FOR RAMPS
AND OTHER
HAZARDOUS
LOCATIONS...

"ANTI-SLIP" KENTILE VINYL ASBESTOS
AND KENTILE ASPHALT TILE

Kentile puts tile...and style...on a firmer-than-ever footing. Skid-resistant chips embedded into the tile at the factory add greater grip to "Anti-Slip" Kentile Vinyl Asbestos and Asphalt Tile. Available in all Vinyl Asbestos and Asphalt Tile colors,"Anti-Slip" Tile makes for unbroken color schemes where "Anti-Slip" meets regular tile. Call your Kentile Representative or consult Sweet's File.

KENTILE FLOORS

SPECIFICATIONS—"Anti-Slip"
Vinyl Asbestos and Asphalt Tile.
All colors. Size: 9" x 9".
Thicknesses: Vinyl Asbestos: 1/8";
Asphalt Tile: 1/8", 3/16".

Visit the Kentile® Showroom in these cities: New York,
Philadelphia, Cleveland, Atlanta, Kansas City, Torrance, Calif.
The Writing on the Wall

THIS MARBLE WALL COMMANDS ATTENTION
remains beautiful enduring with just an occasional washing

THIS PLASTER WALL REQUIRES ATTENTION
frequent painting washing repairing yet it never looks outstanding

WHICH IS YOUR BEST INVESTMENT?
The marble wall, of course. Over the years it will more than make up for its slight additional first cost through savings in painting, repair and maintenance costs. And during all this time the building owner and tenants will enjoy the beauty, quality and prestige of real marble – priceless in itself. Profit from the many advantages of marble – including the fact it resists writing on the wall!

THE MARBLE INSTITUTE OF AMERICA, INC.
32 South Fifth Avenue, Mount Vernon, New York
Helen’s goblet reminds us...

...of a property of glass that can help you design better buildings

Glass remains beautiful in the face of time and the trends of fashion. Its color is enduring. Its only maintenance is washing. More and more, its shimmering elegance becomes a greater part of the world around us.

Is glass helping you in all the ways it can? Look through Sweet’s Architectural File, sections 3e, 7a, 13e, 16a, 16e, 19e, 21. And talk to the local PPG Architectural Representative. He knows modern glass. Just get in touch with the PPG office nearest you.

Pittsburgh Plate Glass Company

Paints • Glass • Chemicals • Fiber Glass

In Canada: Canadian Pittsburgh Industries Limited
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The design and fabrication of the window system were especially critical—because of the weight and wind loading of the large-area glazing . . . the advanced gasketing . . . the inclusion of such features as window cleaning guides . . . and the importance of the mullion detailing to the over-all aesthetic effect.

Close coordination between General Bronze and the architects was essential to the success of this installation. Sample sections of the curtain wall, for both the base and tower systems, were subjected by GB to rigorous wind and weather tests.

General Bronze offers you today's most advanced engineering services in the design of aluminum, bronze or stainless steel curtain walls. With close to a half-century's experience in architectural metalwork and fenestration, GB is uniquely equipped to help you realize the benefits and avoid the pitfalls of this highly specialized field.

For additional information, consult your Sweet's files . . . call in the General Bronze representative nearest you . . . or write to: General Bronze Corporation, Garden City, N. Y. • Sales Office: 100 Park Avenue, New York, N. Y.
a "matched set" of structural components from

ASSURED COMPATIBILITY...
UNDIVIDED RESPONSIBILITY

MAHON ROOF-DECK is the most practical roof deck because it's light in weight... the safest because it's steel... the most logical because it costs less. Standard Mahon Roof-Deck is available in four types to meet common roof requirements or Long-Span M-Deck to eliminate roof beams and purlins.

INSULATED METAL WALL PANEL is available in various styles, materials, finishes and colors and in lengths to 60' to provide high expanses of continuous exterior wall surface unmarred by horizontal joints. Also available in Underwriter's rated Fire Wall.

MAHON M-FLOOR is a versatile steel cellular sub-floor that serves three functions... an immediate working platform during construction... a strong yet light weight sub-floor system... a built-in network of cells or raceways for present and future electrification needs.
When comparing costs... consider

The Advantages which you cannot see in a Josam Drain

When you buy anything that must last a lifetime or longer — even small differences in products are important. This is especially true of drains. Once installed in a building, they are expected to render long-lasting dependable performance, since replacement causes great inconvenience and expense.

This is why Josam builds the very best in every product it makes. Higher quality of materials, advanced features of design, rigid standards of inspection, quicker availability of stocks are just a few of the advantages you cannot see in a Josam drain — yet they are there, and at no higher cost. Over 45 years of leadership is proof of the superior performance you get in every Josam Product.
Letters

Applause for School Study

EDITOR, Journal of the AIA:

The Gyo Obata school study in your October issue is one of the finest we have seen. Thus, may we please order twelve copies of the magazine (we like the cover too)! Again, please accept our heartiest congratulations on a splendid issue.

(MISS) LLOYD MC DONNELL
Cambridge, Mass

Washington Dilemma

EDITOR, Journal of the AIA:

Every one in Washington should be grateful for your editorial on our dilemma in the September issue of the AIA Journal. As a city we are unknown or disregarded; I hope all your readers in the real world outside where people vote will come to the aid of this hapless city, which is also theirs.

The District League of Women Voters, who have no vote, made a detailed analysis of Washington's revenue problems in a little pamphlet, "A Tale of Two Cities," which has been widely distributed in the hope that some people with a vote might notice us. Your compact statement of these problems and of the effect of rule by alien Congressional Committees is a great help.

Many people in Washington, through years of testimony before Congressional Committees we do not choose, have tried to get funds for schools, for decent housing, for better organized welfare, for a more adequate juvenile court, for more coordinated city planning. To us, home rule for the District seems the obvious approach to what you aptly call "the mess."

It may be, as you say, that "every schoolboy knows that the residents of Washington have no vote," but their voting parents seem not to know it, nor what it means to be voiceless, nor to care. So thank you again.

MRS. H. W. EDGERTON
Washington, DC

Shelter and Survival

EDITOR, Journal of the AIA:

The Administration has enlisted the help of the architectural profession in its long-term program to meet the national shelter requirements by fully exploiting existing or low-cost opportunities to shelter people. About 600 architectural and engineering firms have taken part in a survey conducted by the Army Corps of Engineers and the Navy Bureau of Yards and Docks, supervised by the Office of Civil Defense.

The Defense Department has conducted highly systematic studies of the effect of hypothetical nuclear attacks on the American population. These studies were conducted for a variety of purposes, not just civil defense. The results show that between 40 and 120 million Americans would survive the blast and heat effects of a large-scale nuclear attack which might be possible in the years ahead, but would be killed by the fallout radiation which would blanket large sections of the country—unless they had access to a shielded place to take cover from radiation. The saving of so many lives under nuclear attack justifies a great deal of time and effort and resources.

Assistant Secretary of Defense Steuart L. Pittman recently stated: "There is no question that people have been confused by the conflicting pronouncements and arguments of scientists and commentators and have not fully accepted the conclusions reached by their government on this complex subject."

The shelter program, in essence, includes:

1. Locating and bringing into use all existing shelter space
2. Low-cost modifications of existing buildings
3. Plans for new buildings which can create new shelter space
4. Federal help for schools, hospitals and colleges to create new shelter space

Some of these items are moving rapidly to completion and some are pending before Congress. I believe that no resource and no opportunity should be overlooked in the development of each community's plan for a place for all of its people to go if there should ever be a nuclear attack.

ARTHUR DEIMEL, AIA
Wilmette, Ill

Kudos for Abu Simbel

EDITOR, Journal of the AIA:

I was very pleased to note the piece on Abu Simbel in the September Journal. Last fall at the Student Forum, we distributed information on this project and initiated a proposal aimed at gaining official and public AIA endorsement for the Unesco preservation effort. This resulted in an appropriate resolution by the Board of Directors at its January meeting.

It is gratifying to see this endorsement being fortified by your informative article. I hope it will encourage individuals to support the project.

DAVID B. LINSTRUM
Kansas State University
Manhattan, Kan
Because only foot-operated washfixtures are sanitary enough for public use

In public washrooms, people don't want to touch germ-laden faucets or taps used by strangers . . . or wash in bowls with residues of unknown origin. People want the sanitary washing conditions provided by modern foot-operated Bradley Washfountains. Bradleys are public washfixtures — the only ones conceived and created for such use. They are far more sanitary than lavatories; and in addition, they are economical . . . easier to maintain . . . and can speed traffic flow by serving from two to eight people at one time. Little wonder they're installed in public buildings of all kinds. Remember them yourself, won't you?

For assistance on specific projects, contact your nearby Bradley representative. Or write direct for colorful Publication No. 6004. Bradley Washfountain Co., 2363 W. Michigan Street, Milwaukee 1, Wisconsin.
for instance) and related subjects (such as municipal engineering and urban economics). This has led to the series being called a 'manual' or a 'guidebook,' terms more descriptive of its intention than its actual form, for the nature of the subject, design, is that it cannot be given precise, dimensional definition nor be expressed as a formula or prescription. To some happily inevitable extent, the series will contain expressions of individual opinion and ideas—the best we can gather together.

"For this piece of work to have the hoped-for, real value to the practitioner for whom it is written, however, it will have to leave familiar ground and lead into new and even uncharted design territory. If, in doing this, it does not cut a clear path, we hope that Journal readers will let us know. The Committee, in fact, will welcome any suggestions for increasing the usefulness of the series as we go along.

"Hardly anyone will dispute that it is high time the architectural profession brought its special talents to bear on the unsolved design problems of towns and cities across the country. But a serious view will realize, too, that today's urban design responsibility is vastly wider and the city planning to which it seeks to give three-dimensional expression is vastly more complicated than in the past.

"This, of course, does not alter the responsibility. Rather, in indicating its vastly widened dimensions, it emphasizes a special opportunity and, indeed, obligation, for architects. The Urban Design Committee hopes that its Journal series will encourage acceptance of the opportunity and, in humility, help prepare us all to meet the enlarged responsibility."

Clarification:

Some readers of this column in October inferred that we felt the URA should "seek the perceptive help of experienced architects." This was farthest from the truth (and so we thought, our words made clear). Actually, it was the enlightened administrative interpretations of URA which now provide for the specific injection of architectural services in connection with projects involving conservation or reconditioning. Eligible costs include, among other provisions, for "Hiring of an architectural consultant to provide general guidance to property owners in solving common problems on the design of properties and to coordinate exterior property improvements block by block." (See URA Manual 12-1-3)
Practically every color in creation can be reproduced in Ceramic Veneer

Select the delicate tints or dominant hues that best suit the projects now on your boards. Design freely, confident that Federal Seaboard will custom-make Ceramic Veneer to your precise specifications—monochrome facings or polychrome panels, ornamental sculpture, bas-relief, or grilles. Illustrated above is an example of how architects Steward & Skinner utilized the color versatility of Ceramic Veneer (16" x 22" x 11/4") in new addition to the Miami International Airport. If you would like detailed information on Ceramic Veneer, please let us know. Illustrative data on %" CV Durathin and CV Durathin Sandwich Panel also is available. Write today.

FEDERAL SEABOARD TERRA COTTA CORPORATION

10 East 40th Street, New York 16, N.Y. • Plant at Perth Amboy, New Jersey
with proven, leakproof Fenestra steel curtainwall

Just add capping! It’s that easy. You combine the structural strength of Fenestra steel curtainwall with the lustrous finish of aluminum or stainless steel. *Curtainwall*. The subframes are steel. Advantages? Watertightness. There has never been a reported case of a Fenmark steel curtainwall system leaking. *Strength*. Taller, wider modules are possible while retaining a narrow subframe sight line. *Versatility*. Dimensions of vertical and horizontal members in the grid system can be varied almost infinitely. *Variety*. Infill panels are available in a variety of materials, colors and textures to complement capping sections. Effects are limited only by your imagination. Further, sections are shop-fabricated. They arrive at the site tight and right—ready for erection. *Capping*. It just snaps on, covering the exposed steel grids completely. The effect? Elegance. It’s as though you were designing in costly, customized
with stainless steel, aluminum or porcelain capping

stainless steel, aluminum, or porcelainized aluminum. But because Fenestra's system is preengineered, you work with time-proven curtainwall. *Cost?* Very modest. With Fenmark steel curtainwall you get a package of unequaled strength, versatility, variety, and watertightness. To find out more, call your Fenestra representative (he's in the Yellow Pages), see Sweets File 3b/Fe., or write, Fenestra Incorporated, 220 Delaware Ave., Buffalo 2, N.Y.
AmBridge Portable Schools: the solution to shifting school populations

**Battle of the bulge.** Today's U. S. population isn't only exploding—it's on the move. Our ultra-mobile society is constantly shifting as people have never done before. School systems are particularly hard hit by these frequent population shifts. A school district that once had an abundance of classroom space now bulges from blackboard to blackboard—but in a decade might be near-empty again.

**Cram, rent, or build?** In over-crowded areas, school boards have several alternatives: cram classrooms past optimum teaching capacity; rent space separated from central supervision (in quarters often not well-suited for classrooms). Least practical solution is building a school that may end up being under-used. Now, however, there's a revolutionary way to cope with shifting school populations.

**Simple and sturdy.** Structural design of the AmBridge Portable School is simple: 8' by 28' floor and roof sections are bolted to standard steel curtain walls. Each unit has a complete rigid steel frame with welded channel cross-braced floor system, integral columns, and special roof trusses and panels. The entire frame is bolted together to provide the extra rigidity necessary for relocation operations.

**Lasting.** The AmBridge Portable has the same steel walls as our Modular School. Baked enamel outside, with interior partitions of baked enamel or vinyl, these walls last and last. No need to paint—just wipe interiors with a damp cloth, let rain clean exterior walls. And you get full thermal and acoustical insulation in 2%-inch thin walls—equal to 12-inch masonry walls—and a saving of 5% floor space over conventional construction.
Model school. It's the AmBridge Portable School. That's right—portable. When one locale no longer needs the room space, it can be easily and inexpensively moved to an over-crowded area. But it doesn't look like a portable school. It's just as sturdy as the larger AmBridge Modular School—an architecturally designed steel structure of integrated components noted for its economy, durability, speed of construction, and attractiveness.

Portability. When you move the AmBridge Portable School, just unbolt the 8' steel sections, unplug the light circuits, and disconnect the plumbing and heating lines. Then jack up the section, and tow it to the new site on a dolly. It's so light and strong it can even be moved by helicopter. (That's how they plan to move the Pittsburgh Portable School.) All you leave behind are the inexpensive footers.

Variations on a theme. The basic AmBridge Portable is a 2-room, 70-student school that includes a central service core. Using this unit, any variation is possible, as exemplified by the first AmBridge Portable School in Pittsburgh, an L-shaped 6-roomer. Architects can easily "dress up" the Portable by bridging with special walkways, rearranging entry stairs, door and canopy details. (And there's a variety of colors to choose from.)

Need a school in a hurry? Normal first-time erection for the AmBridge Portable is a matter of a few weeks. When you move the school, it can be ready for students in approximately 2 weeks. One thing: don't mistake the AmBridge Portable for a temporary school. It'll last just as long as non-portable schools, and it meets all updated building code requirements. For more information, write American Bridge Division, United States Steel, Pittsburgh 30, Pennsylvania.

USS and AmBridge are registered trademarks
ELEGANT NEW STYLING
IN PUSH-PULL HARDWARE
gives distinctive, "custom-look" to
CUPPLES ENTRANCE DOORS

Available in new scratch-proof Duranodic®, hardcoat finish
or attractive Alumilite® natural aluminum finish

Want your entrance doors to have a real "custom-look" without a
custom price? Then specify Cupples "40 Line" of stock entrance
doors with this smart-looking, new hardware. While the special
Duranodic hardcoat finish in medium black costs a few cents more,
you can get the same beautiful styling in Alumilite natural finish
without extra cost.

Cupples new push-pull hardware reflects the graceful design and
high quality workmanship that is evident throughout the entire
Cupples line. Cupples doors and entrances are precision made from
heavy gauge extruded aluminum sections with hairline joints and
a beautiful anodized finish that is guaranteed under bond. Available
as single or double doors with many variations in frame, you
have complete design flexibility. Double acting doors on floor
closers, as well as stock panic device doors are also available.

In addition to the many product features your clients get when
you specify Cupples, you also have the assurance of DEPENDABILITY
of quality, service, delivery and company behind the product
(Cupples is a division of ALCOA). Write today for our catalog or
see Sweet's, Section 16a/Cu.

CUPPLES PRODUCTS CORPORATION
A DIVISION OF ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA
DOWAGIAC, MICHIGAN • CORONA, CALIFORNIA
Warehouses at Dallas, Texas and Spring Valley, N. Y.

ALCOA
CUPPLES PRODUCTS DIVISION
ST. LOUIS, MO.

Registered Trade Marks, Aluminum Company of America
Main lobby of the Reynolds Area Joint High School located near Greenville, Pennsylvania, showing one of the decorative designs created through the use of ceramic glazed Natco Vitritile. Architect: Hunter, Heiges & Gross. General Contractor: Associated Contractors.

DRESS UP YOUR “SHOW AREAS” WITH WALLS OF COLORFUL NATCO VITRITILE

A creative idea, an imaginative design and a full selection of Natco Vitritile colors can help to make your new school a community showplace. Color and design patterns with Natco Vitritile are limitless. Vitritile is available in 44 standard and accent colors.

Natco Vitritile is ideal for school walls. Its ceramic glazed facing resists scrapes, scuffs, scratches and markings. The only maintenance required with Vitritile to retain its perpetual “new look” is a periodic cleansing with common soap or detergent and water. Vitritile never wears out . . . never fades.

When it comes to attractive, functional walls at moderate cost you just can’t install anything better than Natco Vitritile.

Today’s idea becomes tomorrow’s showplace . . . when Natco structural clay products are in the picture

natco corporation
“Bilco Scuttles do make it easy to work on the roof, but…”

... perhaps we are stretching a point too far. The point? Bilco Roof Scuttles make it remarkably convenient to work on the roof.

THE BILCO COMPANY, DEPT. A-212 • NEW HAVEN 5, CONNECTICUT
WHY WOOD WINDOW UNITS?
Another in a series describing the advantages of wood window units equipped with Monarch Metal Weatherstrip.

compatibility

Wood's ability to "go well" with all other building materials is unique. This helps create the air of hospitality motels and hotels must have to attract guests. Wood windows add a warm, friendly note and, equipped with Monarch Metal Weatherstrip, provide superior insulation. The combination of wood windows and Monarch Metal Weatherstrip offers all of wood's natural beauty and the very best in weatherproofing.

MONARCH METAL WEATHERSTRIP CORP.
6333 Etzel Avenue / St. Louis 33, Missouri

MONARCH PRODUCES WEATHERSTRIP SOLELY FOR LEADING DOOR AND WINDOW MANUFACTURERS AND JOBBERS
Today it's unlimited elevator automation

—a still further advance in AUTOTRONIC® elevating. By the leader in elevator automation—OTIS. It's unexcelled! What does it mean to you? As an elevator rider: almost instant service. Anytime. Anywhere in the building. As a building owner: unequalled service. With the least number of cars.
The huge floors of the United Air Lines Ticketing Building at Los Angeles International Airport are terrazzo. This terrazzo was made with Trinity White Portland Cement and white marble chips.

No other floor has ever approached terrazzo’s long life and low cost.

Studies published by the National Terrazzo and Mosaic Association show that terrazzo will usually prove 20¢ a square foot cheaper at the end of the first 10 years than the next best wearing floor material. The savings made by terrazzo in maintenance and replacement costs soon overcome its higher first cost. Where the life of a building reaches 50 years a terrazzo floor may easily earn savings amounting to more than its original cost.

Terrazzo should always be first choice for heavy traffic floors. It is being used more and more as a floor for the home. Its beauty and ease of maintenance makes it popular everywhere.
An installation of two Stallpack units with standard urinal screens of matching Ozark Grey Veined marble.

Only marble is so durable
Only Stallpack® is so easy to specify

Stallpack gives you the unique durability of solid marble partitions precut to standard size, predrilled ready to assemble, and offered in a package unit complete with door and chrome plated rustproof hardware. These package units are ready to be shipped immediately.

All you do is indicate water closets 2' 10" on centers on your drawings, then specify Stallpack. With that one easy specification you give the toilet rooms of your building the lasting beauty and trouble-free durability that cannot be had with any material but marble.

Stallpack marble partitions will not rust or deteriorate. They will never need refurbishing. Washing with mild soap and water is all it takes to keep Stallpack marble partitions in perfect, shining condition. Imagine the savings in upkeep expense over the life of a building!

These remarkable partitions are easy to keep clean because they are solid marble. Flush construction with solid marble leaves no inaccessible hollow places around the base of the stiles to breed germs and retain odors.

Stallpack partitions are made of fine Ozark Grey Veined marble. This lustrous light grey marble blends beautifully with any color scheme, stays beautiful as long as your building stands!

Write today for specifications, detail drawings, and prices. Address Stallpack, Dept. A, Carthage Marble Corp., Box 718, Carthage, Mo.
"Florida National Bank? You can't miss it."

You don't need a street address. The barest directions will take you there.

In a city noted for its particularly handsome skyline, that's really saying something.

Which is the big reason why the Florida National Bank folks have used Rockwood Imperial Veined Alabama Limestone in every recent building they've built.

It's a fancy name—Rockwood Imperial Veined Alabama Limestone. But the full name is worth remembering.

The warmth of its uniform color and texture, the distinct yet delicate veining and shadings, make it by far the most distinguished and most beautiful of all limestones.

There is no other like it—anywhere.

The Georgia Marble Company
11 Pryor Street, Southwest, Atlanta 3, Georgia

DIVISIONS: Structural, Nelson, Georgia; Calcium Products, Tate, Georgia; Alabama Limestone, Russellville, Alabama; Green Mountain Marble, West Rutland, Vermont; Tennessee Marble, Knoxville, Tennessee; Alberene Stone, Schuyler, Virginia; Wilhagham-Little Stone, Atlanta, Georgia; Consolidated Quarries, Lithonia, Georgia.
Good Neighbors

Planificacion Fronteriza de Arquitectos

Norteamericanos y Mexicanos

by Edwin W. Carroll FAIA

In 1925 the new city plan for El Paso, Texas, was completed as authored by George E. Kessler of St Louis, who commented before his death in 1923 that “Cooperation with Ciudad Juarez and the Mexican Government in the development of a regional plan to create an international metropolitan area of credit to both Mexico and the United States is a Big Plan for the Future.”

Mr Kessler proposed in his plans for El Paso a new international bridge with spacious parks at the approaches in Juarez and El Paso and predicted collaboration in planning between the two nations during the coming years.

Later in the forties the distinguished Mexican architect Carlos Contreras proposed in an address at MIT that three-mile-wide, free international zones be established on the borders providing joint recreational facilities, cultural establishments, educational institutions, transportation
Nosotros, los arquitectos de los Estados Unidos de América y de los Estados Unidos Mexicanos, reunidos hoy, el cuarto día de noviembre del año mil novecientos sesenta, en la ciudad de El Paso, en el Estado de Texas, declamamos:

1. Que asumimos la misión y el servicio de nuestra profesión como un deber ineludible que debemos cumplir hacia nuestros pueblos.
2. Que definimos esta misión como la responsabilidad que tenemos en la preparación de un medio ambiente físico y moral en armonía con las necesidades y aspiraciones más elevadas del hombre en su comunidad.
3. Que reconocemos que los problemas técnicos por resolver son comunes en ambos lados.
4. Que debemos trabajar juntos y comunicarnos constante e íntimamente nuestras ideas y experiencias.
5. Que es imposible concebir el desarrollo adecuado de una ciudad sin el análisis y conocimiento previo de su región.
6. Que todos los ciudadanos que viven a la larga de la frontera habitan en un espacio geográfico con características similares y son problemas comunes de producción, distribución y consumo urbanos.
7. Que estos problemas comunes merecen y requieren atención técnica urgente para lograr un desarrollo armónico de nuestras ciudades y regiones.
8. Que coincidimos plenamente en nuestros objetivos y en nuestros ideales básicos en la planificación y en la arquitectura.
9. Que transformaríamos la indiferencia pública en entusiasmo ciudadano y la actividad alineada en esfuerzo coordinado.
10. Que proponemos crear comisiones técnicas fronterizas que trabajen con nuestros gobiernos para promover los fines de esta Carta.

Con un alto espíritu de comprensión humana, hoy el cuarto día del mes de noviembre de mil novecientos sesenta, hacemos un llamado a los arquitectos y a todos los demás ciudadanos de los Estados Unidos para que asuman y adopten estos principios e ideales para que trabajen con nosotros hacia lograr su completa realización.

Este documento fue preparado y escrito originalmente por el arquitecto Guillermo Rosell de México en la ciudad de Austin, Texas, el día 3 de octubre de 1960; debidamente traducido, modificado y redactado conjuntamente con la colaboración de los arquitectos Carlos Contreras, Ramón Correa y Vicente Miranda, de México, y de los arquitectos Phil Weil, Jack Corgan, Edwin Carroll, R. Max Brooks, Ulmeflly Fins, Robert Wotz, Reginald Roberts, Harold Callahan, Arthur Plyer y Philip Green.

Fue grabado por John Flowers y finalmente aprobado y firmado como la "CARTA DE EL PASO", en la ciudad de El Paso, Texas, el día 4 de noviembre de 1960.

---

We, the architects of the United States of America and the Estados Unidos Mexicanos, meeting today, the 4th of November of this year 1960 in the city of El Paso in the State of Texas, do hereby declare:

1. That we define the high mission of the Profession of Architecture as the responsibility for creating a total physical environment in harmony with man's highest aspirations.
2. That dedication to this mission is our unavoidable duty.
3. That all citizens living along the border dwell in a geographical area with similar physical characteristics and with related problems, both social and economic;
4. That the problems to be solved are indivisible and shared by both nations;
5. That, for the harmonious development of our contiguous cities and regions, technical study of our shared problems is urgently required;
6. That we must work together and freely exchange our ideas and experiences;
7. That it is possible to conceive the adequate development of a city without previous analysis and knowledge of its region;
8. That we agree fully in our objectives and our basic ideals in planning and architecture;
9. That we would transform public indifference into civic enthusiasm and isolated activity into coordinated effort;
10. That we propose to create technical border commissions to collaborate with our governments to further the aims of this charter.

With a high spirit of human understanding we, on this 4th. day of November, 1960, appeal to architects and all other citizens of the Americas to accept and adopt these principles and ideals and to work with us toward their ultimate fulfillment.

It was recorded by John Flowers and finally approved and signed as "THE CHARTER OF EL PASO", in the city of El Paso, Texas, on the 4th. day of November, 1960.
In April of 1960 a brilliant challenge was delivered to the annual convention of The American Institute of Architects in San Francisco* by a group of Mexican architects, headed by Guillermo Rossell, asking for collaboration of Mexican and American architects in the planning of border twin cities and regions. The proposal was eloquent in its story and forceful in its appeal, so much so that AIA President Philip Will Jr pledged to accept this opportunity for international brotherhood at work on the development of the border, which he hoped would encourage other avenues of collaboration once the architects and planners eliminated an imaginary boundary in planning.

Just six months later in October 1960, the Texas Society of Architects held its annual convention in El Paso and devoted the seminar sessions to the Mexican border proposition. More than fifty Mexican architects and their wives attended this conference which was climaxed by the signing of "The Charter of El Paso" by distinguished members of the Sociedad de Arquitectos Mexicanos and the AIA. The presidents of the two professional societies then announced that an International Border Planning Commission had been appointed, composed of Guillermo Rossell, Carlos Contreras and Ramon Corona Martin of Mexico and Robert E. Alexander and Edwin W. Carroll of the United States. Before adjournment of the convention, the appointed Commission elected Guillermo Rossell as its chairman.

Since 1960 definite progress has been made, but the process is much slower on this side of the Rio Grande. In Mexico two powerful Federal Ministries—the Patrimonio Nacional and the Programa Nacional Fronterizo—equivalent to cabinet rank in this country, are directly responsible to the President for action and beneficial results from this program. These official agencies have been busy through privately commissioned architects in completing the plans of more than ten of the Mexican border cities. Actual construction has begun under the National Frontier Program in Ciudad Juarez, Tijuana and Matamoros during the year 1962.

We in the United States must work from the local level in the opposite direction from the accepted practice in Mexico. This we have done, but it takes time and our only hope is that construction will not move too fast on the Mexican side, so that we can catch up in the coordinated effort that we all hope to achieve. Recent developments are most encouraging in the border cities of Brownsville, Texas, across from Matamoros; McAllen, Texas, across from Reynosa; Laredo across from Nuevo Laredo; Nogales, Ariz, across from Nogales; and Tijuana across from the San Diego metropolitan area. Professional planners are being employed on the American side in many of these cities and their first conferences and studies are devoted to meetings with the planners and city officials of the Mexican sister cities in order that all plans might be properly coordinated from the start.

These conferences are arranged through the joint efforts of the Mexican and American delegations of the International Border Planning Commission. Existing plans are exchanged from each side for reference and only last week we were able to distribute partially completed comprehensive plans of five Mexican border cities to the architects and planners involved in the twin cities on this side of the border. Mexican architects working on the border projects have agreed to have their staffs meet with the city officials, chambers of commerce, economists, sociologists, medical authorities, architects and planners on the American side whenever we are ready for this exchange of ideas.

