have stepped down from their lofty perches long enough to give of their tremendous educational and experience background. Of course we disagreed as to starting salary (I still like to make a few bucks more a week than my newest employee), and as to the merits of the design on which they were to start working drawings. By compromise, I agreed to pay them many more times their worth; they finally promised to spend no more than half their working hours redesigning an approved project; and we finally got to the stage of getting the great one settled at a drafting-board. After several weeks of introduction to this weird new world of T-squares, triangles and tracing-paper, our hero discovered that there was something more to architecture than pretty pictures and theories. This coming as such a shock produced one of several results: he quit in disgust; he demanded more money; he asked to be put on strictly a designer’s job; or he took a good client by the hand, leading him away and into his own new office.

So, after many bitter experiences, I have reached the conclusion that the practising architect is being used as a stepping-stone (and a muddy one) by our graduates on their climb up the stairway to success. With Wright, Le Corbusier, Niemyer, etc., as their gods (possibly rightfully so), and classifying themselves only slightly below the Great Ones, our magnanimous maestros of articulate architecture go forth from our institutions of higher learning convinced that the vast majority of the profession are strictly nincompoops. Armed with theory, a wonderful ability to crib from the existing, and a head filled with the void of non-practical experience, they descend each spring and summer on a profession crying for good men of admitted limited knowledge, willing to work hard and learn a lot during the process. What would happen to the professions of law and medicine if their graduates tobogganed on the public intent to “take over”?

How do I have the audacity to come up with such statements? Only because I have seen the answer, and a working one, to a problem almost every practising archi-
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tect within our shores is only too aware exists. The answer, a closer look at, and the incorporation into our educational system, of Europe's lead in this field. Ask the man who has been blessed by a graduate of Professor Hess' Zurich School or from the Royal Danish Academy. Interview a man who went to school where the science of putting materials together in an economical and sound manner was stressed equally with the necessity of designing swimming-pools in the shape of a piano, or laying out a building group to approximate the outline of a sketch Einstein might do of the composition of an atom. Believe me, it is a pleasure to talk to a young man these days who at least shows some humility and a consideration of the knowledge gained by experience usually found in an employing architect. It is an even greater pleasure to find a young graduate with a working knowledge of the everyday problems involved in the operation of an office.

❖

Many years ago the medical profession discovered the necessity of requiring an internship for its graduates. Even prior to graduation, the medical student is required to sit in at operations, and over a period of time dissect a cadaver. On the other hand, we have graduated men whose only working knowledge of materials was gained by a casual glance at some tile samples lying in an attic storeroom. True, in courses dealing with materials and methods of construction, field trips and lectures cover a multitude of possibilities. But, actual contact with these materials by the medium of labor on a construction job, coupled with the colorful description of a designers' parents' marital status, etc., given vociferously by a disgruntled construction foreman unhappily trying to make a design work out, does more to accent an education than all the degreed professors in the world. The European educators, being aware of these strange and unscientific facts, are finding a way to utilize them to the marked advantage of both the student and the profession.

A discussion with some of England's leading educators, at the Royal Institute's Convention at Torquay in May, added the punctuation to facts I had noted in visiting Madrid, Rome, Venice, Zurich, Munich, Stuttgart, Copenhagen, Stockholm, Edinburgh, and, of course, the Beaux-Arts in Paris.
The four- or five-year course has gone by the boards, as has the career professorship as we know it. Instead of a mass production of degree holders, they are seeking to turn out architects. I found no major difference in the type of system used at Eidgenossische Technische Hochschule at Zurich, with minor exceptions, than that generally adopted by the other schools on the Continent. So, using that school as a criterion, it seems best to enumerate some of its features.

Professor Hess is blessed with no more physical space and considerably fewer full-time professors than our average university. As do the other Continental schools, he more than makes up for the dearth of the latter by using practising architects of noted achievement, locally available for lectures and critiques, supplementing their endeavors by importing well known professionals from other cities and countries. A student is familiarized with the inner workings of the profession from the start. Rather than put emphasis on pretty drawings or skyscrapers with cubed facades, the young student makes simple, freehand drawings of buildings he is accustomed to seeing on every hand. Generally, these are chalet-type small homes and inns. His first working drawings are of these simple structures. Even construction details receive this freehand treatment. The resulting drawings are unbelievably accurate and far clearer than many I have seen turned out by oldtimers.

Here too, as at the other schools, just a given number of semesters, coupled with the completion of a certain number of projects, does not qualify a man for a degree. At last there are educators willing to admit the necessity of practical experience both for the student and for the educator. While the Royal Academy at Copenhagen chooses its dean from among the outstanding practising men of the community, Zurich, in choosing Professor Hess, has followed the pattern of some of our more progressive schools in selecting a man well respected in the field of education, but predominantly successful as a practising architect over many years. His assistants follow the same pattern.

At Edinburgh, with 220 students, the staff consists of seventeen professors, all practising architects, and three others who come in for lectures only. At Northern Polytechnic in London, over 450 full- and part-time students rate twenty
full-time professors, fifteen to twenty practising architects for lectures, and four specialists. The famed Beaux-Arts now has over 2400 architectural students alone. Its seven-year course, requiring two years of study preliminary to admission to the major courses, also includes two years' practice in an architect's office. It has only twenty-five professors full time, and fourteen Paris architects give of their time at the school. All in all, English schools seem most nearly like ours.

True, no one knows me from Adam's house cat, so who am I to speak out? But then again, usually I am not personally acquainted with the hen from whom was derived the egg that I diagnose as spoiled. This was primarily an observation, and my conclusion is only that of a possible employer of some few future graduates. Nevertheless, I am firmly convinced that the future of our profession is none too bright without a closer cooperation and understanding between the educator and the practising professional. The continuing increase in our college-age group throws an almost impossible burden on our educators. Prosperity and a huge nation-wide building program are sending graduates and teachers into the greener pastures of practice. I predict that in the very near future we will either volunteer our services, as our European brothers have done, or find ourselves drafted to serve the cause of education in assisting in the production of a finished graduate of which both the educator and the profession can be justly proud.

Copyright Protection of an Architect's Design

By William Stanley Parker, F.A.I.A.

The Spring 1954 issue of Law and Contemporary Problems, School of Law, Duke University, treats in great detail the problem of "Copyright Protection of Architectural Plans, Drawings and Designs" in an article by Arthur S. Katz, author of various articles on copyright law and constitutional law. An architect, in venturing to review this authoritative article, is certainly getting beyond his legal depth but being an architect may perhaps interpret it better for architects than the lawyer treading water in the depths of architectural practice.

The ample legal citations will
certainly be left to serve the architect's lawyer. All that will be attempted is to find the nub of the matter as it appears to interest the architect. One sentence the profession will greet with a wistful smile. "By its very nature, no modern structure designed for human use or habitation may be built without reference to the technical writings of an architect." How we wish that were true!

The distinction between statutory copyright and common-law copyright is described at length. Quoting Drone, the author says:

"The former exists only in works which have been published within the meaning of the statute, and the latter only in works which have not been so published. In the former case, ownership is limited to a term of years; in the latter it is perpetual. The two rights do not coexist in the same composition. When the statutory right begins, the common-law right ends. Both may be defeated by publication. Thus, when a work is published in print, the owner's common-law rights are lost; and, unless the publication be in accordance with the requirements of the statute, the statutory right is not secured."

For the architect this immediately raises the question "What constitutes publication of an architect's design?"

In spite of a New York Appellate Division case, the author argues that "the filing of architectural plans for building permit purposes should be held, at most, to be a limited publication," and hence should not be held to divest the architect of his common-law rights.

The author also contends, in spite of decisions of the Missouri Appellate Court and a Philadelphia City Court, that the building of a structure from hitherto unpublished plans, and its exposure to public gaze, should not be considered a publication that destroys the common-law copyright in the plans. He argues that "these cases are wrong in principle and destructive of an architect's intellectual property." He adds, "From the business point of view, these decisions are unjust. And as concerns the law, they are unsound. This contention is supported by an examination of the authorities on the concept of publication."

The analysis that follows is of more interest to lawyers than architects. At this point the architect may well say, "All right then, what can I do to protect my copyright in my design?" The author seems to answer such a query as
follows. The architect should “affix the appropriate statutory notice of copyright in the proper place on each plan, drawing or design before it is filed for building permit purposes.” That would meet the first requirement and permit the architect to register a claim to statutory copyright, a right existing in this country, the author states, “only since the passage of the copyright Act of 1909.” However, he adds, “It is not yet clear, to what extent, if any, this statute protects the completed structure itself.” The author asserts that “other persons may build the structures he has designed. However, they must build these structures without copying his copyrighted plans, drawings and designs. This is the sole effective measure of protection available to the architect.”

It is apparently not necessary to trace the architect’s drawings as the author states that “if one paces off distances, measures angles, takes photographs, makes sketches and notes, and, from these varied acts proceeds to put the information thus garnered on to paper in the form of a plan, drawing or design, an infringement by copying has occurred.” By legal process, it appears, the “court will order the destruction of all infringing copies” thus preventing their use for securing a building permit or a loan, and for use on the job.

