A coalition of community groups released a proposal on October 17 that calls on the city to rework part of its plan for Manhattan’s southeastern waterfront, a portion of which is being designed by SHoP Architects for the Economic Development Corporation.

The group, calling itself OUR (Organizing and Uniting Residents) Waterfront, unites nine other member groups whose concerns range from the Two Bridges housing complex to the entire city. The organization claims to have collected 800 surveys.

The city’s waterfront once bristled with docks serving the commercial traffic that plied the Hudson and East Rivers. Today, mooring in Manhattan is harder to find—but that may be about to change.

Pentagram Architects and architect James Sanders & Associates have teamed up to produce Riverways, a practical and cost-effective design for a string of riverside boat landings to bring people and watercraft back to the city’s rivers. The scheme, still in its early stages, is in support of a new docks initiative from the Hudson Fulton-Champlain Quadricentennial Commission, a nonprofit group sponsoring a series of programs to commemorate Henry Hudson’s voyage of discovery 400 years ago.

“This has been going on ten or 15 years—this idea that the river could again become an integrated...”

When Aby Rosen and Norman Foster first proposed an addition to the Parke-Bernet Building at 980 Madison Avenue, the result was a 22-story tower that was beloved by the architectural cognoscenti and the developer’s boldface friends, and hated by just about everybody else, including residents.

The Related Companies’ massive build-out of the Far West Side got its predicted green light on October 19, when the City Planning Commission approved plans for Hudson Yards, with slight modifications, by a vote of 12–1. But the bigger news came...
MY TURN

Mark Sexton, FAIA, Partner, Krueck + Sexton Architects, Chicago, Illinois

The Spertus Institute was a challenging project. It is designed with 726 pieces of glass fabricated in 556 unique shapes, including parallelograms that tilt in two directions. The integrity of the design relied on the absolute flatness of the glass, so we used 50% thicker exterior panels to reduce roller wave. We wanted a very neutral, low-reflective look but with high-performance numbers—especially in UV transmittance. Other companies just can’t fabricate glass with this level of complexity. We worked with Viracon from the very beginning of the concept. When you only have one material to work with, you better be confident about how it’s engineered and fabricated. At the end of the day, Viracon is just as concerned about the quality of the product as they are about the quality of the process.

Do you want a turn? Contact us for details. Call 800.533.2080 or visit viracon.com.
A few weeks ago, the New York City Department of Design and Construction (DDC) released its 2009 list of architects eligible for municipal projects. The lucky few numbered 28. The 329 applicants who didn’t make the cut, and the many more who didn’t bother to figure out the process, may assume it’s not worth the effort. But the truth is that New York City, where a complex fraternity of agencies handle contracting individually, has fine-tuned an approach—Robert Moses called it the New York Method—that is relatively generous in involving private-sector architects in public work, especially as compared to other cities.

An informal survey by the AIA shows that architects at public agencies most often serve as administrators and project managers, contracting considerable amounts of work to the private sector. But this is not always the case, setting up an uncomfortable competition for work between city agencies and private firms. As reported in our most recent California edition (CAN08_10.29.09), architects in San Francisco have had to launch formal complaints to pry municipal work from the clutches of the Department of Public Works—and its 65-person Bureau of Architecture—whose plate is loaded with 120 projects valued at $75 million.

In Boston, there is also a department of architecture, but its staff architects function primarily as project managers, while the Massachusetts State University system has initiated a novel approach by which building contracts have been turned over to private companies that handle all aspects of the job, from initial competition to construction, and local architects know they must appeal to those private entities for public school work.

In his compelling analysis, The Image of the Architect (Yale, 1983), Andrew Saint found that the fight of private architects to get a share of government work is as old as professional societies themselves. He describes how the American Institute of Architects in the late 1800s sprang from the gentlemen architects of New York joining the business-focused architects of Chicago, both distressed by “a chronic incompetence in making provisions for the post offices, custom houses, and other official buildings” at a time when construction was booming and the American landscape was forming its identity.

Municipal work is often humble by definition—park sheds, cell phone towers, and bus shelters—but it can be more than utilitarian infrastructure. It communicates the DNA of our culture and our values. As a European, Saint was astonished that in the United States it was often the private houses that showed the world who Americans are, rather than our public buildings.

For some time now, infrastructure has been everyone’s favorite word, but for architects trying to get involved it has remained a slippery goal. On November 16, in Washington, D.C., a conference sponsored by UCLA’s Department of Architecture and Urban Design, called WPA 2.0, will urge architects to “take back the streets” and along with them the public space, buildings, parks, and roads across the nation that are the most obvious symbols of the investment in the future. It’s a promising sign, and architects must continue that good fight to bring their design perspective and social awareness to public works. Municipal architecture may sound too prosaic—and the effort to get it, too fraught—but it is also the first step to shaping the city itself.

Hazen-Klau (Wiley & Sons, 1990). But can we lay blame solely at planners’ and engineers’ feet? Where have architects been since Corbu proffered his vision of the city as speed machine? As Moshe Safdie wrote in The City After the Automobile (Basic Books, 1997): “By the 1980s, ‘...the isolated pursuit of architectural form had become both plausible and respectable in schools and in practice.’ One byproduct is architecture ignoring ... ‘what often appears to be overwhelmingly related transportation problems.’ Perhaps (and I hope) we are witnessing a reengagement of architects with the land between buildings.

Amanda Burden, chair of the New York City Planning Commission, made a surprise announcement that the city is preparing an application to acquire the final piece of the High Line. Burden said she expected the application to be completed by the end of the year, at which point it will enter the public review process.

The continuation of the elevated park, the first phase of which opened to great fanfare earlier this year, received an open question. Related, which long appeared ambivalent about the High Line, did seem to warm to the idea as the commission indicated its support for preservation. Until Burden’s announcement, though, nothing was assured. Peter Mullan, vice president for planning and design for Friends of the High Line, said after the announcement that he was excited by the news, but that work remains. “This does not guarantee preservation, but it’s the beginning of the process to ensure preservation and the most significant and concrete step in the process,” Mullan said.

The city must now come to an agreement with CSX, the national railroad operator, to purchase the final stretch of track. No previous deal had been made because the tracks would have been demolished under the stadium plan, and the city was subsequently unsure what action the developers would take.

As for the rest of Related’s project, the commission approved one commercial and seven residential tower buildings, to be surrounded by open space. Hudson Yards also includes a parcel east of 11th Avenue that was rezoned in 2005 for commercial and residential use. In deference to the High Line, one tower at the project’s southeast corner that would have straddled the elevated park has been pushed back and its height reduced, though it still overhangs the tracks by 50 feet. Changes were also made to the open space, which had been described as “too Bryant Park” by the commission. Now, it will be more tightly integrated with surrounding buildings. In addition, the School Construction Authority will develop a primary school within the western development.

One remaining sensitivity objection to the project concerns affordable housing. While Related is building 600 such units off-site, advocates hope to sway the City Council to require more affordable homes, ideally on the site, when the council votes on the project in the next 50 days. MATT CHABAN
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INDUSTRIAL ESPIONAGE, MAIL FRAUD, RACKETEERING, OH MY...

We never thought we’d hear racketeering and construction data in the same sentence, but here it is. In early October, Reed Construction Data filed suit in Federal court against a division of McGraw-Hill Construction called Dodge. The suit charges that Dodge has unlawfully accessed confidential and trade-secret information from Reed since 2002 by using a series of fake companies to pose as Reed customers. The lawsuit, filed in U.S. District Court in New York on October 8, seeks an unspecified amount in lost profits and punitive damages, trial by jury, and injunctive relief as a result of Dodge’s misuse of Reed’s proprietary construction-project information. Worse yet, Reed claims, Dodge allegedly manipulated the information to create misleading comparisons between its products and services and Reed’s in an effort to mislead the marketplace.

