INNOVATION

Norman Foster and the Hearst Corporation complete an 80-year-old vision

The art and science of making new materials
Entering design's machine age

A SUPPLEMENT TO ARCHITECTURAL RECORD
Some things are impossible. Some simply haven’t been accomplished – yet.

Coming soon: the impossibly impressive Solarban® 70XL Solar Control low-E glass.

Architects have always demanded more from energy-saving flat glass. More solar control. More visible light. More of a clear glass appearance. The scientists at PPG have finally created a product that’s up to their standards.
New Solarban 70XL is the first vision glass that gives architects exactly what they want by delivering a previously impossible set of features. It blocks over 75% of total solar energy while delivering 63% visible light transmittance – and does it with the look of clear glass. After all, looks are still everything. For a Solarban 70XL sample and a white paper detailing the unbelievable energy savings it can bring to your next project, call 1-888-PPG-IDEA or visit www.ppgideascapes.com.
A series of noontime development strategies that preserve environment

Building for the 21st Century
A lunchtime lecture series exploring energy-efficient and economical new technologies and construction techniques

Liquid Stone: New Architecture in Concrete through January 29, 2006
Jewish Washington: Scrapbook of an American Community through January 8, 2006
Washington: Symbol & City long-term exhibition

Lectures
Spotlight on Design
An ongoing lecture series featuring architects and designers of distinction

Smart Growth
A series of noontime lectures exploring development strategies that preserve community character and protect the environment

DC Builds
An ongoing lecture series focusing on current architectural, planning, and public policy issues affecting Washington, D.C.

Building for the 21st Century
A lunchtime lecture series exploring energy-efficient and economical new technologies and construction techniques
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Jewish Washington: Scrapbook of an American Community through January 8, 2006
Washington: Symbol & City long-term exhibition

National building museum
401 F Street NW
Washington, DC 20001
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For more information and to register for programs, call or visit our website. Discounts for members and students.
The sophisticated and sustainable design of New York City's historic Hearst Tower will include new high-speed, high-performance Schindler 700® elevators. Delivering the highest levels of ride comfort and safety, these elevators will also feature Schindler's Miconic 10® - the most innovative elevator control system in the world.

The Hearst Tower joins other landmark buildings around the world that have selected the unique Schindler Miconic 10 destination dispatch system that improves efficiency by as much as 30% over conventional elevator systems.

Contact Schindler today to learn how we can apply the smartest solutions in vertical transportation to your building.

www.us.schindler.com
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If you need help or can help out with construction services or products in the Gulf Coast region, go to www.katrina.construction.com
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New Life for the 'Big Easy'

An international competition for new housing in New Orleans

Sponsored by Architectural Record and McGraw-Hill Construction in partnership with Tulane University School of Architecture

New Orleans. The Crescent City. Now, wounded by Hurricane Katrina—leaving in its wake widespread damage to homes, lives, and futures—needs your ‘hearts and minds’ to reassess, re-envision and redesign the region’s housing. To breathe new life and rebuild lives.

Architectural Record and McGraw-Hill Construction, in partnership with Tulane University School of Architecture, invite you to submit your ideas to help design the future of New Orleans. Participants in this competition will design housing for an actual block in the city of New Orleans. Winning designs will be published in Architectural Record and presented at the 2006 AIA Convention and Expo. Selected submissions will appear on McGraw-Hill Construction web sites.

Programmatic elements include:
- Single family housing
- Multi-family housing
- Mixed-use urban planning

Other programmatic elements:
- This competition encourages close attention to issues of sustainability, both in urban planning and architectural design.
- Contestants are encouraged to incorporate modular or prefabricated building products and processes wherever possible.

Important Note: While the competition welcomes visionary or hypothetical proposals, contestants are encouraged to consider that New Orleans faces a severe and immediate housing crisis, and is in need of practical, affordable solutions to this problem.

Competition Entry:
Go to www.architecturalrecord.com for submission requirements and more specific programmatic information. Competition specifics will be included in the competition packet. All entries must be received no later than March 1, 2006.
Toward a better future

By Robert Ivy, FAIA

At a time when the world has been besieged by natural calamities—earthquakes, hurricanes, and floods—as well as human-induced challenges, including global warming, war, and terrorism, it is easy to lose sight of our advances. Ironically, recent developments in technology point to enhanced capabilities for real improvements by architects and others who shape the built environment. At the same time that populations are devouring global resources, we are learning new methods of conserving energy, creating new categories of building materials, and discovering new means of construction.