Thus we see the legal line drawn solely against the copying of the plans. Apparently if the structure could be built without any plans, that would be permissible under the law. And to secure the limited protection from copying of his plans the architect must carefully follow the technical requirements of publishing his plans with valid notice of copyright, register a claim of copyright, and duly deposit two copies in the copyright office.

The writer is unaware of any trouble of this sort in the field of relatively small houses. Any architect whose designs are likely to be copied without permission will be interested to study this treatise in detail and learn of the damages he may collect (limited by statute to $5,000), and thus form an opinion of the value that inheres in the technical routine which is required if he is to protect his copyright.

Use of customary standard clauses in his agreement with his client and in the related construction contract will protect him entirely from unauthorized use of
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his plans by his client and by the client’s contractor. It is, therefore, only their unauthorized use by third parties with which he will have any concern. It will be up to each architect to decide whether the chance of infringement is sufficient to warrant the copyright routine required for the limited protection provided.

Does your own community need a Jeremiah also?

Call to Arms

By Paul Thiry, F.A.I.A.

A talk before the Congress of the Allied Arts of Seattle, Penthouse Theatre, October 3, 1954.

We live in a new country. In every direction, we look out beyond our own enterprises to a panorama of lakes, of waterways, and of mountains. We are surrounded by unsurpassed beauty. Here lived wild animals and primitive peoples for centuries without change. Ours is an inheritance of wealth, of forests, of minerals, of plentiful water and of rich land. Now we disturb the scene: we level the hills, fill and bypass the streams; we fell the timber, and where the flats laid serene, stand our factories.

What have we done? What will we do to replace what we destroy? The air becomes laden with dirt, the ground and the waters become polluted—and, given time, the beauty that is our inheritance may disappear from the face of the earth.

As I see the new highways and bridges, the influx of new people; and as I see the urbanites driven away from the city by the obsolescence of its buildings, the widening of its streets, by roar and filth, out into the suburbs, only to see the suburbs swallowed up; as I see houses encroaching on the very foothills of the Cascades I am inclined to ask what is going to happen to all of this. Is it going to resolve itself into a mere ant hill, a center of feverish activity, a maze of twigs and sticks, a conglomerate mess of people crawling all over each other; or is it going to have order and be a place worthy of human kind?

Sometimes, I question, when the arterials are put through and the quiet becomes noisy, and the trees are rooted up and not replaced, whether all of this is really neces-
sary, whether all of this follows a plan; whether it really is our destiny, or whether we are fatalistic and accept what we believe to be the inevitable. Perhaps we accept the false.

We are told we have over-all planning—comprehensive planning. Well we do have rudimentary planning, but because ours is basically a spade-work activity, I prefer to call it “overalls planning.” Without the practical aspects of culture, of beauty, these plans do not inspire.

It is time the sensitive people are heard from. It is time they stop running away. Perhaps, it is time they had a voice in the molding of their environment. It can’t all be wiring and plumbing and streets and sewers.

You might ask what is the Planning Commission doing? Well, I can say it is coordinating, it is attempting to set up districts—residential, commercial, industrial. It is interesting in zoning, in land use. It is trying to make an integrated plan. But as you know, our Planning Commission has no authority to enforce its plans. The Commission is advisory. As we all know, advice is only good to those who listen, or to those who seek it. Few do. The County has its own planning; most towns look to themselves. Because we know that before long we must consider all towns and cities of the Puget Sound area as one, we have created the Puget Sound Regional Planning Council to correlate and make comprehensive our joint and mutual interests—in the development of roads, parkways, parks, etc. But strangely enough, our own mindful and wise county fathers have been first to turn it down. They still seem to want to look at the hills and the valleys without too much concern. They apparently want the mushroom life interrelated to the county road.

We must see this whole thing Big—for it is as big as the eye can see. We are surrounded by ranges of mountains. Some can see beyond, but now all must see the full scope within.

We should not only be interested in what we do, but, most particularly, how we do it. We need ladies and gentlemen interested in the Country Beautiful and here in Seattle in the City Beautiful. I am thinking of what others see when they look at us.

Thank the Lord for the visionary citizens of some forty years
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ago who gave us Woodland Park, Volunteer Park, and our fast disappearing Boulevard system; for such short stretches of widened tree-lined streets as Ravenna Boulevard and Seventeenth Avenue, N. E.!

As a city of half a million people—potentially two millions—where are the plans for our tomorrow, for our Embarkadero, our Civic Mall and Promenade, our Central Park? Where are all the so-called unnecessary necessities that make for a noble city? Where are our public buildings plans? Where are the proper and adequate sites for our future Music Hall, our Festival Halls, our Museum of Natural History, our Aquarium, our Planetarium and countless other Civic buildings that are to come? Because we are yet surrounded by the wilderness, we can escape, but if we are not careful our few parks will become isolated unreal places amidst a scene of grotesque shapes of wood and steel, of pavements and upward juttings of lamp posts, light poles, television antennae and billboards, all cloaked in an aura of smoke.

As we ride along the waterfront on our most recent collosus (viaduct), and enjoy the awesome spectacle of the Sound, let us not be misled by the view. Let us go beneath and see the shadows and darkness and the litter; let us look at the human beings who are dedicated to work in the atmosphere of our creation; let us look at the buildings that must abut it; let us contemplate the sign hangers who crave to beautify it; and let us ask ourselves how much sensitivity was employed in its design. Be mindful—this is only the first half of the structure; there are many things to come—immensely expensive things to come that merit your prompt attention.

We need the interest of the people of the arts, not only of painting and sculpture and music and architecture, but of the great art of living—of good living. We need an environment that is the direct result of our cultural aspirations. We need places that pulsate with the music of life. In our destruction, we need creation. It can all be practical and beautiful too, if we will it. Great things can be brought about by people who have an interest in great things.
Honors

RICHARD J. NEUTRA, F.A.I.A., has been awarded, through the Technical University of Berlin, an honorary degree of Doctor of Technical Sciences.

FRANCIS KEALLY, F.A.I.A., has been awarded the Freedom Bell Model “in appreciation of the particular honor which the recipient earned as consulting architect in the planning and construction of the American Memorial Library—Berlin Central Library . . .”

The library is one of the first to be erected abroad as a gift of the American people. In its entrance lobby is cut the following quotation from Thomas Jefferson: “This institution will be based on the ilimitable freedom of the human mind. For here we are not afraid to follow truth wherever it may lead, nor to tolerate any error so long as reason is left to combat it.”

FRANCIS KEALLY, F.A.I.A., has also received the following citation: “The Alumni Federation of Carnegie Institute of Technology bestows on Francis Keally the Award of Merit in recognition of his achievements in the field of architecture which have brought credit to him and to his alma mater.”

Dudok Deprecates

IN RECEIVING A BOOK, illustrating his work and marking the anniversary of his seventieth birthday, at Hilversum, Willem M. Dudok said:

“Too much praise is bad for a man; it is as bad for the soul as too much wealth is bad for the body. I do not stand so firmly in my shoes as did Johannes Brahms, who was highly praised and miserably abused in his day, but who—if we believe his biographer—let both praise and abuse ooze down his feathers with dignity and strength of character, because he knew his own value. Alas! in my case it is not like that at all. Praise still makes me intensely happy and abuse makes me utterly miserable.

“In the past few weeks I have received an extraordinary amount
of praise and I am grateful indeed for it, but I hope I have too much common sense to become conceited. Some of it oozes down my feathers, nevertheless, because deep in my heart I feel I do not deserve such a tribute as this. After all, I have done too little."

Mentioning some of the projects which he had designed but which had not been realized, Dudok went on to say:

"Partly I blame those people who were my antagonists, but most of all I blame myself. A really great man creates the conditions of his own life to a far greater extent than I have ever done. Truly, I feel that I do not deserve this great tribute."

**Simplified Dimensioning**

*By Albert M. Dreyfuss*

**In the past few years** (as well as the past few hundred years), there have been numerous movements to simplify architectural drafting. Everything from simplified drafting standards to modular coordinates have been suggested, and in many cases adopted.

I propose a simplification that is neither original nor new. It has the simplicity of the metric system, without completely discarding our present dimensioning systems. I suggest that we use feet and decimal parts of feet, in place of the ordinary feet, inches, and fractions of inches.

This system has numerous advantages. It makes it more easily possible to add a string of figures either by computer or by hand. It would simplify calculations, particularly in the laying out of curved walls or portions of buildings not at right angles to one another. (This would be even more effective in steel-fabrication drawings than in architectural drawings.) There would be less chance of error in interpreting the plans, since all measurements would be in feet or parts of feet. It would make the checking of drawings much simpler and would make the use of a computer much more practical in an office. It would make it possible for one scale divided into tenths and hundredths of feet to be used for all
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scales in a complete set of architectural drawings.

Now isn't it more simple to read 5.87' instead of 5'10\(\frac{1}{2}\)''? And suppose we had a square whose sides were each 5'10\(\frac{1}{2}\)'' and whose area we wished to find. Certainly, in that case, the decimal system is far superior, for with feet and inches it is necessary to convert to decimals to make the calculation and then back to feet and inches for placing on the drawing.