An excellent meeting was held recently in San Antonio, Texas, on August 17 and 18, 1962, which was attended by all five members of the original International Border Planning Commission as well as national officers of the AIA, officials of the Texas Society of Architects, Richard Ives of urban planning from Washington and F. J. Von Zubin, Jr of the Texas Department of Health, which administers federally-sponsored urban planning projects in that state. Results from this conference were immediate and more action is to follow within the coming year. Major items approved by the Commission included:

1. Election of Arq. Mario Pani, Chief Architect for Programa Nacional Fronterizo, and his assistant, Arq. Domingo Garcia Ramos, to the Mexican delegation of the International Commission in order to consolidate the work of the two ministries of the Mexican Government that are involved in border problems and solutions

2. Election of Sidney W. Little, Dean of the School of Fine Arts at the University of Arizona in Tucson, in order that Arizona might be represented on the International Commis-

son, and an American member with official status at the national governmental level not yet announced to the American delegation.

3 Appointment of an executive secretary on each side of the border, one to be located at the center of the border in Ciudad Juarez and one in El Paso to assist in liaison affairs of the International Commission.

4 Enthusiastic endorsement of a pilot planning project of one of the Texas border cities to be conducted and sponsored by the San Antonio Chapter AIA. The program for this project has been prepared and actual work will begin within a few weeks. The School of Architecture of the University of Texas will assist the San Antonio Chapter in the development of this pilot project.

5 Proposal by the Mexican delegation that the next meeting be held in Ciudad Juarez, possibly in January 1963.

6 Invitation by Ramon Corona Martin, Vice President of the UIA, for the Commission to attend the Congress of the UIA in Mexico City in October 1963 and I have during this congress a meeting of the International Border Planning Commission.

The state of California has endorsed the Border Development Program by resolution in the last session of the Legislature through the efforts of Robert E. Alexander. California is adequately set up by law to enter into urban planning with Federal assistance and projects will soon be activated there.

The Texas Legislature has provided by resolution at its last session in January 1962 a study committee on the border planning problem. This group has been appointed by the governor, the lieutenant governor and the speaker of the House and will have recommendations to the next session in January 1963 for revisions in present Texas statutes to provide for urban planning for large metropolitan areas with Federal assistance, which is not possible under existing laws for cities over 50,000 in population.

Activity at the moment in the Juarez-El Paso region is at fever pitch. The El Paso International City Association, Inc., has been officially organized for several weeks now and has as its major objectives the creation of a foreign trade zone for the two cities, an international cultural exchange program, an international university, an international trades fair and a convention center that will be coordinated between El Paso and Juarez for the benefit of both. The citizens of the two cities are having frequent meetings to coordinate their ideas in the very best spirit of international friendship and respect.

The Washington Center for Metropolitan Studies under Frederick Gutheim hopes to begin very soon a demonstration project entitled “A Social Framework for Physical Planning in Communities on the Mexican-American Border.” These studies will be conducted through consultation with and the resources of the great state universities of the border states. The findings of these statistics will be released for use in the comprehensive physical planning of the border region as it develops.

Eventually, it is hoped that official government status may be achieved in the United States for border planning under an agency such as the present International Boundary and Water Commission. This particular agency of the State Department has been in existence for more than forty years and has accomplished great benefits for both countries in the mutually agreeable adjustments of boundary lines and the allocation of water through dams, canals and controlled diversionary systems. Each official from the commissioner down in the International Boundary and Water Commission has an equivalent counterpart on the Mexican side and they have worked quietly and successfully together for many years.

The objective of the architects of the two nations is to encourage the establishment of an International Commission for Border Development at the Federal level, with state and local working commissions set up in each area to actually carry out the realization of the program from grass roots. The international group would be the counselor and referee from the national level which is mandatory in any international planning project. The Mexican and American counterparts of the commission would resolve all problems of an international nature. It is possible that under the climate of most friendly relations that exist today such disputes as the fifty-year-old “Chaminal” problem may be resolved amicably.

From all of the activities now in progress on the border, soon we shall see order and plan to the social, economic and physical relationship of communities that are one in history, climate, geography and resources. From the present day-to-day cooperation created by necessity of the moment in public health, police protection, traffic and commerce, which is good and certainly collaborative in the usual exchanges, we can develop all of these contacts in the future according to careful planning and understanding of each other and build a zone of mutual benefit to those who live and work in the international area.

Let us as architects consider the Rio Bravo and the boundary line as an axis and not as a line of regional division.
Man's Use of Landform

by Donald C. Royse

A Study of the Possibilities of Large-Scale Earth Movement by Nuclear Blasting

This project, part of a bachelor's thesis at the University of California, grew out of a study of land-forming which its author later pursued on an AIA Fellowship in Europe. It develops the premise that the large-scale movement of land can contribute to the real improvement of the environment, rather than solely to the obliteration of the earth's features. Bulldozing and especially techniques of controlled nuclear blasting being developed at Livermore, California, were investigated and applied to the tip of Marin County, directly across the Golden Gate from San Francisco. This bare, hilly land, fifteen minutes from downtown San Francisco, is still in the hands of the military, largely because the wind howling up its valleys from the Pacific, and a thick summer blanket of fog, render it almost uninhabitable. Wind and fog studies were made with anemometers on the site and in an improvised wind tunnel where dry ice circulated.

Moving a mountain blocks the wind and fog, and renders the area warm and sunny, like the "banana belt" of Sausalito, which lies on the bay side of the peninsula. Other land movements create a bowl capable of being economically ringed at the top with a freeway combined with automobile storage, and with a loop off the proposed regional rapid transit system. From this ring inclined elevators reach hillside housing whose density is carefully related to the slope; it provides for 400,000 people. At the bottom of the bowl, a system of parks and a small boat harbor in the lee of the moved hill flank a commercial area where transportation systems intersect, and the new landforms focus, to increase a sense of community.
Location near the center of a rapidly-growing metropolitan area makes Marin a logical site for high-density urban housing. As a place to live, the following weather conditions make it undesirable:

Fog formed by the passage of saturated air over the cold surface of the ocean is carried landward by the prevailing westerly wind.

Wind during the summer months is strong, steady and exhibits the following daily changes of direction:

Topography channels wind and fog, determining local weather conditions in the following ways:

The technology of today suggests the feasibility of using nuclear power as an energy source for moving vast quantities of earth economically.

Considerations:
- Radioactivity—Clean blasts are attainable
- Air Blast Seismic Effects—Small blasts are indicated
- Economy—Enormous, perhaps one-fourth conventional methods

The man-made mountain deflects fog and marine air from the living area and changes the percentage of foggy hours from 18% to 2%.
Habitation of the transformed site would derive its physical form from the following considerations:

OCCUPANCY
The development would be primarily residential. San Francisco would remain the major urban center and area of employment.

TOPOGRAPHY
Fitting the city to the hills is determined by slope and elevation. A distinction is made between man-made and natural hills.

DENSITY
A density of 120 persons per acre would yield a total population of approximately 500,000 people. High density reduces the cost per dwelling of land movement and development.

CIRCULATION
Circulation is a simple, efficient system designed to unite the development functionally and visually.

Density is to be maintained by zoning regulations which control both the minimum and the maximum density. Ninety per cent of all horizontal surfaces must be usable. The following regulations would control the general configuration of building and site relationships:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZONE</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNITS/ACRE</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLOPE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUBLIC OPEN SPACE</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIVATE OPEN SPACE</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEIGHT REGULATIONS (Stories above ground level)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Elements of the circulation system within the site:

**HIGH-DENSITY HOUSING**
The automobile is excluded from this area. Money which would otherwise be spent on a street system would go into development of a network of inclined elevators.

**INCLINED TRANSPORTATION**
Inclined elevator system affords pedestrian access to vehicular transportation arteries. Services are also accommodated by this system.

**RAPID TRANSIT SYSTEM**
Rapid transit coincides with upper ring of freeway and links with proposed area-wide system.

**FREEWAY SYSTEM**
A high-level ring and loop and three low-level loops serve the entire area. They connect at the commercial center.

**AUTOMOBILE STORAGE**
Automobiles are stored in structures related to the freeway system.

Circulation within site

View over site toward San Francisco
A Guide for Planning
Lutheran Church Buildings

by E. A. Sövik AIA

Lutherans in this country have been divided into as many as a score of separate bodies. These divisions have been partly the result of national origins—Germans, Swedes, Danes, Norwegians, Finns and other national groups having maintained their identities—and partly the result of doctrinal differences. With the passage of time the differences based on national background have all but disappeared. Strong pulses toward unity have been active in recent years and mergers have taken place which have resulted in American Lutheranism being mainly composed of three bodies. These three bodies, moreover, cooperate in many ways. Furthermore, several of their leaders are prominent in the Ecumenical Movement.

The Lutheran Reformation may be said to have been conservative in comparison to those churches whose roots are in the Calvinist (Reformed) and free church traditions. Lutheran liturgical practices, for instance, follow the pattern of the ancient liturgies; the episcopal form of government has been characteristic of European Lutheranism, and perhaps surprisingly, the institution of monasticism has never been completely abandoned.

Basic Beliefs

The heritage of Lutheranism has provided patterns of worship, habits of thought and patterns of activity which have tended to keep Lutheran-
The "gospel" is that Word of God which offers strength to meet the demands of a righteous God. Men are by nature sinful, are therefore alienated from God and cannot by their own reason or strength meet the demands of a righteous God. The "gospel" is that Word of God which offers reconciliation through Jesus' life, death, and resurrection. The one who accepts this offer is, in Lutheran language, "justified by grace through faith." Thus God's attitude, activity and initiative (ie, the grace of God), rather than any human virtue, is the basis on which the church is built. The ethical life is not a condition of salvation but a response to God's gift. God's initiative continues in the life of the church; therefore, worship is an activity wherein God reveals Himself and mediates His grace. Man responds with thanks and obedience.

This principle has relevance to the architect in several ways. It implies that a church ought to be the sort of space where men are in an expectant and receptive frame of mind. It would be proper to say that the greatest tribute men can bring to the encounter with God is to bring nothing. It is this thought that has led to the phrase "holy emptiness." Furthermore, although the church building may be the threshold of heaven, it is, like the stable at Bethlehem, a part of this earth. Men do not cross the threshold; God does. So the church building should not aspire to be a celestial palace.

A further development of this "material principle" asserts that there are three "means of grace": the Word of God, the Sacrament of Baptism and the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper. These sacraments are "dominical" in the sense that they were established by Jesus, and they are not simply symbolic rites or optional ceremonies. Lutherans baptize infants and in practice use a font, but have no objection to immersion. The font is logically in a place where the assembled congregation can witness the reception of a new member into their community through baptism. This does not necessarily mean that it must be located in "front," but it is reasonable that it should be prominent.

The Sacrament of the Lord's Supper, like the other sacrament, is considered to be an act of God for men, and the word "communion" is most frequently used because it implies the establishment of a union between the Lord and His followers. Architecturally the table or altar (altare in the early church meant high place and was not the equivalent of the pagan altars of sacrifice) is logically a prominent piece of furniture. But its form or placement is not a matter of rule. Logically, it is not more important than the symbols of the other two means of grace and is not a shrine, because the Presence of God, in Lutheran thought, cannot be localized.

The sacrament has in Lutheran practice been administered in various ways, but always both the bread and wine have been given. In some instances the communion was given in a space separated from that in which the other parts of the liturgy were held. In other instances the com-
the Word of God." To Lutherans the "formal principle of the reformation" and asserts the "sole authority of the Word of God." To Lutherans the Word and the place from which the Word is proclaimed—the pulpit—is like the font and the altar-table, an important thing. Its design should logically imply authority and vitality. Its location or design, however, is not a matter of rule.

A third theological motif is the ecclesio-sociological principle of the Reformation: "the priesthood of all believers." This asserts that all Christians as members of Christ's body stand before God without an intermediary because they participate in the priesthood of Christ; that the Word and Sacraments belong to the community, and the ministry of the gospel is the function of the community because it is the body of Christ the Priest; that faith is the all-important sacrifice and this is the "office" of all believers. The position of the clergy within this universal priesthood is that of one who serves an office which God has given the whole church. His calling to this office, which ministers the Word and Sacraments, is confirmed by the community which selects and ordains him.

This is relevant to the architect because it implies that within the church building there is no space which is "holier" than another. In a sense there ought to be no nave in a Lutheran church; it is all chancel. It ought also to be said that what makes a church holy is not the presence of certain objects or symbols, nor an act of consecration, but the presence of God in Word and Sacraments creating a people of God and dwelling among them. It is a profoundly difficult but important thing that the church building should not seem to be holy in itself but should seem to be a habitation for holy events and holy men.

Two further observations may be fruitful to the architect. One relates to the term "means of grace." It is proper and important to assume that God speaks to men through means other than the scriptures and that the word "sacrament" can be used otherwise than in connection with the dominical Sacraments. God presumably can use any medium of communication, including art forms, to point to the Truth. Lutherans would assert, however, that art forms in a church would derive their value because of the consistency of their content with Truth as proclaimed in Word and Sacrament. If the word "sacrament" is defined in Augustinian terms as an invisible grace ministered through a visible form, any meaningful work of art, including architecture, may be "sacramental."

The second observation is that Christian belief (perhaps any religious faith) is full of paradoxes. The architect who designs a church cannot be afraid of these, but must work to express these paradoxes without weakening them.

**Church Government and Sequence of Authority**

It has been noted that among European Lutherans the episcopal type of church government is characteristic. This is not true in America. Here a congregation owns its own property, selects and calls its pastors and generally controls its own affairs. Its relation to the larger body is by membership as a congregation in the larger association. This larger church or synod is usually divided into geographical entities and its duties are generally to carry out those functions (such as publishing and operating institutions) which individual congregations cannot perform. The presiding officer of a nationwide body is called a president, and smaller geographical divisions have their synodical or district or conference presidents. These officials are roughly equivalent to European bishops.

Among the agencies of the larger bodies are two with which the designer of churches is likely to be concerned. The first is the board or commission concerned with the establishment of new congregations in this country. Such an agency is likely to be named the Board of American Missions or something similar, and it will have control of the funds which the national body has allocated to help new congregations in building programs. Such agencies are not primarily concerned with architectural problems, but they are very much interested in helping the new congregations avoid planning mistakes, and their experience has brought them to a point where they can be very helpful. Like any other bureaucracy they are wary of extremes, but there is none of them which is not interested in the future and none of...
them which presumes to be an architect. The other type of agency provides advice to building committees of established congregations. Such service is not mandatory for the congregations, and many congregations do not avail themselves of it. An architect may obtain from the pastor the name of the agency of the synod to which he belongs.

The government of individual congregations in this country generally follows the democratic patterns. This final authority is the whole adult community whose presiding officer may be the pastor or an elected layman. A church council is also elected to provide general control and direction. The members of the council, including trustees, are called deacons. Usually there are other boards and committees responsible for special areas such as education, music, missions, women’s work and so forth. The body with whom an architect will have most of his dealings is usually a building committee, but almost always final decision on projects of great importance rests with the congregation as a whole. Much can be said against leaving decisions on architecture to a large and unschooled body of people, and there are certainly hazards and difficulties. On the other hand, it is important in the general life of a congregation that the sense of responsibility and the understanding of the issues of church design be spread as far as possible among the people.

Buildings

The history of church architecture among Lutherans is one of great variety. It would probably be correct to say that the conservatism of the Lutheran Reformation with respect to form was such that the implications of Lutheran thought have never been consistently expressed in Lutheran buildings.

In contrast to the Zwinglians and Left-Wing Reformers, the early Lutherans as a rule were not iconoclasts but used the existing churches without many changes. There were significant exceptions, however. Pulpits became more important than in pre-reformation times. Luther expressed a preference for the *vers populum* position in the rite of the Lord’s Supper. The baptismal font was often moved to a place in or near the chancel. The “choir” was not reserved for clergy but was often used as a communion room where all communicants gathered for the sacrament, other parts of the service being conducted in the nave. The singing choir was characteristically situated in another part of the church rather than in the medieval choir.

In the post-reformation period the new churches took in certain areas, an axial scheme with choirs in the “west” gallery and the three units of ceremonial furniture all situated on the central axis at the “east” end—font on nave level at the head of a center aisle, altar-table central in the chancel and pulpit above it.

This scheme was, however, by no means generally used. Hall type churches without a separate chancel space, Greek cross plans, octagons and churches which were broader than they were deep were also built. So one cannot appeal to Lutheran tradition to supply a typical form.

Lutheran churches in this country are also various. Those who built them were guided on the one hand by their memories of the European churches and on the other hand by strong impulses to “Americanize.” Many American Lutheran churches are, therefore, heavily influenced by the patterns of American Protestantism whether meeting house or “theater” types. A third influence has been strongly apparent: that of the Oxford and Tractarian groups who sought inspiration in pre-reformation liturgy and architecture.

The modern movements in architecture have found the Lutherans generally more receptive than many major bodies. In Germany, for example, Otto Bartning (d 1959) produced significant work in the twenties and thirties. He also wrote extensively, but, unhappily, his works have not been translated. In this country there is currently a great interest in matters relating to worship, in both the liturgical and the visual arts. The interest has shown itself so far largely concerned with historical study; this is fruitful soil, however, for creative ventures.

The foregoing has implied that there are few if any rules which apply generally to Lutheran churches. This is true. But individual congregations are likely to have, nevertheless, distinct prejudices and opinions arising from their particular and limited traditions. The architect must, therefore, undertake two difficult obligations unless other leadership is present. One is to help the local groups to see themselves in the larger context of Christian thought, tradition and practice. The other is to restrain himself from assuming that the lack of a prescribed pattern sets him free to do whatever his whims may suggest.

There is, instead, a severe burden imposed on the architect to immerse himself in a study of Christian thought and practice so that the form of the church building will be a faithful image of ideas and spirit. If it is true that the Lutheran Reformation was never realized in terms of architecture, it is now time to accomplish this (realizing that our concern is the twentieth century, not the sixteenth). It can only be done if architects will enter into an understanding of the church more perfectly than they did in earlier centuries.
Building Requirements

A. Worship

The church itself has in general been described. Some words should be added about the accommodations for a choir. Ideally, in the Lutheran mind, there should be no need for a choir apart from the congregation. The inadequate musical capacities of the average congregation, however, bring about the need in almost every church for the separation of a group of singers who can lead the congregation. A second function—that of singing special music such as anthems and cantatas—is then a possibility, and these events become "proppers" in the liturgy.

Most Lutherans would agree that the choir ought to be thought of as identified with the assembled people rather than "opposed" to them. Therefore, the pattern used in the early basilicas (where the choir was located in the nave and separated from the other worshippers only by a rail) might be considered ideal. Practical considerations such as the relationship to the organ, other acoustical difficulties and a variety of factors generally militate against this solution nowadays. But no common rule can be established. Instrumental music is frequently used independently or to support the choir, organ and/or piano.

If the choir is vested, as most are, provisions for a robing room or area is necessary. Since processions may be a part of the liturgical action, the location of the robing space must be considered in terms of this sort of circulation. It should not be assumed that a processional must be toward the "front" of the church since if no part of the church is "holier" than another, the direction of movement within the room is not to be of symbolic significance during a processional.

Choir rehearsals are usually conducted in a place other than the worship room for convenience sake (often they take place during the time when the church is filling up with worshippers). Logically, this rehearsal space (which may also serve other purposes) is convenient to or contains the vesting area and storage for robes, music, etc.

Other ancillary spaces are also normally built. The first is the narthex which serves as a weather lock, sound buffer and transitional space. Often a guest register, bulletin and tract rack are provided, and cloak space is associated with this space where the climate commends such a facility. To think of this space as a means of insuring the privacy of the worship space is to misunderstand it. In the days when the church operated as a secret society it may have had this function. In this day the church ought to meet the man who approaches with both the compassionate invitation, "Come ye who labor," and the imperative "Seek ye the Lord!" but not with an entrance which seems like a barrier.

A room called a vestry is usually provided as a place where the pastor and others may prepare for the service. Lutherans, like many other denominational groups, disavowed compulsory confessions by one Christian to another, but many Lutherans encourage voluntary confession. When this is the case the vestry is sometimes equipped for such purposes. Another space called a working sacristy serves as a storage and preparation room for liturgical materials. Many churches combine these two spaces or consider that the pastor's study may serve as vestry. In this case the single room is called simply the sacristy.

These spaces in practice are usually located adjacent to the chancel area for convenience sake. There is both reason and precedent, however, for placing them elsewhere. The reasons are threefold: the provision for a special entrance to the chancel for the clergy is too much like the entry of actors from the wings of a stage, and it is important to emphasize the difference between the liturgy and a theatrical performance; the elements of the Lord's Supper are considered to be an offering of the community, and this circumstance is demonstrated more effectively if they are brought to the table from the community rather than from "backstage"; if the vestry is used as a place where pastor and parishioner can meet it is often more convenient to relate this room to the narthex or nave. These reasons are not necessarily conclusive.

The church should be planned for evening as well as daytime use, and, as far as possible, allow for changes in liturgical rubrics as time passes.

Large Lutheran churches often build chapels which find their justification in providing a worship space for smaller groups, a reasonable environment for small wedding and funeral ceremonies, and a place for private devotions. The nature of such a space may logically vary from that of the larger church through accenting the last of these purposes. The service is in one case the common prayer of the members of the body of Christ where all are properly aware of their fellows and the worship has an extrovert character; in the chapel the space may properly suggest seclusion, retirement and introversion—the "upper room" motif—which is also part of the Christian piety. The provision for such a private place is often important in urban areas where, though loneliness may be common, privacy is hard to find.

If the sacraments are to be celebrated, the room should properly have an altar-table and font. If they are not, the introduction of sacramental furniture simply as decorative and symbolic motifs demeans their importance, and other symbolic devices are most appropriate. Provision of a sacristy is proper where the sacraments are celebrated.
B. Administration

Convenience almost always dictates that there should be in a church complex space for the pastor to study and for the administrative and personal ministry of the staff. A desirable minimum is a two-room suite, one of which should be private. Churches with large staffs are so variously organized that no general rules can be made. There is reason, however, to comment that an architect ought to beware of considering these rooms in the same frame of reference as the executive offices of a commercial establishment. Certain similarities in function are obvious; but there is a real difference rooted in the fact that the church ministry are servants, not executives, and anything ostentatious, overpowering, slick or lacking in integrity is out of character. A library where parishioners can read and study and from which books can be borrowed is also a desirable space. Size and methods of control vary widely.

C. Education

The long tradition in the Lutheran church asserts that the responsibility for religious education is in the home. The rite of confirmation, which takes place when children are twelve to fifteen years old, however, follows a one- or two-year period of instruction almost always conducted by the pastor. Practically every Lutheran congregation would like to provide a space where this important teaching can be done effectively. Usually it is a weekday class of not more than thirty and preferably fewer. Typically, only confirmed children and adults receive the Lord’s Supper, but this is not necessarily so.

The other educational work done intramurally has developed in recent generations. Because it follows such a varied pattern, and because the issues related to it are currently in such flux almost nothing can be said which has any general validity. The possibilities include weekday classes, Sunday school, summer program, classes for small groups taught by volunteer instructors, programs conducted according to the principles of modern educational theory, classes for several whole families together, graded sequences, and many combinations and modifications of these. The space standards used for public schools and those supplied by the National Council of Churches may be useful. It would be generally agreed that religious education is not “play church,” and that miniature chancels and altars are not appropriate.

D. Fellowship

Lutherans assert that it is not social fellowship but the Word and Sacraments which are constitutive of the church. Their concept of the lay voca-

Glossary

Chancel: That part of a church building which contains the sacramental furniture and from which the clergy leads the worship.

Font: The container for baptismal water.

Liturgical Worship: Patterns of worship which follow a fixed form common to a church group, repeated in regular sequence and deriving from ancient usage. This contrasts with non-liturgical worship which is comparatively free from tradition and is dependent on personal and local preferences and is not necessarily repetitive.

Narthex: A vestibule, foyer or entry space.

Nave: The space in a church in which the laymen assemble.

Processional: The ordered movement of a choir and other leaders of worship into their places at the beginning of a service. The Recessional is the reverse movement at the close of a service.

Proper: Those elements in a liturgical worship pattern which change from Sunday to Sunday, such as the Scripture lections.

Synod: An organization or council established and supported by many separate congregations.
NCARB Regional Districts?
by G. Stacey Bennett, AIA
Chairman, Washington State Board of Registration for Architects

Some forty years ago the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards was founded for the purpose of establishing and promulgating uniform standards for architectural registration, uniform examinations and reciprocity procedures between the various states. The membership of the NCARB comprised the members of the duly appointed registration boards of the states, territories and districts of the United States.

During the past forty years a great deal of progress has been made by the NCARB in the accomplishment of its basic objectives. Practically all of the states, territories and districts comprising its membership have adopted to some degree, uniform requirements for the registration of architects, uniform examinations and reciprocal licensing.

This progress has, however, been severely hampered and complicated by many basic factors, some of which are: the wide differences in requirements and procedures for architectural registration established by legislative statutes in individual states and districts; the lack of established policies and procedures within the NCARB itself for the day-to-day liaison between its member boards; and the great increase in applications for architectural registration and reciprocity in some areas of the country which has pointed out the need for revisions in the procedures and methods used for obtaining NCARB Certificates.

In the past years it has been the practice of NCARB to hold one meeting a year of its total membership to transact its necessary business. It has been very discouraging to many state boards to attend this one meeting in the hope that progress in the solution of common problems could be accomplished and to find that there was not enough time during the two days allotted to the meeting to even introduce and discuss these problems.

The committee structure of the NCARB operates efficiently and well. The opportunity for a close working relationship and liaison between its member boards leaves much to be desired, and the need for revisions in the procedures for compiling and certifying architects' qualifications for NCARB Certificates are very apparent.

In some regional areas of this country, such as the western states, tremendous growths in population have occurred. The pressures built up in the architectural profession due to delays in reciprocal licensing and registration caused by the lack of interstate communication and uniform procedures can well be imagined.

A critical analysis of the above facts indicates that a clear and direct solution is possible: the establishment of regional NCARB districts, a "grass roots" organizational pattern that has been used for many years by such professional organizations as the AIA, the AMA and others.

The organizing of NCARB regional districts would provide the machinery for state boards within its jurisdiction to communicate on a grass roots level and to establish and determine local problems involved in obtaining uniform legislative statutes, registrations and reciprocal procedures. It is a proven fact that legislative action necessary to raise or equalize the professional standard within any one given state can be directly influenced by those standards existing in neighboring states and by concerted action by the profession on a regional basis. It is also a proven fact that problems of uniform standards in registration examinations and reciprocities may be achieved by regional activity of state boards.

A case in point is the action taken by the states of Nevada, California, Oregon and Washington in 1961. These states have had tremendous population growths and thus a corresponding increase in the number of applications for registration and interstate reciprocity. Inasmuch as the number of applications for registration examinations and interstate reciprocity within these states equals more than the rest of the United States combined, the pressures built up within the profession, due to delays in reciprocity and registration brought about by lack of completely uniform standards, need not be enlarged upon.

California, Oregon, Washington and Nevada, by joint action in 1961, established procedures for a common registration examination to be held at the same time in each state in June of 1963. Nevada and California have already held one common examination this year with marked success. Further, interstate reciprocity, within the
framework of NCARB, has been eased and simplified by the simple expedient of regional communication between the state boards of this area.

It is significant that for the first time in some forty years one of the basic objectives of NCARB—uniform registration examination—has been accomplished by the regional activity of a group of state boards in one year’s time!

A survey of other state boards conducted at the 1962 annual meeting of the NCARB in Dallas indicates that twenty-two states contacted are vitally interested in this matter. Negotiations with the states of Alaska, Idaho, and Hawaii have been initiated, and it is probable that by 1964 seven states in the western area will hold common registration examinations.

In the interest of service to the profession, the argument for organization of regional NCARB districts is overwhelming, and in this light the NCARB Committee on Advance Planning recommended at the Dallas convention that a special NCARB Committee be formed to investigate and report on the feasibility and method of establishing such districts throughout the United States. This committee has been appointed and the study initiated.

Revisions, simplifying the procedures for compiling records of architects’ qualifications for the use of state boards, have been initiated by the board of the NCARB.

The adoption of simplified procedures for acquiring a NCARB Certificate by architects, the organization of regional districts of NCARB and the establishment of closer relations with other professional organizations will accelerate and amplify the services provided the architectural profession by the National Council of Architectural Registration Boards, in keeping with the growth and scope of the architectural profession in our times.

The Fifty-Sixth Annual AIA Convention

An Excerpt from the Report of the Kansas City Chapter Delegates

Presentation of the Gold Medal to Henry Bacon, Washington, DC, 1923. Sent to the Journal by former-President Phil Will, with the comment “What they did then makes us look like unimaginative sissies”

Friday, the last day of the convention, dawned with gray skies and a promise of more rain. . . . At half past six that evening some four hundred delegates and guests took places assigned them at tables arranged in a large tent which was erected on the strip of ground separating the fountain pool and the reflecting pool before the Lincoln Memorial. The dinners were provided with official robes of lavender, yellow and orange which they found at their seats. The colors and standards of the organizations were massed at the ends of the tables.

At the speakers’ table were the officers and past presidents of the Institute, the guest of honor, Henry Bacon, Jules Guerin, Royal Cortissoz and others honored in the arts. Music was provided during the banquet by the United States Marine Band.

At the conclusion of the dinner, when President Faville rose to speak and there was silence, it was noticeable for the first time that a steady rain was falling outside, but preparations had gone so far that Howard Greenley’s program proceeded without change in spite of the weather.

The mystic beauty of the final episode of the convention will surely remain for all time in the memory of those of us who were privileged to witness it. Under the canopy of fine rain the concentrated effect of brilliant color was diffused and softened and the effect was beautiful beyond description. It must have been especially so from the viewpoint of the spectators, who were massed under dripping umbrellas flanking the wide approaches to the monument. The procession advanced in almost complete darkness, the robed standard-bearers rather suggested than revealed in the reflected radiance of a spotlight which was directed upon the burnished sail of the Barge of Honor in the center of the lagoon.

As the officers and Mr Bacon stepped ashore at the base of the monument and approached the rostrum at the top of the steps, the colors and standards were suddenly massed behind them in the full blaze of varicolored light, and followed up the steps to form a hollow square of glittering splendor against the severe classic beauty of the facade.