In digital fabrication, for example, improved data-exchange standards and innovative technologies allow architects, fabricators, engineers, and researchers to find new manufacturing methods. Complex or compound forms can be described virtually in three dimensions, then translated into solid objects through a variant of robotics. In this growing field, the future has already arrived.

Contemporary structures often rely on materials to convey an important impression. Again, innovative results come when talented designers insist on products that must meet specific needs, for specific projects, functions, or for aesthetic effect. Increasingly we are witnessing the collaboration of the design and the research studio and the manufacturer, from Steven Holl and OMA to SensiTile. Together design and manufacturing are combining their resources to produce stunning new building products.

Innovation comes full circle at the new Hearst headquarters in New York City. Foster and Partners again has pulled off the nearly impossible: inserting a futuristic new structure within the Hearst Corporation’s existing Art Deco landmark on Manhattan’s west side. Salvaging the older building yielded a symbolic bonus, placing a contemporary statement within a familiar envelope. Yet the bold new structural system rising from the old represents strong, fresh thinking about business and its relationship to the contemporary city. The invisible supporting systems bear out the innovative philosophy.

As the Hearst headquarters demonstrates, we need to constantly devise new methods, new materials, and new places for our world. As our intellectual energies are pouring into improvements for the future, we are becoming better positioned to cope with and transcend the challenging present, including unexpected natural disasters. Ultimately, if we are smart, we can build a new world worth living in by enhancing the one we already have.

[Signature]
Swooping II, Caja de Burgos, Spain, 2001
Janet Echelman stands beneath one of her works in the 15th-century courtyard of Casa de Cordon.
Matching artistic vision with technical innovation, Janet Echelman offers new ideas for public art

Catching Eye of the Storm, Cambridge, Mass., 1999
A sculpture made of knitted stainless steel is suspended between buildings on Harvard’s campus.

By Diana Lind

Janet Echelman’s interdisciplinary art invites contradiction. Her body of work includes sculptural nets that dance whimsically in the air but whose choreography is the result of intense research and tedious calculations. Her projects, often large pieces of public art, have personal, human-scale titles such as She Changes or Wide Hips. Even her pedigree is not straightforward: Based in Boston and New York, she has a master’s of fine arts from Bard College and has been duly awarded with prizes and fellowships, but she can also count a master’s in psychology and two years as a concert pianist with the Florida Orchestra among her achievements.

But it’s precisely this crosscurrent of education and influences that makes Echelman’s art interesting. She first began using nets as a medium while in India on a Fulbright senior lectureship in 1997. She had planned to paint, but when the brushes and paints she’d sent separately never arrived, she took advantage of living in a seaside village. Walking along the shore at night, she noticed the fishing nets the area’s fishermen rolled up at the end of the day. Soft but strong, the nets could be shaped but were easily transported—and thus a sculptural medium was born.

True to form, Echelman doesn’t want to be perceived as limited to one type of project. In an interview with RECORD (see page 14), she was quick to assert that her repertoire extends beyond nets. “Collaborating with a site,” she adds, is a central part of her approach to each project, as are the “animating forces” of wind and water.

In addition, she often has a range of collaborators: architects, engineers, and lighting designers. For a new project, she would like to explore the realm where art and architecture collide: “I would like to work with an architect where we collaborate to make a seamless transition between a solid structure and a fluid sculptural membrane—to where the boundary between the building and the wind sculpture is blurred entirely.” If her past work is any indication, this collaboration will be anything but the expected.
She Changes, Porto, Portugal, 2005

Echelman’s most recent work measures 184 feet high by 492 feet square and alludes to nearby smokestacks, fishing nets, and Portuguese lace.
Target swooping down...bullseye!
Madrid, Spain, 2001
Located in an office building, the hand-knotted nylon lace net can be seen from every floor.

South India Project,
Coimbatore, India, 1998
For this project, which includes brick, Echelman collaborated with Hindu temple masons.
**Roadside Shrine I: Cone Ridge, Houston, 2000**

The sculpture was temporarily affixed to the underside of the interstate.