Actually, it’s about who has the best algorithms when both companies have pretty much the same data. The complaint cites 11 counts of misconduct by Dodge, including fraud, misappropriation of trade secrets and confidential information, unfair competition, tortious interference with prospective economic advantage, violation of New York’s general business law, violation of the RICO Act, monopolization, and states monopoly.

Not kidding, Eavesdropped read the entire 60-page complaint on Reed’s website. Riveting, because it names various with adoring code monikers like “straw man,” “the Spy,” and “Mr. X.” These “consultants” reported to the hundred, not all the AIA contract that gives “official AIA Journal” status to one publication? Architectural Record has had the honor since it wrestled it from the now defunct Architecture a decade ago. It’s a renew-or-lose time in 2010, and Eavesdrop hears that there are five companies, besides McGraw-Hill, vying for the contract. Bidders must own or be in partnership with a trade-show producer, because the contract includes responsibility for the annual AIA convention. Two other firms meet all the criteria, but we can’t verify if they’re in the running. The plaintiff’s partner company, Reed Elsevier, runs exhibitions and publishes a lot of content, including Interior Design, Dito Hanley-Wood with its global exhibitions division, Architect magazine, and ArchitectTV. What does it all mean for architects? At the very least, it’ll tell you just how hot it’s going to be at the Miami AIA convention next June.

SEND WIRETAPS AND SEARCH WARRANTS TO SHARITYPAPER.COM

FOSTER, FINALLY continued from front page of the Carlyle Hotel across the street and, most importantly, the Landmarks Preservation Commission, which first turned down the proposal in January 2007. A year and a half later, Foster returned with a vastly different proposal, swapping the rounded glass tower for a five-story, bronze-clad box nearly the exact proportions of the building’s height. Versions of that plan were debated on two separate occasions, but on October 13, after the further elimination of one story and certain important cosmetic changes, the project finally won approval by a vote of 7–1.

“This is vastly improved, strikingly contemporary,” commission chair Robert Tierney said. “Together you’ll see them as separate but also part of an ensemble.”

Not only did the designers reduce the height of the overall structure to nine stories from ten, but it cost them 20,000 square feet, for a total of 123,000 square feet. And while the height of the building has been lowered nine feet, the street wall has been reduced further, by four feet, because a glass railing surrounding the penthouse terraces has been set back and will no longer be visible from the street.

Additional changes have also been made to the aluminum scrim that encases the building. The color of the anodized aluminum rods has now been darkened to a “mid-tone” bronze. Originally, it was “terra cotta” bronze, before being lightened last July to “cham-

gagne,” which was meant to be closer to the limestone below. Now, it falls somewhere in the middle.

To lighten the building’s appearance and massing, the space between the aluminum rods has been doubled and the glass facade behind them has been pushed back, winning wide support from the commission. Finally, the corners have been rounded off, a nod to the original look. The developer reiterated his commitment to fully restore the Parke-Bernet Building, which suffered an unignorably fifth-story addition decades ago. Roberta Brandes Gratz, the lone dissenting vote, maintained that nothing as large as the Parke-Bernet Building should be built atop it. “There were features I liked,” she said of the new design. “If at the proper scale, and set back considerably, I could have found it appropriate. But this was too much mass.”

Tierney noted that the building had been scaled down considerably, and praised the collaboration between the commission and the developer in getting it to that point. “It’s a very close question that requires discretion and judgment,” he said. “That’s why I think the process here is so important.”

As for preservationists, they seemed happy at least that the process was finally over. “Aby Rosen was determined to build in the context of the color of this building,” said Nadège Adams, a preservation associate at the Historic Districts Council. “At least it’s not as bad as before.”

For an establishment that focuses on hot coffee in the winter and frozen yogurt in the summer, a nimble design that makes it equally inviting in both seasons is a plus. That was the challenge faced by the designers for Recess, a café that opened this summer at the corner of University Place and 10th Street. Scalar Architecture built their strategy around the goal of allowing as much flexibility as possible while still looking non-generic. “We didn’t want the space to be a neutral box,” said Julio Salcedo, founding partner of scalar. He molded the café’s lower walls, counter, floor, and furniture out of the renewable bamboo blend PlyBoo, whose light and dark striations maximize the design’s aesthetic range. “By being able to play up the dark or light of the material, we have the upper hand in terms of being able to transform it,” Salcedo said. LED lights on the ceiling can be programmed depending on season and time of day, creating a cool, refreshing atmosphere conducive to selling smoothies in summer, or a warmer vibe for winter. A fabric made of recycled polymers drapes down from the ceiling, dampening sound and partially covering the café’s windows, lending an air of mystery from the street.

The café’s interior delivers satisfyingly on that promise with offbeat angles, including walls that tilt to allow customers to lean against them while they sip their drinks—in a non-generic way, of course.

JULIA GALET

ARCHITECTURAL EXPERIENCE, THINK TRESPA

Trespa’s Inspirational Design Centre in SoHo. Located at 62 Greene Street, the Trespa Design Centre is a unique experience showcasing Trespa’s ingenious building concepts and other Dutch design. The Centre is an innovative space for meeting, learning and networking. TDC is open weekdays from 10 to 5. View upcoming events at www.trespa.com/newsevents/events.
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In early October, the Barnes Foundation unveiled designs for its new building on the Benjamin Franklin Parkway in Philadelphia. The Tod Williams Billie Tsien design attempts to balance the institution’s intimate visitor experience and distinctive gallery hanging while presenting a more open, public face. Opponents, including most prominently Robert Venturi, continue to argue that the foundation should stay in its Paul Philippe Cret–designed home in suburban Merion.

Williams and Tsien describe the new design as a gallery in a garden, and the firm is working closely with OLIN on the landscape design. They have replicated the proportions and arrangement of the original galleries, and are adding a sequence of new spaces including a cafe, bookstore, auditorium, and new contemplative spaces. “We want it to feel like a more personalized experience,” Tsien said. “It shouldn’t feel like the Metropolitan. It should be more like coming into someone’s home.” The Foundation will continue to admit a restricted number of viewers into the gallery, though it is increasing that number as well as extending viewing hours. "The design encapsulates our goals perfectly," said Derek Gillman, director of the Barnes. "The architects were given a not uncompli- cated brief."

The institution is known for its remarkable collection of Impressionist, Post-Impressionist, and Modern works, which founder Albert Barnes hung in eccentric salon-style arrangements that include African masks, wrought iron hinges, and other artifacts. Barnes built the institution as a teaching facility, hoping to draw average people into art appreciation. The Cret building, which boasts bas-reliefs by Jacques Lipchitz, ceiling paintings by Henri Matisse, and tile work with African designs by the Enfield Pottery Works, sits on the grounds of a landscaped arboretum that is used for horticulture classes. According to Gillman, the Cret building will be retained as a study center for the Barnes archives, which includes correspondence from many of the artists in the collection; the horticulture classes will also remain on site. Opponents continue to argue that moving the collection to a new building will destroy the atmosphere of one of the world’s most unique art collections. "It’s like discussing what kind of mustache you are going to draw on the Mona Lisa," said Evelyn Yary, a member of the Friends of the Barnes. "The [current] building and site design are an integral part of the collection, and vice versa. Separating them vastly diminishes the value and purpose of both," he wrote. Venturi and the Friends of the Barnes also object to Governor Rendell’s pledge of $30 million in state funding for the project. A new documentary condemning the move and the politics behind it, The Art of the Steal, recently garnered praise at the New York Film Festival and will be released in theaters at the beginning of the New Year.