The formalities of the introduction of Chief Justice Taft by Mr Faville, of the introduction of President Harding by the Chief Justice, of the speech of presentation by the President, and of Henry Bacon’s response, are incidents which left impressions befitting their extraordinary significance, but the splendor of the final tableau will remain always a memorable conclusion to a most delightful and inspiring experience.
Historic Precedents in the Design of Cities

Throughout history man has sought to gain greater control over his physical environment, according to the needs and preferences of his times. His attention has been largely focused on the shaping of his cities. His successes, while sporadic, are marked by magnificent levels of achievement and artistry.

Today we are witnessing a rebirth of interest in the art of designing cities. As Carl Feiss FAIA, AIP, has recently written, “A major conversion of architectural practice is now taking place: the comprehensive architecture of whole communities.” This task is as difficult as it is necessary. Healthy cities are fundamental to healthy societies. They are the vital forums of exchange upon which a complex society depends.

This article is the first of several on the design of cities and towns. Prepared under the general direction of the Institute’s Urban Design Committee, the objective of the series is to stimulate and inform the architectural profession towards greater effectiveness in urban design by presenting the background, the elements, and the aims of Urban Design—The Architecture of Towns and Cities. The initial article of the series is a history of the great achievements of the design of cities in the past. It traces these achievements from ancient Greece to the pre-industrial nineteenth century city; that is, to the city of our own times.

Approved by the Board of Directors of the Institute as a Supplementary Dues undertaking, the project is being prepared by Paul D. Spreiregen.

Matthew L. Rockwell AIA, AIP, Director of Urban Programs
Historic Precedents
in the Design of Cities

The sunlight in Greece is brilliant and revealing, the air is clear and the landform rocky and hilly. The landscape of Greece is powerfully assertive. Its presence is keenly felt at all times.

To this landscape, Greek architects added temples whose intricate detailing reveals a profound understanding of how the human eye actually sees under conditions of intense light and whose siting reveals a profound sensitivity to the placement of buildings in nature. The Greeks never attempted to overwhelm nature with their buildings. They tried, rather, to assert themselves graciously as another component, and they succeeded. While the temple at Sounion stands high on the headland promontory of Attica announcing itself and the mainland, more often Greek temples were sited so that the neighboring hills were higher. In this way the temples became human parameters in nature—man-made objects which gave human measure to the landscape. It is this sense of human measure which architects have come to call "scale."

The same was true of Greek city-state towns. They were small and could be seen in a single view set in a background of hills. So were they seen in their entirety from places within the town itself, as one can still see all of modern Athens from the Acropolis.

In the heart of Athens, the Agora, the grouping of the city's special buildings—stoas, assembly halls, temples and shrines—presented a different problem. There, buildings were not seen as lone objects in nature. While nature was very much a part of the scene, since nearby tall hills were very much in view, buildings were seen in close conjunction with each other. The architectural problem of grouping many different buildings together was solved not by a design plan drafted by an architect at a single moment in time, but by an attitude toward the design of public building groups which was held by architects generally and which prevailed for most of the long life of the Agora. That design attitude—the design concept—had several key aspects.

As architectural compositions these groups of buildings were a combination of masses, spaces and paths of movement. The axes of the spaces generally coincided with the paths of movement. This insured the power of the spatial axes to reveal spatial extent. Where a building lay astride a spatial axis, it might be penetrated and the observer free to walk through it. Such was the arrangement of the entrance gate of the Acropolis, the Propylaea. Where a building occurred at a dominating central location, it was complemented by spaces or paths of movement alongside. Such was the case of the Odeion (music hall) in the Agora.
The Athenian architects recognized the importance of carefully considering the massing, detailing and placement of buildings in relation to the spaces in which they were set. The buildings in the Agora, as on the Acropolis, were sited to be seen from their best vantage points, usually an oblique view. These spaces were thus characterized and formed by architecture. In this way Greek architects employed space as an element of architectural design. Building masses were further wedded in visual relationship by the common motif of classical Greek architectural detail. It must be remembered that the sites for major public building groups were often at varying ground levels and that the buildings themselves were of differing sizes and proportions. In the face of these conditions, the collective views of all the buildings were carefully contrived through the understanding of architectural mass, architectural space and the movement of people. With the aid of their awareness of these elements of urban design, Greek architects were able to group diverse buildings on difficult sites.

The plan of the Athenian Agora shows a general mixture of building types in its center as well as on the periphery. Stoas were located at the edges of the Agora. Being long, of medium height (two stories) and architecturally horizontal, they were excellent backdrops. Their horizontality gave a sense of stable repose. A pediment seen outlined against the sky here was balanced by other pediments seen outlined against the sky there, repeating a theme similar to a range of mountain profiles. The buildings themselves were symmetrical, the whole composition being one of dynamic asymmetrical balance in space. Thus, the symmetry of the component buildings maintained a sense of stability in a generally asymmetrical composition.

One may wonder why Greek architects did not achieve as orderly a geometric plan for their whole Agora as they did for their individual buildings. In Athens the answer was that they could not. The Athenian Agora was in existence before the full consciousness of geometry, architectural space and the siting of building masses could affect it as a whole. The Athenians succeeded admirably in creating a balance of irregular parts whose total impression was one of order because the parts were so clear. In Athens they were apparently unable to achieve all that their
Greek colonial town of Miletos, illustrating principles of Hippodamus, although built before his time.

Republican Forum. Curia, or Assembly, is center building.

Imperial Forum. Buildings were designed to form large regular spaces.

Aosta, a typical Roman military town.

Artistic consciousness embraced, but in their colonial cities the opposite prevailed. Here they were working with virgin landscape and were building under strong central leadership.

The colonial cities of Priene or Miletus are good examples: they are quite regular in geometry and extraordinarily well adapted to difficult topography. Their public squares are crisply geometric, well contained, and the principal public buildings well displayed. A masterful balance was achieved between the abstractions of geometry and the realities of siting buildings artfully.

There were other cultures previous to the Grecian which achieved levels of conscious artistry equal to and even surpassing the Grecian in some ways—the Egyptian, for example—but the Greeks are to be cited among those ancient cultures for the extraordinary breadth of accomplishment and consistently high level of performance in their practice of building beautiful cities.

The Roman architects became heirs to the lessons of Greece. As students they might have been more attentive but they had some rather different problems and, as it happened, several ideas of their own.

Development of the Roman Forum during the time of the Republic (509 BC—27 BC) was not unlike that of the Athenian Agora. It happened piecemeal. It was much bigger, much more complex and much more exhibitionist, almost a carnival of classical architecture. Its buildings were ingenious in their detailing, their engineering and their individual siting. But as the Forum developed, as its buildings multiplied, as the complexities of Roman classical detailing increased, there was no matching artfulness in methods of arranging the complex building groups together. Recognition of this problem is well illustrated by the relation of the Curia to the rest of the Forum.

The Curia was the assembly building for the Roman Senate. It was considerably smaller than most of the other buildings in the Forum which were, to a great extent, products of architectural exhibitionism. Each one tried to outdo the other in display and importance. The Curia, however, was really the heart of the Forum and deserved special distinction. The problem was to give it that distinction. Apparently the Romans felt that if it were highly adorned with external carving, it would be in competition with equally adorned neighbors. If, however, its exterior were kept...
simple, it would contrast with its neighbors. So it was that the Curia was an unadorned boxlike building which was distinguished by its plainness and small size amidst a scene of architectural overabundance.

The problem in this case could not be solved by site changes since the Forum could not be altered. It was necessary to adopt a different attitude toward architectural finish and detailing, an attitude that was somewhat non-architectural but was, instead, almost urban.

It was not that the Roman architects abhorred geometric order in the grouping of buildings that made them fail to achieve it in the old Forum. They were building very orderly military outposts through their expanding empire just as the Greeks had done. Like the Greeks, they did not have the means to rebuild the heart of their city. The Athenians never got the opportunity to apply their purer geometric planning ideas to their own agora. The Roman architects did get that chance—when they enlarged the Forum during the period of the Empire (27 BC—476 AD).

The old Forum was so complex, so filled with buildings, and the spaces so irregular that it was hopeless to try to group different buildings together as a harmonious series of masses.

By this time, however, the Roman architects had wisely learned the lessons of architectural space. Instead of filling all available space with buildings, they arranged the buildings to form enclosed spaces: true forums. In becoming subservient to the spaces which they enclosed, the buildings gained enormously in setting and quality. Their distinction lay not in individual architectural superlatives. It was achieved in collective architectural accomplishment—the creation of orderly and appropriate civic spaces.

These two basic design attitudes are dramatically apparent in the contrast between the character of the old Republican Forum and that of the Imperial Forum, as seen in plan. The older Forum was a jumble of building forms arranged along an irregular spine of space whose formation was incidental. As a concept it was an arrangement of building masses. The new Forum was a work of great clarity, of immense regular spaces formed by immense enclosing buildings. As a concept it was an arrangement of building masses and architectural spaces together. Indeed, this is the very reason why a visitor to the ruins of both Forums can discern much more of the old Forum than the new. The remnants of the old Forum are now an array of stones, just as its buildings were once an array of architectural masses. The new Forum, as a composition of buildings and spaces together, can no longer be seen,
An early Christian church:
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Baths of Caracalla in Rome

Hadrian's villa near Rome. Main axes were bent at special joints to adjust to hilly site

Even in ruins of Hadrian's villa, principle of joints which connected major axes can be discerned

except for one great exedra. So many of its enclosing buildings have vanished that it no longer retains its former quality of enclosed architectural space.

Perhaps the Romans were fortunate in having two completely different Forums so close together. What complements they must have been to each other—the old one full of odd corners and places, cool and informal; and the new one, spacious and open, brilliant with sunlight and order.

The Roman architects continued to develop their ideas of enclosed space. They designed buildings to be contained in the centers or on the sides of large spaces, at the ends of long spaces and facing each other across spaces. The Imperial Forum was nearly a textbook of all the types.

The refinement of their space concepts can be seen in the large baths of Rome. These were conceived as immense building masses in immense architectural spaces. The forming edges of these spaces made use of a very practical idea. They were made of a large horizontal colonnade. In this colonnade a variety of shops could be located, readily at hand for the bathers but not visually distracting behind a screen of columns. The Renaissance architect Palladio was to employ this same idea later.

Hadrian's country villa, ten miles from Rome, is a significant example of the skill of late Roman architects in building large complex groups in natural settings. Hadrian's villa is a series of large regular court spaces disposed to each other at irregular angles. The major court spaces were located to fit topography and their interconnection achieved through the clever bending of architectural axes. Axes were bent by a number of transitional devices, sometimes small openings or sometimes small rooms. By passing from a large space into a small bending element and then into another large space at still another angle, the effect of irregularity was nearly canceled. The observer, rather than having his orientation confused, was comfortably summoned from one space to another. Thus the courtyard spaces were strongly related to each other and the whole complex well related to the dramatic topography.

The scholar can readily find instances of these architectural ideas elsewhere, some earlier and some later, some done far more artfully. It is interesting to conjecture to what extent the Egyptian temple with its series of interconnected courtyards may have been seen by Roman architects, and may have been imitated in the Imperial Roman Forum as a concept of interconnected spaces. It is also interesting to conjecture to what extent the Roman architects came to realize the power of enclosed outdoor space in their own hippodromes and sports arenas.

But these conjectures only affirm the Roman contribution to the design of cities: the artful design of urban architectural spaces. It is a compelling and useful concept, employed modestly in the design of the early Christian churches. More relevant in the historical flow and development of the art of urban design, it is one of the keys to understanding the accomplishments of the Renaissance.

The early architects of the Italian and French Renaissance lived in the type of medieval city which we have come to admire for a special kind of urban design—urban design which evolves slowly, an urban design of organic evolution. The medieval city
of Siena is an excellent example. Siena is a hill town composed of several political sections, each occupying prominent topography, each with its local church, each with its local small square, but altogether focusing on one of the finest piazzas in the world and, a short distance from the piazza, on a central dominating cathedral. The city of Siena has a pattern of streets which follow the most logical topographic lines to converge on the central piazza, the Piazza del Campo, a large outdoor living room for the whole town. These streets are all quite narrow, and the passage from them into an open piazza is quite dramatic. The main streets are those which are most level. Lined with shops, they run from the town gates to the main piazza and consequently bear the greatest volume of traffic.

These conditions strongly influenced the design of the buildings in the town. The narrowness and closeness of the streets prompted the creation of courtyard spaces in the houses along the streets. The particular entrance views of a piazza were strong determinants to the placement of statues or towers, and apparently the detailing of an important public building was strongly determined by how it was seen from various places in the piazza. The relation between town-form and building-form is so strong that it is certain that Siena's builders must have been thinking of both simultaneously.

The variety of sights of the town is enormous, yet the over-all impression is unified by a constant interplay of basic themes—open space and closed space, narrow winding streets lined with shops and opening into private courtyards, a simple stone architecture, a characteristic roof overhang on the houses, similar doors and windows, the relatively small size of the town, the frequent and dazzling vistas into the surrounding countryside and, not the least, the flow of familiar people everywhere.

In medieval times the practice of architecture as an art revolved principally about two types of buildings: cathedrals and palaces. The design of the facades reveal a particularly sensitive appreciation of how they were viewed. Seldom was a building seen as an isolated whole from nearby. Side facades were only partially seen, if at all. The front facade of a palace or church was generally the only part of either fully revealed to view. Even then, the observer was usually forced to look up to see it. These conditions seem to have compelled architects to design the visible exteriors of their
buildings in ways that would best suit the total viewing conditions of very small spaces.

On French Gothic church facades, the theme of vertically emphasized general treatment, particularly in sculptural detail, developed. On Italian medieval palaces, the details of rusticated stone masonry and of heavy projected cornices against a bright sky answered to site conditions as well. It was not that the French Gothic or the Florentine architects preferred irregular and almost tortured fore-spaces for viewing their works. Indeed, they strove to get more appropriate and more regular fore-spaces. The beautiful results which they achieved are effects of buildings amazingly well suited to urban settings—settings which were largely foreordained and unchangeable.

As the medieval world progressed into the world of the Renaissance, its thinkers and its artists sought a greater breadth and greater refinement of expression.

The architects of the Renaissance equipped themselves with both a more refined system for detailing their facades and, in time, a concept of urban architectural space in which to set their new buildings. The source for both lay in the building ruins of classical Grecian and Roman antiquity. There were compelling reasons for Renaissance architects to turn to the classical world as a model. Their emulation of Rome and Greece makes a period of profound observation, of intelligent application and of brilliant innovation.

The details of classical architectural adornment furnished a repertoire of visual effects which allowed great flexibility in the design of building facades. Facades could be highly punctured with window openings or they could be quite solid with small window openings. Whatever the need, even for open gallery spaces, classical detailing—columns, cornices and bases—could be used to compose the whole architecturally.

Coupled with their use of classical architectural details was a new idea of space, really a combination of the spatial concepts of the classic world and their own egotistic fixation with the idea that the earth was the center of the universe. The adoption of the Roman concept of space may have come from observations in the Imperial Forum, or what remained of it after many centuries. The idea of creating an architectural space as a setting for an important building, so well developed by the later Romans, has occurred to architects of many different times and would undoubtedly have been discovered independently by the architects of the Renaissance. What makes it particularly interesting in their case was the fact that it answered so well their notions of their own centrality. If heaven were the center of all creation, if the earth were the central planet of the universe, if their temporal rulers were the center of society, why not exhibit all this as an architectural idea? The concept of a building standing in a specially designed space was an admirable answer. But, they may have reasoned, why not go even further than that? Why not exhibit it as an urban idea? The concept of a star-shaped “ideal” Renaissance city was an admirable result.

In Italy it proved to be quite difficult to achieve the new concept of architectural space in crowded cities. The core institutions of the city resisted drastic alteration. But attempts were made. In Rome, Bramante succeeded on an architectural scale in his Tempietto, a small circular temple originally designed to be set in a circular space. In Florence, on a more urban scale, the Loggia dei
Lanzi—that gigantic three-portaled colonnade which now shelters sculpture—was the unfinished beginning of an attempt to surround the entire Piazza della Signoria. On the other hand, success was achieved in the courtyards of the new palaces and in church groups where control was complete. But it was in the free open country that the Italians achieved their most striking spatial results.

Palladio, in northern Italy, added superb classical building compositions to the landscape around Vicenza. The Villa Rotonda—symmetrical about both axes, set on the crown of a lovely round hill and designed to be approached by long straight ways from all four sides—almost matches a Grecian temple in its relation to nature. In his fervor over the classical world, Palladio was even more Roman than the Romans themselves. He, too, added a twist of his own.

His country villas necessarily included numerous service buildings which housed kitchens, horses, servants and such dependencies. Palladio located these in symmetrically spread arms which formed either large fore-courts or low spatial enclosures for his main buildings. These arms were part of the whole complex, but they were something more; they made an architectural space. This practically insured the usefulness of his design concepts for several centuries. It is to be found extensively in English manor houses, it was used in a very simple application in George Washington's Mount Vernon, and in a very intricate and clever application in Thomas Jefferson's Monticello.

Palladio should also be credited with his skillful use of the classical column on his facades. It was a law of architecture, early in the Renaissance, that classical columns run not more than one story high. A two-story building required two classical columns, one above the other. A three-story building similarly required three. Palladio was not the only Renaissance architect to break this rule and employ the "colossal order"—a column running full building height. He used it where the intricate design of a facade required such a strong coordinating element and where a façade viewed from a great distance required clear bold elements which could easily be seen. This is illustrated in his design for San Giorgio Maggiore in Venice, a large church building seen across a great expanse of water. The "colossal order" was to play an important role in civic art later on.

As for the realization of the ideal star-shaped city, there are more of these to be found on paper than on the ground. But there were a few built, not only in Italy, but in France and later in Holland. Because they were such ambitious projects their number is small. This concept is more frequently found in a miniature form, as in star-shaped fortress cities or small forts alone.

It was in France and later in England that the concepts of Renaissance architectural space were realized, on a large scale, in the open countryside and, on an urban scale, in the very hearts of Paris and London. In Paris, we find it designated as the place and in England as the square. In both countries it was generally put to residential use.

To clear out a large area of the city to make room for a large new space was by no means easy. It required a powerful central authority. Such had been the case during the time of the Roman Empire, when the new forum was built. Such was seldom the case in Renaissance Italy. However, in France, there was sufficient inducement for those people of means, the courtiers of the times, to have a dwelling place free from the general stench of the city.
They had been accustomed to living in the midst of rabble in their *maisons de ville*; they occupied the second floor (the French first floor), and the upper floors were the dwellings of progressively poorer people. The innovation of the *place* was an opportunity to turn one’s back on the squalid city.

The first two of these were built under Henry IV and still exist—Place des Vosges and the triangular Place Dauphine, dating from the early 1600’s. Both had statues of Henry IV. The Place Dauphine faced out on the river on two of its three exterior sides. The important feature of these *places* is the fact that they formed a space that was isolated, indeed a space that could stand as an island of repose amidst a restless sea of urban squalor.

The important later *places* in Paris include the relatively middle-sized Place des Victoires and the Place Vendôme, both started about 1680; the Place de la Concorde, designed in two competitions starting less than a century later; and finally the interconnected plazas of Nancy, designed about 1750. The Place Vendôme deserves special mention.

The idea was originally conceived as a great forum of the arts and sciences by Cardinal Richelieu. Confronted with great difficulties in financing (the library was not built for forty years), it was decided to build just the front facades and then sell off the lot depth behind by the foot. This notion amazes a modern architect, steeped in rules for architectural honesty and functional expression. But it reveals much of the mentality of his French Renaissance counterpart, who was more interested in the creation of an urban entity than a single building. Its details—architectural ornament, spatial proportion and sculptural embellishment—reveal by this time a mastery over the art of designing urban spaces. The proportions of the Renaissance *place* gave a sense of enclosure without overcrowding; they allowed whole facades to be readily seen in a single glance and they kept roof lines well within the human eye’s normal field of view. The statue placed in the middle (replaced later by a column) would be seen outlined in profile against the sky, just above the roof line of the buildings. In very large squares the statues, or sometimes fountains, were used to attract the viewer to the best vantage point for seeing the square in its entirety.

The development of Renaissance square design was accompanied by a large body of literature which described it in great detail. The writings of the English architect Christopher Wren, for example, list specific rules for overall proportion and remind the architect that to insure a continuously animated scene one must plan diversity of use in the buildings forming the square.

The concept of the Renaissance square had been brought to England by the architect Inigo Jones, who tried to reproduce the Italian Renaissance square of Livorno in London. As in Livorno, one of the sides has a projecting church facade. Most English squares were designed for residences. It was enough to show the way, however, and many others of larger scope were built as a consequence. They were soon filled with trees and grass, quite unlike their Latin counterparts which seldom included greenery.

Renaissance architects had been developing their repertoire to an awesome degree. It became so distorted and elaborately irregular that it came to be called “Baroque,” a word which connotes an almost grotesque extravagance. The Baroque attitude affected urban design profoundly, first in the design of squares, but ultimately in extending its scope to the city as a whole.
It was in Rome where this Baroque attitude first affected the design of squares. The Campidoglio of Michelangelo is an excellent case in point. Michelangelo, as an artist, was primarily a sculptor. In his paintings and drawings he placed great stress on their three-dimensional quality. As an architect he sculptured too. He sculptured classical details on his building facades with flair and freedom, ignoring the canons of the Renaissance, inventing here and improvising there. He also realized that it was possible to sculpture space. Space could be made to appear deeper or more shallow by slanting the side walls of buildings. It could be contained from one point of view but be opened outward from another. Space, like stone, could be manipulated. Michelangelo went even one step further. He also manipulated the movement of people.

A visitor approaching the Campidoglio is compelled to look up. He sees an ascending ramp leading to the center of what appears to be three buildings at right angles to each other, forming a rectangular central space. A tower rises above the middle of the central building. If he is observant, he notices that the classical columns of the facade (pilasters) run up its full height. This gives strong visual unity to the building facades when they are seen from afar, as on Palladio’s San Giorgio in Venice. As he goes up the stepped approach ramp (one of the easiest architectural climbs in the world), he begins to notice an equestrian statue. It was nearly out of sight from below. A sense of expectancy ushers him farther up. Arriving in the space itself and having digested the attracting architectural inducements, he is now prepared for the principal features. There, the size and simplicity of the flat gigantic order is complemented by the intricate classical details of the one-story columns and window pediments.

Straight ahead, the Palazzo del Senatore looms higher than the flanking Conservatore and Museo buildings. It is set on a strong rusticated podium and must be entered by climbing a grand stair. A tower set in the middle of the Senatore marks the center of this building as the climax of the group. But there is something about the open end corners of the space that beckons. The left corner offers a fine view of the old Roman Forum and the right corner another stair which leads off to narrow streets. From the top of the entrance stair of the Senatore, one turns and sees the city of Rome in retrospect. Even then there is another surprise. The
paving of the plaza floor has a fantastic pattern of oval intersections.

The Campidoglio started as a remodeling job. The Senatore with its tower and the Conservatore both were original buildings. Their features compelled Michelangelo to be constantly mindful of the approaching spectator. His remodeling proved to be far more than a new suit of architectural clothing. It was the idea that building groups could be seen as a sequence of views from afar and close up, that the city area in which such a work stood was part of the whole composition, and that the spectator had to walk through this whole composition in order to really appreciate it.

In Paris this notion was later established by a skillful amateur. The winning design of Dr Perrault for the east facade of the Louvre broke all the rules of Renaissance facade design, to the consternation of the academicians. Perrault, like Michelangelo, designed his facade to be seen first from afar and then close up. Like Michelangelo, he fully appreciated how this facade would really be viewed. In Perrault’s case the east facade of the Louvre would first be seen from across the Seine River. Perrault designed a large strong base for the ground floor facade to act as a visual podium for a colossal order of double columns which covered the middle and top floors. Further, he recessed the middle and top floor windows so that the double columns stood out strongly against a deep shadow. The possibly confusing window details themselves were thus out of sight.

Perrault, like Michelangelo, sacrificed the existing tenets of architecture for the broader demands of urban design. His contribution was grasped rather quickly by his fellows who faced the problem of designing ever larger plazas. We can see the result today in the Place de la Concorde, the largest of the plazas of Paris. Incidentally, this same facade concept is copied almost exactly in Logan Square in Philadelphia and has been used in innumerable instances in large buildings ever since. Even Louis Sullivan’s buildings have a strong podium base, a large neutral middle section and an intricate terminal cornice.

Michelangelo in Rome and Perrault in Paris opened the door and crossed the threshold into a new world of design—the artful design of cities on an urban scale. It was in Italy and France that the seeds of these new ideas were to reach full blossom. Like so many of the great developments of urban design, they started as a series of practical problems.

In Rome, Pope Sixtus V wished to connect the shrines of Christianity by a series of roads to facilitate religious pilgrimages. The result was a plan of roadways beginning at the two principal entrances to the city and ending at the shrines themselves. Sixtus had a short pontificate; it lasted only five years. In that brief time he was able to make only a modest beginning. He placed a few monumental obelisks as landmarks to the key points in his plan and as terminal features of the vistas down his proposed streets.

The soundness of his plan is demonstrated by the fact that it was carried out in detail over the next several centuries. The popes, like other temporal rulers, were often jealous of their predecessors. They were unwilling to expend their efforts on undertakings which would bring glory to anyone but themselves. Sixtus’ plan, however, could be achieved piecemeal by his successors.
to their own great satisfaction. The routes of the pilgrims became
great processional streets as Sixtus had envisioned and the shrines
came to receive a wealth of architectural attention. Many were
remodeled and had plazas built in front of them.

At a later time the grand avenues, which were really quite
narrow corridors, became the favorite promenade streets of the
Roman aristocracy who used the open squares as public salons
during the early evening when the city became cool. As we see
Rome today, the pattern of these streets in relation to the whole
city is less discernable than it was when the aristocracy paraded
in them. There are more fashionable streets for the evening
passagiate. There are also many longer and broader avenues in
the city. Sixtus’ plan is more evident in the monuments which
accent its various key points than in the grand avenues themselves.
One of these accents in particular deserves a place of special honor
in the list of great urban design achievements. That is the Piazza
del Popolo.

The Piazza del Popolo was one of two principal entrances to
the Rome of the Christian pilgrims. From it branched three im-
portant roads; one leading to a boat landing on the Tiber, one
to the old Roman Forum and one to a church on top of a steep
hill. The angle between these roads was about 22 degrees each,
or about 45 degrees altogether. Such an angle, as Renaissance
architects well knew, was well within the human eye’s normal field
of vision. The three streets could be seen at once as a single
vista, just as a properly proportioned Renaissance facade in a
properly proportioned forecourt could be seen as a single archi-
tectural entity.

The utility of this configuration lay in its power to suggest the
existence of important features further along in the city. Its poten-
tial was to go untapped by Italian architects until French architec
tes demonstrated the full power of the patte-d’oie, or “goose-foot”
intersection, as they dubbed it. It was in 1825 that the Piazza del
Popolo was finally finished, by which time the patte-d’oie was a
drafting room word among architects.

It may well be that the French discovered the patte-d’oie inde-
pendently. Through their medieval hunting forests they had been
cutting a myriad of paths which converged at numerous points,
forming altogether a great spider-web of star patterns. A hunting
party, standing at one of these intersections, could look for game
down several paths simultaneously. It is not important to conjec-
ture whether the French landscape architects recognized the dor-
mant patte-d’oie in Rome or in the woods. The point is that they
did recognize it and then went on to perfect it. It can be seen in
many instances in Haussmann’s Paris boulevards or in Rome, but,
best of all, at Versailles.

Versailles is a magnificent palace with an extraordinary garden
on one side and a beautiful town on the other. Almost all of it
was built during the reign of Louis XIV. The impression one gets
today is very close to what Louis himself saw. The major patte-
d’oie at Versailles is called the Place d’Armes. It is the con-
vergence of three grand roads which come from afar. The central
one comes straight from Paris. It is only after passing through the
Place d’Armes and continuing further into the entrance forecourt
of the palace that one turns about and sees all three roads together.
They could also be seen as a trio by someone leaving the palace
or by Louis looking out of the palace window. These three grand
roads extended as far as he could see and seemed to embrace the
Versailles, looking westward to gardens and park from the palace

Versailles, looking eastward across Place d'Armes from the palace

Nancy, France: interconnected plazas

Two interconnected plazas were built on site of fortifications. A moat was spanned to connect to the third

In some ways this was a more appropriate use of the patte-d'oie than at Rome, where the entering visitor is confronted with three roads that branch out to places with which he is not yet familiar.

The practice of landscape architecture was brought to perfection in eighteenth century France. The purpose of this whole realm of art was to dominate nature by creating a system of vistas which made its extent visible. Even the sky could be engaged in the whole composition by reflecting it in large pools of water, as at Versailles.

It is somewhat puzzling and quite unfortunate that the gardens of Versailles were not designed with the multiple clarity of vista seen in the main patte-d'oie, that is, looking eastward from the Place d'Armes. Louis was deceived by his architect's plans. He must have been dazzled by the wonderful pattern of star shapes. They were illusion, for seldom is the promise of multiple vista fulfilled on the ground. It is interesting that most of the artists' views of Versailles are taken from an imaginary 100- or 200-foot level—a level excluded to all observers except birds. Artists had to assume this unrealistic point of view to depict the pathways. Seldom were they clearly seen as collective ensembles on the ground. A little more attention to reality could easily have brought that about. In fairness to its designer, LeNôtre, it may well be that this was the objective of the design—the subtlety of a surprising view being preferred to an easily comprehended multiple vista.

The original landscape plan of Versailles focused on the palace itself, but this did not preclude the use of additional focal places. These were, principally: a menagerie, a miniature of the large palace called the Grand Trianon, the beautiful Petit Trianon palace and the imitation dairy village called the Hameau. The first two occupied key spots at the end of the reflecting pool transept. The latter two were located in more obscure positions in the woods.