Just look at the following columns of figures and see how much easier it is to add with the decimal system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feet</th>
<th>Inches</th>
<th>Total Feet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10(\frac{1}{4})''</td>
<td>1.85'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7(\frac{3}{8})''</td>
<td>9.66'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9(\frac{1}{2})''</td>
<td>3.79'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3(\frac{5}{8})''</td>
<td>15.30'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Follow your mental processes in the first operation. You must convert all fractions to a common denominator, in this case eighths. Adding, you find thirteen eighths or one and five-eighths inches. Now adding the inches, you find there are twenty-seven inches. From this you must take twenty-four inches or two feet, leaving three inches, and then add the feet column! As you can see, the second column of figures can be added by a simple addition or, if the columns were longer, on an adding machine.

Anyone who has had to calculate arcs or chords or offset lines with the laying out of curved walls, or has had to calculate distances by means of the trigonometric functions, can readily see the advantage of a decimal system.

The system of scales which might be used with the decimal system could be very similar to those now in common use. For one-eighth inches equals a foot, we could substitute one equals one hundred. For one-fourth inches equals one foot, substitute one to fifty. For one-half inch equals one foot, substitute one to twenty. For one inch equals a foot, use one to ten. Using the scales suggested would of course change the sizes of the drawings somewhat but it would be possible with a single one-foot rule divided into ten parts, those ten parts divided into hundredths and the hundredths into five parts each, to work at any of the suggested scales. This elimination of the many scales in use by architects today might be enough in itself to make the system practical.

The greatest objection to the system would probably be from the
draftsmen who would find it takes some time to convert their knowledge of standard sizes in feet and inches to feet and tenths of feet. This, however, is a minor problem and can either be handled by a simple approximate converting system, accurate to an eighth of an inch, or by a conversion table.

The approximate system mentioned above consists of knowing that 6" is \( \frac{1}{2} \) or .5 of a feet, 3" is \( \frac{3}{4} \) or .25', 9" is \( \frac{3}{4} \) or .75', and \( \frac{7}{8} \)" is approximately equal to .01'. Always work from the nearest quarter of a foot, figure the number of eighths times .01 and then add or subtract as the case may be. For example, 7\( \frac{1}{8} \)" is 6" plus 9/8 or .5 plus .09 or .59'. 8\( \frac{5}{8} \)" equals 9" minus \( \frac{3}{8} \)" or .75 minus .03 or .72'.

An additional reason for the adoption of this system was given me by my stenographer who, after having typed this article, extolled highly the advantages of typing decimals over that of typing feet and inches. As I mentioned early in this article, this system is neither new nor original. Mr. Samuel G. Wiener, Mr. Aubrey E. Butler (with whom I was associated the past few years practising architecture) and I have discussed it many times and have invariably reached the same conclusions. It is simple, it could easily be put into use, and best of all it works!

❖

Ford Foundation Fellowships

The Ford Foundation announces the opening of its Foreign Study and Research Fellowship competition for the academic year 1955-56. These awards are for study and research dealing with three areas: Africa, Asia and the Near East, and Soviet Russian and Eastern Europe. The purpose is to increase the number of Americans professionally competent to interpret the cultures, histories and current problems of these foreign areas. The fellowships cover from one to three years of postgraduate work, either here or abroad, and are open to U. S. citizens and to aliens permanently residing here who can give substantial evidence of their intention to become citizens. Further details and application forms may be had from the Ford Foundation, Foreign Study and Research Fellowship Program, 477 Madison Avenue, New York 22, N. Y. Applications must be filed by January 7, 1955.
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1954 National Honor Awards Program

Special Mention by the Jury of the Best Development House
Carl Koch, Architect
"The Lights that Failed"

A Production in 1904 of The Thumb Tacks Theatrical Troupe, School of Architecture, University of Pennsylvania

Indiana's State Building Code
By George Caleb Wright, F.A.I.A.

It is difficult to glamorize the building code subject sufficiently to attract the attention of the architect reader. However, the building code is so persuasive in directing the architect's activities that it becomes a matter of first importance to him. In fact, if the architect is one of those who takes seriously his civic obligations, and has found the outlet for this seriousness in the business of code writing, it becomes a fairly exciting enterprise.

Two factors were compelling in attempting an outline of the Indiana Code. First: It was believed that other states were considering, or were in the process of preparing state codes, and that the Indiana experience might be of interest to the architects in those states. Second: It was felt that the Indiana experience, which dates back to 1923, was of sufficient duration to warrant some positive conclusions.

It is interesting to note that the first crying need which stirred the building industry into code activity was the one of uniformity. The important matter of flexibility was a later consideration. The attentive reader will note the mention of the building industry. The Indiana Building Code is the product of the industry and not of any of its branches. It is almost essential that it be so. In the first place, it is a matter of sound political mathematics. You appear before any legislative body in the interest of a bill, and almost the first request is that you identify yourself and whom you represent. If you represent four hundred voting architects, you may be greeted with a polite but meaningless smile. If you are appearing in the interests of twenty-five thousand voters of the building industry, you are given keen attention. More particularly, the purpose of engaging the interest of the entire industry rests in the old philosophy of checks and balances. The resultant is not going to be one that leans too seriously in one direction. It stands erect and favors none.
The initial bill presented to the Indiana General Assembly provided for the creation of a Commission whose job would be to codify existing building rules and regulations, and to add to them to create a State Building Code for presentation to the succeeding session of the Assembly. The code was to be an act of legislation. As has been suggested, the matter of flexibility had not yet entered the picture. The thing of concern to the industry was a code common to all parts of the state. Fortunately, the bill was buried in the House, after passing the Senate. This is the point at which to interject the obvious warning: Avoid a code by legislative enactment. The result is an unwieldy thing, unalterable except by act of the legislature (a very difficult thing to do), and certainly incapable of bending readily to meet rapidly changing materials and methods. It soon becomes archaic, and a very heavy stone about the neck of the designer. Better no code than one by act of the legislature.

By 1923, the element of flexibility had entered the considerations of the proponents. The bill then successfully presented contained the essentials of our present Administrative Building Council. There have, of course, been some changes. However, these are largely minor in nature and not of enough significance to detail here.

The Administrative Building Council consists of two bodies, the Administrative Committee, and the Advisory Committee. The Administrative Committee is made up ex officio of the chairman of the Industrial Board, the Secretary of the State Board of Health, and the State Fire Marshal. These are the representatives of those departments of state government most concerned with building regulations. The Advisory Committee is composed of three representatives from each of four groups comprising the building industry—architects, engineers, contractors, and building mechanics. These members of the Advisory Committee have generally been recommended by their respective state organizations, and the Governor has generally followed the recommendations. In the cases of the engineers, contractors, and mechanics, the effort has been to have each branch of the trade represented. Thus the contractor members will be a general contractor, an electrical contractor, and a plumbing and heating contractor.

The act prescribed that the
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Council should have jurisdiction over existing laws; but, more particularly, should have the power, jurisdiction, and authority to fix "reasonable standards, rules, regulations, classifications" of places of employment and public buildings. In brief, the writing and enforcement of a State Building Code was placed in the hands of the Council, and flexibility was obtained by permitting it to change the code by properly promulgated official rules and regulations. As will be seen, this does not become too flexible, too easily changed. A too easy changeability is a distinct hazard to be avoided.

The first act of the Council after organization was the preparation of a basic code. This went through one or two forms before its present shape was achieved. Before becoming effective, it had to go through proper legal channels, concluding in a public hearing and promulgation.

I believe the reader who has had the fortitude to read thus far will be most interested in procedure relative to changes in the code. A new material or method is presented to the Council, usually by a manufacturer or one of the technical professions. The matter is listened to in detail by the entire Council, with comments, and then referred to the proper committee of the Advisory Committee. These committees are generally made up of representatives of each group. In some instances where purely technical matters are concerned, this is not so. The committee to whom the problem is assigned makes its research and reports to the Council at its next meeting. The report makes definite recommendations upon which the Advisory Committee acts.

The Advisory Committee only recommends to the Administrative Committee of three. Final action is taken by the Administrative Committee. It should be noted here that the Administrative Committee has (except in one instance, so far as I know), accepted the recommendation of the Advisory Committee. I think this can be readily appreciated. To over-rule the recommendation of a group such as composes the Advisory Committee would be a very hazardous thing for political appointees to do. As a matter of fact, in the instance of the single time I recall, the Administrative Committee reversed its first decision and
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accepted the advice of the Advisory Committee.

After acceptance by the Administrative Committee, it is referred to the Attorney General's office and is put into proper legal language, or else is rejected by that office as unconstitutional. After approval by the Attorney General it goes to the Governor for approval, and this is largely a formality. A public hearing is properly advertised and held. Incidentally, the public hearing is also, in general, a formality. Usually no one appears. This, we may conclude, is because interested parties feel that they have been well represented by virtue of the very make-up of the Council. After the hearing, there is final adoption by the Administrative Committee, and the promulgation in accordance with law; and then the new code becomes effective.

It must be apparent that the procedure and careful scrutiny by all branches of the industry makes it not too easy to change the code. However, changes are made by members of the industry who know what they are doing, rather than by non-technical farmers, lawyers, bookkeepers, etc., making up a state legislature. It is possible to speed up emergency changes, but these (so far) have not happened.