**Bellbottoms Series, India, 1998**

The series of temporary structures was created from bronze, silk, cotton, and steel; it also formed a traveling exhibition.
An artist’s mind at work

Architectural Record: What attracted you to working on large-scale art and having your work in the public domain?

Janet Echelman: Scale turns out to be central to my context. It’s not that bigger is better, it’s that my work is about creating an experiential interaction with the viewer. And because of that, [the art is] partly about letting we humans feel small in relation to a sculptural experience.

AR: How were you introduced to Tenara [the main material used in She Changes]?

JE: Once I had been hired for the commission in Portugal, I went in search of a material that would last in the elements, that would be colorfast, and that would not degrade when exposed to ultraviolet light. I wanted an adaptable, flexible material, because that’s what my work is about—strength through adaptation, or strength through responsiveness. The manufacturer of Tenara, W.L. Gore, has been collaborating with me with custom colors, which are extruded into the fiber. I’ve been very pleased with how it’s working.

AR: How much of your creative process do you devote to research—say, doing modeling with computers or prototypes—and how much do you leave to chance?

JE: Well, I try to leave nothing to chance, especially at this scale. We model and we find as many ways to double check as possible. For She Changes, we even created some proprietary computer software to model the net with its weight and shape in different wind directions and velocities to ensure that the sculpture would maintain its integrity in a hurricane, and also to ensure that we would get the kind of movement, the kind of wind choreography, that we want on an average day. I was hoping for a kind of gentle movement that was more like breathing, because I’m trying to make the nets almost like living, breathing structures.

AR: How do you feel your collaborators change or influence your approach to your work?

JE: In Portugal, the architect Eduardo Souto de Moura worked with me to design the ground plane that interacts with the piece. The concept was mine but he brought a lot to that process, including the lighting design. I think the outcome was better because of our collaboration.

I knew I wanted to put a team together for the 9/11 memorial in Hoboken, New Jersey, and working with such talented collaborators—in this case, Studio Gang—has really enriched the work. And engineers are as critical to me as they are to architects in terms of telling me what’s possible. On a personal level, it’s a lot more interesting to work with a team of smart people who bring different knowledge to a project.

AR: What projects are you working on now?

JE: I am working on a project for the city of Scottsdale, Arizona. They determined that they want landmark public art as opposed to many small pieces of integrated public sculpture.

At the same time we’re working very actively now on the 9/11 memorial in Hoboken. I just participated in an event on the fourth anniversary of 9/11, in which we began gathering narratives from members of the community. I’m gathering them in handwriting because I want the actual personal qualities to become part of the memorial.
Concrete has long been one of the most versatile and cost-effective building materials available for enduring beauty. Today, the established advantages for durability, workability and sustainability are more important than ever. And now, concrete can be used in even more creative and environmentally sound ways for a full spectrum of construction projects.

Scofield is reinventing concrete coloring and cementitious resurfacing materials for use indoors and out. We are continuously improving performance by creating systems that bring more than color to concrete construction. We’re engineering systems with controlled curing rates for extreme weather conditions and compatible stain-resistant treatments to repel spills and harsh environmental conditions. We are supplying new, beautiful fast-track cementitious overlays and resurfacing materials that are suitable for imprinting to replicate any texture or pattern imaginable. Sometimes the final placement can look like anything but concrete.

Just as we have since 1915, we are pioneering new concrete systems for enhancing the built environment by coloring, texturing and improving performance in architectural concrete.

Worldwide availability and support
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Light is everything.

**Light affects people.**
When the light is right, students learn more, employees are more productive, there are fewer health complaints and occupant comfort is increased.

**Light is expensive.**
Despite the fact that most lighting is energy-efficient, fluorescent lighting is still the primary source of energy consumption in most buildings.

**Light is daylight and electric light.**
Both sources should be in harmony to keep people comfortable and productive, address building energy usage, and promote green design.
Dimming ballasts for fluorescent lighting

2005 winner best of category
Award for Ballasts and Transformers

EcoSystem™
Light control that is simple and cost-effective.

Using the same basic components, build a system for a single lighting fixture in a single room, or for thousands of fixtures throughout an entire building.

Sensors and wallstations connect directly to any ballast — without interfaces or powerpacks — and share information with other ballasts throughout the system.

Over the life of the building, change or repurpose the space easily without costly rewiring.