Many more features could have been accommodated in such a plan. This flexibility of arrangement suited the grand landscape concept of Versailles to urban application. One had only to imagine the great wooded areas between the avenues as houses and there it was.

It is conceivable that Louis might have tried to build his Versailles in Paris itself, the traditional seat of the monarchy. He probably would have failed. Even he could not have afforded the expense of clearing away the many houses that would have been
in the way. Besides, Louis hated Paris. If he had not started in open country as he did, it is likely that we would never have come to possess the model world of Versailles.

French architects were soon to busy themselves with work in the city. Their plaza designs were becoming larger and more complex. They were no longer self-contained islands of space. The design of the streets leading into them and out of them had become as important as the central space itself. More and more plazas were being designed as interconnected series, the most notable being the three interconnected squares at Nancy, dating from 1750, a half-century after the completion of Versailles and an approximate contemporary of the Place de la Concorde.

The attempt to introduce the concept of Versailles into a city as a whole was first made in London. It was proposed in plans made independently by Christopher Wren and John Evelyn. Neither plan was particularly well adapted to the topography of London, but for other reasons, neither was adopted. London was to grow horizontally and spread outwards, aided by the private development of its underground railways.

The design of Versailles with its broad paths and complex intersections, its major and minor focal points, and its array of monumental effects, combined with the knowledge of the architecture and urban design of antiquity, was to grasp the imaginations of designers for two centuries. When such a concept could not be realized in total, it could be realized in part. L'Enfant tried it on a total scale in Washington in 1792 and succeeded in establishing an unfinished plan which was poorly executed. The Ringstrasse in Vienna, a grand avenue enclosing the ancient city, was laid out in 1858 and, for the most part, executed quite thoroughly.

Few major cities have not had plans for grand streets drawn up after this fashion. “Unter den Linden” in Berlin, the Olmsted street plan for greater Boston, the extension of Amsterdam in the twenties, Daniel Burnham’s plans for Chicago and San Francisco, the Lutyens plan for New Delhi—all bear a familiar stamp. The American architect Walter Burley Griffin used it deftly in his winning plan for Canberra, Australia, in 1911.

By far the most spectacular application of this kind of planning was in Paris. Starting in 1853, Napoleon III authorized Baron Haussmann to glorify Paris with a grand system of avenues and parks. Haussmann was responsible only to the Emperor for his actions. It was the system so fully developed at Versailles to which Haussmann turned. By now grand boulevard planning with all of its monumental effects was commonplace in the vocabulary of urban design. To achieve it in a crowded nineteenth century city was no easy job. It required all of the ruthlessness and cunning which Haussmann possessed, the very characteristics for which Napoleon III chose him.

Haussmann’s brutal application of these principles required the razing of three-sevenths of the houses of Paris and the collaboration of greedy speculators to finance the work. The means for such an enormous undertaking are seldom mustered in time of war, but mustered they were by Napoleon III.

Part of its familiar rationale was the need to be able to move troops through the streets quickly in times of civic unrest and riot. The relatively crude facade designs which lined the boulevards were softened by soldierly rows of regular trees, planted full-size overnight. The harshness of the boulevards was comple-
Reflecting pool in front of Lincoln Memorial in wintertime—a grand vista axis
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Peking, considered to be most beautiful city in the world

Renaissance vista axis, the Champs-Élysées in Paris. Started by Louis XIV, completed by Haussmann

The quality of all of these designs was far below the standards of the French landscape accomplishments of the eighteenth century. Still, the world has not seen so vast an overhaul and transformation in any of its cities since.

It is worth noting that the whole undertaking did not occur during a time of prosperous stability. There was great unrest in nineteenth century Paris. Great civic accomplishments, just as great artistic accomplishments, are not always the product of abundant times but rather times of change and stimulation.

The extraordinary thing about these operations, from a design standpoint, was that individual landholders and real estate speculators could be induced to subscribe to a uniform system of facade design. They must have been convinced that the appeal and value of their new buildings lay not in individual distinction but rather in the totally harmonious appearance of the street. The Parisians must have been as wedded to their notion of the street as a whole as we are to our buildings as independent individual objects. Perhaps the Parisians were conditioned to their notion by witnessing the grand plazas of earlier times. One cannot but wonder at this unusual urban state of mind. The result of it all, despite the hardships it imposed on the poor, was a conscious unity of expression which permeated the whole city.

As for the poor people of Paris themselves, they were not of a time and place whose government was much stirred by their needs. But neither were many other governments in the world at that time. They came to learn to live in and enjoy their broad boulevards as fully as do the rich. Paris boulevards buzz with both.

The history of these great accomplishments in the design of cities was better known to architects of two or three generations ago than it is today. It was a part of their training and thus part of their palette. It was a rich endowment which could spur imagination and aid in the development and execution of a design concept—if it were used with intelligence.

This sketch-history is an indication of the levels of excellence achieved in the past in designing on the large scale of the city. By no means can such a sketch-history be complete. It is difficult to refrain from discussing Peking or Venice or London in greater detail. It is also difficult to refrain from discussing how some of the great accomplishments of yesterday's urban design efforts are used today. In some cases they are used far more by people of vastly different cultures and habits. But it is impossible to include everything that comes to mind, as tempting as that can be.

To a practicing designer facing difficult contemporary problems the value of historical reflection lies neither in completeness nor in particular interpretation. It lies, rather, in the degree to which his own imagination is induced to wander and discover for itself, in sharpening his taste for excellence and in stimulating him to regard his own work with greater scope and depth.
Comprehensive Architectural Practice

Project Analysis Services

by Dudley Hunt Jr AIA

Before the design phases of an architectural project can logically begin, analyses must be made of factors such as location, the site and feasibility; then programs must be prepared for project and operations to be housed.

In the definition of the problem is the germ of its solution. Architectural problems contain their own solutions. Like many truisms that are often dismissed as being trite, this one states a basic principle that might better be clung to than dismissed. Architects engaged in the vast and complex areas of design and construction of the environment might be well advised not only to cling to such a principle but to cherish it. For here is the most logical, and probably the most useful, starting place for comprehensive architectural practice.

For some time now, the basic services of architects have been generally considered to begin with schematic design and then to proceed through design development, production and construction administration. Of late, it has become increasingly apparent that if they are not intimately involved with the programming of projects, very likely architects will find themselves, at worst, prevented from arriving at the best solutions to problems by previous decisions over which they had no control. At best, programming previously done by others can only lead architects into frustrating and unnecessary effort to overcome the built-in deficiencies of the program—effort that might more properly be applied to the actual problems rather than artificial ones.

So it might be said that programming—at least of buildings themselves—ought to be made an integral part of the basic and central services performed by architects. This would be a useful step, but what is truly needed is a Gargantuan stride.
The New Role of the Architect

Need for operational programming

Programming of the building alone is hardly enough in a time when the operations that take place within and around the building determine, to a large degree, the final architectural solution. It would seem patently impossible for an architect to solve the overall problem of a shopping center without having been deeply involved in the operational programming of its merchandizing principles. Who are its customers? What income brackets? How do they get to the center? How do they buy? Such considerations are basic to the design of a shopping center. If he is simply presented with a ready-made program from which he must then somehow extract a building solution, the architect can scarcely expect to solve more than the piece of the total problem. Nor can his client reasonably expect more than this.

The same thing would appear to be true for most, if not all, other building types.

Actually the needs seem to go even deeper than the programming of buildings and their operations. Writing a program for a project is one thing; deep analysis of its basic problems is something else again. Without the latter, the former cannot be accomplished with any degree of efficiency or creativity. If a program, operational or otherwise, can be considered as an exposition of the broad principles upon which design is to be based, then the program must necessarily derive from an adequate body of facts relating to the problem—facts that have been gathered with a high degree of enthusiasm and perceptivity, facts that have been creatively analyzed individually and as they relate to each other and to the whole problem.

Not only does a need exist for this sort of analysis and programming, but it is a type of need most easily recognized by clients. Proof of this is the increasing demand of clients for feasibility studies, financial analyses, master programming, and location and site analyses. Further proof is furnished by the proliferation of new types of consultants, many of whom are concerned with such functions. Unfortunately, many of these consultants, though they perform useful, even necessary, functions, somehow insert themselves in between the architect and his client, thereby sometimes erecting an unscalable wall that effectively separates the architect from his client and his client’s problems.

A number of architects presently perform project analysis and programming services with a high degree of competence. The architectural profession as a whole must be equally well prepared to perform such services, not as the masters of all things, but as creative coordinators of the total environmental design and construction process. In services of this scope, the architectural profession certainly needs the help of talented specialists and professionals of many kinds—individuals who can make creative contributions to the over-all process and who can expect to receive just rewards for their contributions.

Need for creative analysis

Role of architects and their collaborators
Location Analysis and Site Selection

by Matthew L. Rockwell AIA, AIP

For an increasing number of architectural projects, analysis of potential building locations—and site analysis and selection—have become essential phases of comprehensive architectural practice.

A previous introduction to the concept of the architect’s expanding practice describes the services which constitute comprehensive practice. In chronological sequence, the earliest of these services is concerned with the analysis of the project. Within the area of architectural analysis there may be identified several functions, some business in nature, others professional. One of these that relates specifically to an extension of the basic professional interests and abilities of the architect deals with project location analysis and site selection.

The extension of the architect’s traditional services has been questioned by some on the basis that no one individual can hope to perform—competently—other services in addition to the traditional services of the architect. Actually larger offices can, and have for many years in some cases, perform comprehensive services with the support of specialists of various types. But for the practitioner with a small office to feel that “this is not for him” is for him not to understand the potential workings of the process.

Whether large or small, every architectural office has traditionally offered some form of site services as a part of its regular commission. Usually restricted, in the past, to the planning of the site itself, it is not unreasonable today to extend this function to include the selection of the site as well. Because of the increasing complexity of the factors bearing upon the site, such as traffic patterns which affect access to and egress from sites or unchangeable zoning restrictions, it is almost imperative that the architect
become involved in the project before the purchase of a site. Site selection derives naturally from site planning. The architect is, or can become, as well qualified to select sites and to organize the analysis which should precede the construction of any but the most routine buildings, as he is now qualified in the planning of the sites.

In this area of practice, a large part of the architect's activity will be in the field of real estate. The comprehensive services concept does not mean that the architect should supplant other professionals in any respect, or in this case that he should become a real estate broker. It does mean that architects should work directly with other professionals and should act as a correlator between these interests and his client. The time has passed when the best interests of the client are likely to be served by purchase of a site offered by a speculative interest without first making an architectural consideration of that particular site's appropriateness to the needs of the client.

Other chapters in this series have explained the principles of some of the many types of studies which are necessary in architectural practice today. For example, in the chapter on industrial buildings, it was pointed out that a study of potential markets for the industry should be made, along with studies of existing sources of supplies, and that many other considerations are in order. In the chapter on shopping centers, it was noted that driving time or transportation time of potential customers to the site was a part of the preliminary analysis. All of these considerations and many others may be handled by the architect either through an extension of his own natural planning abilities or through association with appropriate specialists. It is the purpose of this chapter to examine a specific project, in order to demonstrate some of the principles of architectural practice in the areas of location analysis and site selection.

In brief the study, in this case, involved consideration of the relocation of the general offices of a major food company in Chicago. At the outset of the study these general offices were located on several floors of an office building in the central business district where rentals were comparatively high. The problem posed was threefold: 1) Was a relocation of the offices to a company-owned building in the suburbs justified? 2) Was the purchase of a building elsewhere in the central district justified? 3) Was the rental of other space in the central district more favorable for the company than for it to remain where it was? The problem obviously promised only a chance that a traditional architectural commission would result.

Yet it was also obvious that the problem, as posed, could be best answered in a correlated manner by an architect. A fee arrangement was reached with the client, setting up a charge for the location and site research, this charge to be separate from the fees for any future architectural commission. It is very important that this feature of the client architect arrangement be made perfectly clear. There should be no reluctance on the part of the
architect to request special fees for such special work. In numerous other similar relationships, our firm never found a reluctance on the part of the client to enter into special fee agreements for this type of work.

Before considering phase one of the problem of relocation of this company, it was essential to know where company employees lived. In the Greater Chicago area, any drastic change in well-established commuter patterns was certain to have an effect upon personnel roles. The study made was simple. The Chicago metropolitan area was divided into geographic sectors and the labor forces pinpointed and tabulated.

Over three-quarters of the force lived in easily identifiable neighborhood clusters on the north, west, and south of the region, there being no eastern area because of the location of Lake Michigan. On the theory that those living in the western area could, and probably would, move into either the north or south if necessary, this group was added to both of these clusters. The result was that 68 per cent of the total favored the east-to-north areas; 57 per cent, the west-to-south areas.

These figures were inconclusive. Therefore, in order to make the study more deliberate, two assumptions were made: 1) that the long-term worker of six years or over was more important than the short-term worker for reasons of loyalty, experience and ability, and 2) that, of these, the married worker was more important than the single worker because of family and property ties. This group proved to be the most numerous as well. The north area, with 123 married, long-term workers as against 80 for the south area, showed an advantage of 50 per cent over the south. This fact led to the decision that, in the further course of the study, all sites to be considered should be located in the north-to-west sector. Obviously, the ratio of male to female employees had to be considered and the relative need and availability of each; also a measurement, even though rough, of near retirement age workers and their home locations. The details of these studies are omitted here, but perhaps enough of the character of the study is now apparent to indicate that an architect can master the details of such studies with ease.

Continuing the first phase, a study in minute detail was made of the several hundred square miles of the northwest sector of the Chicago region. The obvious influences of high land values, tax rate and the generally crowded traffic and land conditions almost automatically caused the study to begin beyond the northern city limits of Chicago. A number of maps were made, the most important and costly being a map showing all zoning districts for every community in the defined study area. That such maps are not yet available publicly is surprising and lamentable. Such a map in the hands of able architect-cartographers is a tool of tremendous advantage, as well as prestige. Other useful maps were commutation diagrams showing areas of accessibility, in 15-minute increments, to 90 minutes’ distance from the site area. A multitude of information can be shown on such maps, in a manner that will
make the meaning obvious to almost any reader, of material ranging from land use to nearby labor force.

In a project such as a general office building, as opposed to a facility such as a warehouse, the distance of the site from the bulk of the working population (the source of labor) is of major importance. But the "auto-mobility" of employees should not be underrated; for example, one suburban company never had to repay an employee for more than a single day's travel before the new employee became a part of a car pool. Talks with the State Department of Labor will uncover, as was true in this subject case, hidden pools of female labor where children of school age have left unoccupied hands.

In the present case, the site to be chosen was expected to be immediately accessible to at least one of the following: a bus route, a railroad station or a main highway. Further, it was considered important that the site have a positive value for advertising to the general public—not for advertising messages themselves, but for the prestige value of the structure.

As might be expected, the land values of potential properties decreased in proportion to increased distance from Chicago; at the time of research, the range was from 60 cents to 15 cents per square foot in the suburban areas and from $4,000 to $1,500 an acre in the rural areas. For purposes of this study, a total building floor area of 75,000 sq ft was assumed, with a ground floor area of 25,000 sq ft, on the basis of two stories and a basement. Making allowances for setbacks and parking spaces, a minimum of three acres was determined to be necessary. In practice, parking requirements are often underestimated; this shortcoming includes needs for truck loading, standing and unloading, and also visitor's parking spaces. While a 20 per cent building coverage may seem abnormally conservative, the figure is actually very reasonable for structures housing any degree of activity. Of course, once the designation of a parcel size for a project has been made, the number of sites available is immediately restricted.

In the case of the particular project under discussion, only twelve possibilities were found within the "close-in" suburban area. Of these, four were superior and had comparable physical advantages, but only two of these appealed to the company officials. However, figures for one site showed 1½ times as many job applications as for the other site. Moreover, while female applications for the second site almost equalled the openings, for the first site there were 4½ times as many female applications as openings. This factor brought the search for sites in the suburban area to an end.

A location in the rural area as opposed to the suburban calls for the consideration of a different set of criteria. Here existing sources of labor are of much less importance. The decision by management to move farther afield into a rural area implies the concept that all aspects of the new site must have true mobility in the fullest sense. Aside from the structure, the one fixed and
important feature is the rural community itself and the advantages which it offers, or fails to offer, to the project. Of the 27 rural sites considered in this case, 22 lay within, or immediately adjacent to, nine separate villages. Each village had its own background. In some instances, the national origin of the population within the area was important. In other cases, the attitude of village officials toward rezoning attempts gave an indication of either a dangerous or favorable local political climate.

While the supply of public facilities in every village was critical, each village presented different problems to consider. Of particular concern was the impact which other industry might have on the community at a later date. For example, one village of 2400 persons could support the 500 employees of the present company with service facilities (gas stations, drug store, beauty parlors, etc), but a second or third company following the first would soon disrupt the facilities for all. Seven sites were ultimately given preferred rank and a final choice made on the basis of two advantages: 1) the convenience of the site by rail to Chicago in an emergency, and 2) the general amenities of the village itself.

In arriving at the final decision as to the relocation of the offices, it was felt that the basic consideration must be a measurement of the comparative costs involved. Accordingly, five alternate cost possibilities were studied: 1) the cost of continuing to lease space, 2) the purchase of vacant downtown property and the erection of a new building, 3) the purchase of existing buildings for remodeling, 4) the purchase and use of existing buildings, and 5) the purchase of vacant suburban land for building purposes. Only vacant downtown property located in the near north and west fringes were studied since the future of the south area, with its tangle of railroads, was considered too uncertain.

At the time of survey, the use of automobiles and railroads was about 20 per cent each, and subway and bus lines about 25 per cent each. However, the use of private automobiles was growing constantly and west and northwest expressways were to be opened in the near future. A fringe location accessible to these two highways was thus heavily favored.

At this point it was necessary to consult with experts in building management. From them, accurate costs of both raw cleaning materials and maintenance charges were obtained. These costs included such items as window cleaning charges and polishing equipment so that a fair comparison could be made between company-accomplished maintenance as opposed to contract maintenance. Other real estate experts were consulted in order to determine costs to the company of vacancies which might result in a company-owned building in which space was leased to others. Reasonable rental income was estimated; interest charges on construction loans were studied; remodeling expense for both company and tenant use was calculated; taxes were considered. All calculable items of cost were matched to an arbitrary period of occupancy and measured on the basis of the following comparative
values, related to the cost to the company of remaining in the
leased space occupied by the company at the time of the study:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternate</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Continue of leased space</td>
<td>Basic Cost (X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Purchase of vacant central property &amp; cost of building</td>
<td>60% of X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Purchase of existing buildings and remodeling cost</td>
<td>57% of X, 56% of X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Purchase of existing buildings and use as is</td>
<td>32% of X, 46% of X, 48% of X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Purchase of vacant rural property &amp; cost of building</td>
<td>34% of X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With one exception, Alternate 4a, the cost figures were heavily in favor of the outlying location, Alternate 5, which could be occupied at 34 per cent of the cost of remaining in the location occupied at the time. After considering the unknown expansion needs of the remote future, not to mention the prestige values of a new modern building, the outlying location was chosen.

In moving to purchase the selected property, the company felt it would be wise not to disclose its identity until after purchase. One reason was that should there be local opposition to the invasion of industry into the village, any negative effects upon the goodwill of the company could be avoided. Also while that portion of the property under consideration was properly zoned, there were points still to be settled which could be accomplished best by a third party.

Accordingly our firm understood this phase of the commission, as the agent of the owners, and proceeded to meet with local realtors and village officials to determine their requirements. This required a period of negotiation which heavily involved the company legal counsel. There was a contract stipulation that disclosure of the company identity would be withheld until the last possible moment. This feature requires that the agent be well known locally and have a degree of confidence and integrity commensurate with the serious position of trust in which he functions as the agent of his principal.

The advantage of using an architect as an agent in this manner is that the owner is represented by an expert who is qualified to advise on the various physical problems which arise during negotiation. Other than the financial aspects, these include factors relating to entrance and exit provisions, off-street parking provisions, height and sign restrictions, coverage and setback restrictions, and aspects relating to water supply, sanitary and storm sewerage, and fire protection.

The outcome in the present case was completely favorable. The land purchase ultimately made was greatly in excess of requirements, and purposely so, for protection against possible negative growth nearby whether residential or commercial.

The accomplishment of the studies described seems so natural an extension of the architect's other services as to make it seem obligatory that "site selection" become a normal service performed by architects.
Library Notes

Foreign Books

Recently an architect from a city in the Midwest came into the library at the Octagon and inquired about what is being done in architecture under the authoritative approach of the Soviet Union. He was surprised to find that the AIA library receives regularly from Russia four journals which deal with architecture, and he spent several hours studying them.

There is an interest in the architecture produced under the aegis of social systems different from our own. Certainly architects travel as much as, or perhaps more, than other professional people. Yet these journeys do not always take them to all countries nor to all parts of any country.

One of the significant developments of recent years has been the availability of architectural books which provide important insights into the architectural achievements of all countries. Since architectural images are a visual language and there is communication by means of drawings and plans and photographs regardless of linguistic barriers, there has always been value in books which record architectural knowledge, regardless of the language in which the book is written.

Assuming architects to be as familiar with French, German, and Italian as any other American professional community, there has been an exchange of ideas in books written in these languages.

In recent years, however, particularly since World War II, there has been a tendency for foreign books on architectural subjects to carry a running translation in the English language. This is particularly true of German and Italian books and periodicals, but the important development is that books in such languages as Polish, Swedish, Finnish and Japanese, among others, are published with English translations alongside the original language. This development opens up new ideas in architecture to the reader. It is not altogether satisfactory to study plans and photographs, but it is illuminating to read about the philosophy which underlies the work of the architects of foreign countries. Such a development in the publication of foreign books provides the American architect with an understanding of the creative design and construction taking place in architectural history throughout the world.

An example of a book written in a language not commonly known in America, Serbo-Croatian, with a simultaneous translation in English is a recent addition to the AIA library entitled "Arhitektura Bosne i Put u Suvremeno. Architecture of Bosnia and the Way [toward] Modernity," by D. Grabrijan and J. Neidhardt (Ljubljana, 1957). This is an extraordinary excursion into the cultural content of the city of Sarajevo in Bosnia. The elements of the city and the formative factors of the architecture are traced in intimate detail from the time of the Turkish occupation of the Balkans to the emerging new forms of Yugoslavia today.

This is an unusually incisive study of the cultural elements which went into the organization of the dwelling space in Sarajevo. The book provides the materials for an understanding of the relationships between the past and the present and the continuity of tradition in architectural and urban forms. The translation from Serbo-Croatian into English is satisfactory, indeed, and the many drawings and photographs are unusually successful in supporting the text.

Another book, from a small but influential country, is edited by Keijo Petaja and published under the trilingual title of "Suomen Liike­elämän Arkkitehtuuria; Merkantil Arkkitehtuuri; Business Architecture in Finland" (Helsinki, 1959). Printed in Finnish, Swedish and English, the book is evidence that "business architecture in Finland reflects the spirit of the day." Such architecture, in America as in Finland, reveals that commerce and industry dominate every community, and a social force such as urbanization is necessarily affected by architectural planning, as architecture is molded by the social influences bearing upon it.


In addition to an introductory section on community planning, the book deals with one-family dwellings, multiple dwellings, commercial and community buildings, education buildings, sports buildings, buildings for social welfare, administration buildings, industrial buildings and churches.

Not only are these foreign books studies of local, regional and national styles of architecture, but some of them analyze examples of American architecture. Architectural studies of this kind serve the two purposes of introducing Americans to foreign architecture and of interpreting American architecture in new dimensions for us.


In the languages of Italian and English, this book is filled with illustrations in black and white and in color and with plans.

In the provocative introduction by Gillo Dorflies, it is pointed out that hotels are as old as civilized mankind. At one time the hotel may have been a secondary element in the urban scene—architecturally, economically and socially—compared with churches, castles, palaces and public buildings, but today the hotel is the "tangible symbol" of our times. "There is a hotel where a stadium, a palace, or even a church is lacking; the hotel often includes a church, chapel, sporting centre or social centre." The hotel is modern man's meeting place.

The book gives examples in three categories: 1) medium or small-scale constructions in resort areas; 2) constructions of a "continuative and permanent type" in cities or important traffic centers; and 3) motels or one-night hotels. Restaurants are considered as "food hotels." An endeavor was made to include contemporary architectural examples not cited heretofore in books of this kind.

We are inclined to agree with Mr. Dorflies that this book "is an efficient and up-to-date instrument of approach to this important sector destined to become . . . more and more an engaging and determinating factor in the economic, social and architectural structure of our epoch."

These examples are only a very few of the foreign books, presented in English as well as in the original language, which can provide fresh insights into architectural achievements.

M.E.O.
The Shelter Program

by Jan C. Rowan AIA

Some months ago a group of architects met in New York to discuss the architectural implications of the civil defense shelter program, with which the profession was being asked to cooperate. It appeared that none of those present felt that such a "program" had any validity, and there was some strong resentment that the Institute was urging its members to "be prepared to participate vigorously" in an activity that drew at least divided—and some strongly negative—reactions.

The group constituted itself as an ad hoc committee, prepared a statement outlining its position and solicited signatures from additional architects. A condensed version of the statement and names of those who have so far signed it follow:

"We feel it is our duty to point out the architectural absurdity of a national shelter program. "No architect is interested in designing and building for destruction; his purpose is to construct for the future. However, as the shelter question becomes more and more publicized, clients turn to architects for advice and, in some cases, specific recommendations. No 'architectural' advice is possible. "In the first place, the technical data available is contradictory, to say the least. The point from which an architect always begins planning—the program—is nonexistent. If one were to try to design a shelter with a certain desired effectiveness, various assumptions would have to be made: distance from an assumed blast; size of the bomb assumed to explode in the air or on the ground; the assumed likelihood or not of a tidal wave or a firestorm which would render any shelter useless, etc. And even to make these programmatic assumptions and set up design data, an architect would face conflicting technical testimony—from the blithe generalities published by both official and proprietary sources to the conclusion of Gerard Piel, publisher of Scientific American, that in the case of a 20-megaton bomb burst in the air "there is no local fallout" since the square mileage destroyed by fire would approximate that covered by intense fallout.

"The 'technical' aspects of the architectural problem thus become impossible assumptions, and the question of how to design a fallout shelter is one with no real answer.

"But even more important to architects is the question of why we should even seriously consider fallout shelters on architectural terms. The planning of shelters is preparation, on psychological and physical terms, for war. It is anti-architecture. The purpose of architecture is the creation of environments in which civilizations can develop. War destroys what civilizations have built; therefore war is the antithesis of architecture. Planning for war prevents the fulfillment of what has been designed; therefore, war is the antithesis of planning to build. All war is destructive; atomic war can be totally destructive. Planning for destruction is an architectural contradiction; planning for atomic destruction is an architectural absurdity."


The group knows that many more architects would be willing to sign this statement if given an opportunity. It would seem, therefore, that the Board and the Executive Committee of the Institute should consult the membership before supporting the shelter program and embarking on such activities as sponsorship of the National School Fallout Shelter Design Competition.

Editor's note: The two statements presented here were written before the Cuban crisis.
The Other Side of the Coin

by William H. Scheick AIA

The statement on the opposite page signed by some of our distinguished members expresses a viewpoint against any civilian shelter program. It has political overtones because decisions on civil defense rest with Congress.

We have kept the membership informed of our cooperation with the Defense Department on the fallout shelter program. A summary is printed here for direct comparison with the signed statement.

The public vacillates between apathy and concern for protection from nuclear warfare in relation to international tensions. In 1961, a crisis over Berlin was developing ominously and the government, reflecting public alarm, moved to strengthen the civil defense program. That crisis dissipated and the public seemed to forget promptly that a rash act by an individual or group could at any time submit us to the punishment of a nuclear attack.

In the 1961 crisis, the Defense Department planned a program to provide fallout shelters for as many Americans as possible. A survey of existing buildings was conducted, using the services of many architectural and engineering firms trained in special schools for such work. In addition, a program was planned to enable the construction of new schools with fallout shelter facilities. Congress was to be asked to appropriate $350 million for such shelter construction.

During this period of time we received many requests from our members for reliable information on shelter design. The engineering societies and the AGC reported similar demands.

The Defense Department, after consultation with AIA and ASCE officers, decided that it needed professional advice. The AIA acted promptly to form an Advisory Committee, representing the AIA, EJC, NSPE, ASCE, AIP and AGC, which began a series of meetings with Civil Defense officials in November 1961. None of these organizations has done anything in a political sense to influence legislation on shelter programs.

Before this Committee went to work, the policies and principles of its collaboration with the Defense Department were debated and reviewed by officers of the AIA. They concluded that policies on civil defense are matters to be determined by Defense experts and ultimately decided by Congress. They concluded that the function of the Advisory Committee was to aid the Defense Department in preparing a school fallout shelter program that would be soundly conceived and practical in terms of design, economics and administration at local levels.

Steuart Pittman, Asst. Secretary of Defense for Civil Defense, and Paul Visher, Director of Technical Operations, Office of Civil Defense, gave the Committee, as well as the AIA Board, extensive information explaining the reasoning behind the fallout shelter program. They demonstrated that fallout shelter for our entire population is feasible but that blast and fire protection are not. They described the necessity for fallout shelters for the country as a whole under several types of nuclear attacks where only target areas would be destroyed. The possibilities of survival through adequate fallout shelters are impressive.

From a strictly objective appraisal of this information, involving neither emotion nor philosophy, the men who heard these discussions concluded that the school shelter program was reasonable. They believed that their professional advice would be in the public interest when and if Congress approved the program. The AIA also approved a design competition to develop new ideas for dual purpose shelter space in schools.