There is an Executive Director of the Council employed, and it is required that he be either a registered architect or a registered engineer. He functions much as does a city building commissioner. Plans and specifications for buildings, included by the act must be submitted to him for approval. The Council is also empowered to enforce the code. In Indiana, this matter of enforcement is a bit weak, in that the appropriation for the Council does not permit employment of inspectors. The act does impose upon local officials the responsibility of enforcement. In general, local officials were not aware of this, and a part of the Director's time has been devoted to educating them. At times, these local officials were very poorly qualified. At other times, the changing political winds blew out one poor official and blew in another, who was helplessly ignorant of his duties. It would be helpful if we could have inspectors at the state level who could pay particular attention to the smaller communities.

The State Building Code is a minimum code and applies to the entire state. Separate governmental
units (city, town, or county) may adopt the Code by resolution and may add more stringent requirements, but they may not modify it. So-called variances from the Code are possible. They generally arise in the active, fertile mind of some architect and are usually a peculiar design, method, or material not fully covered by the Code; or, in some instances may be contradictory to the Code but, naturally, something not anticipated when the Code was written. Appeals for variances generally come to the Director, who presents them to the Council. It is noteworthy that it must be a very good case and, particularly, one that does not lower the standards set by the Code, before such an appeal for variance is granted. The bulk of such appeals are denied. If it is a worthwhile item that the code has not covered fully, the procedure is to grant the variance and then to cover it by amendment to the Code.

A natural question is, "Is not the Council the object of pressure from all sorts of interests?" The answer is, "No." Due to the fashion in which it has functioned, and the character of the men on the Council, the Council has gained the confidence and respect of the industry. The few attempts to exercise pressure that have been made have failed; and the pressure boys have learned that there is only one proper way to approach the Council. Perhaps, more particularly, membership on the Council is not a political plum. It usually falls to a man of distinction in his branch of the industry, who accepts his appointment as an opportunity for public service. He is paid ten dollars per day for the days he works, which is a minimum of four a year. Thus, membership on the Council anticipates a financial contribution to the industry. It's rather difficult to put pressure on such members.

Details have been omitted. It's a rather dry outline, unless you are interested in the construction of building codes—and, by heavens, you should be! If you are, I feel that our Indiana experience has the elements inducing real excitement. It has the real value of thirty-one years of successful operation behind it. It isn't final perfection, all framed and hung on the wall—immutable and unchangeable. We in Indiana find leaks in the dike that require constant attention. And we must constantly patrol the dike even though no leaks appear. But—well, it works!
Louis Sullivan and His Younger Staff

By Willard Connely

The author is completing a new life of Sullivan, incorporating, with other material acquired from the Sullivan family and others, a few chapters that, with this one, are appearing in the JOURNAL.

After Elmslie left the Sullivan office in 1909, the master was fortunate indeed in the choice he made of the younger man to replace him. Parker Berry was talented beyond his years, a pupil as apt in picking up the Sullivan style of design as Frank Lloyd Wright had been twenty-two years before. Berry was such a swift and accurate draftsman that, what with the small amount of work in the office, Sullivan needed no other staff for two years. Then in June 1911 Homer Sailor, just out of the Illinois Institute of Technology, joined the office.

Berry and Sailor worked mostly on designs for banks, but (in 1913-15) did one store, the Van Allen Building, Clinton, Iowa. The method of work required by Sullivan was that all drawings and details be done on manila paper stretched on a drawing-board 28 by 42 inches. Staff then traced these pencil-drawings on linen, in which process Sullivan demanded the utmost accuracy. In the case of the Van Allen store, they had determined the center lines of the columns as 20' o.c., and the scale, \( \frac{1}{8} \) inch to a foot.

One day Sullivan stopped by Sailor’s desk and inquired:

“What center lines are those columns, Sailor?”

“Twenty feet, sir.”

Sullivan picked up Sailor’s scale, put it on the plan, and peered closely at the work. The last red line which he measured scaled 20', 2”.

“If those columns are twenty feet o.c.,” he objected, “draw them all exactly twenty feet. I don’t rush you on this work. But I expect all my drawings to be absolutely correct.”

Yet, unlike the case a generation earlier, in the great days of the designing of the Auditorium, Sullivan was no longer a martinet in the office. He was now kindly
to his staff. Their hours were nine to five, with one hour for lunch, and when the master came in of a morning he greeted his men good-humoredly. When visitors distinguished in the arts called, as they often did, sometimes architects, and again men in other arts, such as the sculptors Gutzon Borglum and Lorado Taft, Sullivan always brought them into the drafting-room and introduced them to his staff.

Homer Sailor upon a certain afternoon returned early from lunch, and was whiling away a few minutes in the library. He picked out a book of poetry called "Old-Fashioned Roses," of which at least the title at one time had borne a special interest for Sullivan. But he had in 1908 lost his famous rose-garden at Ocean Springs, Miss. Sailor observed that these poems were by James Whitcomb Riley. The book was a presentation copy, in the year 1900, and was inscribed:

To My Friend Louis H. Sullivan:
From the city's stifled streets,
To the country's cool retreats,
From the riot to the rest,
Where hearts beat the placidest.

James Whitcomb Riley

Sullivan chanced to step in, saw what his colleague was reading, and said that he, Sullivan, had forgotten he possessed such a book.

"Do you like poetry?" he asked.
Sailor nodded, and said, in jest, that the master could will the book to him. Sullivan took it up. He glanced through it. Then he signed his own name under Riley's, and handed the book to his young pupil.

"You might as well have it now," he said.

It was as if the very word, Roses, awakened recollections too sad to endure. The title of these poems had been quite another matter in 1900, in the heyday of his wedded life with Margaret, in their "cool retreats" down by Biloxi Bay. For all that, the gift to Sailor was a testament of the friendship between master and man.

In 1916, after Homer Sailor had enjoyed five years of training under Sullivan, and Parker Berry likewise for nearly seven years, both Berry and Sailor sat for the Illinois State Board examinations for architects. Happily for them, the problem in design was a small-town bank, with offices on its second floor. Berry finished his problem an hour ahead of the time allotted for it. The papers were marked on a basis of 200. Berry
made a perfect score; it was the first one given by the State Board. (Another candidate who was not a pupil of Sullivan, Edward Bennett, received the grade of 198.)

After Berry left the Sullivan office in the following year, he and Sailor planned to go into partnership. But Berry died in the epidemic of "Spanish influenza" in 1918, and the loss to American architecture, it may be said, was almost comparable to its loss in the untimely death of John Root in 1891. Berry knew the Sullivan idiom nearly as well as did the master himself.

In 1918, Sailor, being recommended as an architect by a kinsman, was on the point of being awarded a commission of considerable proportions for a bank, with offices on its upper floors. Although after qualifying as an architect two years earlier he had left Sullivan, owing to the lack of work in that office, he now thought it well, Berry having died, to re-associate himself with Sullivan in this sizable job. The master and Sailor called upon the president of the bank and his building committee to discuss plans.

In the course of the conversation, Sullivan suggested plate glass fronts for the tellers' cages. He had invented this style of designing, later so widely adopted. But the president, a man who unfortunately held views of his own, said the idea was "silly." Sullivan, after his fashion, resented the remark. He made no bones about informing the president that he was not progressive, and had a lot to learn. Nor did Sullivan's pride suffer him to apologize. The hapless history of the Owatonna high school, only a year before, repeated itself. Although Sullivan's scheme for the plate-glass fronts was right, his insistence on being right was not tactful, and it lost him and Sailor the commission, much to the embarrassment of both Sailor and his sponsor.

However, the friendship of Sullivan and Sailor did not end thereby. The master gave to his pupil, by way of souvenirs, many sketches and drawings, including, oddly enough, a pencil-sketch perspective of the ill-fated Owatonna high school. The last memento, presented in March 1919, was an inscribed photograph of the oil portrait of Sullivan by Frank Wagner.
THE ALTAR OF ST. WILLIAM'S CRYPT CHAPEL, ST. WILLIAM'S HALL, ST. JOHN'S SEMINARY, BRIGHTON, MASS. MAGINNIS AND WALSH AND KENNEDY, ARCHITECTS

Favorite Features of recently elected Fellows:
Eugene F. Kennedy, Jr., F.A.I.A.
Detail of Youth Study Center, Philadelphia, PA.

Carroll, Grisdale and Van Alen, Architects

Favorite Features of recently elected Fellows:
J. Roy Carroll, Jr., F.A.I.A.
Calendar

December 16-17: Meeting of the Executive Committee, Board of Directors, A.I.A., Washington, D. C.


April 23-30: Historic Garden Week in Virginia, the proceeds of which are to go to the restoration of Woodlawn Plantation. Further details from Mrs. Irving L. Matthews, Jefferson Hotel, Richmond 19, Va.


April 28-30: Regional Conference of the Western Mountain District, Camelback Inn, Phoenix, Ariz.

May 5-7: Regional Conference of the South Atlantic District, Fort Sumter Hotel, Charleston, S. C.