Experience EcoSystem for yourself.
Call Lutron at 1.877.258.8766 ext 244, or visit www.lutron.com/ecosystem.

LUTRON
Lutron controls your light...
Over 450 design and construction industry leaders from all over the world attended the 2004 Global Construction Summit in Beijing. McGraw-Hill Construction and China International Contractors Association together will make the 2006 Global Construction Summit a must attend event for global design and construction leaders. Don't miss this unique opportunity to network with your peers and learn about new industry trends and developments.

To learn more about the program and register, visit www.construction.com/event/BeijingSummit/

For speaking opportunities, contact Minda Xu at minda_xu@mcgraw-hill.com

For sponsorship opportunities, contact David Johnson at dave_johnson@mcgraw-hill.com
INNOVATION

By pioneering new materials, processes, and systems, architects and their collaborators are designing new worlds that stretch their limits and engage all their capabilities.

By Deborah Snoonian, P.E.

In 2003, when Architectural Record produced its first Innovations supplement and conference, Philadelphia architects Stephen Kieran, FAIA, and James Timberlake, FAIA, were finishing their seminal book, Refabricating Architecture, on how modern-day manufacturing methods are (and should be) changing the way buildings are designed and constructed. Within the text, a single phrase in boldface type neatly sums up the book's call to action. "Choose your world," they tell us.

Those powerful three words have galvanized us, for this third supplement, to find people in design who have chosen worlds that break new ground—worlds that inspire and inform us. To varying degrees, creating these worlds necessitated a departure from the norms of business as usual. In today's practice, it is not "normal" for an architect to become deeply involved with a product manufacturer to formulate the chemistry for a new building material. Likewise, not every architect would decide to learn a complex software program so that he could oversee and control the fabrication of his designs. Certainly not every firm is capable of working iteratively with engineers and other collaborators to derive the most efficient systems for a flagship building—one that will stand within the footprint of another flagship building, no less. These examples, which appear in the following pages, bring to light the reality that mere vision is not enough.

Despite formidable odds and obstacles, these designers had the passion, intelligence, confidence, and energy to bring their worlds to life. Whether we like it or not, our society today is characterized by unparalleled choices and rapid-fire change. When faced with the uncertainties these realities create, many of us choose to retreat to our fiefdoms, clinging fast to the status quo rather than risk navigating uncertain waters with new partners or processes. Such a reactive posture, however, does little to advance the case for good architecture. The best designers of the future will welcome the opportunity to act as change agents and assimilators—able to draw ideas, knowledge, resources, and inspiration from a variety of fields and distill them into cohesive concepts, whether they're working at the scale of a light fixture, a furniture assembly, a building, even an entire city. "Choose your world," Kieran and Timberlake tell us. What world will you choose?
Part I: Collaboration

An Icon is Completed After 80 Years

Foster and Partners connects Hearst's past to its future.

By Sara Hart

In architecture and engineering, coordination and collaboration are essential functions, but the terms are not interchangeable. Coordination is quantifiable and rational. Architects and engineers coordinate their drawings; contractors coordinate the trades. Collaboration, on the other hand, is creative and often daring. Collaborators are allies, committed to a single vision. Successful collaboration can raise a building's stature to that of icon, as the public will see next year, when media giant Hearst moves into its new $500 million headquarters in midtown Manhattan. The collaborative efforts of hundreds of people—the client's delegates, architects, consultants, contractors, and tradespeople—will be evident in the building's steel and glass exoskeleton, expansive interior piazza, and state-of-the-art environmental initiatives. (See Innovations supplement, November 2004, page 46.)

Collaboration was necessitated to a large degree by the extenuating circumstances of a corporate history layered with urban myth, a founder's ego, and no small amount of ambition. For nearly 80 years, the Hearst Corporation's official headquarters occupied a six-story building on Eighth Avenue, south of Columbus Circle, commissioned by founder William Randolph Hearst in the mid-1920s. Although only a fraction of Hearst's New York employees came to work at this location, the building remained the company's symbolic home. By 1999 the corporation had outgrown all its New York offices, so it retained development manager Tishman Speyer to investigate consolidating all of its operations into a single facility on the site of the original building. With Toronto-based architects Adamson Associates and other consultants, the developer determined that the project was feasible with the understanding that the building's shell, which received landmark status in 1988 from the New York Landmarks Preservation Commission, would have to be preserved. "It was our job to assemble the team, direct and manage the design process, shepherd the project through the arduous city approvals processes, then direct and manage the construction of the building," explains Bruce Phillips, Tishman Speyer's managing director.