The Foundation and the architects believe the public will benefit from the move. Tsien comments that the Merion location is inaccessible to many potential viewers. "I am entirely comfortable with the ethics of this project," she said. "The programmatic goal is to create a place where more people can see works of art that were originally collected and presented as a kind of democratic action.” Fundraising is more than three quarters done, according to Gillman. The $200 million project is expected to be complete in late 2011.

ALAN G. BRAKE

Fraudulent test reporting has stalled 90 NYC projects as authorities attempt to determine whether structural strength has been compromised. Delays are costing owners and contractors their time, and reputations. Don’t sacrifice yours.
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Strength testing is serious business for steel. It should be for every material.

Central Park Conservancy Remakes Pioneering Playgrounds

Adventure Central

Being a kid—or at least a playground designer—was a lot more fun in the 1970s, before the advent of telephone-book-thick ASTM standards, not to mention things like critical fall heights, head-entrapment guidelines, and the virtual outlawing of sand. "It's almost like a police state, what you can and cannot do in a playground," said Paul Friedberg, the landscape architect who created some of New York's most innovative play spaces. "The freedom that we once had is just completely gone."

But vestiges of that freewheeling age can be found in Central Park, where the spirit of adventure thrives thanks to restorations this summer of two pioneering playgrounds. In overhauling these spaces to meet modern safety and accessibility needs, the Central Park Conservancy has shown that safety and rambunctiousness can still coexist.

Designed by Richard Dattner in 1972, Ancient Playground was one of 21 Robert Moses-era playgrounds installed around the park's perimeter. In the late 1960s, these spaces began to be remade in the style of postwar Europe's adventure playgrounds, where children molded their environments out of bricks, timber, and tires. Dattner themed his space on the Egyptian collection at the Metropolitan Museum of Art, just across a transverse road at West 84th Street. "I thought it would be wonderful to teach kids something of ancient construction—the pyramids, obelisks, mastabas, and so forth—and relate in a kids' scale to what was across the street," Dattner said.

The central challenge of the renovation—the fourth of Dattner's playgrounds to be reconstructed in the park—was to create a required clear space around the main playground elements. Among other changes, the conservancy's designers created a regulation tire swing that mimics the original design, while increasing the size of Dattner's tunnels for better visibility. Since sand is not considered an accessible surface material, designers used safety surfacing that matched the spirit of the original.

The second playground, at West 100th Street, took its adventure-style form in 1972 to designs by Ross, Ryan, Jacquette Architects. The curving bridge, climbing cone, and water-spray feature have been restored, with the addition of complementary new equipment and resilient carpeting. A tree house was built around several mature trees, which were sadly removed after suffering damage during the August 18 storm. (The tree house remains.)

These respectful restorations are the latest sign that, 40 years later, adventure play is back. "In the 1970s, adventure playgrounds pushed the limits of demanding, physical play," said Christopher Nolan, the conservancy's vice president for capital projects. "We've been able to preserve the innovations that those playgrounds represented." The two spaces join other playgrounds of this style, like the Rockwell Group's Imagination Playground in Lower Manhattan, due to open next year, with a kit of loose parts that kids can use under the supervision of "play associates."

Dattner, who consulted pro bono on his playground's redesign, regards this latest generation of play spaces with a certain bemusement. "Much of my knowledge of the value of play has really been from the observation of kids playing with junk in the gutter," he said. "The two major materials are sand and water. The rest is extra."

JEFF BYLES

Above: Ancient Playground; Below: West 100th Street Playground

© Central Park Conservancy
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85 percent of residents live in rent-regulated buildings. In fact, the New York City Economic Development Corporation (EDC) has targeted the corner of South Street and the FDR Drive for a $55,000-square-foot home to Basketball City, the private concern for up-market leagues and special events. The coalition proposes three alternatives, including a $55 million scheme with public courts, a floating pool, open space, and a community center. Anne Frederick of the Hester Street Collaborative said the most realistic course entails some private use by Basketball City or another vendor. At the presentation, Congresswoman Nydia Velázquez huddled with organizers before the event to promise “some money” toward the project cost and spoke forcefully about its rationale. “On the West Side, nobody would tell the community: You can have a nice park but it has to be self-sustaining,” the congresswoman said. She proposed a meeting among “public officials, the community, and the EDC” to tweak the plans. The coalition’s preferred plan would demolish all buildings and establish a range of recreation options, including a filtered “river pool” and ramps for putting in kayaks. A recreation center would host leagues, children’s supervised play, and games popular with older Chinatown residents. As the presentation showed, the city’s promise of $138 million in funds from the Lower Manhattan Development Corporation has wavered since it released a plan in 2005: Pier 42 has no budget, the Pier 36 home for a gym needs structural repair, and Pier 35 has funds to create a “green wall” obscuring the maintenance shed that Basketball City would replace. Basketball City’s representatives did not speak at the meeting, but the organization knows local politics, having discovered the East River waterfront offering instead more bars and restaurants. The proposal argues that the city’s plan to develop piers 35, 36, and 42 on the stretch of East River waterfront north of the Manhattan Bridge shortchanges a neighborhood where nearly 85 percent of residents live near the Hudson River in the development of Chelsea Piers. It won the site as part of the settlement of an unrelated lawsuit after answering a city request for proposals in 1996. Negotiations with the city will continue this month.

The coalition’s preferred plan would demolish all buildings and establish a range of recreation options, including a filtered “river pool” and ramps for putting in kayaks. A recreation center would host leagues, children’s supervised play, and games popular with older Chinatown residents.
Consider this paradox: The Washington, D.C. region has some of the nation’s worst traffic—but according to the latest American Community Survey, it also has the sixth highest rate of bicycle commuting, with some 87,500 people relying on bikes as their primary means of transportation.

In early October, the D.C. government took a big step forward when it opened the first publicly accessible, stand-alone bicycle storage and rental facility on the East Coast. The $4 million Bicycle Transit Center is neatly wedged on a traffic island between two Daniel Burnham masterpieces, the National Postal Museum and Union Station. The facility offers storage for 150 bikes, changing rooms, and a rental and repair space. Riders can park their bikes at the facility for $1 a day and have access between 7 a.m. and 7 p.m.; for $100 a year, they get 24-hour access.

“I really see the bike station almost as a monument to bicycling and one that shows that bicycling is here to stay in D.C.,” said Eric Gilliland, executive director of the Washington Area Bicyclist Association.

Just as striking as its range of services is its design: The fritted glass walls are draped with tensioned 80-foot steel tubes, which bow outward from concrete bases at either end, drawing comparisons to half a football, a bicycle helmet, and Captain Nemo’s submarine.

“The plan is somewhat dictated by traffic patterns, which gave us the need to taper it at the north end and the south, where we wanted to minimize sight lines,” said Don Paine, principal at KGP Design Studio, which designed the center (80 percent of which was federally funded).

But aesthetics were important as well; the client required a design that was at once iconic but not intrusive to its Beaux-Arts surroundings.

“It was important that we did something to complement the buildings,” said James Sebastian, manager for the city’s Bicycle and Pedestrian Program. “We couldn’t just have a shed.”

The 1,700-square-foot facility is operated under a lease by Bikestation, a Long Beach, California–based firm. Bikestation also operates similar facilities in Seattle, Santa Barbara, Palo Alto, and Long Beach.

The station is part of a larger pro-cycling strategy for the region. There are already 40 miles of bike lanes in Washington and over 100 miles of dedicated bike trails around the city, with another dedicated bike trail underway to link the Capitol Hill area with the Maryland suburbs. Washington is also planning to expand its SmartBike program, in which riders can rent bicycles from racks set up around the city.