My firsthand experience with this matter causes me to believe that the statement on the opposite page represents some misunderstanding. A fallout shelter program is neither absurd nor architecturally impossible. Adequate technical information does now exist, though there was much confusion about it in 1961. The work of the Advisory Committee helped end this confusion.

I don't understand the philosophy that planning for shelter is "anti-architecture" or psychological preparation for war. American colonists built stockades when living among hostile Indians. History offers examples of defensive architecture not considered as demonstrations of aggression. In the event of a holocaust, some must survive to build again.
The Press and the Building of Cities

"What sort of New City is emerging or should emerge from the disorder of megalopolis in which we find ourselves? The press can ask this question more effectively than anyone." With that statement Dean Charles R. Colbert FAIA, of the Columbia School of Architecture, underscored the raison d'être of the October conference on "The Press and the Building of Cities" sponsored by the AIA and conducted by the Columbia Schools of Architecture and Journalism. Addressing the thirty reporters from metropolitan dailies who participated in the conference, Dean Colbert continued: "It is the total vision of a city which is in the hands of the press. If, let us say, a huge office structure is being considered in a region not adapted to it, the press must speak out. There are few disinterested spokesmen, and no other will really be heard. If, on the other hand, a fine street turns into a slum or garish midway, who can draw attention to it? Again, I believe it is a fundamental responsibility of you—the press. The cries of individual voices lack cohesion and remain unheeded."

The newsmen were given an intensive short course in the myriad forces that shape cities and the standards by which urban design is evaluated. Not enough, certainly, to make them instant critics, but enough, hopefully, to convey the vast scope of the subject and stimulate a more perceptive examination of their own cities. The newsmen were attentive students, but they never dropped their role as reporters. They asked challenging questions. They strongly pointed out the shortcomings of the architectural profession in communicating with the public. This dialogue was perhaps the most significant part of the conference. It will be presented fully in a future issue of the Journal.
An AIA-Sponsored Working Conference for Reporters from Metropolitan Dailies

Tom McPhail, Detroit Free-Press (above left) views theme poster designed by Columbia's School of Architecture

Miami Herald's Frederic Sherman (above right) takes notes during discussion of "Standards for Evaluating Design"

Participating newsman (above, left to right) Ray Hebert, Los Angeles Times, Joseph Hochstein, Newhouse Newspapers, and William Manly, Milwaukee Journal

Institute President Henry L. Wright (center at right) chats with Grady Clay (left), Louisville Courier-Journal, and Ralph Brem, Pittsburgh Press
Book Reviews

Paperbacks

The paperback is here to stay, and anyone who has browsed in bookstores devoted entirely to the low-cost editions, to say nothing of the drugstore counters, realizes that a full-fledged library could be built up entirely of so-called "flimsies." Flimsy they are not, at least as far as subject matter is concerned. Below are mentioned a dozen or so of the paperbacks that have arrived on our desk during the past year—most of them reprints, a few are originals.

From Meridian Books, Inc, New York:

**AESTHETICS TODAY.** Selected and edited by Morris Philipson. 1961. 475 pp $1.65

Organized into six sections, each with an introduction by the editor, the book presents twenty essays dealing with such topics as "relations between the arts and general cultural purposes; relations between art and the nature of knowledge; relations between form and content in respect to the concept of style"; etc., by such authors as Jacques Barzun, Louis Kronenberger, Ortega y Gasset, Lionel Trilling, Sir Herbert Read, and others.

**FRANK LLOYD WRIGHT: WRITINGS AND BUILDINGS.** Selected by Edgar Kaufmann and Ben Raeburn. 1960. 346 pp illus $2.10

The editors present through Wright's own words a survey of his achievement as a major figure in the world of twentieth century architecture, with more than 150 illustrations and a complete list of the master's executed buildings from 1893 to 1959, keyed to a map of the US.

From W. W. Norton & Co, Inc, New York:

**THE CITY IS THE PEOPLE.** By Henry S. Churchill (FAIA). 1962. 206 pp illus $1.85

One of the American classics, first published seventeen years ago, this edition contains a new preface and an epilogue by the author in which he takes back some of his earlier prophecies and restates the problems and the goals in today's terms. Still one of the top books in its field.

**LOUIS SULLIVAN—PROPHET OF MODERN ARCHITECTURE.** By Hugh Morrison. 1962. 322 pp illus $1.95

First published in 1935, this was the first definitive biography of the architect whom we now recognize as one of our founding fathers. Besides the biography and critique, it contains a complete chronological listing of Sullivan's buildings.

**ENGLISH CATHEDRALS.** By John Harvey. 1961. 188 pp illus $1.50 (Originally pub by B. T. Batsford)

There are many books on the cathedrals of England; this one claims an original approach in that it treats the buildings as a unity, a series of related works of art, rather than as individual examples. The text is very interesting and well-written, and the many photographs are excellent. In addition to several valuable statistical charts, the most unique feature for a book so small is a plan of each of the twenty-seven cathedrals, all drawn to the same scale, with dimensional and historical data and the names of the architects, where known—and a surprisingly large number of them are known.

**CHRISTIAN ART.** C. R. Morey. 1958. 120 pp illus $1.25

Professor Morey's book was first published in 1935, and has stood ever since as an extraordinary achievement in presenting lucidly to a wide audience a realization of what art and archeology really are, without vulgarizing the material.

From Universe Books, Inc, New York:

**DONATO BRAMANTE.** By Gino Chiceri.
**PHILIBERT DE L'ORME.** By L. Brion-Guerry.
**CHRISTOPHER WREN.** By Nikolaus Pevsner.
**ANTONIO GAUDI.** By Cesar Martinell.
**LE CORBUSIER.** By Jean Alazard. 1960 illus $1.50 each

These five pleasant little books were printed in Italy, and not too carefully proof-read, but the gravure plates are well reproduced and the brief text in each is written by a recognized authority. Let us hope the series will be continued, for the field of subject-matter is almost limitless.

From Collier Books, New York:

**SCOPE OF TOTAL ARCHITECTURE.** By Walter Gropius. 1962. 158 pp illus $.95

A selection from the writings of Gropius, edited by his wife, with illustrations chosen to clarify and expand the text.

From Appleton-Century-Crofts, New York

**CITY AND COUNTRY IN AMERICA.** Edited by David R. Weimer. 1962. 399 pp illus $2.75

Professor Weimer, in his preface, finds that the attitude of Americans toward city and country life seems to have gone through three stages. The first, agrarianism, displayed considerable hostility toward urban life. After World War I the filtering of planning ideas through the active middle—and intellectual—classes resulted in a conviction that the city had to be made over; and out of this
gradually developed a third point of view, that of regionalism, accompanied by the “neighborhood” idea. He says “In some ways Daniel Burham is a regionalist, Victor Gruen a decentralist, and Frank Lloyd Wright more of an urbanist than he appears at first.”

Included in the book are essays and articles selected from a very wide range of men who have thought and written about the city and its opposite—Crèvecoeur, L’Enfant, Jefferson, Emerson, Ruskin, Downing, Olmsted, Stein, Mumford, Sitte, Wright, Hudnut, Tunnard, and as many others.

From Dover Publications, Inc, New York:

ART STUDENTS’ ANATOMY by Edmond J. Farris. 1961. 159 pp illus $1.45

First published in 1935, and again in 1944, this pocket-size volume contains all the more than 150 drawings and photographs of the original editions. It should be an invaluable aid to art students and to any—particularly architects—whose work involves sketching the human figure. The author is Professor of Anatomy at the Pennsylvania Academy of the Fine Arts. J.W.

Modigliani the Sculptor. Alfred Werner, New York, Arts Inc. 120 pp., illus. 9½"x12½", $15.00

Year 1931: Small steamer crossing English channel from Newport to Dieppe. Raw, misty night in June. On deck.

Very young Architectural Graduate: “Cezanne? Yes—but the one I have trouble with is Modigliani. How could he see people so twisted?”

Not Much Older Australian Architect: “Look again, Chum. There’s a sensitivity and wonderful . . . yes tenderness for people in that poor guy’s work. Only been dead ten years, you know—he’ll be one of the greats, mark my words.”

Well I did look again, thanks to my unknown friend in passage, and came to respect a great linear draftsman who somehow revealed more of his own and his sitters’ characters with his little mannerist design tricks—unmistakably his own—than any other painter of his time. What is captured and revealed however so often seems the sitter’s compassionate regard for the artist, presented as design. It is as if Modigliani’s preoccupation with humanity as a subject crystallized into these paintings as objects. His linear sensitivity expressed not only his own feelings but those of his sitter.

This book is about his sculpture. Claims to be a first. The biographical and critical essay is mercifully brief—sixteen pages plus the paraphernalia of notes, references and list of plates. The anecdotes are there, not played up, and testimony adduced for the thesis that Amedeo Modigliani wanted most of all to be a sculptor.

Patient searching has found for this book twenty-five pieces as a canon of relics and the certainty that many were destroyed by the artist in self-criticism. Here we have in splendid photographs on large pages several views of each of these, as well as reproductions of some twenty-six preliminary sketches for a never-executed series of kneeling caryatids of a musical quality of composition and line.

I find great personal doubt of authenticity of plates 30-31 of a girl’s head (Seattle and Paris copies). Without knowing its history it seems exactly what a skillful modeler (not carver) would produce as “a Modigliani sculpture” if he had only the evidence of his paintings for his manner. It is far from Modigliani’s three-dimensional vision and delight in the texture of raw stone directly cut. The fact that these are both casts from a lost original of course has much to do with this difference but they are psychologically not even kin to the other pieces.

To a remarkable degree many diverse historic influences—Archaic Greek, Byzantine, Tang China, Gupta, French Gothic, Romanesque, Ivory Coast—fused in his individual consciousness to become his own expression—in sculpture. His painting seems more free of ancestry and even of current influences of Paris of the oughts and teens. A handsome book; sculpture of great architectonic quality.

E.P.
Editor's Page

Washington in Transition

On this page in our September issue, I tried to give our readers some idea of "The Ordeal of Being the Capital City"—the incredible complications and headaches that beset the civic-minded people of Washington and their appointed (not elected!) city officials.

"Washington is such a beautiful city," people say—people who have seen it only superficially, the tourist sights such as the White House and the Capitol, the Mall and the cherry blossoms.

"Washington has the worst slums I've seen in any city in this country, and the traffic congestion is terrible," other people say—people who have seen the city more thoroughly and probed it more deeply.

Both statements are true, for Washington is two cities: the Federal capital and a city of 800,000 population which is the center of a two million-person metropolitan area. The tourist sees only the Federal capital; the more thorough visitor sees the city and its suburbs.

What this dichotomy, this split personality, means in terms of planning the functional and beautiful growth of the Capital City is the topic of the January issue of the Journal—another one of our now-famous "special issues" which achieved such marked successes. (The first was January 1960, "The Architect and the Homebuilder," and the second was March 1961, "Urban Design.")

The idea originated in the fertile mind of Paul Thiry FAIA, of Seattle—who was immediately appointed Guest Editor for the issue. It was carried out with the sponsorship and assistance of the AIA Committee on the National Capital, of which Paul is Chairman. It was he who roughed out the first draft of the contents and list of authors, and the final product sticks remarkably closely to the draft.

The issue will survey the whole planning situation here in Washington, from its beginnings with the famous L'Enfant plan, its tribulations during the nineteenth century, its new hope in the McMillan Commission's plans, current confusion in the face of the vast increase in population and activity in the area, and its hopes for the future—which perhaps are visualized in the Year 2000 Plan.

Our purpose in doing this is to bring home to the architects of the USA that the planning of Washington is their problem. Washington is their city. It is not the city of those who live and work there. The architects, and all the other people, of the country, through their Senators and Congressmen, govern and plan the city of Washington, not the residents—who have nothing to say about it.

Well illustrated and handsomely laid out, the January Journal should make an important contribution to the understanding and appreciation of the problems of the growth of the city of Washington.

Ordeal of the Capital City—News Flash

The long-awaited report and plan of the National Capital Transportation Agency has just been made public—a thorough and complex study recommending rail and bus rapid transit, certain new expressways, and curtailment of the present highway and bridge-building program. Without venturing either to praise it or to criticize it, for it would require much study, I can at least point out what high hurdles it has to clear before it, or any part of it, can be put into effect: First of all, of course, it was submitted, not to the City Council for action or to the voters for approval, but to the President of the United States. According to the Evening Star, the President "has sent the plan for comment to officials of the Commerce, Interior and Treasury Departments and the Housing and Home Finance Agency, as well as to the District Commissioners and various local agencies." After they have made their reports "aides to the President will sit down with Budget Bureau representatives to forge some kind of transportation program for inclusion in the District's fiscal 1964 budget, which will go to Congress in January." So ultimately the plan will end up in the lap of Washington's 535-man "City Council"—the Congress of the United States—no members of which are citizens of the District of Columbia nor responsible to those 800,000 disfranchised Americans who are. I shudder to think what the House District Committee and the House Appropriations Committee will do to a 1.6 billion dollar transit and highway program—just for the city of Washington, DC.

Perhaps if the citizens of the country at large, and in particular the architects of the country at large, are better informed on the needs of their national capital, the members of the Congress will be more alert to the problems of their temporary residence. We hope the January issue of the AIA Journal will help.
Floods

Fifth of a planned series of Technical Reference Guides on the following disasters:

HURRICANES • TORNADOES • EARTHQUAKES • TERMITES • FLOODS • SNOW, SLEET AND BLIZZARDS • LIGHTNING

For the purpose of this study, a flood is defined as—

- the rising of rivers, streams or lakes above levels where they are normally controlled naturally or artificially and where they endanger life, health or property
- the rising of waters of an ocean, gulf or great lake, above mean high sea level which endanger life, health or property on their periphery

Location and Causes

Almost every state and territory in the United States has experienced floods. They may occur (other than those near oceans) along flood plains or in areas which have not previously been charted as such. More than five per cent of the agricultural lands of this country and considerable business and industry are on flood plains of small streams. A large flood in most valleys and tributary streams today, therefore, may be a disaster.

The size of a flood depends on the rate, duration and extent of rainfall and the condition of the land on which it falls. From the 1955 Yearbook, US Department of Agriculture, we learn that point rainfall is greater than average rainfall over a sizable area; that is, there are storm centers in which rainfall is greater than it is in the surrounding areas. The path or direction of movement of the storm center greatly influences the magnitude of any resulting flood. Storms of high intensity usually are short and localized and occur in the summer. The so-called general rains cover more territory but are of less intensity and last longer than the cloudburst type of storm.

Flows from small drainage areas respond more quickly to rainfall intensities than do the flows from large areas because of the shorter distance of flow. That and the higher rainfall intensities on small areas cause relatively higher peak flows per unit area from small watersheds. It also leads us to expect floods from small areas during summer cloudburst storms and floods from large areas during long, cool-season rains. Occasionally a cloudburst storm will travel a path that follows the direction of drainage for a large area, and a series of small-area floods thereby contributes to flow in the main stream. If the storm travels at a speed which causes flood flows from several small tributaries to reach the main stream at about the same time, a major flood may occur.

In streams, what may be a flood at one section may be a well-controlled flow at another. What causes floods in such situations? They could be a result of the reduction of channel capacity due to reduction in gradient, barriers to flow, changes of direction, undefined channels or siltation.

Those areas generally prone to flooding in several states are listed in the table (see pp 78-79).

Extent of Flood Damage & Loss

Flood damage has been constantly rising. This is not necessarily because the number of flood plains might have been rising, since the contrary is the case. Rather it is because the value of flood plains has increased over the years and hence the damage has been greater. The dimensions of disasters due to floods can be illustrated by the fact that in the great Missouri Basin flood of 1952, the damage to agricultural land alone was in the neighborhood of $100 million. The Columbia River basin flood of 1948 caused 40 deaths, made 60,000 persons homeless and effected $200 million worth of property damage.

In the entire United States it is roughly estimated that the total average annual damage from floods and sediment approximates slightly more than $1 billion. Estimates prepared by the Soil Conservation Service in 1952 indicated that the annual loss to agriculture alone is about $557 million. The estimates were developed from studies covering 15 years and 77 watersheds, whose area is about 52 per cent of the continental United States. The average annual upstream damage (the damage in tributary or headwaters of major rivers) is estimated at $545 million annually. The average annual downstream damage is estimated at $500 million, about $165 million of which is agricultural damage.

Loss of life on account of floods is hard to estimate because sometimes such loss is attributable to other causes. In Alaska the Depart-
banks, where are usually located forms, mainly water soaking, flood-nity. Damage can be of various the poorest houses in the community. It is curious that little damage to utilities has been reported, and what damage has occurred has been easily repaired. Sewage lines are apt to become silted. Sewage disposal plants can become inundated and electric motors damaged. Inadequate surface and subsurface runoff facilities occur during floods. Telephone and power poles are snapped off. Railroad beds are washed out, littered with silt or sand or otherwise damaged. Under-ground power vaults can be put out of service. Water supply can be contaminated. Utilities are generally rendered useless during period of flood not only in flood area but in surrounding districts.

The exact flood losses in the various states are hard to pinpoint but some comments follow in the table.

**Type of Damage Due to Floods**

It is current, not the depth, of the flood waters that causes the most damage. Also, the length of time that a building is wet affects the extent of damage.

Experience shows that the average two-story home in good repair. In Florida the 1959 floods did not cause loss of life, but in the past, particularly in hurricane-spawned floods in the Lake Okeechobee area, there has been significant loss. In September 1928, 1,836 people perished there.

The exact flood losses in the various states are hard to pinpoint but some comments follow in the table.

**Flood Control Agencies**

The Corps of Engineers, US Army, US Soil Conservation Service, State Departments of Water Resources, Flood Control Commissions, county engineers and other bodies, and the various offices of civil defense, are responsible for flood control in various parts of the country. On the state level, the various agencies involved are shown in the table.

The exact amount expended by agencies in their battle against floods is indeterminate since the figure depends on method of calculation. The sum is colossal, however, and defies reasonable estimate.

**Zoning Regulations and Building Code Provisions**

Existing major building codes have been reviewed in two previous AIA studies on hurricanes and tornadoes.* Generally, where strong foundations, good anchoring and framing are specified there will be favorable resistance to flood damage. Damage to surface materials would still occur, however. Recommended construction practices will be reviewed in a subsequent section of this report. The national situation is reviewed by states in the table.

Zoning ordinances should regulate building in areas subject to flooding.

**Recommended Construction Practices**

The best construction practice in a flood-prone area is not to build there. If the United States was being pioneered today instead of in the last two centuries, the pattern of development would be different. In days gone by the main traffic arteries were along waterways. In addition, factories were powered by water and major installations were put at low levels, subject to flooding. Other necessary developments followed, and accordingly there are many expensive properties in areas that sometimes may be flooded.

The following precautions may be taken to avoid damage due to floods, insofar as buildings are concerned:

- land use should be intelligent —no construction on flood plains —protective strips near waterways (to widen course of water)
- use of flood plain lands for parks and other uses that water will not hurt
- provision of levees in flood-prone areas
- paved areas and other artificially produced conditions should have adequate runoff facilities, drainage, etc
- construction of building on high ground
- construction of high foundations
- elimination of basements
- use of reinforced concrete or steel structures
- use of materials not damaged by water or floating debris
- provision of adequate drainage —inside building
- outside building both storm and sanitary sewers should be provided
- provision of pumps in buildings
- high thresholds on doors, raised floors

enable insurance companies to
are quite high. Private insurance
Flood Insurance
are shown in the table.
companies would require large
reserves to protect themselves, and
even then they could be ruined by
major disaster. Congress enacted
certain Flood Insurance Acts to
enable insurance companies to
withstand effects of early losses,
but then did not appropriate the
necessary funds. Reports by states
are shown in the table.

The Role of the Architect
The architect and the AIA
should continue to lead the public
in recommending good planning
practices. This involves good local
land use and zoning practices and
good regional land use develop-
ments.
Douglas Haskell wrote an elo-
quent editorial in the October 1955
Architectural Forum (p 178):
"More tragic than having an
avoidable catastrophe is preparing
to have it all over again.

"Some things can be done about
floods right away. We can plan to
avoid a catastrophe where we do
new building and we can rebuild in
flooded areas on a pattern differ-
ent from that which positively in-
vited the disaster.

"The key to the whole flood situ-
ation is in the intrinsic nature of
rivers. Thirty years ago a shrewd
New Englander, Benton MacKaye,
pointed to the perfectly obvious fact
that almost every river has three
natural levels. One is its normal
levels. Second is its normal
drainage, which no longer try to
develop the water, power, recrea-
tion and other resources needed for
a growing population.

REGIONAL COMMENTS

Alaska
"It is my belief, backed up by
20 years in the construction indus-
try prior to my government em-
ployment, that too frequently archi-
tects either ignore or do not suffi-
ciently research the climatic and
topographical peculiarity of the
areas where they are designing
buildings. Adequate storm sewers
are, of course, the responsibility of
municipalities. Architects and en-
gineers can point out the need for
such facilities and also can design
adequate drainage for the building.
In Alaska, buildings must be con-
structed so that they can resist
earthquakes, floods, high winds and
tremendous variations in tempera-
ture. Too frequently firms from out-
side the state have not considered
all of these factors and have found
themselves in bad situations. I
might add that even Alaskan archi-
tects have had these problems."

Florida
"Architects can help by making
builders and developers aware of
their responsibility to construct in
flood-free areas. They can encour-
age appropriate zoning and can
educate the public as to what to
look for in the way of flood-safe
location and construction."

Indiana
"Architects can help the govern-
ment and the public by informing
themselves in regard to the prob-
lems which are involved in reducing
flood dangers and in assisting in
the obtaining of funds to finance
such public works as are required
by the best engineering standards.
It is especially important to give
(Continued on p 80)
National Flood Picture

Alaska
Location of Floods & Causes
Almost every part of the state has experienced flood. Fairbanks area, Matanuska Valley—especially Wasilla area—frequently flood
Damage & Loss Due to Flood
Estimated at several million dollars
Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public
Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provisions Relating to Flood
No zoning regulation in flood-prone areas
Flood Insurance
Possible to insure property against floods. Rates are high

Location of Floods & Causes
Entire state subject to some sort of flood. Stream overflow in valleys. Sudden heavy precipitation. Flash floods in desert area
Damage & Loss Due to Flood
Hundreds of millions of dollars—estimate of Stanford Research Institute. Significant loss of life over the years
Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public Department of Water Resources
Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provisions Relating to Flood
No zoning regulation in flood-prone areas
Flood Insurance
No Insurance Available

Florida
Location of Floods & Causes
All low-lying area river valleys—Suwanee, Kissimmee, Apalachicola, etc. Marsh and low areas surrounding lakes and extensive glades areas, suburbs and cities in low and flat topography which has insufficient drainage
Damage & Loss Due to Flood
No good estimate of monetary damages. Damage to agricultural lands—high-value truck crops and grazing and citrus lands. Damage to residential areas amounts to several million dollars
Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public Department of Water Resources
Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provisions Relating to Flood
No flood zoning regulation and building code, except some zoning acts
Flood Insurance
Flood insurance is not generally written for residential property, but special coverage is available for banks on their vault contents

Illinois
Location of Floods & Causes
Unprotected portion of flood plains of Mississippi, Illinois, Wabash and Ohio Rivers, especially southern two-thirds of state are probably most prone. No extensive area is entirely free of flood problems
Damage & Loss Due to Flood
No record available
Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public Division of Waterways of the Department of Public Works and Buildings
Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provisions Relating to Flood
No flood zoning regulations and building code
Flood Insurance
No insurance available

Indiana
Location of Floods & Causes
Wabash River Basin. Localized flooding problems caused by encroachment of construction on streams, channels and waterways previous to establishment of Indiana Flood Control and Water Resources Commission
Damage & Loss Due to Flood
Estimate average annual loss in main Wabash Valley is $5,000,000. Loss in other parts of the state is unknown
Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public Indiana flood control and Water Resources Commission
Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provisions Relating to Flood
Zoning regulations are beginning in several communities and counties. A few building code provisions recognize the dangers of flooding
Flood Insurance
No insurance available

Iowa
Location of Floods & Causes
All major rivers subject to flood at times of high-intensity rainfall. Lower reaches of Iowa River, Little Sioux River, Des Moines River are protected against major floods by dams, etc
Damage & Loss Due to Flood
No record available
Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public Iowa Natural Resources Council
Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provisions Relating to Flood
Restrictions for the use of flood plain areas
Flood Insurance
A marine type of insurance is available

Maryland
Location of Floods & Causes
No serious flooding problem. Areas which were prone to flooding have been rectified by flood control projects. Most flooding occurs in farming areas
Damage & Loss Due to Flood
Chief loss is growing crops
Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public
No single state agency has the overall responsibility for flood control
Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provisions Relating to Flood
No zoning regulations and building codes
Flood Insurance
No information available

Minnesota
Location of Floods & Causes
Red River of North at western boundary of the state, vicinity of Mankato on Minnesota River, Marshall on Redwood River and Mississippi River from St Paul to Iowa border
Damage & Loss Due to Flood
No record available
Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public Department of Conservation
Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provisions Relating to Flood
No flood zoning regulations and building codes
Flood Insurance
No insurance available

New Hampshire
Location of Floods & Causes
Connecticut River Valley, Merrimack, Saco, Androscoggin, Skowhegan at Salmon Falls. Several of larger lakes and tributaries

Damage & Loss Due to Flood
No record available

Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public
New Hampshire Water Resources Board

Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provisions Relating to Flood
No flood zoning regulations and building codes at state level, except some at local level

Flood Insurance
No insurance available

New York

Location of Floods & Causes
Virtually all areas in state are subject to flooding

Damage & Loss Due to Flood
Damage would exceed one billion dollars in the last half century. Forty-eight dead due to flood in the last twenty-five years

Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public
New York State Flood Control Commission and the Department of Public Works

Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provisions Relating to Flood
Flood zoning regulations in some municipalities. Building code provisions relating to the dangers of floods are in effect in some cities

Flood Insurance
Insurance is available. Rates are high

Ohio

Location of Floods & Causes
Watersheds of major rivers—Ohio River and many minor streams

Damage & Loss Due to Flood
January-February 1959 floods in excess of $104,000,000 to public and private property

Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public
Adjunct General's Department, Civil Defense Section, and Department of Natural Resources, Division of Water

Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provisions Relating to Flood
No zoning ordinance, except few local flood plan zoning ordinances

Flood Insurance
Certain types of water damage insurance are available. Rates are high. Flood insurance is not available

Rhode Island

Location of Floods & Causes
Valley of Blackstone River from Woonsocket to Pawtucket. Pawtucket River serious flooding of coastal communities by hurricane-induced waves

Damage & Loss Due to Flood
Blackstone River (1955) — $27,620,000. Hurricane Carol (1954) — $92,000,000; 80%—residential, commercial and public property; 20% industrial, rural highway and railroad. Hurricane (1938) — $120,000,000

Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public
Rhode Island Division of Harbors and Rivers

Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provisions Relating to Flood
Flood zoning regulations in some communities

Flood Insurance
No insurance available

South Dakota

Location of Floods & Causes
Bottom lands of streams and major tributaries

Damage & Loss Due to Flood
No record available

Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public
State Water Resources Commission

Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provision Relating to Flood
No state flood zoning regulation and building code

Flood Insurance
Insurance companies are willing to insure. Rates are reasonable

Virginia

Location of Floods & Causes
Flood plains along the major streams and their tributaries

Damage & Loss Due to Flood
Salt water damage in coastal areas. Minor loss of life

Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public
Corps of Engineers, US Department of Agriculture

Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provisions Relating to Flood
No zoning regulation prohibiting construction on flood plains

Flood Insurance
One company is approved by the state to insure against floods, but this sort of insurance is not being written. Rates are open

Washington

Location of Floods & Causes
Twenty river systems are most subject to flooding

Damage & Loss Due to Flood
Slight loss of life. Various kinds of damage in different incidents. Estimated average annual loss of $4,-270,000

Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public
Flood Control Division, Department of Conservation. No laws for complete evacuation except by declaration of a state of emergency by governor

Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provisions Relating to Flood
No zoning regulation or building code relating to flood

Flood Insurance
State law does not prohibit such insurance, but it is not done because of the act-of-God features of floods in flood-prone areas. Rates are prohibitive

Wisconsin

Location of Floods & Causes
Rock River, Pecatonica River and Lafayette Counties in southwestern Wisconsin, Kickapoo River in Vernon and Crawford Counties, Pine River in Richland County, Black River in La Crosse and Jackson Counties

Damage & Loss Due to Flood
Minor loss of life in flash floods

Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public
Public Service Commission of Wisconsin

Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provisions Relating to Flood
State law authorizes county government to adopt zoning regulations. No state building code provisions recognizing the danger of flooding

Flood Insurance
No insurance available

Wyoming

Location of Floods & Causes
Along Snake River in Jackson Hole area near town of Jackson, city of Sheridan, town of Greybull

Damage & Loss Due to Flood
Estimated average annual benefit from levees on Snake River—$193,000, Sheridan River—$77,300

Agencies Involved in Flood Control & Laws Protecting the Public
US Corps of Engineers

Zoning Regulations & Building Code Provisions Relating to Flood
No zoning regulations in flood-prone areas

Flood Insurance
No information available
(Continued from p 77) more consideration to good drainage and grading practices on all new subdivisions, with proper sewer installation, previous to construction."

**New York**

"Architects should use their influence in encouraging flood-plain zoning."

**Ohio**

"Architects can assist by promoting a structural-minded citizenry, by giving guidance in recommending structures (buildings, facilities and construction methods and principles) making it possible to develop conditions less susceptible to flood damages including elements of site engineering, to produce the safest possible locations available in a given flood-prone area."

**Rhode Island**

"Architects can help by working for the enactment of laws requiring safe construction design; proper location with respect to areas subject to flood; the ability of river channels to pass swollen stream flow."

**South Dakota**

"Architects should give technical advice to clients as to the degree of flood hazards associated with the sites of proposed improvements."

**Virginia**

"Architects should encourage the adoption of local ordinances for flood plain zoning."