This project was made more challenging by the site.
The fourth diagram in the evolutionary stages of the Hearst tower illustrates how the removal of floors 3 through 6 allowed their areas to be transferred to the top, increasing floor-to-ceiling height and thus providing better views.

The building's lobby is a grand plaza between the third and 10th floors, occupying about 55,000 square feet. A mega-column system, made of built-up steel tube sections filled with concrete, supports the tower. A series of super-diagonals adds stability to the composite core wall.

Skylights provide lateral support for the existing base walls.

The first office level in the tower begins at 109 feet above grade.

A clerestory visually separates the existing base and the new tower.

The existing walls were reinforced and furred out to create interior facades.
The International Magazine Company, as it was called at the time, was to be the anchor in founder Hearst's ambitious plan to develop Columbus Circle as the theatrical and commercial center of New York. In the mid-1920s, Hearst hired architect Joseph Urban (with George P. Post & Sons), known for his set designs for theater and opera, to design an arresting monument to his ambitions. Responding to his client's eccentric nature, Urban designed what was to be the base of a tower out of precast stone—an experimental material at the time—that defies stylistic classification. The facade is divided into two-stories of commercial space, a three-story shaft, and an attic separated from the shaft by a heavy cornice. The corners are chamfered to allow for columns rendered as allegorical figures and topped off with large urns. That was as far as Hearst’s monument ever got with this project; as his dream to dominate Columbus Circle was lost to the vagaries of real estate speculation, his interests drifted elsewhere.

The search for an architect to build upon Hearst’s incomplete vision, led by Tishman Speyer, began in earnest in 2000. An executive search committee eventually zeroed in on the British architecture firm Foster and Partners. While Pritzker Prize-winner Norman Foster has received international acclaim for his emblematic buildings, he has distinguished himself from many of his peers with his mastery of urban issues and his talent for seamlessly merging historic artifact with modernist intervention. To make its choice, the search committee needed only to observe Foster’s transformative completion of the Queen Elizabeth II Great Court at the British Museum [RECORD, March 2001, page 114 and 149] and his brilliant reconstruction of and addition to the Reichstag in Berlin [RECORD, July 1999, page 102]. Both of these commissions were weighted with tremendous historical significance.

The challenges, it could be argued, involved two clients. Though Foster inherited Joseph Urban’s precast stone artifact, his obligation was to Hearst, the forward-looking and diversified media empire, not to Hearst, the flamboyant patriarch who never finished his icon. Brian Schwager!, senior real-estate official for the Hearst Corporation, is embedded in the project daily and has observed the meticulous restorations, innovative conversions, and bold architectural addition. He remains impressed by the collaborations that have resolved old and new. “Immediately after 9/11, new construction virtually stopped. After some design changes to harden the structure, we decided to go ahead with the project. We were fortunate enough to have access to an extraordinary pool of talent, and the commitment and collaboration will show in the final product,” he says.

The architects began to look for a way to separate the new from the old by setting the tower back from the U-shaped base. Foster chose a design path in which the answer would come from studying the effects of numerous options within the site’s surrounding urban context. The team used physical and computer-generated site models to determine the limitations created by light and air requirements. With the footprint established, the architects studied ways to separate visually the old and new. “We studied many options for how to articulate the interior as a grand piazza.” It became necessary then to “invert” the envelope so that rather than looking at the back of the walls, they would be furred out and finished in limestone-colored stucco to become the piazza’s facades.

While Foster’s design embraces the memory of William Randolph Hearst’s ambitions, it is an architectural benchmark that will have a place among New York’s icons—Woolworth, Chrysler, Rockefeller, Lever, and Seagram.
Numerous computer studies were conducted and massing models (below) built to determine the effect of different forms and setbacks on views, light, and shadow.
Building a State-of-the Art Home

Engineering and architecture are fused by logic, precision, and finesse.
The new 42-story Hearst headquarters provides nearly one million square feet of office space. The building uses a composite steel and concrete floor with a 40-foot column-free interior span to allow for open office planning. The office zone starts at the 10th floor. At the seventh floor, the diagrid connects to the existing landmarked facade via a horizontal skylight system (right), which spans 40 feet between the old base and new tower. The “bird mouths” (opposite) at the corners provide some offices with “Zeppelin” views of Eighth Avenue.
By Sara Hart

The diagrid structural system, which is both a structural and architectural device in the Hearst Corporation's new tower, is familiar to its architect, Norman Foster. He first used it for the Hong Kong and Shanghai Bank in 1985. More recently, he used it to create the curvaceous forms of the Swiss Re headquarters in London [RECORD, June 2004, page 218] and the Greater London Authority [RECORD, February 2003, page 110].