Though it’s too early for reliable statistics on usage at the transit center, Sebastian said he’s been inundated with anecdotal success stories. “There’s a lot of walk-up interest,” he said. “I was there the other day and a family who didn’t know anything about it came in, and by the time I left they had all rented bikes.”

CLAY RISEN
TWICE AS SMELLY continued from front page

consent order compelling the city to come into compliance. But it was only this October that the mayor finally re-pledged the $150 million originally promised.

By once again undertaking these improvements, the mayor hopes to stave off impending superfund designation that both he and some local businesses see as a threat to hoped-for development. “This is the beginning of a comprehensive cleanup that will be done much faster than three years of fighting through the Superfund process,” he declared.

But the promised improvements to sewage overflow have nothing to do with the toxic sediments in the canal that led the EPA to consider it for listing in April. The city’s own proposal to clean up those sediments has been questioned by environmentalists, scientists, and even the Army Corps of Engineers, the city’s partner in the program.

Joshua Verleun, a staff attorney for the environmental group Riverkeeper, noted that major wastewater treatment projects are always good news, “but to lump it in with Superfund is misleading—they’re two different things,” he said. “Both from a legal perspective and an advocacy perspective, Superfund really is the best way to clean up the canal and it’s what the people in the community want and deserve.”

The mayor was steadfast in maintaining that his plan had more money and would be more efficient: “There is no Superfund, it’s a misnomer.” However, according to the EPA, its Superfund remediation budget is in excess of $1 billion every year. Region 2, which covers New York, New Jersey, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands, receives between $80-$100 million per year, and was closer to $250 million this year thanks to stimulus funding.

By comparison, the city’s plan counts on the Army Corps of Engineers to tap the Water Resource Development Act for funds to clean the canal, of which there is only $50 million available each year for the entire country. The cleanup of the canal is expected to cost between $250 million and $400 million, making the mayor’s nine- to ten-year estimate seem exceedingly optimistic.

The city’s plan seeks to bring local stakeholders to the table to pay the cost of cleaning up after the pollution. Unlike Superfund, which uses detailed investigations to identify responsible parties and compels them to pay for cleanup through legal means, the city’s program would be voluntary. The city is hoping those local businesses and developers would help pay for the cleanup to avoid the supposed stigma of Superfund listing. “That’s just bunk,” said Craig Hammerman, district manager of local Community Board 6.

How can you stigmatize a stigmatized area? The cleanup will destigmatize it, though, and that’s what we’re after.

Overall, the arguments against Superfund status seem meager compared with the need to clean up the site. One of those arguments is that it would take too long. But Walter Mudgan, director of Superfund Programs for Region 2, points out that of the more than 1,000 Superfund sites to date, no more than one or two have involved lengthy litigation to clean up.

Meanwhile, involving the Army Corps, as the city plans, could actually slow down the process by one or two years because the Corps would have to acquire permits for work that the EPA can do as of right. “I think the thing that’s important with the EPA is the legal power; the legal authority, which the Corps doesn’t have,” said Mark Luika, the Army Corps’ project manager on Gowanus restoration, adding that the “Superfund is a known quantity. Do I think we can do the work? Yes. But it’s never been done before.”

The Bloomberg team was dealt a blow on October 16, when Nydia Velázquez, the area’s congresswoman and a tireless supporter of the canal, announced her support for Superfund designation. “With nearly three decades of experience, the EPA has the expertise and resources to carry out a comprehensive remediation of these sites, creating a safe place for New Yorkers to live and work,” Velázquez said in a statement.

For Richard Plunz, director of the Urban Design Lab at Columbia’s Earth Institute, and who has done work on the Gowanus, the mayor’s reasoning is clear. “I am not so familiar with the details of the NYC alternative plan,” he wrote in an email. “But of course I understand that the city doesn’t want to hinder real estate investment in the short term with a more cumbersome (but effective) Superfund cleanup. This game is obvious to all.” MC
Before opening his office in 1995, Stephen Yablon worked in the offices of Gruzen Samton, I.M. Pei, and Gwathmey Siegel. While his modernist lineage is clearly visible in his work, Yablon is not a buttoned-up designer of austere boxes. “I wanted to work on my own terms,” he said. “I wanted to be able to try things on my own, succeed or fail.” He launched the firm without a project, and spent a year networking and building relationships, many of which he has since maintained through multiple commissions.

Two competition entries, both unbuilt, reveal a forward-looking sensibility. One, the first-place winner for a pavilion in Boston’s Harbor Park, calls for a glass cube with LED information screens suspended between a glazed double-skin. The other, a second-place entry for the headquarters of a real estate company in Northern England, called for a dramatically curved building clad in wooden louvers, to reduce heat gain and recall the surrounding forests.

Yablon’s ten-person firm uses simple forms in public projects with tight budgets, like the award-winning Betances Community Center in the Bronx, which presents a welcoming streetfront entrance to the public. On the light-filled interior, the precise detailing helps to elevate inexpensive materials and puts boxing at center stage. The firm was twice selected to participate in the Department of Design and Construction’s Excellence Program.

Yablon’s firm devised a perforated metal entrance wall that creates varying degrees of reflectivity and transparency. The metal punches evoke Columbia’s iconic quadrangle pattern, reflecting the images of the students while admitting light and edited views to office spaces behind. Wood veneers and colorful furniture will help to make the space warm and inviting.

Located in a landmarked McKim, Mead, and White building, this public clinic is meant to promote optimism and a spa-like atmosphere. Indirect light washes the walls and ceiling, and natural light is admitted through a resin wall, embedded with beads, wire, and thread by women whose lives have been impacted by HIV. The opposite side is mostly opaque, with narrow slit windows allowing breezes through the narrow building. Raised columns, the building provides shade for seating areas underneath.
Before its renovation this fall, the 14th Street YMHA Jewish community center had literally turned its back to the community: Its first floor comprised a storefront blocked by offices and a lobby that was little more than a cramped hallway with a front desk facing away from the entrance. Stripes of drab green and yellow, the result of a bare-bones renovation in the mid-1990s, had left it feeling dreary and institutional.

When Z-A Studio and Studio ST Architects teamed up to renovate the Y’s fitness center and locker rooms last June, their original mandate was quickly co-opted by an overwhelming demand from the Y’s staff and community leaders for a new lobby that could actually function as a public space. Completed last month, the final design relocates the main floor offices, triples the lobby’s size from 550 to 1,700 square feet, adds copious seating and an art exhibition space, and opens up the storefront to 14th Street.

The welcoming new lobby is the focal point of the firms’ holistic $1.2 million design strategy, which reorganized the Y’s main floor as a series of programmatic “bands,” said the architects, progressing deeper into the building: The lobby gives way to offices, which give way to the fitness center, then to the locker rooms, and finally to the pool. “As you move through the building you have to cut through all the different bands, to experience the multiplicity of the different spaces,” said Guy Zucker, principal and founder of Z-A Studio.