Architects can be of particular help after a disaster has occurred and, in this respect, they may refer to the action of the AIA after Hurricane Diane's destructive effects in August 1955. The AIA through its president George Bain Cummings of Binghamton, NY, reported the Architectural Record, offered "... all possible assistance of the Institute and its local chapters in the job of reconstruction." In a letter sent to the governor of each of the six affected states, Mr. Cummings said regional directors in each case would approach the governor directly to find out how the Institute could best be of service. "Possibly the most valuable service we could render," Mr. Cum- mings noted, "would be in connection with the long-range community planning in which the rebuilding program should be based. Such a program could result in the creation of a better environment for living and for industry that would serve to enhance the value of the entire region. Also it might well avert or minimize future losses from storm, fire or other disaster."

In New England, which had the widest area of devastation, Regional Director Austin W. Mather of Bridgeport called a meeting on September 10th in Boston of the AIA's New England Council, comprised of the presidents of each of the region's seven AIA chapters. There it was resolved to set up special urban planning committees in the Rhode Island, Connecticut and Massachusetts chapters to provide a channel for making services of chapter members available to whatever state agency the governors of these states, the hardest hit in New England, might request. Mr. Mather was authorized to advise the govern- ors of the Council's action and of its desire to be of any assistance possible. ❄

---
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"We've been asked who sets money policy," Mr Cum- mings noted, "would be in connection with the long-range community planning in which the rebuilding program should be based. Such a program could result in the creation of a better environment for living and for industry that would serve to enhance the value of the entire region. Also it might well avert or minimize future losses from storm, fire or other disaster."

In New England, which had the widest area of devastation, Regional Director Austin W. Mather of Bridgeport called a meeting on September 10th in Boston of the AIA's New England Council, comprised of the presidents of each of the region's seven AIA chapters. There it was resolved to set up special urban planning committees in the Rhode Island, Connecticut and Massachusetts chapters to provide a channel for making services of chapter members available to whatever state agency the governors of these states, the hardest hit in New England, might request. Mr. Mather was authorized to advise the governors of the Council's action and of its desire to be of any assistance possible. ❄
Personal Space

by Robert Sommer PhD

Assistant Professor of Psychology, and Director of the Psychology Clinic, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

In order to design buildings from the inside out rather than from the outside in architects need information on how people use space. It is surprising how little is known about people and space in comparison to what is known about animals and space.

Perhaps one reason is that if too little or too much space is provided for animals in zoos or circuses, they soon become ill and die. Since zoo animals are valuable property, the zookeeper strives to learn the conditions suitable for his animals. Unfortunately this consideration does not apply to human beings. Whether they are put in closet-sized rooms or castles, we don’t know what happens to them. If they become ill and die, it is blamed on a weak heart, poor constitution or inadequate diet. The last thing that is ever blamed is the amount of space available.

Flight Distance

I do not intend to suggest that human beings are like animals or vice versa. I do wonder, though, whether any of this research devoted to animals and space has any relevance to humans and space. For example, we know that most wild animals have a specific “flight distance” beyond which the animal will flee if intruded upon. Hediger, an animal biologist, found that the wall lizard can be approached to within a few yards while the crocodile makes off at fifty. The sparrow and crow have very small flight distances in comparison to eagles, deer and chamois.

As far as we know, there has been no research to discover whether man has a flight distance. It seems obvious that people feel uncomfortable when others stand too near them. I have often tried what I call a “waltz technique” while speaking to others. Sometimes I slowly move toward the other person until he gradually starts retreating. I’ve also done the reverse, moving back, while talking to someone. Soon I found that he would gradually, almost involuntarily, begin moving toward me. Modifications of this technique can be quite useful at parties for maneuvering a potential customer or attractive blonde into another room. One simply invades her personal space and forces her to the right or left. This is the same method used by lion tamers.

Personal Space and Territory

For the past few years I have studied the personal space of human beings. In doing this work, I found it necessary to make a distinction between personal space and territory. The idea of territory is very important to anyone who has ever worked with animals. In their natural habitat, almost all animals have territories.

The most thorough research on territories was done by Eliot Howard with birds. He emphasized the role of territory during mating and rearing offspring. He observed that male finches quickly isolated themselves from one another and reigned supreme in small areas. Elton noted that no more than one family of African lions could be found inhabiting a single district. Territorial boundaries vary considerably from season to season. For the majority of woodland birds, territory is confined to the spring and summer. Badgers will permit trespassing by other badgers, except when the cubs are small and possibly in the late autumn when food is scarce.

In recent years, a lot of publicity has been given to use of territory by adolescent groups. Often referred to as “turf,” a gang’s terri-
A chicken would not be disturbed at the approach of a robin, but a hawk would upset her.

Personal space can be distinguished from territory in several ways. The most important is that personal space is carried around while territory is relatively stationary. An animal or man will usually mark the boundaries of his territory so that they are visible to others, but the boundaries of personal space are invisible. Personal space has the body as its center, while territory does not. Often the center of a territory is the home of the animal or man. Animals will usually fight to maintain dominion over territory but will withdraw if others intrude into personal space.

**Positions for Conversation**

My first research into personal space took place in the staff cafeteria of a large mental hospital. The cafeteria was 36 x 68 ft and contained 13 tables (36 x 72 in). Each of these tables consisted of two 36 x 36-in tables placed together surrounded by eight chairs. At dinner the employees secured their plates at the front, went in line, were served and then sat at whichever table they chose.

Over a two-month period, my wife and I made periodic observations of people who were conversing. For example, did conversation occur between the head and foot positions? Or between people seated alongside one another? When we began the study, we had no specific ideas about what we would find.

The results showed, not so surprisingly, that most of the conversation took place between people seated in adjacent chairs. We also found that the corner positions were the loci of most of the interactions. There was far more conversation at the corners than there was across the tables. These results could mean two things—either the corners themselves spark the interactions or people who want to converse will sit at the corner positions.

To learn more about this, we asked people to enter the cafeteria and discuss certain topics, while we observed where they sat. We found that people in pairs or threes who wanted to discuss a topic would choose the corner positions. We also found this when we repeated the experiment in another cafeteria with different sized tables.

In other experiments, we employed a “decoy,” someone who was a confederate of mine and who was already seated at a particular chair before the person entered the room. Another person was then asked to go inside the cafeteria, sit down and discuss a topic with the seated decoy. We used both male and female decoys and male and female discussions.

We found gross differences in the ways that males and females would sit opposite decoys. Females would sit much closer to a female decoy than they would to a male decoy. Males tended to sit opposite decoys of both sexes. These results parallel other observations about the differences between the sexes in this respect. Females are often seen holding hands or kissing, while this is comparatively rare among males in the Canadian culture.

We also tested groups of three, four and five people at the tables. Some of the groups had “leaders” while others did not. We found that the leaders gravitated to the end positions and that other people would try to face the leaders.

The usual concept of the leader in the center surrounded by his followers was not supported in our research. It seemed more important for them to face him than to be on both sides of him. In groups with single leaders (only one end of a table was used), the people fanned out along one end of the table. We hypothesized that if both ends of the table were occupied, this would tend to divide the group into two factions. With this type of table, the best place for a leader to sit would be the side-center position. From here he could reach all the people in the group.

I recall a friend complaining that whenever he sat at one end of table, the most vocal member of the group always sat at the other end, too far away for a comfortable discussion. When I suggested that it would be easy to reach all the people from the side-center position, he felt that he would lose status if he gave up the head position at the table.

We also conducted a series of experiments to learn what de N. Schroeder called the “arc of comfortable conversation.” We wanted to find out how close to each other people would sit when they wanted to converse. Our method was straightforward, and we used some of the principles that we learned in our other work.

We took two couches and arranged them one opposite the other at various distances. We then asked pairs of people to enter the room, sit down and discuss certain topics. We wanted to learn whether the people would sit side by side or opposite one another. We reasoned that people would begin sitting side by side when the distance between the two couches became too great for comfortable conversation.

The results are shown in the graph which reveals that when the couches were 3½ ft apart, the people began to sit side by side. It
should be noted that the distance between the couches was not necessarily the distance between the people. In actual fact, the people’s heads were about 1 ft back on each couch. In other words, the transition from opposite seating to side by side seating came when the people were 5½ ft apart. This distance we conceive of as “the arc of comfortable conversation” under the conditions we used. When we repeated this work in another room with chairs instead of couches, similar results were found.

Whether this is a fundamental trait of human nature I do not know. We know that the hero of the western movie likes to sit with his back to the wall, and many wild animals will sleep with their backs to trees. In biology the attraction of some cells to a solid surface is called “thigmotaxis.”

In 1959 at the Geneva Foreign Ministers’ meetings, the issue of the shape of the tables to be used attained world-wide prominence. The Russians insisted on a square table, while the Western powers wanted a round table. The issue was finally settled by the adoption of a round, hollow table with separate rectangular tables for the East and West German representatives. Whether this solution aided the conference, I do not know, but the matter was important enough to delay a meeting of Foreign Ministers for several hours.

Room Geography

At another occasion we ushered people into a small dormitory containing eight beds, four on either side of the room. When we asked pairs of people to choose which beds they would prefer to occupy if they had to sleep in the room, we found a marked preference for the corner beds. This result parallels what we had found when we tried to shift the chairs around on a ward containing many older people. We found that whenever we tried to shift the chairs around from the walls, they soon returned on the next day. The people like to have their backs against something.

Presently we are attempting to learn something of the characteristics of round and square tables. It is apparent that the arc of the table has a great deal to do with its effects on conversation. With a round table of large diameter, interaction would be limited to people in adjacent chairs, while at tables with smaller dimensions, people could converse across the table.

Eventually it may be worthwhile to experiment with freeform and hexagonal tables. Tables with straight sides, such as rectangular or octagonal tables, provide the person with clearer boundaries to his territory. He knows where his neighbor’s territory ends, and his own begins. With round tables a person is less certain about the limits of his territory.

This work has made me aware of the effects of room geography. At private parties or meetings I have found myself observing the way people were arranged and how close they stood to one another. I recall one party at which people sat in chairs along the walls of the room, and the two sides of the room 8 ft apart. I noticed that during the entire evening there was not one conversation between people sitting on different sides of the room. All conversation took place between adjacent chairs.

The angle at which people stand from others is another matter that needs exploration. I have noticed that people rarely stand directly opposite one another. They are much more likely to stand at an angle. Perhaps this, like the preference for corner seating, reflects the need for psychological escape. When people sit or stand directly opposite one another, it is very difficult to avoid looking at the other person. When they sit at an angle, only a slight movement of the head will remove the other person from view.

I hope this research proves useful to architects. Perhaps some readers may think this an exaggeration, but I believe it is just as important for man to learn about space on this planet as it is for him to learn about outer space*—I have been in enormous cars that lacked ample head- and foot-room; have been seated too far away from my friends and too close to strangers on various buses, trains, and airplanes; have seen meetings fail when people were not properly arranged.

All these are reflections of a basic ignorance on the part of many designers about people and space. I hope that the kind of research described here will do something toward remedying this situation.

* See also “Language of Space” by Dr. Edward T. Hall, AIA JOURNAL, Feb. 1961, pp. 71-74
Design for Friendship

by Robert Sommer PhD
and Gwyneth Witney Gilliland
Formerly Psychologist at Saskatchewan Hospital, Weyburn, Canada

The only time the word “friendship” is found in architectural magazines is when it refers to the relationship between two architects. It is rarely considered when a home or public building is planned. This is an important omission since encouraging friendship is one of the most vital functions of enclosed space.

Two people may meet on a train, work in the same office or attend the same university, but won’t call themselves friends until they have visited in each other’s home. In fact, cementing friendships is one of the primary functions of the North American home.

Some public buildings also have this function while others, of course, go to extreme lengths to discourage friendships. Libraries are not intended to encourage camaraderie nor are railway stations. On the other hand, the common room in a dormitory or the lounge of an ocean liner should make provision for encouraging friendships.

Probably the architectural requirements for encouraging firm friendships are different from those for encouraging transient friendships, but there is very little empirical research on the architecture that encourages any kind of friendships.

This is an important problem for people who design public buildings, especially hospitals, barracks and camps. In these cases, the people who ultimately use the facilities are not the people who design or even pay for them. Perhaps this is one reason why specific planning for friendship rarely occurs in these building types.

We became concerned with the problem of building friendships during some of our research in a large mental hospital in western Canada. We found that the architecture of the wards had a significant effect on the amount and kind of interaction between people. Our research was later extended into other settings and some of it was described in the previous article, “Personal Space.” In the present article we will describe some studies of the way that the architecture in a large mental hospital affects friendships between people.

Although patients on chronic wards may reside there for months and even years, it is surprising how few friendships actually form. Osmond and Izumi attributed this to what they call “sociofugal” architecture. By this they mean architecture that discourages interaction between people. They suggest that architects and hospital administrators should aim for “sociopetal” architecture which encourages interaction.

Examples of sociofugal buildings are bus stations, waiting rooms and railway stations. Examples of sociopetal buildings are places which draw attention to the center of the room, and here a good example would be the living room of a Japanese home with its central hearth.

It was also felt that most wards in mental hospitals today are more sociofugal than sociopetal. This was illustrated very clearly when we examined a portfolio of photographs that had been submitted to a psychiatric association awards committee. The photographs were intended to show the tremendous improvements that had taken place in this hospital and, from one standpoint at least, they were effective since the hospital won an important award.

However, when we re-examined these photographs we were struck by the contrast between the modern furniture and surroundings and the total absence of any interaction between the people who were present. One photograph showed ladies sitting in their new chairs staring silently down at the new tile floor or up to the freshly painted ceiling. This ward, which had always been considered a “model” area in terms of design, soon took on a different appearance. We now perceived it as a gigantic waiting room where people were effectively isolated from one another.

This caused us to examine other wards and ask: “How does the design of the ward and the arrangement of the furniture affect the interaction between people?” Later we progressed to what we felt was a higher level of social intercourse and began asking: “Does this architecture and decor encourage friendships between people?” Although interaction is a necessary prerequisite to friendship, it is not sufficient by itself. Furthermore, interaction can range from borrowing cigarettes, asking about the weather, to quarreling.

Before describing our research, it may be helpful to give a brief description of the physical appearance of this particular hospital. Like most of its genre, it is old, overcrowded and poorly designed in terms of its actual functions. It was built according to the Kirkbride

plan, which means separate wings radiating out from a central administrative area.

One large building and a few smaller out-buildings house 1500 human beings in addition to about 500 staff members who work in three daily shifts. The wards vary in amount of occupancy per square foot from the admission wards which have an average of 150 sq ft per patient, to some wards for older people which have an average of 45 sq ft per patient (and neither figure takes into account the space occupied by furniture, nurses' stations, etc).

There are three main areas in each of the wards—a dormitory, a large dayroom and a large corridor. Some wards contain smaller dayrooms or recreational areas, while a few have their own dining rooms and kitchens. Several years ago we conducted a series of observations to find out exactly what took place in each part of the ward. We found that five times as many patients were occupied constructively in the dayroom than in the corridor or outside hallway. Those patients who sat in the outside corridor, and on some wards at least half the patients congregated there, tended to sit and stare or retreat into private worlds.

Most of the sociological research dealing with architecture or space has focused on the effects of propinquity. The studies, which have taken place in such diverse locations as sorority houses, factories, and classrooms have all shown that people who sit or work close to one another tend to become friends. William Whyte, in his excellent book, "The Organization Man," spent several chapters describing how friendships in suburbia closely parallel the physical arrangement of homes. For example, families with adjacent yards are more likely to become friends than families whose homes are separated by a street or intersections, etc. Robert Blood and William Levant found that with boys in a summer camp, the desire to bunk with one's best friend outranked the desire to move farther away from one's enemy.

The goal of our research was to learn something of the relationship between friendship patterns and architecture in this particular hospital. Especially our method was to find out who were friends with whom and then observe where they sat or stood. We were especially interested in those people who had no friends at all (in the technical jargon of sociologists, these people are known as "isolates"). Our hunch was that these friendless people would congregate in the corridors and away from the dayroom area.

The method of the research was simple although somewhat time-consuming. The first step of the research was to mimeograph the floor plans of four separate wards in the hospital. During subsequent weeks an observer went through each ward in the company of a nurse and marked down on the particular floor plan the location of every patient. The observations were carried out over a two-week period and were spaced throughout the day.

At the end of each week the observer approached each patient and asked him to name his friends on the ward. The wards were fairly representative of the hospital population as a whole: two were male and two female; two wards contained older patients; one was an active treatment ward that contained middle-aged patients; and the fourth was a male admission ward with a heterogeneous population.

The full scope and methods of the study are described in detail in a master's thesis by Gwynneth Witney Gilliland, which is on file at the University of Alberta library. In this article we can only describe the main trends in the results.

Basically, when we compared the location of people with their friendship patterns, we found, as we had predicted, that patients who were friendless tended to congregate in the corridors. The percentage of friendless patients in each location is shown in the accompanying table. The situation on the admission ward was somewhat different since there was such a mixed group of patients, but the trend there was also that the people in the dayroom had more friends than people in the other areas.

As far as we know, this is the first study that relates the location of people in an institutional setting to their friendships. We realize that few readers are interested in mental hospital architecture as such, so we will try to point out the more general implications of these findings. First of all, it seems likely that the principles governing interpersonal relations in mental hospitals are also valid in tuberculosis sanatoria, common rooms of geriatric centers.
and general hospitals for chronic diseases. The administrator in any one of these settings is concerned with developing social relations among his patients. In fact, one of the more important tasks of a hospital administrator is to prevent his longstay patients becoming “desocialized.”

Many writers have vividly described how people who spend several weeks or months in a hospital become uninterested in their surroundings and begin to lose contact with other people. Our study has suggested that long corridors should be avoided, but if they must be included in a design, they should be so constructed that they cannot be used as residential areas. Some writers have even described longstay patients as “corridorized.” Before we did this study we had no real evidence that corridors were antitherapeutic. In fact, several of our staff had maintained that patients sit in the corridors because they like to see what is going on, and thus the corridor dwellers were among the more social and active patients. Whenever we urged the nurses and doctors to keep patients occupied in the dayroom, we had lacked proof that being in the dayroom was more beneficial than being in the corridor.

It would be interesting to see if these trends are found in other institutional settings. For example, where do the friendless students remain in their rooms while their more gregarious fellows gather in the common room? Or do small cliques invariably gravitate to bedroom areas where they have more privacy and room for greater informality while the “lone wolves” spend their hours in the common room vainly looking for friendship or diversion?

It is especially intriguing to reflect upon the friendship patterns of those students who habitually congregate around a television screen. Our hunch would be that this is the most isolated group of students, but it would take further research to determine if we are correct.

Some years ago Professor Robert Blake studied the effects of living in different types of barracks on the social relations of army recruits. He found that in a long open barracks the recruits knew the names of more people than they did in a partitioned barracks, but they had more close friends or “buddies” in the partitioned arrangement. This same effect is also found in office areas. Open offices lead to a greater number of acquaintances but fewer friends than partitioned offices. Gullahorn found that interaction among office employees is decreased by the presence of filing cabinets that separated subgroups of people, but that interaction within subunits is increased. Of course in some situations an employer would want to discourage cliques, since they might have a subversive effect on the goals of the organization. Under other circumstances he might want to encourage small work teams with high in-group spirit.

The architect can quite probably turn to the social scientist in cases like this. Unfortunately he is all too likely to be told that no firm evidence on the question exists. This can be discouraging but it should not deter the architect from continuing to ask questions.

The main reason why answers and data have not already been collected is that architects have not asked the right questions in the right way. For example, we have often discussed matters of hospital design with architect friends and were asked such questions as “What colors should be used on a surgical ward?” and “How close together should beds be placed?” We had to point out that these were not the kinds of questions that a social scientist can answer, at least not in his capacity as scientist.

Research yields information and not advice—that is, a scientist can tell an architect “Surgical patients prefer subdued colors; nurses like yellow; doctors like white; cleaning men prefer dull blue; etc.” The ultimate decision about the color that should be used falls to the architect who must weigh such factors as cost, maintenance and harmony, in addition to the psychological effects on surgical patients. Instances like this have taught us that fruitful collaboration between architects and social scientists is possible but requires considerable time and patience so that each party learns the other’s vocabulary, interests and spheres of competence.

The research we have described in this article showed that friendless people congregate in corridors, but how an architect should design a therapeutic hospital depends on a hundred factors, only one of which is the social effect of corridors. Yet knowing the probable effects of long corridors on social relationships is undoubtedly helpful, although only a small step forward in the quest for knowledge of the effect of man’s physical environment on his social behavior.
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The Magnus T. Hopper Memorial Fellowship in Hospital Architecture

RECENT NOTES ON RECIPIENTS

by Margaret Phillips

The Magnus T. Hopper Fellowship Program in Hospital Architecture began unofficially at Yale University in 1949 when a jury selected three hospitals from competition entries to be exhibited along with their student designs at the New England Seminar on Hospital Design in Boston.

In 1950 the fellowship program was formalized; through a competition third-year students of the Graduate School of Architecture were selected to study hospital planning—at Yale, by travel, or in the office of a hospital architect. Students worked closely with the medical school, the university teaching hospital and the Department of Public Health which gave a course in hospital administration.

This program was discontinued in 1960. Recognizing the need to encourage young architects and students to enter the specialized field of hospital planning and the value of the intensive education and experience provided by the Hopper Fellowship at Yale, the AIA and the American Hospital Association have created a new hospital architecture fellowship program.

Two $1500 awards will be made each year to graduate architects who have suitably demonstrated an interest in the field of hospital planning and who are actively seeking a master’s degree in architecture.

Three fellowships were awarded for 1962-63 academic year: Clyde H. Dorsett, Asheboro, N. C. and James Falick, Brooklyn, at Columbia; Adam Karibian, Detroit, at University of Michigan. Three will again be awarded for 1963-64.

Students in ACSA schools wishing to apply for the fellowship can obtain applications from their dean; architects who have been accepted by one of the above graduate schools should write to the AIA Department of Education for applications and further information.

The accomplishments of the ten out of twelve Hopper Fellowship recipients that we have heard from testify to the value of a fellowship program in stimulating interest and improving quality of hospital design. Although a few of these architects have become more active in other areas, they all will retain their interest and ability to contribute to hospital planning.

Herbert Shalat—1949

Mr Shalat and his design for an acute general hospital for an industrial town were sent to the New England seminar in the fall of 1949 as part of the developing fellowship program. Upon leaving Yale he continued to work on hospitals for several years with the hospital architectural consulting firm, Neergard, Agnew & Craig (Charles Neergard was one of the originators of the fellowship). He now is employed by the New York firm of Bloch & Hesse AIA architects, and although he does little hospital work now, he assures us that he continues to follow developments with interest.

Chia-Yi Jen—1950-1951

Chia-Yi Jen of Tientsin, China, was the first official recipient of the fellowship award. Mr Jen received his BS degree from Kung Shang University, China, then his master’s degree in architecture from Yale. We do not know of his subsequent career.

William Metcalf, Jr. AIA—1951-52

Mr Metcalf has contributed much to hospital design since he won the fellowship with a design for a hospital—public health—group practice clinic for Levittown, N.Y. This proposed hospital had an unusual plan for horizontal expansion for up to 55% increase in beds (204-316) by enclosing strategically placed patios.

Travel across the United States visiting hospitals, then work with US Public Health Service in Washington, DC for four months completed his fellowship project.

While with Sherlock, Smith & Adams he worked on two Kentucky hospitals for the United Mine Workers. One of these, the Whitesburg Hospital won a Progressive Architecture Design Award in Health Facilities in 1953. In four years as medical construction liaison officer for USAF Surgeon General’s office, he worked on 85 Air Force medical facilities of all sizes.

Soon after becoming established in his own firm, he won another Progressive Architecture award—this time for the Somerville (Mass) Hospital in association with Paul Schweikher AIA.

Two current hospital projects are four medical facilities in Lagos, Nigeria: expansion of a teaching hospital and complete new medical-dental school for the University of Lagos, a new school of nursing for 500 nurses and a children’s hospital. And as consulting architect:

- Thomason General Hospital, El Paso, Texas; 300/550 beds; to be completed October 1962; Garland & Hilles AIA, architects
- St. Thomas Hospital addition, Akron, Ohio; 400/600 beds; now in working drawings; Wagner & Luxmore, architects
- Kansas City College of Osteopathy & Surgery, Mo; in planning stage; E. M. Fuller, architect, Paul Schweikher AIA, also consulting architect
Third prize national award in Mastic Tile Competition for "Long-Range Planning for Medical Care Facilities in the Community": John V. Sheoris AIA, W. J. Johnson ASLA, Clarence Roy ASLA. Central hospital facilities core, three stories and basement; and dispersed satellite units for rehabilitation, doctors' offices, pediatric and medical-surgical nursing units.

Central treatment building and satellite nursing units, University Hospital and Medical School (Medical Center), Teheran (Iran) University: Baur, Carlstedt, Nissen, Poelzig, architects; Martin Kirchner, project architect; Mahkorn-Ros, structural engineers.

Arnold Mogensen—1951-1952
Between 1952 when he left Yale and 1958 when he established his own office, Mr. Mogensen worked on several hospitals in the offices of Claire Ditchy FAIA, Detroit, Vincent Kling FAIA, Philadelphia, and Louis Drakos AIA, Hartford. Since 1958 however, he has been more active in other building types.

Warren Peterson—1952-1953
The winning design for the fellowship award was a general hospital for a city of 100,000 (proposed district hospital for Jamestown, NY) and was to be coordinated with the central plan for New York State Hospitals. While holding the Fellowship he worked on a plan for the Children's Hospital in Boston with Robert Coolidge, New Haven, and Neergard, Agnew & Craig, New York. He was awarded the Fellowship in Architecture by the American Academy in Rome for 1953-54. Lately he has concentrated mainly on college and university buildings and is now a partner in the firm of Meyer and Ayers, architects, in Baltimore.

John V. Sheoris AIA—1952-1953
In the year that he held the Hopper Fellowship, Mr. Sheoris worked closely with hospital consultant Joseph Neufeld AIA and traveled through Europe visiting hospitals. From these experiences and from knowledge gained while preparing the design for the fellowship competition (rural rehabilitation center, for psychiatric patients near general hospital), he conceived a design for a medical center for his Master's thesis.

Recently Mr. Sheoris has designed three major projects:
- South Macomb Hospital, Warren, Mich; 200 beds, planned expansion to 600 by 1968; not yet completed; as chief designer for Harley, Ellington, Cowin & Stirton, Inc, architects.
- Concept study for 100-bed composite medical facility, Selfridge Air Force Base, Mt Clemens, Mich, 1960; as chief designer for Harley, Ellington & Day, Inc, architects.
- Mastic Tile Competition third prize, "Long-Range Planning for Medical Care Facilities in the Community," with W. J. Johnson ASLA and Clarence Roy ASLA. This is a comprehensive project including hospital facilities, rehabilitation center, doctors group, nursing units, old age home, staff residence and nurses residence, in separate "human-scaled" buildings enhanced by pleasant landscaping.

Mr. Sheoris is presently chief designer and head of design department at Harley, Ellington, Cowin & Stirton, architects and engineers, of Detroit.

Avery Faulkner—1953-1954
Mr. Faulkner earned the Hopper Fellowship Award with his design of a 500-bed teaching hospital combined with extensive research laboratories, medical school and dormitories for nurses and doctors. During his year of graduate study under the fellowship, he traveled to Europe to become acquainted with hospital design and administration there. He also worked with individual psychiatrists, the National Institutes of Health and the American Psychiatric Association in preparing plans for a 300-bed Receiving and Intensive Treatment Center for a 1500-bed State Hospital.

Following receipt of his Master of Architecture degree from Yale in 1955, he entered the Air Force and was an architect for the Surgeon General. In his three years there he had the opportunity to redesign 10 "standard plans," worked with Skidmore, Owings & Merrill on the Colorado Air Academy Hospital, designed a 500-bed teaching addi-
Preliminary plan for part of main floor of eight-story central treatment building, Teheran University Medical Center (see lower photo across page)

tion to the Lackland Air Force Base Hospital in San Antonio, Texas, and worked on many other smaller projects. He is presently consultant on hospital design to the Surgeon General of the Army.

Since joining the firm of Faulkner, Kingsbury & Stenhouse, architects, Washington, DC, in 1958 as designer, he has worked on three major hospital projects—preliminaries for Holy Cross Hospital, Silver Spring, Md, George Washington University Hospital addition, Washington, and has complete responsibility for the McLean Medical Building, Va, now under construction. Recent articles on pediatrics and transportation systems have been published in Hospitals, Journal of the American Hospital Association.

Thomas Hume—1954-1955

We have no recent information on Mr Hume.

Martin Kirchner—1956-1957

Mr Kirchner came to Yale as a Fulbright student and stayed to receive the Hopper Fellowship. He feels that he profited immensely from his stay in the US and from the award. He writes: "Since I have left your country I have almost daily communicated to friends and colleagues in Europe and the Middle East some of the technical skills that make America, and American architecture, what it is."

The subject for his fellowship thesis, which he worked on with Paul Nelson AIA, was a medium-sized general hospital in Essex, Conn; the findings were to be incorporated into the actual design. It was developed according to his belief that the function of hospitals is in process of becoming mostly laboratory work, research and education, as obstetrical, psychiatric and preventive medicine are emphasized.

Following his education at Yale, he first worked with Paul Schweikher AIA, Pittsburgh, then returned to Germany to work with the associated architects Herman Baur, Basel; Carl-Gustav Carlstedt, Stockholm; Godber Nissen, Hamburg; and Peter Poelzig, Berlin, and now is in private practice in Hamburg.

In 1959, while in the office of Peter Poelzig in Berlin, he was project designer for an addition to an existing hospital in Stade, Germany. The design was basically his own for an increased capacity of 250 beds, with complete treatment facilities and supply areas, housing for 150 nurses and a nursing school. However the project was abandoned in 1960 in favor of a regional hospital outside the city.