Building in the Netherlands

The Smithsonian Institution, through its Traveling Exhibition Services, is booking dates for an architectural exhibition with the above title. Here is a comprehensive showing of the architecture of postwar Holland, a country wherein the architecture cannot fail to be influenced by the fact that land is one of the scarcities and has to be largely reclaimed from the sea.

The collection, largely photographic, was sponsored by the Netherlands Government and by the Dutch architects through their Bond van Nederlandse Architecten. On this side of the water the exhibition is jointly sponsored by the Smithsonian Institution and The A.I.A.

After having been shown in Washington, the exhibition is scheduled for the following dates: Dec. 17-Jan. 9, Louisville, Ky.; Jan. 23-Feb. 15, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Ark.; March 1-22, open date; April 3-24, University of Oregon, Eugene, Ore.; May 8-31, open date; June 15-July 15, open date; Aug. 1-Sept. 15, open date; Oct. 1-26, University of Illinois, Urbana, Ill.; Nov. 9-30, Massachusetts State Association of Architects, Boston, Mass.

There are open dates beyond the Boston showing, through the first half of 1956. Further details may be had from Mrs. John A. Pope, Chief, Traveling Exhibition Service, Smithsonian Institution, Washington 25, D. C.
The Origin of the Grotesque

By Herodotus Jones

When dark superstition and dire malnutrition
Controlled the arts and the minds of men,
Young Henry, the mason, was putting the face on
A buttress of stone near the town of Vincennes.
Though Henry attended, he often amended
The lessons and stories he heard from the priest.
For things animistic seemed more realistic
Than heavens reserved for the good, but deceased.
And legends were told of demons quite bold
Who guarded the life and the limbs of the trade,
And this personal service just seemed to deserve his
Sincerest devotion and high accolade.
One they called Sandag, a reptilian sand bag
Was graced with a power uniquely his own
To mend broken ramparts with bodies and spare parts
Of enemy dead which he turned into stone.
This mending of breaches with prayerful beseeches
Instead of in person, made quite an appeal
For a foe would soon spare a well pointed arrow
For any small part that a man might reveal.
So while Christianity was winning humanity
From Lubeck clear down to the Straights of Gibraltar
This worship of Sandag evinced no demand lag
And the masons kept goodies piled high on his altar.
The Bishop alerted, quite plainly asserted
Apostles of Sandag were doomed to perdition
So the masons devised a way to disguise
Their patron, and save their pet superstition.
They carved a grotesque and made the request
That this petrified corpse of all demons from hell
Be used to repair the breach of despair
Which occurred in the walls of God's citadel.
So in spite of the clerical war on chimerical Sandag, his memory still lingers today
In the grotesques that glower from transept and tower
Of churches designed to diminish his sway.

What Does the Architect Expect from the Church?

By Clement W. Fairweather, F.A.I.A.

In an address before the Particular Synod of New Jersey, Reformed Church in America, September 27, 1954, the author had dealt fully with what a church should expect of an architect—the resumé of professional services familiar to all architects. His concluding remarks echo thoughts that plague many a practitioner.

A FEW WEEKS AGO I visited the Paper Mill Play House and saw and heard Bizet’s Carmen (in English). The first line of the Torreador Song—“This is the Moment I’ve been waiting for” (Torreador, Torreador) lingers in my memory. As far as this paper is concerned, this is the moment I’ve been waiting for, for the next and last heading is what an architect ought to expect from the church.

First of all, the architect is entitled to expect competent organization of the committees and that is a difficult task for the church management. Certainly the chairman of the general committee should be a business executive of proven ability as should also be the chairman of the Finance Committee. I recommend that church members who are in the building business be put on non-building committees. A plumber, for instance, can usefully serve on the Religious Arts Committee, where he will probably serve with becoming humility, whereas, put on the Building Committee, he may feel responsible for the plumbing installation and thereby get in the architect’s hair; the contract with the architect places that responsibility on him. The church may have an active member who is in the roofing and sheet metal business. Put him on the Sunday School Committee. That will get his mind
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off the flashing. Maybe there is an artist in the church. Make him chairman of the Dedication Committee. By such means you will cause a minimum of interference with the functions of the architect. One hears a lot about functionalism these days. Sometimes in church work there is misunderstanding as to what is the function of the architect and what are the functions of the committees.

I have known cases where the minister was really helpful in the building operations, but, generally speaking, the best thing to be done about him (as far as the building operations are concerned) is to escort him to the pulpit, put him in it and shut the door. The success of the operation hinges on the architect’s exercising his functions without hindrance by those members of the committee who are suffering from *amateur architectitus*. Be sure you get a good architect, and having got him, let him be the architect.

I ran across a nationally known architect recently and when he inquired what I was doing I told him mostly church work. "Well," he said, after a thoughtful pause, "It's the cream of the business if you can stand the committees." Personally I have had good luck with my committees and liked them all. I think that they all liked me, but am not positively sure.

**A Specification of 1815**

**BENJAMIN HENRY LATROBE'S COMBINED SPECIFICATION AND CONTRACT FOR BUILDING ST. JOHN'S CHURCH OPPOSITE THE WHITE HOUSE**

MEMORANDUM of an Agreement made this fourth day of September One thousand eight hundred and fifteen between the superintending committee of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the first ward in the city of Washington by their Chairman Thomas H. Gilliss of the said City, of the first part and Richard Skinner of the same place Carpenter, of the other Witnesseth:

That for the consideration, and on the conditions, hereinafter mentioned, to be by the party of the first part, well and truly paid yielded and performed, He the said Richard Skinner, party of the second doth agree and bind himself his Heirs and Administrators,
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at his or their own proper cost and expense to furnish and supply all the Materials of every kind whatsoever which shall be required and necessary to the execution of the Carpenters work of the Protestant Episcopal Church to be erected on 16th Street facing the Presidents square in the aforesaid city and also to execute in the best and most workmanlike manner all the Carpenters work of the said Church at his own proper cost and Expense, and to put up the same agreeably to the Schedule or specification and also to certain drawings hereunto annexed, and under the direction and to the entire Satisfaction of Benjamin Henry Latrobe, Architect, or such other Agent of the Party abovementioned of the first, as shall in case of his demise be appointed in his stead; the said Schedule or specification and the drawings signed by the parties hereunto being a part of this Agreement: And the said Richard Skinner doth also agree as above, to put up and take down all Centers which may be required in the construction of all the arches which are to be turned by the Bricklayer in the construction of the said Church, and to have ready and put on all lintels, templets, and plates, so as that no hindrance whatsoever shall be given to the Bricklayer or Mason in the performance of the work agreed to be performed by him; and it is further agreed that whereas for the accurate setting out and construction of the domes, it is convenient to put up a perpendicular trammel in the center of the dome to reach from the floor to the Selle of the lanthorn, he the said Richard Skinner shall put up such Trammel, strongly supported and running upon a pivot below, and a gudgeon above, and shall hereby set out all the circular work of the Dome and Gallery, which it is his duty to provide and put up in pursuance of this agreement; and that he shall moreover permit the same trammel to remain in its place for the use of the plaisterer, without any charge to the plaisterer for the use thereof, but he does not hereby agree to find for the plaisterer any arms braces or gauges attached to the said trammel, other than such as were required for his own purposes.

And the said Richard Skinner does also hereby agree and engage to remove from off the premises and lots all rubbish, shops, scaffolding and materials and utensils which may remain after the full and entire completion of all and
every part of the work hereby agreed to be done and specified in the Schedule and drawings hereunto annexed; and arising from the performance of this agreement.

And it is hereby further agreed, that in the construction of this contract no advantage shall be taken of the omission in the Schedule hereunto annexed or in the drawings, of any part material, or work which essentially belong to the workmanlike performance and completion of any part of the Work expressly stipulated to be done, nor shall any work or material be charged as not within this contract, and for which a separate claim or demand may be made, unless orders in writing signed by the parties of the first part or their Agent are produced authorizing the same.

And in consideration of the due performance of all and every the stipulation herein contained by the said party of the second part the aforesaid parties of the first part by their Chairman above mentioned do hereby bind themselves and their Successors to pay or cause to be paid unto the said Richard Skinner his heirs administrators or Assigns the sum of Five thousand Dollars, at the time and in the portions herein after specified, to wit:

1. Thirty days after signing this Agreement, One thousand Dollars.

2. Whenever there shall be materials and workmanship on the premises, so certified by the Architect or other agent of the party of the first part appointed in his stead to the amount of Two thousand Dollars, the further sum of Five hundred Dollars.

3. When the roof of the upper part of the church shall be raised the further sum of Five hundred Dollars.

4. When the building shall be covered, (excepting the Lanthorn) if completed on the 1st of January the further sum of Fifteen Hundred Dollars.

5. When the framing of the Gallery and pews shall be put up further Sum of Five Hundred Dollars.

6. When this agreement shall in all parts be fully complied with, or within thirty days thereafter the Sum of One thousand Dollars, making in all the above named sum of Five thousand Dollars, which shall be in full of all and every demand accruing to the said Richard Skinner on account of this Agreement.
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And it is hereby further agreed and Covenanted by the said Richard Skinner with the party of the first part, that he will and shall complete and finish agreeably to the drawings and description in the Schedule hereunto annexed and to the full satisfaction of the Architect of the said party of the first part, all and every Part of the work which he hereby agrees to perform to the full extent and meaning of this present writing on or before the Twenty third day of June in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and Sixteen.