Vibrant swaths of color partition each area, serving as cues to different functions and defining spaces without adding physical barriers. In the lobby, dark blue tiles mark the lounge and light blue the cafe seating. In the fitness room, dark orange designates the weightlifting section; medium orange, the circulation area; and light orange, the cardiovascular machines. “It makes the space a little more interesting, since we were working with a simple rectilinear box, said Esther Sperber, principal and founder of Studio ST Architects. It’s also practical; thanks to the reorganization, the fitness center now holds 25 percent more equipment even though its total square footage remained constant. A cheap and innovative lighting strategy amplifies the Y’s playful vibe. In the fitness room, each color of flooring is paired with a different length of fluorescent lights directly overhead. The lobby is illuminated by a grid of off-the-shelf, circular fluorescent lights of varying diameters, with the smallest lights placed in the farthest corner. From the vantage point of the entrance, the result is a subtle optical illusion that elongates the lobby from end to end. Because its membership is predominantly Jewish, the Y asked Zucker and Sperber to incorporate an East-meets-West theme that would reflect Jewish diversity. “One thing that’s interesting about any Jewish community is its combination of ancient and modern sensibilities,” said Stephen Hazan Arnoff, the Y’s executive director. To reflect that blend, Zucker and Sperber accented the lobby’s clean modern aesthetic with imported tiles emblazoned with a classic, geometric Middle Eastern star pattern. Since construction began on June 13, the Y has remained open, attracting several hundred new members to their pre-existing base of 2,000. Seeing the lobby’s new public spaces fill with people almost immediately after completion, Arnoff said, “You can almost say the building was backwards and we turned it around and made it right.”
The Museum of the Moving Image was the first institution in the United States to focus solely on the art and history of film, television, and video. Since it first opened in 1988, the institution has operated out of a landmarked 1920s building in Astoria, Queens—Paramount Pictures’ East Coast production facilities. While the history of the 45,000-square-foot structure, not to mention the long span spaces called for by its original program, made it a perfect home for the museum—an artifact in and of itself—by the mid-2000s the institution’s attendance and collection had grown to the point that it was bursting at the seams. In desperate need of additional space, the museum hired Leeser Architecture to design a 37,000-square-foot expansion, renovate its existing interiors, and bring the whole project up to LEED Silver standards.

The expansion is sited along the back of the existing building, between 36th and 37th streets. The architects maintained the museum’s existing entrance on 36th Avenue, but moved the lobby to the new building, inserting a 50-foot-long video wall that will lead visitors there from the door. Behind the expansion is a new courtyard, where the museum will screen movies in the summer.

In addition to the lobby, the expansion houses a 264-seat theater with stadium seating, an education center, a café, and exhibition spaces, not to mention a cellar with mechanical rooms.

While the existing building boasts a monolithic, poured-in-place concrete structure, the expansion was framed in steel. The material proved to be the most economic choice and easier to detail considering the relatively complicated section: The theater rakes through the second floor, and the gallery on that floor steps down in elevation. A seismic joint separates the new and existing structures, and the architects inserted connection points between the two at all three levels and the cellar.

Inside and out, Leeser strove to give the museum a cutting-edge look. This desire drove the development of the expansion’s facade system. Rather than shear the volume in cladding that simply maxed out the zoning envelope, the architects decided to wrap the building in a skin that folds around the programmatic elements, expressing them on the exterior. They studied many options, finally arriving at a system of triangular solid plate aluminum panels. The triangular panels lent themselves to the folding scheme, enabling the team to tailor the wall exactly around the volumes on the interior. Nine of these 5-foot-8-inch equilateral triangles join together to form larger triangles. The pattern is described by varying gutter widths: two-inch gutters separate the mega triangles, while half-inch gutters separate the individual panels. The gutters give the surface a sense of depth, holding the panels off of the insulation and accentuating their thinness. The panels themselves are coated with a very light blue high-gloss paint that lends the wall the luminosity of a movie screen.

Leeser worked out the wall’s assembly with the project’s construction manager, Sciame. It begins with a backup wall of light grade steel studs—the same that are used in many veneer curtain wall applications—that connect to the building’s structure. The studs are covered with sheathing, a yellow drywall that provides the basis for water-proofing, which is spray-applied. A layer of insulation comes next, attached to the now watertight sheathing by a series of adjustable Z-clips. The Z-clips span the depth of the insulation and provide connection points for the gutter system. The gutters connect at each place where there are nodes in the triangular pattern—in other words, where the points of six triangles come together. Once the gutter system is up, the triangular panels simply clip into place. While gravity does most of the work, fixing screws provide additional mooring and allow the panels to be adjusted to account for construction tolerances.

The architects also added a new glass entry facade to the existing building, which serves as the museum’s signage. The system is basically a customized storefront, but the team found a company in Germany capable of applying a silvered microfilm coating to the insulated glass units in a controlled way to spell out the letters of the institution’s name—most manufacturers can only apply microfilms over entire lites of glass. In addition to letting the public know the name of the institution that the building houses, the reflective surface around the letters has a metaphorical significance: it creates a moving image of the people passing by on the street. At night, the letters, which are transparent, glow, telegraphing the name far and wide, or at least across the street.
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● Look for the RED button
Modern architecture has captured the public imagination, making fashionable magazine fodder of names like Paul Rudolph and Pierre Koenig, and spurring reverential awe toward masterpieces like the Mies van der Rohe–designed Farnsworth House and Philip Johnson’s Glass House. But admirers of America’s midcentury legacy may not be aware that across the nation, modernism’s other great body of work is going to seed. In some cases, literally.

Recognizing and saving icons of landscape design will be modernism’s next big battle, and the challenges are likely to be tougher than the rescue of buildings. Daniel Kiley, John Ormsbee Simonds, Hideo Sasaki, and Lawrence Halprin, who passed away on October 25, are not the all-stars that Mies, Alvar, Eero, and I.M. are. And living, land-made designs, by nature more subject to the vagaries of change than the fixities of structure, are harder to defend and easier to destroy.

No one talked about knocking Eero Saarinen’s Beaumont Theater flat during the recent rehabilitation of Lincoln Center, but its companion piece—Kiley’s plaza, which offered theater-goers a sweeping stroll of London Plane trees and Schwedler maples—was sliced, diced, and decimated by half. There’s a restaurant there now: Ca-ching.

“Often neglected, deemed passé, or in the way of development—and, sometimes, frankly a flop—America’s modernist landscapes are under siege. But the movement to bring these pioneering works into the preservation fold is getting under way, and not a season too soon,” William L. Hamilton told Charles Birnbaum, founder and president of the Cultural Landscape Foundation, and others about the uphill challenges.
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Hoping to expand and update it, Birnbaum said of a project's recognition, "It's still a hard sell." French works with Birnbaum, who advises the Trust. Her newest worry is not outdoor swimming pools like the Lawrence Halprin design for Charlottesville, Virginia's Main Street—a notorious mid-'70s flop that killed businesses downtown and was finally righted 30 years later by a renovation that Halprin complained about—but the original wave of indoor malls, which French called "endangered.

Landscape, distinct from architecture, has its own set of preservation difficulties. Maintenance costs can be inordinately high for municipalities; liability can plague them too. In 2004, four people drowned in the steeply terraced Active Pool at Fort Worth Water Gardens Park, designed by Philip Johnson and John Burgee, when a child fell in and three people, including her father and brother, tried to save her. Suction pumps recycling its cascading waterfalls pulled them under. The pool was closed and reopened three years later, its depth changed from nine to two feet and warning signs posted to prohibit swimming.

Facing troubled public spaces, some cities have scrubbed the slate clean again rather than renovate or revive. In 2003, Denver scrapped Skyline Park, the complex's newest owners called in Skidmore Owings & Merrill to redesign Parkmerced, and in the process touched off a tug-of-war typical of aging landscapes under threat from new development. Craig Hartman, the respected SOM architect leading the project, has acknowledged the historical importance of its designs, but current plans to raze and revamp some 116 acres of Church's distinctive, pie-shaped blocks and carefully planted grounds have, the foundation reported, made "even the seasoned professionals gasp."

The legacy of modern landscapes is particularly complex. There are uncontested masterworks like Dan Kiley's garden for Joseph Irwin Miller in Columbus, and fellow preservationists aim to celebrate.