Another recent project, the Tehran (Iran) University Hospital and Medical School, is a huge scheme developed by the international group of architects, Baur, Carlstedt, Nissen and Poelzig. Kirchner was project architect for the scheme from the beginning and contributed some ideas to the project as is customary with such large group work. His idea for separate nursing units, for instance, was used in the final plan. Construction is to begin early in 1963.

Bruce P. Arneill—1959-1960

Mr Arneill's fellowship project developed from almost two years of travel in the United States, research on urban renewal in relation to retired elders, and study of preparation for retirement. It concerned specifically a complex of housing, eating, recreation and therapeutic facilities, and a diagnostic clinic and eating facilities for a downtown New Haven site.

After leaving Yale, he worked on two hospitals for Fisher and Davis, Architects. His major current hospital project for Pederson & Tilney, architects, New Haven, is a design for the Yale University Mental Health Center.

Marc Goldstein—1957-1958

As a third-year student at Yale Mr Goldstein designed one of the earliest progressive-patient-care hospitals and won the fellowship competition. For his project he worked in close association with the US Department of Health, Education and Welfare in Washington, DC and Grace New Haven Hospital. He then won a Fulbright scholarship to Italy in 1959-60. He is now with Skidmore, Owings & Merrill, architects, in San Francisco.

Tom Holzbog—1959-1960

Following his studies at Yale which concluded with his year as Hopper Fellow, Mr Holzbog also went to Paris with a Fulbright scholarship. While there he worked on low-cost housing with Candilis, Josic & Woods, architects, then moved to England to do a dormitory for a college at Cambridge with Sir Leslie Martin and Colin St John Wilson, and currently is working on a new social center for Leicester University with Deny's Lasdun & Partners, architects, in London—not on hospitals, but we believe he has not left the field entirely.
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INTRODUCTION

The speakers of the 1962 AIA-ACSA Teacher Seminar at Cranbrook Academy were divided into three groups to discuss “Determinants of Urban Form,” “The City Designer in Practice” and “Directions in Education.” A condensation of the papers from the first group was included in the November issue of the AIA Journal. The latter two groups are presented herein. Again, each paper has been kept intact, though drastically reduced in length, to retain its internal consistency. We hope that the original flavor and style have survived the distillation process.

Because of space limitations, none of the discussions or workshop presentations has been included in the main body of this two-part report; however, during the sessions a great deal of valuable synthesis took place, even though it seldom reached the form of concrete proposals for action. (If the Seminar ever produces unanimity it probably will have become a failure.) The need for a clearer and immediate focus on educational responses to the future role and responsibility of tomorrow’s architect prompts this writer to present some of the ideas and suggestions concerning education for urban design which developed from the discussions and workshops. These observations seem to fall into three groups: “Basic Curriculum Changes,” “Fundamental Studies” and “Applied Studies.”

Basic Curriculum Changes

- Determine means to reduce human waste in our schools, to encourage specialization and to establish equality with other professionals by requiring two to four years of pre-architectural training in the liberal and fine arts.
- Introduce into the curriculum, courses to stimulate awareness of our environment parallel to basic design and encourage non-architects to participate in these.
- Examine the content of the professional curriculum to determine essentials, concentrating on learning methods of acquiring and applying knowledge.
- Expand the role of research in our schools to encourage a spirit of inquiry and discovery, to enlarge and enrich faculties in research, and to systematize and further the profession’s fund of general knowledge.
- Institute an “internship” program coordinating basic and specialized activities through the collaboration of the AIA, the ACSA member schools and the registration boards.

Fundamental Studies

- Study historic civilizations to understand their social structures as determinants of urban form.
- Study contemporary culture and the ecology of urban form as they may be influenced by economics, climatology, physiography, morphology, social goals, political systems and technological developments.
- Study the dynamic social, economic, geographic, cultural and recreational activity systems of our contemporary society and their spatial requirements.
- Study the elements of urban anatomy, their systemic qualities, their proportioning and interrelationships. Develop skill in their manipulation and parallel skill in the technique of programming for growth and renewal.
- Study elements of real estate, finance and land economics to achieve a basic competence.
- Develop a familiarity with the methods of policy formulation, decision-making and design implementation in government and a general knowledge of planning legislation.
- Develop a time and change consciousness about the processes of city building and rebuilding over long-time intervals.

Applied Studies

- Seek enduring solutions to problems of city engineering such as expressway design, interchanges, parking terminals, air rights developments, transportation terminals, etc.
- Intensify research activities into industrialized building processes for application to mass housing requirements.
- Engage in research to evolve new solutions for the basic cells of our cities, new group forms and new combinations, reflecting the new determinants emerging at all scale levels.
- Emphasize inter-disciplinary collaborative projects and team efforts as exercises.

In his keynote address Dean Perkins stated that every school would not suddenly begin to produce “experts” in urban design, but rather this is an evolutionary process, with some few schools taking the lead.

All schools have the responsibility to develop a greater awareness and a basic competence in the areas of urban design if the profession is to assume its obligation in the tremendous programs ahead.

Not all schools will uniformly respond to these ideas, but each school must find its way and, hopefully, a variety of approaches will be developed.

NORMAN DAY, EDITOR
Architects seem to adopt one of two attitudes toward the complexity of urban renewal and city planning, neither of which is valid. The first is the heroic: "Only the architect is capable of leading the team." The second is the futile: "It's all too much, too complex, too difficult—let's retire from the field."

The result has been that the architect is called upon only to give cosmetic treatment to others' decisions. This is a tragedy, for there are earth-shaking issues involved in urban renewal in American cities. We are struggling to define the architect's role in this vast enterprise and to then grasp this role. I will not argue that the architect should be at the center of the urban design process, rather that urban design should be at the center of architecture. We must immerse architects in the city. Until then no leadership can come from the profession.

If architects are to become effectively involved in this mushrooming new realm of government-aided urban development and renewal, they will need wholly new and more dynamic philosophies and principles of city form and design. These must emphasize movement, time and process in the growth of cities and character and emotional conditioning for an unfamiliar role in the administrator-created environment. In short, I propose that architecture as we have known it is dead and that in its place we should raise up a new art of "urbitecture."

There are three great facts of the modern city which inspire a more dynamic concept of space and a more process-oriented approach to shaping it. The first is the fact of the City on Wheels. Roads are more than a mover of goods and people; they make real estate by creating or destroying land values, they provide shelter and space for services and activities, and they have a fundamental role in revealing intelligence about the city.

The second is the fact of the kaleidoscopic City of Change wherein a comprehensive view of the time dimension—past, present and future—is related to space. Over a long period of time, change is more than replacement; new forms gradually evolve to suit entirely new purposes. The time required for this kind of change in cities is now shorter than ever before. Onrushing change does not find new forms very easily, and it is therefore destroying many useful physical resources in the process. We have failed to distinguish between the activities and the structure of a city; between forces which affect the pattern of the city and those which affect the nature of the unit. We must learn to recognize a hierarchy of permanency.

The third great fact is the discipline of the City of a Thousand Designers. Throughout the ages three disciplines have controlled the building of cities: that of the king, that of the architect or tastemaker, and that of the regional vernacular. Today the king has been replaced by the FHA, other legal bodies and a skein of laws. The architects are confused and cannot agree among themselves, and the vernacular has broken down badly. We must redefine the relationship of king, pace-maker and vernacular for today. The city-making processes which are possible in the City of a Thousand Designers are based on the concept of the capital web establishing a skeleton guiding individual acts which by accretion become the connective tissue of the city.
A year ago I entered the biggest and potentially the best public development and renewal program in America. I entered it with a bundle of ideas on which I had worked for years. Some of these ideas worked, more of them failed, most of them remain good potentials for that day when the renewal process and its practitioners become more alive to a dynamic, neo-functionalist, “urbitectural” concept of city-building.

A year ago I went to great lengths to induce an unusually talented and sympathetic team of young men to join me in an adventure in public
city design. Some of these young men have stood up very well in the struggle they were involved in. Others made an intellectual adjustment to a dynamic process-oriented approach to city design but did not have the psychic or moral attunement necessary. Some of these young men will soon retire to the smaller, simpler and more traditional role of the architect: designing individual finite entities for completion in a finite period of time. For my part, retiring to that role is to retire into futility, to leave the city to the impersonal, amorphous Frankenstein designer mechanism that we now have.

The alternative to futility is involvement in bureaucracy while it can still be effective; in trying to reshape the bureaucratic processes of city design and renewal before they become further entrenched with the gigantic national programs ahead. If we are to supply this kind of leadership and innovation, architecture must look, not for a role in the middle of urban renewal as a matter of right, but for a way to make the city and its problems the root and essence of architecture. This calls for nothing short of an ideological revolution in architecture, changing its meaning and redefining the profession of architecture.

I would like to close with a quotation from Tagore. “Death can continue to dwell in the same sepulchre, while life must seek a new dwelling place. For life is a creative idea...it must increasingly find its expression in changing forms.”

ENVIRONMENTAL ARCHITECTURE

by Victor Gruen FAIA, Victor Gruen Associates

What are the outward expressions of this chaos? Though our cities are growing dynamically, their growth is unhealthy and cancerous. Instead of mature growth within, it is an uncontrolled, sprawling and scattering growth around the periphery; a growth which destroys the beauty of landscape and nature, a growth so unplanned and rapid that public services—water, sewers, roads, schools—lag far behind. We cover the countryside with urban fallout and simultaneously experience the rotting away of the historic city cores. If a halt is not called soon, we will all live in continuous mortal sameness, void of any interruption by landscape and plagued by a steady deterioration of original historic and dynamic urban centers.

In the last ten years the chaotic growth and development of our urban areas has awakened the public to its dangers. There is a deep undercurrent of resentment toward the ugliness and inefficiency of our public environment, toward the time wasted on congested highways and toward the lack of values which urban life presents. The climate is right for a decisive attack toward the improvement of man-made environment.

We in Victor Gruen Associates believe strongly in this new activity field of architecture and we are, to the extent of our ability, trying to practice what we preach. Though I am aware that, measured by the size of the total task, our contribution is an extremely modest one, I would like to relate to you how we approach the new problems of architecture through our organizational setup and through teamwork with others.

Within a tightly knit organization we have departments of architecture, planning, structural, civil, mechanical and electrical engineering, transportation engineering, economics, interior design and graphics. The activities of the organization are guided and directed by six partners, each of whom performs a double function. Each heads one or more departments and is also in direct charge of a number of projects. Thus each project is assured of a participation by all partners and
departments and the totality of the experience and talent available. About thirty associates work closely with the partners and with outside consultants and coordinate the work of our staff of about two hundred.

As for the scope and character of the projects on which we are working, we are engaged in only one specialty. Our specialty is that we oppose specialization, and thus our projects range over every building type from small shops and interiors, to residential projects, retail establishments, civic structures, new communities, planning for redevelopment, city planning and regional planning. They range in cost from $50,000 to $200,000,000.

This nonspecialization is a principle based on our conviction that activities concerned with large segments of the man-made environment can be fruitful only if they are based on intimate knowledge of the individual elements of the environment, on the needs of the people who live, work, or shop in a structure.

We did not, of course, start with environmental architecture but worked toward this aim from small beginnings widening our range over the last fifteen years. The first projects which permitted us to express our ideas on environmental architecture were regional shopping centers. In building them, we attempted to create urban clusters which could form a crystallization point for social, cultural and civic activities in sprawling suburbia. These regional shopping centers gave us an opportunity to test on large, virgin pieces of land, planning concepts which we felt effectively approached the problems and potentials created by twentieth century technology and sociology. We later applied these principles to existing urban complexes or newly planned communities.

We are, of course, deeply aware of the fact that scientific and technological development have put into the hands of mankind not only the means for improvement of the man-made environment but also those for its total destruction. Believing in life and believing in people, we must hope that reason will prevail and that mankind will not destroy itself.

If reason prevails, the craze of armament competition will subside and vast energies will be released for an all out attack for the improvement of the places in which man lives. This, in our opinion, is the task and responsibility of architecture in the second half of the twentieth century.

Wherever architects or architectural critics or historians meet, the profession of architecture is accused of being in a state of chaos, confusion and aimlessness.

Some architects are engaged in a feverish search for a new style of twentieth century architecture. We hear about brutalism, a new romanticism, purism, etc. Some work in one specific so-called style, others manage to change their stylistic approach from project to project. The result of this is described aptly in architectural magazines as chaos.

We fail to perceive that real styles cannot be created. They are only discernible from the tower of historic observation fifty to one hundred years later. Twentieth century science and technology has robbed us of the historical restrictions which gave us Greek, Roman, Renaissance or Baroque architecture. We can overcome extremes in climate by insulation, heating and air conditioning. We have a multitude of building materials and construction methods from which to choose, and technology has advanced to such a degree that practically every shape and form can be created at any place in the world under any conditions.

Distances between all parts of the globe have shortened dramatically, and the web of communications is so tightly knit that what is built in Chicago today can be discussed in an architectural magazine in Tokyo the following month. It appears that the search for style may only lead to frustration and a waste of creative energy.

Should one thus conclude that architecture is void of an exciting and exhilarating mission in our times? Nothing could be further from the truth. The mission of architecture in these times of mass production, mass consumption, population explosion and urban growth lies in a completely different area than in historic times. Architecture must widen its horizons; it must switch its attention from the individual structure to the entire man-made environment.

Why, from the architects own point of view, is this switch necessary? The reason is simply that within a complex society the individual structure has lost its significance because it is so totally dependent on the environmental conditions surrounding it. The most brilliantly designed structure can, and indeed often does, become ineffective. If architecture of the individual structure as a personal expression and as an expression of the synthesis of sculpture and painting and architecture is ever to become meaningful again, the task of reshaping the existing chaotic human environment must be tackled first.
According to Lewis Mumford, the historical origins of the city were to serve the purposes of the gods. I quite believe this.

If we examine the contemporary city or any city of the past with critical subjectivity, we must conclude the city was not created to serve man. The village came into existence during man's paleolithic or neolithic history when man's primitive mate desired more security for herself and her offspring than the nomadic huntsman's life afforded. The village was the first expression of man's communal instinct and woman's desire for collective security. Each family had its own independent economy based on crude harvesting.

The huntsman, technologically unemployed by the somnolent village agricultural economy, grew weary of the dull, domestic routine and discovered a new purpose—the creation of the city which was to be the dwelling place of a god or gods. The city, therefore, had its foundations in heaven so that the gods might dwell on earth. The historical purpose of the city has never been, and is not now, to serve man but rather to serve some god or thing outside man.

It is very important that we should recognize this theological origin of the city, although you may be unwilling to admit the existence of gods in this enlightened age. You may say that in the days of Memphis, Nineveh, Babylon, Ur and Karkemish, the citizens believed in the actual presence of gods dwelling in the citadel. In the Old Testament we are told how the ancient Hebrews built their city at the command of Jehovah so that he might dwell among them. Each Pharaoh god in Egypt dwelt on the banks of the Nile near the site of his pyramid tomb and thousands upon thousands of his slaves dwelt about him spending their brief lifetime building his tomb. With his interment his city disappeared leaving behind a necropolis barren of life while a new city formed around a new Pharaoh god.

You may say that was ages ago when men lived in fear, ignorance and superstition. Spiritually men live today no less in fear, ignorance and superstition, and the modern commercial city is no less a dwelling place for gods than was ancient Karkemish. The deities of the modern city are Greed and Power, which compel urban man's mind and heart and motivate his action.

These spirits existed before, of course. They were manifest in motivating the neolithic villages of Egypt as well as financial operators from rural Texas. There is a little bit of the gods, good or evil, in every man; therefore, it is not surprising to find homage paid to the wicked gods in our cities, great and small alike. Let us call these gods of Greed and Power the motivating spirits of Mr Compromise.
What about the motivating spirits of Dr Purist? They are the gentler and nobler spirits of Beauty, Art, Harmony, Reason, Balance, etc. They are as real as the wicked spirits, but somehow their gentle natures are hardly a match for Greed and Power. You are less likely to find them in the great cities. The city does not pay much homage to the ideals of Dr Purist, lip service perhaps, but not true homage. I do not scoff at Dr Purist nor at his ideals. I laugh at his naïveté when he insists that the wicked gods of Greed and Power abdicate in favor of his gentle spirits. No city, to my knowledge, exists today where only the good spirits of Dr Purist reign supreme. This is no reason to abandon your faith in the good spirits of Dr Purist, merely a reason to reckon with the dark powers of Mr Compromise.

If anyone wishes to make a mark in city planning, he must approach it rather schizophrenically, recognizing both the good and evil motivation that build and operate the contemporary city. By no means abandon your visions, but do not expect to find them fully realized in the city as we know it. You cannot be an urban renewal architect without encountering these spirits and their high priests.

To the god of Greed belongs the market place, the bankers, the mortgage lenders, the landlords and the developers with their talk of economic feasibility, return on investment and rights of ownership. To the god of Power belongs all the apparatus of government with its myriad agencies—the FHA, the URA, the HHFA, the Building Code, the Master Plan, etc, etc. (Your saddest frustrations will come from the servants of Power or Greed who will invoke the names of the good spirits of Dr Purist, such as Economy, Public Welfare or Safety, to support some inhuman demand by the god of Power.) Let me give you a few brief examples of how the gods of Greed and Power operate, how they can be outwitted and how they often hurt themselves.

Of four developers bidding on one sixty-acre renewal project, the one submitting the lowest bid for the land clearly had a superior design. The local director of urban renewal, a Dr Purist, made a courageous decision and awarded the project on its architectural merit. Then all hell broke loose.

The other developers cried for the director's scalp. The god of Power stirred and "required" the chosen developer to match the highest bid. At this point the architect rebelled evoking the sacred cows of proportion, scale, balance, etc, because in order to absorb the extra land cost, one hundred housing units had to be added by adding five floors to each of two buildings. As consultant to the developer, I told the architect that without this increase the project would never go. The architect yielded, the project was built and he has since admitted that he was wrong about the esthetic limitation on the height. The god of Power received an additional $150,000, the director has a good completed project built at least somewhat to the ideals of a Dr Purist and the architect was reasonably content.

The master plan of another city called for five hundred housing units for middle class families in a renewal area. This apparently meant semi- or detached houses, but the economics of the density proved unworkable and a town house scheme was developed using the land area saved for high-rise apartments. But the god of Power has many minions and one of them was the evil Building Code which called for minimum lot areas for single family homes. We outfoxed the evil Building Code by classifying the row houses as a multi-family apartment. The wicked regulations of the Fire Department called for a four-foot continuous, fire-resistant overhang connecting all exterior apartment entrances. We outfoxed the Fire Department by calling them individual row houses and so presented different faces to the god of Power and thus he was fooled by man!

A developer was awarded a project for twelve hundred housing units in an Eastern city. I estimated the market would absorb a ten to twelve net gain in tenants every week. In response to the pressures of saving $400,000 and the director of urban renewal wanting to complete the project as soon as possible, he built the entire project at once against my advice. My prediction proved surprisingly accurate and the project sustained a loss in excess of $700,000 in the two-year three-month period it required to rent all the units. The god of Greed defeated himself with a little help from the god of Power.

The important conclusion which I have drawn from my experience is that the wicked gods of Mr Compromise are not so terrible or omnipotent that they cannot be outwitted at times and a point or two scored for the good spirits of Dr Purist. The urban renewal architect must recognize the presence of the wicked spirits, learn their whims and their weaknesses and pay due homage to them while continuing to serve the noble spirits of Dr Purist. If your client is either Power (the city) or Greed (the developer) and you serve him slavishly, you will end up as a hack, unworthy to be called an architect. Though your plans may be built they will hardly renew the city. If your client is really your own ego, you will probably end up an architect of literature, the quintessence of Dr Purist with your files of unbuilt dreams. You will need character and temperament as well as art, not the character of Hector or Ajax but rather the character of Ulysses.
The term *urban design* is used at Harvard in quite a limited and specific sense to mean an area of interaction between the three professions of architecture, landscape architecture and city planning, which are there housed together under the general umbrella of the Graduate School of design.

I have come to this conference fresh from the Harvard commencement ceremonies and this year considerable play was made with the president's traditional greeting to the graduating class from the Harvard Law School as men qualified to devise "those wise restraints which make men free." I would like to take this phrase as a description of the tasks and limits of urban design as we see it.

Order and hierarchy—the wise restraints which make men free—are at the very basis of civilization itself. For it was only after early man had discovered a cosmic order in the heavens that the first high civilizations could arise in Sumer and Egypt. This cosmic order formed the basis of the first architecture as well as of the first systems of social and religious hierarchy. It is totally impossible for us to deny order as the basic premise of civilization, but our interpretation of the scale on which it should operate in order to "make men free" has varied from one culture to another.

In the Harvard program, urban design operates on two scales: the conceptual system and the visual scene. The first is a frame of reference, conceptually sensed but not necessarily visually apparent at any one moment. The second is directly concerned with what is physically visible at the human scale; in other words, with the design of variant elements within the conceptual system. We hold that both the general system and the detailed element are equally important, that the designer must always be simultaneously conscious of the macrocosm and the microcosm.

At Harvard we have two programs in urban design which fall into the awareness field and one that is strictly professional. We endeavor to expose all students entering architecture, landscape architecture or city planning to some general principles of environmental design. This occupies studio periods throughout the first term. After this joint work, designed to give an intelligent awareness of the interaction of man and his physical setting, all students are plunged into the technicalities of their separate professional fields.

The fall term program of the first year in the Graduate School of Design falls into three phases: a general visual reconnaissance of a given area; a period of abstract research into general factors thrown up by this reconnaissance; and a rather longer period of moving from a sketch plan to a three-dimensional model to a carefully drawn two-dimensional plan. The reconnaissance program includes such items as events and their visual repercussions; relative scale of buildings and land use; graphic documentation of specific qualities and features; and comparative studies of the nature of urban spaces.
These and many others are discussed and analyzed in class as a basis for undertaking library research into a number of topics such as factors that influence apparent scale, view, privacy from sound, community, walking distances and street furniture. Such information is used by the entire class as a basis for subsequent work.

The class then undertakes an analysis of problems and possibilities and a sketch plan, intended to show a system of design and the three-dimensional interpretation of this system. At this stage the building types and their standard sizes are given; the exercise is in their placing in respect to all the criteria evolved as a result of the previous studies.

Ostensibly those who wish have at least one subsequent opportunity for collaborative work on an urban design problem, but this has become less and less practicable to organize for a number of complex reasons. It was partly as a result of the inadequacy of collaboratives that the Harvard urban design professional studio came into being.

Students entering the urban design studio must already be fully qualified in one of the three professions and must satisfy the admissions committee of their ability as designers. This is at present a one-year course designed to give further training to qualified men to fill the gap between the planning programs prepared by the city planner and the building plans prepared by individual architects. It does not attempt to substitute for either. It accepts the fact that a dangerous gap exists which neither profession is at present being trained to fill. Thus the Harvard program in urban design does not aim to train architects in city planning nor city planners in architecture.

In principle it adopts a planning program that has already been developed and works on its three dimensional interpretation. The purpose throughout is to create a framework to guide but not inhibit those individuals who will normally carry out the final work, much as the conceptual system, derived from the planner's program, has guided the urban designer.

In addition to his major studio course, each urban design student attends a special seminar during the year: one on more or less a downtown urban renewal scale, the other tackling new development in an open area. In addition, there are some short problems, particularly an opening problem dealing with some area well known to the individual student. This gives us a chance to size up his interests and general capabilities and guide his subsequent work.

Last year the urban problem given was the Charles River Basin, taking as a basis the plans already prepared in the local planning offices of the bordering cities and universities. The second long problem was entitled "Intercity," which was the theme of this year's Urban Design Conference.

The students spent the first few weeks analyzing, at comparative scales, a great number of historical and contemporary projects such as Eastwick by Doxiadis, the Greater Copenhagen Plan, Kenmerland by Bakema, the new town of Hook by the LCC, Levittown, etc. Following this, each student developed a system of intercity growth to suit his country of origin, and three were chosen for subsequent development by the whole class, each student at that stage acting as an urban designer required to work within the overall system.

The USA project chose to work on an actual site along one of the corridors of the Washington Year 2000 plan. The other two groups, for Western Europe and India, worked on an abstract, flat terrain but within the geographic and climatic conditions of a specific region.

The faculty working with both the first-year studio and the urban design studio are drawn from the Departments of Architecture and City Planning. Their tasks fall into three categories: the provision and sources of information; a constant reminder that the designs have to be realizable in stages and by different people; and a continual reference back to the macrocosm, the microcosm and the reality—in other words, the system, the building types and the special problems of the site.

The urban design studio has now been operating for two years. Men graduating from it get a master's degree in their own field with a specialty in urban design, a further emphasis on the fact that we make no pretense to turn an architect into a city planner or vice versa within this one year program. We have learned a lot in two years, but the program will undoubtedly go through many changes before its curriculum becomes stabilized. The program is still young.
Architects and planners continue to carry—and educators in these fields distribute—a bundle of outmoded notions and concepts which have been elevated to the status of principles.

New problems derived from other disciplines, as well as concepts of order and techniques for solution, are either unknown or ignored by architects and planners. We must seek to develop a visual-physical ordering from the activity systems with which we are dealing, even if such concepts of order demand a denial of those "principles" which we have been taught to accept as eternal.

If the function of the architect and the planner is to provide a spatial system that will facilitate the process of activity systems, how then do our plans correspond to the operation of those systems in the real world? Are we planning or praying?

When we examine the buildings and cities of the past, we find that they are derived from the prevailing socio-economic patterns and the available technological capacities of the times. Are our notions concerning the ordering of our physical world based upon the present state of these factors? Or are we using concepts relevant to prior eras and attempting to apply them to present and future situations?

There are many more questions that trouble me; however, those which I have stated are sufficiently general as to encompass the others. I will not attempt to answer them, rather only share some thoughts that they bring to mind.

We who have been initiated into the mystic rites of design look at our cities and cry chaos. Of course, we except those portions of the city which we have designed. And about those, others cry murder. We claim that our cities have no order, no structure. I say that this is not so. Our cities do have an order. That which is without order cannot be thought of or objectively seen. Where there is no order perception and cognition are not possible.

When we cry no order we are, in fact, saying that we don't know what the order is or dislike whatever order we do perceive. The order of cities—any city, even Los Angeles—is open to rational understanding by the minds of men. I would suggest that the architect, urban designer and planner are seeking the lost paradise, the unity and order of simplicity, comprehensible at a glance, easily identifiable and thus an easy way to one's own identity, having not the ambiguity of life but the specificity of death.

The systems by which we live are either of our making or exist in their present states because of the changes we have brought about in them. These systems—biological, social, etc.—are open and interdependent systems, wherein action in one effects a change in all others. We of the AIA, ACSA and even the AIP have fenced in one sector of our environment—the physical environment—as our area of concern.

Because of our desires and special training, we have chosen the physical environment to do our good works in for the ultimate benefit of our fellow men. Since changes in our physical environment will come about willy-nilly, we wish to direct them so that they are more willy than nilly. In order to be more willy we must act rationally. And in order
to act more rationally we have evolved a set of principles to guide our decisions. The dictionary defines "principle" as a fundamental truth. Let us examine some of these notions which have been elevated to this status by nothing more than calling them that.

"The Greenway Principle is a basic linear system of connecting greenways, focusing on significant symbols such as churches, schools and clubs, forming a skeletal backbone which gives significance and meaning to a series of individual projects, and provides a sequence of sensations for the people moving through it. It is a very humanistic principle that the community will be seen as a series of meaningful space sensations by the people who inhabit it."

Except for color, I fail to see how the greenways differ from the freeways. Freeways can and do all else that it is suggested the greenways do. They focus on significant symbols, perhaps not the same ones, but on others of equal or greater significance. Such terms as "meaningful space sensations" and "sequence of sensations" are nonsense without some indication as to what the meaning is and what the sense experience wants to communicate. Therein lies the rub and therein lies the mumbo-jumbo that we use to communicate. Therein lies the rub and therein lies the mumbo-jumbo that we use to communicate to ourselves, our students and the people we wish to serve. The environment, with or without the designer, is filled with space experiences and they all have meaning as an expression of nonspatial experiences in the nonphysical aspects of the environment.

Our teachers have told us what their teachers have told them, that our compositions must have unity. It seems not to matter that our present state of understanding of the urb tells us that the activity systems we are dealing with are not composed states but are process states. We are in constant search of unifying devices—building material, building heights, street furniture, colors and textures, signs, architectural styles, etc—as if unity corresponds to our real experience.

In fact, we have gathered a whole pot full of devices which we use to achieve good urban design. The list can be taken from any of the student projects at our schools or from the proposals of our more sophisticated practitioners. Open civic spaces (plazas, squares, parks) express urbanity. Varied building types protect us against monotony. Distinctive communities give us our identity and sharp boundaries our sense of place. Vistas—open and closed, short and long—give us the spatial experiences we need. Landmarks provide us with our orientation, symbolically and physically. Pedestrian ways give us the human scale we want, the exercise we need and a means of rejecting the automobile we love.

Recently we have those who are guided by the admirable objective of increasing choice. This is accomplished by cramming every conceivable building type, economic level and ethnic group into each and every project.

We seem to accept these notions and devices as surefire ways of achieving good urban design, even though they came into being as responses to very different patterns of life. We seem to ignore the fact that the spatial systems that developed from them do not reflect or facilitate our activity systems. Nor does it seem to matter that they inhibit the use of available knowledge and technology and strait-jacket us in our efforts to understand and adapt concepts of order that have evolved in the sciences and in other fields of art.

In the meantime, the architect engages in bartendery, mixing space cocktails, without having any confirmable idea as to the effects of his concoctions. He holds fast to his physical determinist position without producing any acceptable evidence to support his contention.