And to the due and full performance of all and every the articles covenants and conditions in this agreement, and in the Schedule and drawings hereunto annexed, the parties hereunto bind themselves; the party of the first part themselves and their successors, and the party of the second part himself his heirs and administrators, in the penal sum of One Thousand Dollars to be by them or him recovered in any Court of the United States.

Witness our hands the day and year as above written.

T. H. Gilliss
Chairman of the Church Committee
Richard Skinner
Witness:
B. Henry Latrobe

Books & Bulletins


A book that concerns itself not with the actual planning of urban development but, rather, explores the whole background of the geographical region as a social unit. The study deals mainly with England but gives some attention to Europe and the United States.


A study from a historical viewpoint in British town planning, particularly the economic and social
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history of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries.


Here is a modern interpretation of Cennini's "Il Libro Dell' Arte," first published in the fifteenth century. It has been twice translated into English, twice into German and once into French, and still remains for the painter a compendium of techniques and particularly the experiences of the masters with pigments and their qualities of endurance.

Architects' Year Book, Vol. 5. Edited by Trevor Dannatt. 294 pp. 7¾" x 9½". New York: 1954: The British Book Centre, Inc. $9

Continuing the tradition of an annual architectural survey of contemporary progress in town planning developments abroad, and technical contributions—all from the British viewpoint.


The story of a house built in what is now Princeton, N. J. in 1701 for the Stockton family, including Richard, a signer of the Declaration of Independence. The house was acquired by Walter E. Edge, formerly twice Governor of New Jersey, who presented it to the State as a permanent Governor's Mansion. George B. Tatum, Vice Dean of the School of Fine Arts, U. of Pennsylvania, has contributed an essay on the architecture.


A study of the economic factors affecting development in New Jersey along the Delaware River, illustrated with maps and charts.


A modern reprint and revision of Geoffrey Scott’s classic, first published in 1914. One of the most widely quoted works on the philosophy of architecture, in part at least because of the charm of its author's writing.
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to combat obsolescence, traffic congestion and many other ills to which the urban unit is exposed. A popular interpretation as written for the Urban Land Institute.


A review covering the past 20 years of exhibition technique and exhibition architecture, with more than 600 photographic illustrations. The text is in parallel columns of German, French and English.

**Professional People in England.** By Roy Lewis and Angus Maude. 292 pp. 5¼” x 8¼”. Cambridge: 1954: Harvard Univ. Press. $4

An analysis of what is happening to the professions in the British Isles. A frank look at the question of what will become of the professional men, now salaried servants with no guarantee of professional freedom and with a very uncertain prospect of personal security—an elaboration covering all the professions, of what Michael T. Waterhouse told us at the Convention of what is happening to the British architects.
Professional Services on a Price Basis

It seems hard to dispel the public’s belief that professional services, like material commodities, can be subject to bidding. The layman would laugh at the idea of taking bids from physicians, naming the competitive price for which they will treat his wife’s illness. Yet he or his building committee—or even a government agency—will occasionally set up a procedure calling for price bidding from other members of the learned professions. The architects have frequently called attention to this absurdity; now the American Institute of Consulting Engineers speaks:

“The procurement of professional engineering services through competitive bidding on a price basis is a repudiation of the status of engineering as a profession, and the American Institute of Consulting Engineers hereby places itself on record as unalterably opposed to such practice.

“Competitive bidding for engineering services is not in the public interest since it may lead to the employment of the engineer least qualified for the particular work under consideration instead of the best qualified, which should be the objective. The public inevitably suffers from the selection of an unqualified engineer through engineering design which results in uneconomical construction or an unsafe structure.

“The Institute subscribes to the procedure of procuring professional engineering services through negotiation between the principal and the engineer in such a manner that the interests of both parties and the interests of the public, where the public is involved, shall be protected.”

* * *

News from the Educational Field

Cornell University’s College of Architecture announces the appointment of the following five visiting critics for the current year: Edward Millman, Roland A. Wank, Edward Wormley, John W. Ross and Caleb Hornbostel; also Henry Elder for spring term.

Georgia Institute of Technology’s School of Architecture announces the appointment of Demetrios A. Polychron as Associate Professor to teach courses in structure and to act as structural consultant in design criticisms.
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The Editor’s Asides

In the merry march of higher and higher building construction totals, it may be thought that we are on the crest of a building boom, and that, like many booms, it presages a bust. It should not be forgotten, however, that dollar-wise, our currently achieved totals are swollen by the present scale of prices. As the Dodge economists point out, it is well to measure our present figures against those of 1926 and 1927. New construction put in place then soared to over $12 billion—the highest peacetime peak ever reached until the current wave passed these figures in 1947. Now, 1954 construction will reach $36 billion or so—the most dollars spent in all our history. But this mountain of dollars must be measured by a yardstick of construction costs that has stretched to nearly three times its length. Our $36 billion then shrinks to, say, $14 billion—still over 1927’s $12 billion. But we should also adjust for the fact that we have a larger population for which to build. In 1927 the new construction per capita was about twice what it is in 1954. Or, to make comparison with those boom years in another way: in 1927-29 construction expenditures accounted for more than 15% of the total national output; for the last three so-called record-breaking years they have averaged less than 10% of the national output. Dr. George Cline Smith, Dodge economist, deduces from all the above that, instead of being in a dangerous speculative boom, we are experiencing only two-thirds of a boom. The boom-bust sequence comes from overbuilding national needs through speculation. Looking about us at our needs, it is apparent that we are not even in sight of that condition.

New York City is hardly outstanding among the cities in its love for old things, but the Times calls attention to what must be the oldest structural elements still in use in the City. They are columns from the ruins of Pompeii, brought from Italy in 1840 by Lorenzo Delmonico to embellish the outside of the family restaurant, the old Merchant Marine House at Beaver and South William Street.

Sumner M. Crosby, Professor of the History of Art at Yale, points out that, while international
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treaties protect prisoners of war, there is no specific machinery to protect the world's cultural heritage—a lack that is now in process of being remedied. An intergovernmental conference has just been held at The Hague, and 37 countries signed a treaty—to become binding when ratified by their governments—which would protect museums, libraries, historic monuments and the like by identification with a blue-and-white shield, just as we protect hospitals with the red cross.

❖

WASHINGTON has just held a conference on the subject of Plastics in Building. Representatives of the building industry and of the plastics industry met for two days to hear a program superbly arranged by BRAB, the Society of the Plastics Industry and the Manufacturing Chemists' Association. We had a revelation of what plastics already can do to the architect's palette and heard eager demands upon the manufacturers for further advances in reinforcement, resistance to high temperature, lessening creep under continuous stress. Plastics have already achieved such successes in adhesives, lacquers, flooring, wallcovering, textiles, transparent sheets, lighting fixtures, that there is real danger that we shall expect them to bring panaceas for all our building difficulties.

Two days of learned talk of cast phenolics, cellulosics, acetates, butyrates, ethyl cellulose, nylon, melamines, acrylics, sacroiliacs (no, no!—our mind is wandering to those hard chairs), polyethylene, polystyrenes, ureas, polyesters, and vinyls—two days of this leaves us rather dizzy, but definitely impressed with the need to watch closely the revolution that looms just ahead in new materials and techniques in building.

❖

The banks, with their night-deposit receptacles, drive-in fronts and bullet-proof glass surrounding tellers located almost at the curb, are approaching the techniques of New York's cheap-clothing salesmen who collar the passerby out on the sidewalk and propel him willy-nilly into the store. Drive-up-on-the-roof provisions and a helicopter roof parking are portents of the banking fraternity's designs on our savings. Nevertheless, it must discourage them to note that the Government has a better system—taking earnings before they even reach the earner's hands.

December, 1954

282
INDEX
VOLUME XXII: JULY-DECEMBER, 1954

The six issues are paged as follows: July, 1-48; August, 49-96; September, 97-144; October, 145-192; November, 193-240; December 241-288. References to illustrations are printed in italics.