“We get that all the time,” said Christine Madrid French, the National Trust for Historic Preservation’s new director of the Modernism and Recent Past Program, of unsympathetic reaction to contemporary landscape preservation. "It's still a hard sell." French works with Birnbaum, who advises the Trust. Her newest worry is not outdoor swimming pools like the Lawrence Halprin design for Charlottesville, Virginia's Main Street—a notorious mid-'70s flop that killed businesses downtown and was finally righted 30 years later by a renovation that Halprin complained about—but the original wave of indoor malls, which French called "endangered.

Landscape, distinct from architecture, has its own set of preservation difficulties. Maintenance costs can be inordinately high for municipalities; liability can plague them too. In 2004, four people drowned in the steeply terraced Active Pool at Fort Worth Water Gardens Park, designed by Philip Johnson and John Burgee, when a child fell in and three people, including her father and brother, tried to save her. Suction pumps recycling its cascading waterfalls pulled them under. The pool was closed and reopened three years later, its depth changed from nine to two feet and warning signs posted to prohibit swimming.

Facing troubled public spaces, some cities have scrubbed the slate clean again rather than renovate or revive. In 2003, Denver scrapped Skyline Park,
Another Halprin design—an act Birnbaum likened to the destruction of New York’s Pennsylvania Station. The park’s three-block-long, canyon-like promenade carved a much-needed ribbon of open space into the city’s downtown when it opened in 1973. Three decades later, its fountains dry and trash-strewn, its grass replaced with wood chips, the site was turned over to Thomas Balsley Associates for a redo.

Even relatively successful spaces can meet indifference, like the lack of regard for Kiley’s design at Lincoln Center. Similarly, at the Kimball Museum in Fort Worth, there is talk of development in the spacious setting that surrounds the Louis Kahn building, Birnbaum said. In an arts-administrative age of “programming,” where everything must be a visitation magnet of some kind, contemplative spaces like the Kimball’s green outdoor “galleries” of lawn, hedge, tree canopy, skylight, and shade could become an institutional extravagance. Even Frederick Law Olmsted—landscape’s Our Lord the Father—is under siege in Rochester, New York, where there is talk of new building in the Olmsted-designed park system.

The Cultural Landscape Foundation is not alone in its work. In addition to the National Trust, others like the Garden Conservancy in Cold Spring, New York have lobbied for sites including the prison gardens on Alcatraz Island in San Francisco Bay—a revelatory cultural landscape in its own right—and the Chase Garden in Ovington, Washington, considered a landmark of modernist garden design in the Pacific Northwest. In addition, the Library of American Landscape History in Amherst, Massachusetts is a strong advocate, with publications and touring exhibitions.

Landscape preservation is still relatively new, and scholarship on landscape design recent. As Laurie Olin told the audience at the New York Botanical Garden, when he started college at the University of Washington in Seattle in 1956, he had never heard of landscape architecture. When he got interested in it, there were few books his teacher, Richard Haag, could direct him to in the school’s library. “The story of the history of America’s built environment was all around us and almost completely unwritten,” he said.

Now, with cocktail-party talk about “infrastructure,” and television series and books like Ken Burns’ dramatization of the creation of the National Park system, much has changed. The Cultural Landscape Foundation’s definition of what constitutes a defensible landscape now includes cemeteries, industrial sites, farm-ands, and college campuses, in addition to the estates, parks, and public plazas that galvanized its first round of awareness.

It was Haag, in fact, who helped write a prescient chapter in recent landscape history with his design of Gas Works Park in Seattle, opened to the public in 1975. The park and its buildings—a Seattle Gas Light Company plant that ceased production decades earlier—were a pioneering example of brownfield reclamation and recreational reuse of an industrial site. With “cleaning and greening” that included bio-phyto-remedia-tion and a coat of bright paint, the plant’s exhauster-compressors became play barns, while boiler houses became picnic groves.

At the High Line in New York, rusted railway tracks again proved transporting, but this time to destinations inconceivable when they were laid. Such successes of landscape design, unarguably popular, appropriate to the past and pertinent to the future, may be the most eloquent voices in the debate of what to cherish culturally, why, and how.

William L. Hamilton is a New York-based journalist who writes on landscape and design.
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SATURDAY 7 SYMPOSIUM
Arrested Development: Do Megaprojects Have a Future?
9:30 a.m.
The Cooper Union Great Hall
7 East 7th St.
www.ifud.org

EXHIBITION OPENING
Sun Xun
Max Protetch Gallery
511 West 22nd St.
www.maxprotetch.com

WITH THE KIDS
Urs Fischer: Mesmerizing Environments
10:00 a.m.
New Museum
www.newmuseum.org

SUNDAY 8 EXHIBITION OPENING
Bauhaus 1919–1933: Workshops for Modernity
11 West 33rd St.
www.moma.org

MONDAY 9 LECTURES
Alan Berger, Kenneth Frampton, and Mahaveer Raman
After BiGness:
Buffalo/Brooklyn—Designing for Post Crisis Cities
6:30 p.m.
Columbia GSAPP
Wood Auditorium
www.arch.columbia.edu

Tod Williams and Billie Tsien
Barnes Foundation Design Presentation
7:00 p.m.
The Cooper Union Great Hall
7 East 7th St.
www.archiegua.org

Camilo Jose Vergara
Stockton Churches
6:30 p.m.
National Building Museum
401 F St. NW
Washington, D.C.
www.nbm.org

EVENT
The Type Is It Bold
6:30 p.m.
Galapagos Art Space
16 Main Street
Brooklyn
www.galapagos.com

EUROPEAN OPENINGS
Vittorio Lampugnani
Towards a New Discipline of Urban Design
6:30 p.m.
Harvard Graduate School of Design
48 Quincy St., Cambridge
www.gsd.harvard.edu

EVENT
William F. Baker and Ross Wimer
SOM in Shanghai:
Jin Mao and Beyond
6:30 p.m.
The William and Arista Newman Vertical Campus
Bard College
259 Lexington Ave.
www.skyscraper.org

SATURDAY 14 KIDS
Cast Iron Architecture in Boho
6:00 p.m.
Center for Architecture
536 LaGuardia Pl.
www.airy.org

Snakes and Ladders
2:00 p.m.
Robin Museum of Art
150 West 17th St.
www.rmanyc.org

SUNDAY 15 EXHIBITION OPENING
Alias Man Ray
The Art of Reinvention
Jewish Museum
1109 Fifth Ave.
www.thejewishmuseum.org

MONDAY 16 LECTURES
Illustrated Lecture with
Mitchell Owens
6:30 p.m.
The Church of the Resurrection
15 East 74th St.
www.classicist.org

Gordon Hood and Neil MacFarquhar
New Universities in Libya
6:30 p.m.
NYU Abu Dhabi/NYC
19 Washington Square North
nyud.nyu.edu

TUESDAY 17 LECTURE
Pablo Alaya and David See
Petersen’s Industrial History and the youngest National Park:
The Making of a Monument to Making
6:30 p.m.
General Society of Mechanics
and Tradesmen
20 West 44th St.
www.gsmorg.org

SYMPOSIUM
Eva Zeisel and Barbara Tober
Visionaries! 2009
7:00 p.m.
Metropolitan Museum of Art
1000 5th Ave.
www.metmuseum.org

EXHIBITION OPENINGS
With the Kids
ONLY IN NEW YORK: LATIN/AMERICAN ARTISTS IN THE MODERN METROPOLIS
El Museo del Barrio
1230 5th Avenue
Through February 28, 2010

Nexus New York: Latin American Artists in the Modern Metropolis, the ambitious group exhibition in El Museo del Barrio’s new home, looks back at the heydays of American realism, expressionism, cubism, and Dada, discovering a vibrant Latin American presence. Carefully chosen pairings among over 200 works of art reveal a rich dialogue among Latin American artists in New York City in the first half of the twentieth century. For instance, there’s Marius de Zayas, whose mathematical symbolism in works like Alfred Stieglitz (1914, above right) fed the mechanical obsessions of Francis Picabia in his, C’est la vie Stieglitz, Poi et Amour (1915, above left). Exchanges between American and Latin modernists left their mark on both groups. Abstract expressionists such as Adolph Gottlieb, for instance, owe much to Uruguayan painter Joaquin Torres-García and his blocky, stylized “con- structivist” paintings, a debt that becomes obvious when the curators hang the men’s work side by side. Artistic inspiration flowed in both directions; the gritty Astor realism flourishing in New York inspired painter Celeste Wossy Gills’s choice of tobacco vendors and nude black and mulatto women as subjects, a radical break from tradition in her native Dominican Republic. An accompanying collection of period photographs, poems, letters, original magazine zines, and books helps track the ongoing conversation among Latin and American artists and its role in forging the avant-garde movement in the United States.