In more general terms, what I have said is that we must be able to develop means of describing present events and predicting the outcome and prescribing the nature of future events. Each architectural design, urban design and city plan is in effect supposed to be doing this, but there are many who contend that we are using antiquated tools and primitive methods in attacking this task. We continue either to ignore or to remain ignorant of tools and methods that have demonstrated a great potential for utility. In like manner we have disregarded important concepts of order that have emerged from the sciences and new patterns of order that have been revealed by studies of contemporary societies.

The principles that we have been using no longer have any claim to being fundamental truths, since they do not relate to anything in our contemporary life experiences. The devices we have been using for establishing an order for our experiences are not in accord with the pluralistic and ambiguous nature of our existence and the patterns of our behavior. Our problem is to provide a spatial system that will facilitate an activity system that is diverse in its parts, equivocal in its structure, changing in time and divergent in purpose.

We must stop rephrasing the problem so as to conform to our preconceived image of the solution and so as to be susceptible to manipulation by our ready stock of useless principles. Our design decisions must be based upon concepts of order derived from the problem at hand. Design principles that have been formulated as a response to problems that no longer exist are irrelevant and detrimental.
The architect in this country today is a failure at urban design. One of the primary contributing factors is that the educational process in architecture fails to prepare the future professional to solve problems of urban design. What reasons underlie these two related failures and what can be done about them?

No useful purpose will be served by further castigation of the planning profession nor by reiterating that we architects can do urban design if anyone will let us. We can remedy these failures only by drastically changing our ideas about the nature of architecture and our ideas about education. These changes will be so great as to constitute a complete revolution.

Architecture today is one of the most conservative and traditional of academic and professional fields so far as the recent revolution in attitudes toward behavior is concerned. Architecture continues to the very present in its ancient and venerable emphasis on the differences between itself and other fields. Design is taught as architectural design—a kind of unique human behavior. Most of the student's time is spent in indoctrinating him in those things which differentiate him from all others. In this sense architecture today is totemistic.

Many of the people I would label as totemists, those who stress the things that are different about architecture, claim that the architect is and must be a generalist. If they indeed meant what they said, they would then be stressing those things architecture has in common with other fields. But this is not the case, for what is usually meant by the statement is the grossest perversion in terms. They mean he must be completely specialized in architecture, he must know nothing specific about any other field. The very nature of the revolution necessary in architecture today is to make the field really general, not in fact more specific while we give empty lip service to the development of generalists.

Creative behavior depends on two characteristics: the ability to learn, to acquire knowledge, to receive information, to perceive reality; and the ability to apply our learning to the solution of a specific problem whether abstract or practical, to synthesize, to solve, to create, to design. We hold this in common with many other disciplines. Many fields are deeply concerned with creative behavior, and many academic fields are dedicated to eliciting this kind of behavior.

If we accept this fact, then education should develop out of two characteristics. First, the student must learn how to learn—not simply learn how to do what is already known, the way we initiate him today. He must learn tools for learning, for acquiring knowledge relevant to a problem, any problem. He must start at the most fundamental level with the nature of knowledge, the process of acquiring knowledge and the ways in which we apply our knowledge to solve human problems. Then he must apply his tools to very general problems, acquiring relevant specific knowledge and using it to arrive at the selection of a course of action. Only then may he proceed to those things which are specific to his own field.

The creation of architecture as a form of human behavior has persisted for a very long time. The process I have described of acquiring relevant knowledge and applying it to the solution of problems of shelter has always prevailed, but the methods by which the process have been carried out have changed considerably.

The aspect of behavior which is the decision process leading to the solution of problems of shelter exists at its most rudimentary levels in various forms of insect and animal life. Here the decision mechanism is biological and is built into the genetic equipment of the creature. Complex hives, burrows, webs, nests, cocoons, dens and lairs are the elaborate results of these instinctive decision processes. Man lacks biological decision-makers to a great degree. This gives him the greatest flexibility of all animals in solving problems of shelter.

Through history man has developed several methods of approaching problems and transmitting behavior patterns. We recognize three main kinds of systems: belief, reason and scientific method.

Belief as a decision system requires the ritualization of as many separate decisions as possible. It breaks down when the number of ritual solu-
tions to specific problems increases beyond the capacity of human memory or methods of storing ritual solutions in writing or diagrams.

Reason and logic provide a more powerful decision system. Their application permits the development of much more fresh and creative solutions than the ritualistic approach, making it possible to solve rapidly changing problems with greater ease. The development of a design philosophy by rational methods and the application of it to a whole range of shelter problems in the work of a single architect becomes an object of beauty in itself, serving as sufficient testimony to the advantages of using reason as a decision system.

However, there is one serious fault with systems of this kind. They need be only internally consistent and true only to themselves. Reason is not necessarily connected with reality. As our shelter problems develop incredible complexity in a three-billion-people world, reason as a decision system becomes increasingly inadequate.

Scientific method seems to close the gap between the real world and reason. Inductive logic is substituted for deductive logic. Empiricism becomes the order of the day. We learn to develop theoretical statements and generalizations from our observations of phenomena about us. Very powerful techniques for advancing our knowledge become available to us. Scientific method is being extensively applied today as the decision system for shelter problems.

I suggest to you that architects must change and adopt this new decision system, for there is not the tiniest hope that architects will make any worthwhile contributions to urban design problems, given the present scales and complexities of our cities, until they do.

We really have only two choices in trying to achieve the standards set by successful urban designs in the past. One of these is to make our cities as relatively simple and uncomplicated affairs as they were a thousand years ago so that they are within the powers of our decision system to seek solutions. The other is to accept the complexities of the world about us and to seek more powerful decision systems as a basis for design.

If we choose the first, the solution will belong to another period. There is no alternative to the second route to a truly twentieth century urban design. The process remains the same, only the methods change. The solution of a problem of any kind requires the acquiring of relevant knowledge and the successful application of it. The differences are in the means by which knowledge is acquired and the methods by which it is applied in the process of synthesis.

Suppose we have the will to revolt, how do we implement it? First, we must ask ourselves who about us is concerned with new systems of acquiring knowledge. What have they learned that they can share with us? Possibilities range from philosophical attitudes concerning the nature of knowledge such as probability, to methods of absorbing bewildering quantities of information in usable form contributed by mathematical statistics, to tools such as electronic data processing for rapidly handling, storing and retrieving great quantities of information.

Next we must ask ourselves who is concerned with the application of knowledge to the solution of specific problems. Again we find many. The planner, the administrator, the systems engineer are all vitally concerned with the same order of application as we are. Here, there are two levels of approach we must take.

First, we must learn something of the powerful tools for ordering knowledge in useful form such as statistical decision theory, matrix algebra, stochastic processes, linear programming—the rudiments of operations analysis—all useful in these ways.

Second, we must sit with those who are using in many ingenious ways all of these methods and others in the solution of design problems. The creative leaders of industrial, mechanical and electrical engineering come most rapidly to mind. How do they and others approach, structure and seek solutions to design problems? How do they reconcile the ancient conflict of combining information and judgment?

Too often we seem completely convinced that the basic problems we are faced with in architecture are unique to us alone. I suggest that this is contrary both to life and art. There are many, many others groping with the same kinds of fundamental questions that are baffling us. It is entirely possible that some of these others are finding brilliant insights into the nature of these problems.

It should be obvious that in many ways this is a great departure from our present approach and requires the redoing of the whole educational curriculum. Many of the things to which we currently subject the architecture students are no longer relevant in this context. They can be dispensed with at no loss. I am not suggesting a process of adding but rather one of substituting more powerful approaches for weaker ones.

The designer would approach the complex problems that are the order of the day with a deeper understanding of the city, a better capacity for acquiring knowledge relevant to the problem at hand and better methods of applying this knowledge to the solution of problems. We would be given a basis for urban design. A more profound, a more truly creative solution would result.
One of the most curious things that happened at this seminar was the complete abdication of technology from a form-making role in the city. Everyone seemed to agree with Fleisher's statement that technology of itself is not a determinant.

I suspect, however, that one of the difficulties the scientists and the technologists have in the area of the city is that they are completely scared of the number of variables and the irrational concepts found there. A prominent space scientist was recently quoted as saying, "Nature is much easier to grasp because you can take a specific natural law and be assured it will repeat itself."

Not so with people. Therefore, the scientists, or some of them, simply withdraw and say, "Well, there is nothing we can do in this area of technology; we can't tell you how science will have an impact upon this rather curious thing we don't understand: the city."

We've heard a lot of inevitable talk about the motor car and motor traffic. I am glad that Reichek touched on this for I do share his admiration of the motor vehicle. I have some resentment toward those who say we can solve our problems by turning our back on what is probably one of the most marvelous pieces of machinery that we have been able to invent. The fact that we have not been able to be as inventive in the use of the motor car as we were in making the machine itself is no reason for turning our backs.

Of course the most obvious evil of today's city is motor traffic, but the problems are far greater than the central issue of moving and storing. The motor car has destroyed the ancient concept of the city as a social and political entity and it has created in its place the urbanized region. The auto has not only taken over the street but it is dissolving all the connective tissues of the city.

What can be said for the form and the organization of a city in a world that is changing so rapidly? Only one thing. We don't know what form the city will take but we can be quite certain that it will be a very different form from anything with which we are familiar. We have to take a fresh look at the institution of the city and translate social and economic demands and possibilities into a rational organism, taking advantage of all the wonderful mechanisms and techniques offered by this age of technology. The problems of providing form and organization to the city are so vast and so complicated that they require the concentrated attention of all the best brains, not only in the fields of architecture, urban design and planning but the best minds in a great many other disciplines as well.

Some time ago Edwin Herbert Land said, "Discoveries are made by some individual who has freed himself from a way of thinking that is held by friends and associates who may be more intelligent, better educated, better disciplined, but who have not mastered the art of the fresh clean look at the old, old knowledge."

Dr. Luther Gulick sustained this theme recently when he said, "New conditions now require new thinking as a basis for new institutions and action. Some may think that our great need in the cities is water or sewers or wider streets or more schools or housing. Fundamentally, they are wrong. The real things we need are brains, character, drive, organization and leadership."

At the same time, we must never forget that the city is for man, the individual man, who still reacts emotionally and often irrationally to external stimuli. How can we organize our cities in their regional, national and global sections, not as a bloodless, organized environment, for a bloodless, organized man, but as an environment that, by its organization, will free man from oppression and chaos? It must furnish a framework within which he may enjoy what we describe in this country as his inalienable rights of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. If we are to preserve our civilization, we must find ideas and tools to make the city a habitable place in these terms.

I am confident we will find the answers to these problems, but it will require thinking and action on a far larger scale than that to which we have been accustomed. The problems that we face are vast and difficult, but I regard this as an evidence of vigor in the city rather than of decadence. Growth in any organism is inevitably associated with disturbance and dislocation, and I would be much more concerned for the future of our cities if they had no cares.
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Specify “AMARLITE” with confidence

Recent revelations in the public press indicate that claims-conscious Americans are now filing damage suits at a record rate against professional people — doctors, lawyers, architects and engineers.

This is a strong reason why it pays to specify products of proven high quality, regardless of price. Amarlite aluminum entrances, store fronts and curtain-wall systems are neither the highest-priced nor the lowest-priced on the market. They are, however, of proven high quality and dependability.

No architect, glass dealer, building contractor, building owner or their insurers has ever paid out one penny because of personal injury or property damage resulting from a faulty Amarlite product.

You can specify Amarlite with confidence.

AMARLITE CORPORATION
Artistry in Aluminum • ENTRANCES • STORE FRONTS • CURTAIN WALLS
Main Office • P. O. Box 1719 • Atlanta 1, Georgia
Sales Office and Warehouses:
Chicago, Illinois, 6659 South Greenwood Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio, 4700 Manufacturing Road, P. O. Box 8567
Dallas, Texas, 8100 Chancellor Row, P. O. Box 10034
Paramus, New Jersey, 20 Park Place, P. O. Box 25
Atlanta, Georgia, P. O. Box 19723
Los Angeles, California, P. O. Box 22206
Announcing Another
New LCN Compact Overhead Concealed Door Closer

Series 5000—Small in Size, High in Power
Here is a new LCN Overhead Concealed Door Closer, small in size, but powered to handle a wide range of door sizes (exterior and interior) including those subject to unusually difficult drafts and traffic conditions.

Five Adjustments Provide Full Control
There are five means of adjusting to conditions: (1) a valve to adjust the main door swing; (2) a valve to adjust the latching speed; (3) a valve to adjust the hydraulic back-check, which cushions the swing of the door, if thrown open violently, in proportion to the force applied; (4) an ingenious spring power adjustment by means of a screwdriver; (5) reversing the arm shoe position additionally adjusts the latching power.

No Winter-Summer Adjustments Required
Closer operation is highly uniform, thanks to the stable LCN hydraulic fluid developed by research and long experience. Temperature changes have little or no effect.

Send for Special Folder on Series 5000
For further details, door capacities, installation drawings, we invite you to write for Folder 5000, sent promptly on request.

LCN CLOSERS, PRINCETON, ILLINOIS
A Division of Schlage Lock Company
Canada: LCN Closers of Canada, Ltd., P. O. Box 100, Port Credit, Ontario

Above: Typical Head Frame Details
LCN’s Newest Compact Overhead Concealed Door Closer With Exposed Arm

LCN CLOSERS, PRINCETON, ILLINOIS
See Opposite Page for Brief Description
## New Corporate Members

**Elected October 1, 1962**
- Anderson, Richard Lee
  - Baltimore Chapter
- Athan, Demetrios James
  - Florida Central Chapter
- Barnes, William Joseph
  - Baltimore Chapter
- Bellamy, Clair James
  - Spokane Chapter
- Bragg, Dale Vernon*
  - Southern California Chapter
- Caruso, Nicola Lawrence
  - Central New York Chapter
- Cichello, Samuel Joseph
  - Central New York Chapter
- Eberhart, Robert Clare
  - Florida South Chapter
- Everson, Raymond Leroy
  - Kansas Chapter
- Hess, Arthur Paul*
  - New York Chapter
- Kagawa, Shoso
  - Hawaii Chapter
- Larsen, George Christian
  - East Bay Chapter
- Lee, Robert Ellis
  - Orange County Chapter
- McMath, George A.
  - Oregon Chapter
- Nakayama, Morris Kikuji
  - Hawaii Chapter
- Oman, Frederick*
  - New York Chapter
- Peterson, Richard Arvid
  - East Bay Chapter
- Rounds, Carleton Henry
  - Central New York Chapter
- Schwartzman, Alan
  - New York Chapter

**Elected October 15, 1962**
- Baleix, John
  - Northern California Chapter
- Beuls, Robert L.
  - Central Illinois Chapter
- Botich, Marko Eugene
  - Orange County Chapter
- Britelle, William Miles, Jr
  - New Mexico Chapter
- Buffington, George Aron
  - New Mexico Chapter
- Bulinski, Raymond J.
  - Central Illinois Chapter
- Burns, Robert Oliver, Jr
  - New Orleans Chapter
- Camplin, Forrest Ralph
  - Indiana Chapter
- Carmen, William Lucius
  - Coast Valleys Chapter
- Clowdsey, John Upton, Jr
  - Northern California Chapter
- Fenton, William Milton
  - Nebraska Chapter
- Flansburgh, Earl Robert
  - Boston Chapter
- Freesz, Tibor
  - Seattle Chapter
- Garrison, Russell N.
  - Southwest Washington Chapter
- Hamilton, Michael
  - New Mexico Chapter
- Harper, Charles Floyd, Jr
  - North Texas Chapter
- Hathon, William Irving
  - Boston Chapter
- Hayes, John Francis
  - Boston Chapter
- Heemstra, Howard Charles
  - Iowa Chapter
- Ikenoyama, George Katsuhiko
  - Santa Barbara Chapter
- Jasinski, Donald Anthony M.
  - Boston Chapter
- Johnson, Henry Theodore
  - Central Illinois Chapter
- Karsanow, Nikolaus
  - Staten Island Chapter
- Knight, Thomas Allen
  - Baton Rouge Chapter
- Koonce, Norman Lamar
  - Baton Rouge Chapter
- Landes, Thomas Nelson, Jr
  - Central Illinois Chapter
- Licht Sheldon
  - New York Chapter
- Lynd, John S.
  - Coast Valleys Chapter
- Malek, Roger John
  - Northern California Chapter
- McElhinney, William Glen
  - Coast Valleys Chapter
- Merker, Albert Seewald
  - New Mexico Chapter
- Mills, Edgar L.
  - Southwest Washington Chapter
- Moser, William Harold
  - Duluth Chapter
- Nice, David Stewart
  - Indiana Chapter
- Poskus, Edward Alphonse John
  - Boston Chapter
- Randall, J. Parke
  - Indiana Chapter
- Rhinehart, Donald W.
  - Coast Valleys Chapter
- Riddle, Harry Earle, Jr
  - Central Illinois Chapter
- Rigsby, James Kenneth
  - Central Illinois Chapter
- Rihbany, Carin Elias
  - Boston Chapter
- Schlanger, Ben*
  - New York Chapter
- Seaton, Scott Lee, Sr
  - Central Illinois Chapter
- Stockbarger, Richard Henry
  - Central Illinois Chapter
- Suzuki, Michael T.
  - Hawaii Chapter
- Turiello, Harold M.
  - Boston Chapter
- Wedell, Eugene Alan
  - Northern California Chapter
- Weidner, Urban C., Jr
  - New Mexico Chapter
- Weir, Eugene Arnold
  - Baton Rouge Chapter
- Whitson, Thomas C.
  - Coast Valleys Chapter
- Winston, Anita Jesse
  - Coast Valleys Chapter

* Readmission
Loadbearing But Light

Its striking geometry visible across miles of Kansas plains, this first element in a large chemical production complex represents to Architects Linscott, Kiene & Haylett “the universal challenge, to make the ordinary and inexpensive look pleasant and dignified.” With alternating bands of brick and glass, every second masonry band acts as structural pier, permits flexibility in office layout. A material that holds up the roof, fits in a panel, creates pattern and texture in structure: brick.
Central Sound Systems

for: SCHOOLS
INSTITUTIONS
INDUSTRY

SPECIFY WITH CONFIDENCE

Built to the highest engineering standards, RAULAND Sound Systems offer a complete choice of program facilities, including multiple microphone use, remote control, emergency and disaster evacuation announce, time signal programming, etc., as well as versatile intercom to meet any need. School or Industrial systems can be adapted to your exact requirements from standard panels built in production quantities.

RAULAND School Sound Systems were introduced in the 1930's and many hundreds of RAULAND systems more than ten years old are still providing reliable service.

RAULAND equipment is distributed in major cities through trained communication specialists qualified to lay out, install and maintain all types of sound systems. They are ready to assist you with any sound problem.

New Specification Manual on RAULAND Sound Equipment is available to Architects. Covers general and typical specs, classroom speaker assemblies, auditorium systems, etc.

RAULAND-BORG CORPORATION
Pioneers in Communications
3335 W. Addison St., Dept. S, Chicago 18, Ill.

Calendar

January 21 to February 1: National Trust Conference for Historic Museum Associates, Woodlawn Plantation, Mount Vernon, Va
January 24 to 26: Society of Architectural Historians, Lord Baltimore Hotel, Baltimore
February 1 to 17: Minnesota Society of Architects' Study Tour of South America (contact Viking Travel, Inc., 1505 First National Bank Building, Minneapolis 2, Minn)
March 4 to 8: Joint Annual Conference on Church Architecture, Olympic Hotel, Seattle
May 6 to 10: AIA National Convention, Miami
June 23 to 28: ASTM 66th Annual Meeting, Chalfonte-Haddon Hall, Atlantic City, NJ
September 20 to October 3: UIA, VII Congress, Havana, Cuba

Future AIA National Conventions
1964 June 15 to 19: St Louis
1965 May 5 to 14: Washington DC
1966 June 28 to July 1: Denver
1967: New York City
1968: Portland, Ore (tentative)
1969: Chicago (tentative)
1970: Detroit (tentative)

Necrology

According to notices received at The Octagon between August 22, 1962 and September 30, 1962

DAILEY, ALAN, Scottsdale, Ariz
DOMANN, WALTER A., Wauwatosa, Wis
GAERTNER, FRANK, New York, NY
GREEN, MARTIN J., Evanston, Ill
KELLY, JOHN SHERWOOD, Cleveland, Ohio
KERVICK, FRANCIS W., Randolph, Vt
MANDRIS, DEMETRIUS N., Towson, Md
MASON, ELLIOTT B., Milwaukee, Wis
MATSUI, YASUO, New York, NY
MC CRACKIN, OTHO, Hutchinson, Kan
RAMSAY, HARRY M., Boston, Mass
ROBINSON, DE Witt C., Portland, Ore
SKIDMORE, LOUIS FAA, Winter Haven, Fla
WHITE, CORNELIUS J., New York, NY
WHITNEY, HARRISON A., Portland, Ore
WILSON, PHILIP P. S., Annandale, Va
New Inland Integrated Air Floor System provides complete control of indoor environment . . . at lower cost than conventional systems

The new Inland Integrated Air Floor System combines the functions of four time-tested components to provide simultaneous control of: (1) temperature of air and room surfaces, (2) relative humidity, (3) air motion, (4) bacteria content. What this means to employers and employees alike is clear: better working conditions, increased effectiveness, less absenteeism.

Because it is designed as part of the building, the Inland IAF System delivers all of its benefits at significant savings, both in first and operating costs. Furthermore, it offers the designer new freedom in the creation of healthful, efficient, comfortable interior spaces.

How is this possible? Three years of Inland research have combined (1) a Burgess-Manning radiant ceiling system®, (2) a Kathabar chemical air conditioner®, (3) a standard refrigeration plant and (4) Inland Hi-Bond Celluflo, into an integrated system. All of the circulated air can easily be carried in the cells of Inland Celluflo, with ample room left over for power and signal lines.

Space is too limited here to explain how the Inland IAF System works, but a sound-slide program has been prepared which tells the story quickly and meaningfully. If you would like your organization to see this program, please write the Milwaukee address listed below or call your local Inland office.

Inland Steel Products Company Engineered Products Division
DEPT. L, 4127 WEST BURNHAM STREET • MILWAUKEE 1, WISCONSIN

Burgess-Manning Company, Libertyville, Illinois
Surface Combustion Div., Midland-Ross Corp., Toledo, Ohio
who
answers
the architect's
questions?

The answer: NADD salesmen. They reduce wear and tear on architects by providing accurate data for structural clay products. They bring new uses of clay products to the attention of designers. They keep abreast of technological advances via self-education and industry schooling. After a sale is made, they follow through on delivery dates and assume responsibility for client satisfaction.

Your NADD salesman is pledged to put into practice the NADD Code of Service. Call on him for conscientious help.

NADD
National Association of Distributors and Dealers of Structural Clay Products

WASHINGTON 7. D.C.

ALABAMA
Birmingham, Lewick L. Ephraim, Jr., Co.

ARIZONA
Phoenix, Phoenix Brick Yard

CONNECTICUT
Bridgeport, Templer Bros.

DELAWARE
Wilmington, Robert F. Ingham, Assoc.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FLORIDA
Jacksonville, Small Building Supply Co.

GEORGIA
Atlanta, A. J. Brown Co.

ILLINOIS
Alhambra, F. G. Bisby Co.

INDIANA
Indianapolis, M. F. Baker Co.

KENTUCKY
Louisville, Clark Bros. 

MARYLAND
Baltimore, Ballinger Brothers Co.

MASSACHUSETTS
Boston, Ballinger Brother Co.

MISSISSIPPI
Canton, Alabama Structural Products Co.

MISSOURI
St. Louis, National Brick Co.

NEW HAMPSHIRE
Lebanon, Charcoal Brick Co.

NEW JERSEY
Englewood, Silver Brick Co.

NEW YORK
Albany, Riley & Sons Co.

OHIO
Cleveland, O. W. Kelcham

PENNSYLVANIA
Philadelphia, Tomkins Bros.

RHODE ISLAND
Providence, P. L. Morgan & Son

TEXAS
Dallas, Macatofl, Inc.

VIRGINIA
Richmond, Eastern Building Supply Co.

WASHINGTON
Seattle, Old Virginia Brick Co.

WEST VIRGINIA
Charleston, West Virginia Brick Co.

WISCONSIN
Moundsville, A. B. Adams & Son

In the interest of better service to the construction industry, these companies have contributed to this advertisement.
BUILD WITH BLOCK
and build for keeps—with Dur-o-wal

Not how cheap, but how long until the wall needs costly repairs? That's the question if you really want to get the most for your building dollar. It's the reason why Dur-o-wal masonry wall reinforcement is specified throughout the continent. Dur-o-wal can more than double flexural wall strength and, when used in lieu of brick headers for composite masonry walls, increases compressive strength several times over. Years—which more than make up for the initial cost of Dur-o-wal—are added to masonry wall life. Please pass the evidence? Write to nearest address below for new comprehensive Dur-o-wal data file.

DUR-O-WAL
The Original Masonry Wall Reinforcement with the Truss Design

DUR-O-WAL MANUFACTURING PLANTS
- Cedar Rapids, Iow., P.O. Box 150
- Baltimore, Md., 4500 E. Lombard St.
- Birmingham, Ala., P.O. Box 546
- Syracuse, N.Y., P.O. Box 628
- Toledo, Ohio, 1678 Norwood Ave.
- Phoenix, Ariz., P.O. Box 49
- Aurora, III., 260 S. Highland Ave.
- Minneapolis, Minn., 2653 37th Ave. So.
- Hamilton, Ont., Canada, 789 Woodward Ave.

Strength with flexibility—the two basic factors for a repair-free masonry wall are assured by these engineered companion products. Dur-o-wal reinforcement, top left, increases flexural strength 71 to 261 per cent, depending on weight Dur-o-wal, number of courses, type of mortar. The ready-made Rapid Control Joint, beneath, with its neoprene compound flange, flexes with the wall, keeps itself sealed tight.
SERVICEABILITY STUDY
ALUMINIZED STEEL
TYPE 2

RESEARCH REPORT

Research and Technology Division
Armco Steel Corporation
Middletown, Ohio
Economical Durability of Armco ALUMINIZED STEEL Type 2 Proved by Research Survey

Detailed inspection of installations, 1 to 10 years old, shows outstanding performance of special Armco aluminum-coated steel in range of atmospheric exposures and applications.

Armco ALUMINIZED STEEL Type 2, sheet steel hot-dip coated with aluminum, has become a widely used architectural metal because of its low-cost resistance to atmospheric corrosion. To assist architects and building product manufacturers in effectively using ALUMINIZED STEEL, Armco has just released the results of an extensive research investigation of its service performance. The report provides evidence of the utility and economy of Armco ALUMINIZED STEEL.

The serviceability study covered 55 installations ranging up to 10 years old. Products included roofing, siding, building panels, air conditioner housings and rolling doors. Installations were located in seven selected geographical areas to permit an accurate determination of the effect of various environments on the metal's serviceability.

Results of the survey confirm that the coating on ALUMINIZED STEEL Type 2 lasts at least 4 times as long in industrial atmospheres as an unpainted commercial galvanized coating. They also show that ALUMINIZED STEEL is suitable for industrial, rural, and many marine atmospheric exposures. The survey indicates where and how ALUMINIZED STEEL should or should not be used for best results, and describes design and fabrication factors that influence the metal's serviceability.

This informative study, "Armco Research Report — Serviceability Study on ALUMINIZED STEEL Type 2," is available free to architects, engineering firms and manufacturers of building products. Write us today for your copy as well as additional information on the properties and applications of this special Armco Steel for architecture. Armco Division, Armco Steel Corporation, 2912 Curtis Street, Middletown, Ohio.
High ratio of surface area to face area
High air velocities without excessive friction or turbulence
Write for Bulletin S-55

AEROFIN Smooth-Fin
Heating and Cooling Coils

AEROFIN Corporation
101 Greenway Ave., Syracuse 3, N.Y.
Aerofin is sold only by manufacturers of fan system apparatus. List on request.
Why damage before dedication?

Chances are this floor will receive more abuse during construction than in the next 5 years combined. As the building goes up, we forget to look down...but it's a very critical time for new floors.

The Hillyard floor treatment program will do the job better than "KEEP OFF" signs...and for a longer time. Your Hillyard Maintaineer will show you how to protect all floors during construction, and he will be pleased to draft a plan that will cut maintenance costs by 50% when the owner takes over. You'll like the way flooring complaints will be eliminated. No matter what type of floor you specify—Hillyard seals and finishes are manufacturer approved.

Plan protection for your floors, with your Hillyard Maintaineer...the man who follows through for you. At your request, he will survey your finished floors, and recommend proper maintenance procedures at no cost to you. District offices are listed in Sweet's, or call collect.

"On your staff, not your payroll" / PROPRIETARY CHEMISTS SINCE 1907
THE JOB:
First National Bank of Ft. Worth

THE ARCHITECTS:
Skidmore, Owings and Merrill, and Preston M. Geren, Associate Architects

THE INTERIOR DECORATOR:
Melanie Kahane, F.A.I.D., Melanie Kahane Associates

THE FLOOR:
Armstrong Imperial Excelon Tile

This is the kind of job that Imperial Excelon was created for. It's a vinyl-asbestos tile, one-eighth inch thick, with a rich non-directional graining ideal for fine business interiors. What's more, the design goes all the way through. Even in traffic lanes and other areas of concentrated wear, the pattern won't blur or disappear, but stays sharp and new looking for the life of the floor. How long is that? Imperial Excelon is almost as long wearing as Armstrong Linotile, considered by many to be the most durable of commercial floors. And, it's just as easy to maintain. Yet, Imperial Excelon costs only about 45¢ sq. ft. installed, about half the cost of Linotile and no more than standard straight-grained Excelon. For samples and complete specifications, call the Architect-Builder Consultant at your Armstrong District Office. Or write to Armstrong, 1512 Sage Street, Lancaster, Pennsylvania.