Advice to the Young, by Hubertus Junius: 41
A.I.A. Library, Gifts to the: 86
A.I.A. National Honor Awards Plaques: 27, 26
Allen, Roger, F.A.I.A.: Have Tuxedo; Will Travel: 210
Architectural Curricula and the Practitioner, by Walter H. Kilham, Jr., F.A.I.A.: 195
Architectural Education, by George Peter Keleti: 164
"Architectural Education," Panel speakers: 74
Architectural Education Abroad and at Home, by John Stetson: 245
Art Today, The Impact of Science and Materialism on, by Hugh Ferriss, F.A.I.A.: 3
ASA Modular Award, Nominations Open for: 70
Awards: B.A.I.D. Competition: 82; Langley Scholarship: 83; Birch Burdette Long Prize: 123, 122; Carson Pirie Scott Competition: 180; Nebraska State Capitol Mural Competition: 213, 215, 216
B.A.I.D. Calendar: 171
Baunister, Turpin C., F.A.I.A.: 74
Beauty, The Sense of, by Henry Tideman: 28
Bedford Park Community Building, Bedford Park, Ill., Perkins & Will, Architects-Engineers: 170
Birch Burdette Long Prize: 123, 122
Board of Directors, A.I.A., New Members Elected to The: 72-73
Books & Bulletins: 90, 185, 235, 277
Boston’s Back Bay Center Development, philosophical conclusions—Firmness, Commodity and Delight plus One, by Hugh A. Stubbins, Jr.: 108
Brunner Scholarship, The: 222
Building Code, Indiana’s State, by George Caleb Wright, F.A.I.A.: 261
Calendar: 41, 84, 126, 177, 236, 271
California Council Convention, by Vincent G. Raney: 137
Carroll, Grisdale & Van Allen, Architects: Youth Study Center, Philadelphia, Pa.: 270
Casson, Sir Hugh, F.R.I.B.A.: Character Studies: 31
Catholic Institutional Competition: 166
Caudill, Rowlett, Scott and Associates, Associates Architects-Engineer: Norman High School, Norman, Okla.: 169
Chapter Meetings and Programs, by Donald B. Kirby: 161
Chatelain, Leon, Jr., F.A.I.A.: 73
Christ Church (Old North), Boston, Mass.: 167
Church—A Specification of 1815, Benjamin H. Latrobe, Architect: 274
Church? What Does the Architect Expect from the, by Clement W. Fairweather, F.A.I.A.: 273

JOURNAL OF THE A.I.A.

283
College of Fellows Citations: 123
Color in the Hospital, by Waldron Faulkner, F.A.I.A.: 105
Community Planning—Call to Arms, by Paul Thiry, F.A.I.A.: 252
Competitions: Catholic Institutional Competition: 166; B.A.I.D. Calendar: 171
Connelly, Willard: Louis Sullivan in 1917-18: 172; Louis Sullivan and His Younger Staff: 266
Contracts and the Law, by Harvey A. Schwab, F.A.I.A.: 140
Curtis and Davis, Architects and Engineers: Thomy Lafon Elementary School, New Orleans, La.: 120
Dimensioning, Simplified, by Albert M. Dreyfuss: 256
Ditchy, Clair W., F.A.I.A.: President Ditchy's Remarks to the 86th Convention: 51
"Drawing the Blueprints," by Horace W. Peaslee, F.A.I.A.: 182
Dreyfuss, Albert M.: Simplified Dimensioning: 256
Dudok Deprecates: 255
Dudok Deprecates: 255
Editor's Asides, The: 44, 93, 141, 189, 237, 281
England, Trade Unionism for Architects in, by Sir Howard Robertson, F.R.I.B.A.: 178
Ethics and the Young Practitioner, rebuttal by Ulysses Floyd Rible: 43
Ethics, The Key to Professional, by Frederick H. McDonald: 127
Evett, Kenneth, Sketches of the winner, Nebraska State Capitol Mural Competition: 216
Fairweather, Clement W., F.A.I.A.: What Does the Architect Expect from the Church?: 273
Faulkner, Waldron, F.A.I.A.: Color in the Hospital: 105
Feiss, Carl: 74
Fellows, William Kinne, Memorial Traveling Fellowships awarded: 124
Ferriss, Hugh, F.A.I.A.: The Impact of Science and Materialism on Art Today: 3
Fishwick, Marshall, W., Ph.D.: The Virginia Tradition in Architecture: 99
Ford Foundation Fellowships: 258
Ford, O'Neill: 74
Fort Brown Memorial Civic Center, Brownsville, Texas, John P. Wills- shire and J. Herschel Fisher, Architects: 217
Fuller, Richard Eugene, Honorary Member elected 1954: 23
Gibson, Charles D.: 74
Golemon, Albert S.: 73
Gray, Jules: Where is the Plan?: 42
Grotesque, The Origin of the, by Herodotus Jones: 272
Hammer, The Discovery of the, by Herodotus Jones: 171
Harbeson, John F., F.A.I.A.: 71
Heitschmidt, Earl T., F.A.I.A.: 72
Homsey, Samuel E., F.A.I.A.: 74
Honorary Members elected 1954, Morton Owen Withey and Richard Eugene Fuller: 23
Honors: 64, 177, 202, 255
Hopper, Magnus T., Fellowship awarded: 125
Hospital, Color in the, by Waldron Faulkner, F.A.I.A.: 105
Incompetence, comment by Vincent G. Kling: 43
India's Most Modern City: Chandigarh, by Taya Zinkin: 223
Jones, Herodotus: Discovery of the Saw: 136; The Discovery of the Hammer: 171; The Origin of the Grotesque: 272
Junius, Hubertus: Advice to the Young: 41; to Hubertus Tertius: 86, 222.
Keleti, George Peter: Architectural Education: 164
Keller, Ralph W.: Shall Professional Men Advertise?: 231
Kennedy, Robert Woods: Philosophy—Variable or Constant?: 243
Kingsbury, Slocum: Reflections on Where We Are Now: 37
Kirby, Donald Beach: 73; Chapter Meetings and Programs: 161
Kling, Vincent G.: Comment on Incompetence: 43; Architect, Lankenau Hospital, Philadelphia, Pa.: 121
Langley Scholarship Awards: 83
Lankenau Hospital, Philadelphia, Pa.: Vincent G. Kling, Architect: 121
Latrobe, Benjamin H., Architect, A Specification of 1815: 274
LeBrun Traveling Scholarship, 1954, awarded: 11
Literature, Architecture and, by Edward Weeks: 56
Maginnis and Walsh and Kennedy, Architects: St. John's Seminary, Brighton, Mass.: 269
Marsh, Smith & Powell, Architects: Santa Monica City College, Santa Monica, Calif.: 218
Matcham, Charles A., Scholarship awarded: 125
McDonald, Frederick H.: The Key to Professional Ethics: 127
McEnery, Dale W., F.A.I.A.: Shall Professional Men Advertise?: 231
McLeod, John W.: 74
McNatt, Frank N.: 72
Mexican Government scholarships: 124
Millkey, Herbert C.: 72
Moore, Mr. and Mrs. James D., Ojai, Calif. Residence of, Richard J. Neutra, Architect; Dion Neutra, Collaborator: 168
National Council, Licensing Procedures through the, by Joe E. Smay: 227

Nebraska Capitol's New Murals, The: 213; Jury for Competition: 215; Sketches of the winner, Kenneth Evett: 216
Necrology: 40, 188
Netherlands, Building in the: 271
Neutra, Richard J., Architect, and Dion, Collaborator: Residence of Mr. and Mrs. James D. Moore, Ojai, Calif.: 168
News from the Educational Field: 84, 123, 179, 230, 280
Norman High School, Norman, Okla., Perkins & Will, Architects; Caudill, Rowlett, Scott and Associates, Associated Architects-Engineer: 169
Parker, William Stanley, F.A.I.A.: Copyright Protection of an Architect's Design: 249
Partner Dies, When a, by H. Cochran Fisher, C.L.U.: 7
Pawley, Eric: Little Egypt on the Savannah: 109
Peaslee, Horace W., F.A.I.A.: "Drawing the Blueprints": 182
Perkins & Will, Architects: Norman High School, Norman, Okla.: 169; Architects-Engineers: Bedford Park Community Building, Bedford Park, Ill.: 170
Philosophy—Variable or Constant? by Robert Woods Kennedy: 243
Plan, Where is the? by Jules Gray: 42
Plym, Francis J., Fellowship awarded: 11, 124
Professional Services on a Price Basis: 280
Public Relations, by Albert Simons, F.A.I.A.: 88
Raney, Vincent G.: California Council Convention: 137
Reflections on Where We Are Now, by Slocum Kingsbury: 37
Religion—Architecture and the Arts in Relation to Worship, by Walter A. Taylor: 12

JOURNAL OF THE A.I.A.