Irish-born artist John Gerrard’s keen eye captures bleak and arresting vistas in the rural American landscape, but the photographs that result are only the beginning of his creative process. In the Hirshhorn Museum’s Directions, a reprisal of Gerrard’s acclaimed show at this year’s Venice Biennale, the artist uses 3-D game design software to spin the static images into monumental, animated scenes that unfold in real time before the viewer’s eyes. In Sentry (Kitt Caron, Colorado) (2009, above), a lone red derrick repeatedly stoops to extract oil from the ground, with the play of light programmed precisely according to the actual positions of the sun and earth in any given moment. Elsewhere, Gerrard’s extrapolation is less deterministic and more random, as in Dust Storm (Dalhart, Texas) (2007) a digital reconstruction of a 1935 photograph of “Black Sunday.” Within a hyperscale landscape synthesized from hundreds of the artist’s own photos of a ten-square-mile patch outside of Dalhart, Texas, a virtual dust storm rolls in an unpredictable and constantly fluctuating pattern. Each of Gerrard’s visions stands readily on its own, but together they compose a quietly powerful commentary on the combative relationship between America’s land and the society that strives to exploit it.
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The Price of Fitting In

The title of the AIANY’s exhibition on new architecture in historic districts, Context/Contrast, suggests opposition between two approaches to preservation. So does the wall quote from Brooklyn Heights preservation advocate Otis Pratt Pearsall: “I do not subscribe to the idea that any building that is not offensive is appropriate.” This exhibition is intended to showcase the work of the Landmarks Preservation Commission since 1965, and to ask how the Commission’s charge of ensuring “appropriate” new architecture...has allowed neighborhoods to evolve without endangering their essential character.” But to travel through time in New York’s first historic district, Brooklyn Heights, along with four other districts, is to course through changing fashions in preservation, from high contrast to contextual invisibility, from tweaking tradition to adopting only its base material. There’s an incredibly motley assortment of responses to that charge, as the commission, architecture, and the definition of “appropriateness” have all changed over time.

To be able to survey the field, and to try to decide for yourself which approach works where, is a terrific opportunity. I only wish this exhibition had embraced its inherently controversial nature instead of trying to smooth it over. The projects presented are all described as successes (with a few rough drafts shown as failures), but there’s no sense of self-analysis or of irony. That’s not the way of AIANY or of the Landmarks Preservation Commission, both exhibit sponsors, despite the fact that preservation in the 21st century has so many ironies to explore. Don’t expect to find them here. Tucked into the stairwell, for example, is a placard telling the tale of Marcel Breuer’s proposed tower over Grand Central and the 1978 Supreme Court decision that saved the station. No reference is made either to the destruction of the original Penn Station in 1963, or (more fun) to all the architects who have failed to build towers over Breuer’s own Whitney.

Context/Contrast is divided into five sections, each one focused on a different district. Brooklyn Heights and the Upper East Side start the show on the Center for Architecture’s first floor. South Street Seaport, Douglastown, and Soho are sequestered downstairs. A shelf running along the wall above paper the walls, nicely setting the scene. The handsome design is by Moorehead & Moorehead (exhibition) and PS New York (graphics). Starting with the oldies allows the show to put on a happy, noncontroversial face: No failures are shown here; each architect’s approach, by and large, is rigorously contextual. When you look at the image of Platt Byard Dovell’s 47 East 91st Street (the building Woody Allen railed against) it is hard to tell what there could be considered new. There are contemporary articles about the projects (some negative) in binders for your perusal, but they aren’t integrated into the show. You won’t have a problem spotting the new in the Soho section. Jean Nouvel and Aldo Rossi, these are architects of contrast worth arguing about. Next to Soho is a sort of grab-bag wall of other projects of interest under the rubric “The Architecture of Appropriateness,” and these too include way more contemporary reinterpretations than most of the work more prominently featured, as if curator Rachel Carley realized too late that things were looking traditional. In the Soho section, they document humanity’s vast and troubling hunger for energy and the unremitting consumption of the landscape necessary to feed it.

Free of didactic wall texts, the Chelsea exhibition showcases Burtynsky’s more artful and abstract compositions. He has said that he wants his work to elicit feelings of attraction and repulsion in the viewer. With the exception of the dispiriting aerial photograph of Los Angeles, with a massive cloverleaf in its center and its sea of anonymous structures, most of the images in the Chelsea show attract the eye.

The extraction of natural resources takes a massive toll on the landscape, and in the hands of Canadian photographer Edward Burtynsky, makes for gorgeous and haunting photographs. Oil, the name of a large catalogue and exhibition at Washington, D.C.’s Corcoran Gallery and a small show at Hasted Hunt Kraeutler Gallery in Chelsea, includes images of drills, pumps, cars, planes, ribbons of highway, and the seemingly endless field of low-rise buildings that comprise Los Angeles. Taken individually, many of these photographs, shot with a Hasselblad 39 megapixel for aerals or a Linhof Technika for landscapes, are achingly beautiful. Collectively, they document humanity’s vast and troubling hunger for energy and the unremitting consumption of the landscape necessary to feed it.
The child is the father to the man, said Wordsworth, tracing the mysterious balance of invention and inheritance in the formation of character. This principle applies to Architecture at Full Scale, the handsome new monograph by Jacques Gubler about Jean Tschumi (1904–1962), whose name is today familiar through that of his son, sometime stararchitect Bernard Tschumi. “My father died on my 18th birthday,” Tschumi fils touchingly writes in the foreword. He describes the book as “a detective story, in which carefully laid-out clues lead us to another, fuller understanding of what architecture can be.”

The tactility of Bernard Tschumi’s own epochal work as part of the so-called Deconstructivist moment in architecture. As with many early modernists, the explicit mystery is the striking transformation, in Tschumi’s career, from the modernism of Le Corbusier to the deconstructivism of Frank Gehry, from the engineer to the artist. As with many early modernists, Tschumi is a part of the so-called Deconstructivist moment in architecture. With his work beyond the internecine complexities of the 1950s illuminates a complicated convergence of forces and feints as a generation of designers attempted to reconcile Beaux-Arts training with modern times—and get work. Thus the child is the father to the man, expressing the hope that life be bound each to each,” Wordsworth wrote on his face. We are not meant to see them. The everyday landscapes of the First World, and of North America in particular, are meant to see them. The vastness and variety of these places is overwhelming. The next section, called “The End of Oil,” includes decomposition of crude, both in Bangladesh, Malaysia, and Indonesia. The most important tanker hunks and pits in the end of the production and consumption, in places often never even imagined by most American SUV drivers or subdivision developers. In these portraits, Burtynsky subtly personalizes these landscapes at the end of the production process. This is an environmental message we have heard before. But Burtynsky succeeds in making us see the connections between seemingly disconnected landscapes and across the means of production and consumption, from gleaming pipelines sucking across green expanses of Alberta to offshore platforms in Azerbaijan. The complexities of untangling our interdependence on petroleum are daunting. Burtynsky shows us the urgency of taking up that task. CRITIC
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Jean Tschumi: Architecture at Full Scale

Jacques Gubler
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**A TERRIBLE BEAUTY** continued from page 23

Light and sky have a milky quality in many of his photographs, stony and spidery black silhouettes of tanks and fuselages, and dozens of old plane parts are seen on a diagonal, like minimalist sculpture. Most editions of the photos on the exhibition list were marked “sold out.” The Chelsea show of 17 photographs is only a hint of the breadth of Burtynsky’s project. The Corcoran exhibition and catalogue include over 200 photographs. This total view is much more grim. The vastness and variety of these places is overwhelming. Many of the photographs are decidedly messier than those in the library, including a quilt of crushed cars, rolling hills made of worn-out tires, dozens of old plane fuselages, and a glowing jumble of names from a highway strip: Sunoco, Taco Bell, Denny’s, Exxon. This last image is included in a section called “Transportation and Auto Culture,” the least interesting visually and the most politically pointed. Most of the images are American. Crowds at the Talladega Speedway and a trucker rally in Iowa creep into view, but the people are anonymous, seen from a distance.

The next section, called “The End of Oil,” includes decomposition of tankers cut into huge rusty pieces and murky pools of crude. Portraits of workers standing by enormous tanker hunks and pits of crude, both in Bangladesh, signal a shift from an Andreas Gursky-esque objectivity to the social documentary approach of Sebastião Salgado. These individuals stand with dignity, one man with a touch of amusement on his face. We are not meant to pity these workers. But we are meant to see them. The everyday landscapes of the First World, and of North America in particular, are made possible at an enormous environmental cost in places often never even imagined by most American SUV drivers or subdivision developers. In these portraits, Burtynsky subtly personalizes these landscapes at the end of the production process. This is an environmental message we have heard before. But Burtynsky succeeds in making us see the connections between seemingly disconnected landscapes and across the means of production and consumption, from gleaming pipelines sucking across green expanses of Alberta to offshore platforms in Azerbaijan. The complexities of untangling our interdependence on petroleum are daunting. Burtynsky shows us the urgency of taking up that task.
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FULTON STREET TRANSIT CENTER/RESTORATION OF THE HISTORICAL CORBIN BUILDING.


The work for this contract includes restoration and repair of the exterior brick & terra cotta facade, cleaning/repointing masonry, repair of facade cracks, new brown sandstone masonry work; removal, repair and select replacement/reinstall cast iron facade elements; repair and select replacement/reinstall cast iron facade elements; replacement of two existing elevators and new storefronts; installation of two new escalators. There will be a Pre-Proposal Conference on 11/12/09 at 1:00PM at 2 Broadway, Room C19/31, Manhattan. A Site Tour will be given on 11/2/09 at 10:00AM, at front entrance to the Corbin Building. Price for IFB: $350, Est. S Range: Over $10M. Funding: 100% FTA. Funding for the award of this contract is contingent upon identification of an approved Federal funding source. Document Availability: 10/19/09.

For additional information, please visit our website at: http://www.mta.info/nyct/procure/conrfp.htm
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Academic
Architecture
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Design
Engineering
Government
Real Estate/Developer
Other

JOB FUNCTION

Academic
Architect
Construction
Design
Engineering
Government
Real Estate/Developer
Other

FIRM INCOME

Under $500,000
$500,000 to $1 million
$1 to 2 million
$2 to 4 million
$4 to 6 million
$6 to 10 million
$10 to 15 million
$15 to 20 million
$20 to 40 million
$40 million to $50 million
$50 million to $100 million
$100 million to $500 million
Over $500 million

EMPLOYEES

1-4
5-9
10-19
20-49
50-99
100-499
500-999
1000-4999
5000 or more

Note the advertiser’s number

Click on our classified listing in the newspaper.

To learn more about products and services advertised in The Architect’s Newspaper, just note the advertiser’s number and log on to www.archpaper.com. Click on our Red Dot Product Finder, and you can easily search by number to get free information about the latest products, design professionals, business services, and more.
One recent afternoon, a City College student named Murraja led me to the top of Rafael Viñoly’s new Bernard and Anne Spitzer School of Architecture, showing off the amphitheater on the roof—the crown atop Viñoly’s radical redesign of an undistinguished 1950s library. Like the building’s interior—with its central atrium allowing pin-ups to be communal events—the rooftop expressed an emphasis on public space and sharing work in a larger context. Even in a driving rain, the saffron-yellow theater, with seats looking south toward the Manhattan skyline, had an exhilarating impact. As Murraja waited for me to run my circuit around the theater, I couldn’t help but think of the generation of Latin American architects who made bold moves under a big sky—Oscar Niemeyer, of course, but also Luis Barragán and, especially, João Vilanova Artigas.

Though Vilanova Artigas (1915–1985) had been a follower of Frank Lloyd Wright early in his career, he eventually embraced the Brutalist aesthetic of the so-called Brazilian Style epitomized by Niemeyer and Lucio Costa. For Artigas, architecture was a way to expose social disparity, not a forum for what he saw as pat responses to social problems. He treated homes as he treated schools and bus stations: open spaces defined by vast roofs and ramps for circulation, where the stress was put on communal living, not privacy. Last spring I went to see Vilanova Artigas’ Faculdade de Arquitetura e Urbanismo in São Paulo, built in 1968. I’d read about the FAU, as it’s called, and seen heroic photos of the building standing on its site like an arms-akimbo Superman, solitary and tough. But in real life, it was hidden by trees and vines that seemed ready to climb through rows of louvered windows behind its rough-hewn concrete shell. Like Viñoly’s school at City College, its centerpiece is an atrium, a massive space with a grand ramp leading up through the building. No matter where I stood, I could pivot and see everything: students studying, pinning up work for a review, even taking a dance class. (As in Viñoly’s school, where uncomfortably low ceilings plague parts of the studios, here the individual classrooms, all on the building’s periphery, were cramped and dark—a disdainful concession to the traditional school setup.) Sunlight filtered through skylights—now in dire need of repair—and angled in through the ground-floor entry, which is open to the outdoors. Despite its tough concrete, the FAU exuded a sense of lightness, a thrilling contradiction between material and effect. A communist like many of his colleagues, Artigas saw design as a form of evangelism. The educated class that would lead the coming revolution would cut its ties to consumerism, so his architecture was going to teach them a lesson in austerity. His protégés, founders of the Arquitetura Nova movement, would address Brazil’s class disparity in a very different way—conceiving well-made, inexpensive houses—but their education was informed by the FAU’s intoxicating atrium, where Artigas demonstrates his belief in the power of collaboration. Artigas was uniquely qualified to design the FAU. As the leading member of its faculty, he had created the school’s curriculum, a Bauhaus-style program that placed technical skills, history, and design on equal footing. The FAU was meant to embody that unity, and it does. Like the plaza underneath Lina Bo Bardi’s Museu de Arte de São Paulo, the FAU atrium was designed not only to provoke conversation among disciplines but to host large-scale political and cultural events. There is an archival photograph of the space filled with thousands of students—a shot that would have symbolized much to the military government in power at the time of the school’s inception. Will CCNY’s new building foster the kind of analysis of design and class provoked by the FAU? Certainly the circumstances are different, but in a public school with a student body far more diverse than most, Viñoly’s spur to interaction is an exciting start.

ANGELA STARITA WON A DEBORAH NORDEN GRANT FROM THE ARCHITECTURAL LEAGUE OF NEW YORK TO RESEARCH THE WORK OF LINA BO BARDI IN BRAZIL.
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