285
R.I.B.A. Conference at Torquay, The, by John Stetson: 159
Rible, Ulysses Floyd: Ethics and the Young Practitioner: 43
Rome Prize Fellowships: 187
Rudolph, Paul: The Changing Philosophy of Architecture: 65
Saarinen, Eero, F.A.I.A.: 71
St. John's Seminary, Brighton, Mass., Maginnis and Walsh and Kennedy, Architects: 269
Santa Monica City College, Santa Monica, Calif., Marsh, Smith & Powell, Architects: 218
Saw, Discovery of the, by Herodotus Jones: 136
Scholarships and Fellowships: 124, 187, 222, 258
Scholarships and Fellowships Awarded: 11, 124, 125
"School Design Trends," Panel speakers: 74
Sert, Dean Jose Luis: 71
Sharp, John S.: 74
Smay, Joe E.: Licensing Procedures through the National Council: 227
Smith, Joseph N., III: Rendering, winner of Birch Burdette Long Memorial Prize: 122
Soliciting Work, comments by Joseph W. Wells: 181
Spanish School for Architecture and the Other Arts, A: 117
Stanton, Glenn, F.A.I.A.: The Philosophy of Conduct: 157
Steedman, James Harrison, Fellowship awarded: 124
Stetson, John: Architectural Education Abroad and at Home: 245; The R.I.B.A. Conference at Torquay: 159
Stubbins, Hugh A., Jr.: Firmness, Commodity and Delight plus One: 108
Sullivan, Louis—Louis Sullivan in 1917-18: 172; Louis Sullivan and His Younger Staff: 266, by Willard Connely
Taylor, Walter A.: Architecture and the Arts in Relation to Worship: 12
Techbuilt House, Weston, Mass., Carl Koch, Architect: 259
Theatrical productions of University of Pennsylvania's Architectural School: "The Topaz Tulip": 118, 119; "The Lights that Failed": 260
They Say: 20, 132, 183, 212
Thiry, Paul, F.A.I.A.: Call to Arms: 252
Thomy Lafon Elementary School, New Orleans, La., Curtis and Davis, Architects and Engineers: 120
Tideman, Henry: The Sense of Beauty: 28
Tuxedo, Have: Will Travel, by Roger Allen, F.A.I.A.: 210
Venice, Projected Masieri Memorial on the Grand Canal, Frank Lloyd Wright, Architect: 24-25
Walker, Ralph, F.A.I.A.: The Changing Philosophy of Architecture: 75, 71
Weeks, Edward: Architecture and Literature: 56
Wells, Joseph W.: Soliciting Work: 181
Wiltshire, John P. and J. Herschel Fisher, Architects: Fort Brown Memorial Civic Center, Brownsville, Texas: 217
Withey, Morton Owen, Honorary Member elected 1954: 23
Worship, Architecture and the Arts in Relation to, by Walter A. Taylor: 12
Wright, Frank Lloyd: Projected Masieri Memorial on the Grand Canal, Venice: 24-25
Wright, George Caleb, F.A.I.A.: Indiana's State Building Code: 261
Wurster, Dean William W., F.A.I.A.: 71, 74
Youth Study Center, Philadelphia, Pa., Carroll, Grisdale & Van Alen, Architects: 270
Zinkin, Taya: India's Most Modern City: Chandigarh: 223

December, 1954
286
65 tons of Youngstown Buckeye Conduit at Cleveland Airport

Buried in these concrete floors and in tunnels—connected to pull boxes, base-plug boxes and lighting panels—are 65 tons of Youngstown Buckeye rigid steel conduit. "Buckeye" was specified for this Cleveland Hopkins Airport job because, above all, it's SAFE for critical wiring installations.

WHY YOUNGSTOWN BUCKEYE CONDUIT IS BETTER

Youngstown is the one manufacturer who makes rigid steel conduit from ore to finished product. This enables Youngstown to control the complete manufacturing process—your insurance that each length of "Buckeye" is made of top-grade steel.
Installing and servicing automatic temperature control systems is a job for specialists. To serve the best interests of users of Johnson Control, Johnson permanently maintains a large nationwide staff of expert installation and service mechanics. Each of these temperature control craftsmen has a practical background in the pipefitting trade.

Members of this distinctive corps of Johnson installation and service mechanics are strategically located in over 200 cities in 48 states...on duty the year 'round to make sure that every Johnson System is "Installed-for-the-Purpose" and to furnish prompt servicing of Johnson apparatus whenever the need arises.

Yes, Johnson mechanics are an important part of the team that gives you and your clients service all the way. Make it a habit to insure the finest automatic temperature control in your buildings by working with the only nationwide organization devoted exclusively to planning, manufacturing and installing automatic temperature control systems. JOHNSON SERVICE COMPANY, Milwaukee 2, Wisconsin. Direct Branch Offices in Principal Cities.
World's Largest Air Terminal Building

The spectacular seven-story Administration Building with its long loading dock at the Greater Pittsburgh 33 million dollar Airport is easily the world's largest terminal building. American Bridge fabricated and erected the 4,000-ton steel framework for this huge structure which is 460' in breadth at its widest point, and with its 578-ft. loading dock has an over-all length of 979 feet. Nine months after the erection crew took over, the last rivet was driven!

AMERICAN BRIDGE
AMERICAN BRIDGE DIVISION, UNITED STATES STEEL CORPORATION
PITTSBURGH, NEW YORK, CHICAGO AND OTHER PRINCIPAL CITIES
UNITED STATES STEEL
Harrison and Abramovitz, Architects
Altenhof & Brown, Assoc. Architects
Geo. A. Fuller Co., General Contractor
American Mosaic & Tile Co., Erectors

MARBLE, ALUMINUM, GLASS, STEEL = economical structural expression = space and dignity

COLOR - CHARACTER - PERMANENCE - LOW MAINTENANCE

crystalline VERMONT MARBLE
VERMONT MARBLE COMPANY - PROCTOR, VERMONT

Branch Offices
Boston - Chicago - Cleveland - Dallas - Houston - Philadelphia - Los Angeles - New York - San Francisco
In Canada: Ontario Marble Company, Limited, Peterboro, Ontario and Toronto, Ontario
Brooks Marble & Tile Company, Limited, Toronto, Ontario
Continental Marble Co., Ltd., Vancouver, B. C.
How CRANE helps you in school planning

Crane specialized washroom and laboratory fixtures for schools match the smart efficiency of modern school buildings. In fact, Crane leads in specialized styles and sizes to fit elementary and high school plans. And, of course, the wide choice of Crane prices makes it easy to fit Crane equipment into any school budget as well as any school plan.

Crane school fountains are of highest quality vitreous china. This Crane Waterfall includes Crane's PurFlo angle stream bubbler to prevent contamination.

Typical of the wide choice of Crane school fixtures are these Rhodile lavatories of rugged cast iron and durable porcelain enamel finish. High splash back is particularly important aid to cleanliness in elementary schools.

Besides a comprehensive choice of specialized school fixtures, Crane offers you the advantage of its unmatched reputation for quality—which is well known to school administrators. When you specify Crane equipment, they know you have recommended the best.

For complete information on Crane specialized school fixtures, see your Crane Architect's Catalog...or call your nearby Crane Branch or Wholesaler.

CRANE CO.
GENERAL OFFICES: 886 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVE., CHICAGO 5
VALVES...FITTINGS...PIPE...PLUMBING AND HEATING
One way to keep construction costs down is to specify double-duty materials—like rugged, pre-finished Glazed Facing Tile.

Hard-burned from the finest clays, this structural tile is a fast-building modular wall-and-finish in one. Its glazed surface is durable, easily cleaned, never needs painting.

Stark’s range of fired-in, permanent colors also gives you wide latitude in design, helps you fit surroundings precisely to your clients’ needs.

NEW CATALOG—A copy of our new catalog showing shapes, sizes, short form specifications, etc., is available to you without cost. Address Dept. AI-12.
WHITE is so much more effective!

Trinity white—the whitest white cement—is a true portland. The gleaming sparkling whiteness as mass or contrast increases the stature of good design. Use it for architectural concrete units; stucco; terrazzo; and wherever high light-reflection is indicated. Trinity white meets all Federal and ASTM specifications.
Much of the significant architectural thinking of our generation is here recorded

Are you having your JOURNALS bound?

Send us your loose copies, any time, to be bound as illustrated above.

A volume consists of six issues—January through June, or July through December. Each volume has its own index, and we supply a title page.

Issues missing from your file can be supplied, while they last, at 35c each.

Unless you instruct otherwise, we bind in the original covers of each issue but not the advertising pages.

Binding, when you supply the loose copies, $2.25; when we supply all new copies, $3.75.

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF ARCHITECTS
1735 New York Avenue, N. W., Washington 6, D. C.
Minneapolis-Honeywell Regulator Company is pleased to continue its program "The Value of the Architect" in Time Magazine through 1954.

Watch Time December 6th, for our next presentation.
We like the door's "electronic politeness"

Passengers quickly discover why they like the Otis Electronic Elevator Door. It's the invisible electronic zone of detection that extends in front of the leading edges of both car and hoistway doors up to shoulder height—as shown in phantom above. It inspires passenger confidence.

Whenever this electronic zone detects a person’s presence in the doorway, the doors politely reverse before they can touch the passenger. But if there is no chance of passenger interference, the doors close promptly after each stop.

This zone of detection prevents unnecessary delays. If a talkative passenger lingers overlong in the doorway, a buzzer sounds and the doors slowly, firmly—but politely nudge the passenger out of the doorway so that the car can proceed on its way.

The Otis Electronic Elevator Door is the crowning achievement in the field of the operatorless elevator. Its successful development insured the ability of operatorless elevators to move great masses of people in busy buildings with the greatest degree of safety. Ask any of our 268 offices for details. Otis Elevator Company 260 11th Ave., N. Y. 1, N. Y.

THE PRUDENTIAL BUILDING
South-Central Home Office
Jacksonville, Florida

The beautiful new 22-story PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPANY BUILDING—tallest office structure in Florida—will have 9 Otis AUTOTRONIC operatorless elevators. It will be the center of Prudential’s vast South-Central Home Office operations, and its 8 upper floors will be available to other tenants. This is one of more than 175 new and modernized office buildings, hotels, hospitals, banks, and department stores that have given AUTOTRONIC elevating an overwhelming vote of confidence—by buying it!

Architects: Kemp, Bunch & Jackson

COMpletely AUTOMATIC
AUTOTRONIC®
ELEVATORING
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