New York-based Cantor Seinuk, structural engineers for the Hearst tower, know the advantages and challenges of the diagrid as well. President Ahmad Rahimian and project manager Yoram Eilon have discussed the innovations demanded by this project in considerable detail. Rahimian notes, "We are all intuitively familiar with the inherent stability of triangular structures." Then he explains the mechanics: "A diagrid system is a diagonal arrangement of primary structural members to form a structural system made out of a network of triangles. Placement of diagonals, especially in steel structures to add stability and strength to building, has widespread applications and popularity among engineers, whether the bracings are placed around the perimeter of the building, such as the John Hancock Center in Chicago [Skidmore Owings & Merrill, 1969], or placed at interior of the building, disguised within finishes. However, in all these applications, the diagonals are placed within a primary orthogonal structural framework as elements providing stability under wind and seismic loads."

Foster and Partners' design for the Hearst tower called for gutting all the existing construction within the envelope, while preserving and restoring the landmarked facade. The footprint of the base is U-shaped in plan, covering the 200-by-200-foot site. The tower was to be built on a new foundation, creating a footprint 160 feet by 120 feet.

The first engineering challenge focused on the gutted structure. The shell was left unbraced to a height for which it was not originally designed. In response, the engineers devised a framing approach that would stabilize the remaining masonry walls. Whereas the existing supporting steel columns and spandrel beams maintained full vertical support for the facades, the engineers had to provide lateral stability and address new seismic requirements in the current New York City Building Code. They then designed an additional grid of vertical and horizontal framing behind the facades. In turn, both the existing and new grids are supported laterally by the new tower's third-floor framing system and the skylight framing system at the top of the seventh floor, which lines up with the top of the existing facade system.

The diagonals in the Hearst tower form pure triangles, which are the primary elements for gravity load as well as wind and seismic loads. This provides a highly efficient and redundant structure. As a matter of fact, the perimeter structure consumes 20 percent less steel than a conventional moment-frame structure. The contractor also saved the project millions of dollars by purchasing the bulk of the steel before the prices soared in 2003.

Despite all the computer software available to them, the engineers switched to model-making at one point. The key was to have a less labor-intensive design, even if it marginally increased the cost of materials.

Coordination in the field between the curtain wall and steel was smooth. There were no situations in which structural members or panels had to be removed or modified.
Cives Steel hired Mountain Enterprises, a structural steel detailing and engineering company. Using Xsteel software, every member (correctly sized), bracket, gusset, angle, bolt, nut, etc., used to make up the steel structure were added to the model. Periodically, the contractor, Turner Construction, met with Permasteelisa, Cives, and Mountain to coordinate all the elements.
The diagrid consists of triangular steel bracing beginning at the 10th floor and ending at the top. Triangular frames carry the gravity load while resisting lateral loads. Such an efficient system requires 20 percent less structural steel than another type of structure. The diagrid also allows for large, open floor plates, in this case, offering an area of 22,000 square feet per floor. Here, the tower's diagonally braced structural envelope requires fewer columns on the floor plates and allows a fully glazed facade. It also eliminates corner columns, another advantage not usually offered in conventional moment-frame towers.

Although the diagrid was not reinvented for the Hearst tower, the team has experienced what one member called "continuous moments of innovation" throughout the project. For instance, Foster's design intention was to express the diagrid architecturally by cladding the triangles with stainless steel. "This added to the engineering challenge, because conventionally large gusset plates are used at the connections," explains Rahimian. "In this case, large plates would interfere with the cladding. "Simply put, the connection zone within the visible curtain wall zone could not be larger than the structural members."

Cantor Seinuk designed two types of nodes as substitutions for the gusset plates, a planar one for transferring loads in two-dimensional space and a more complicated corner node, which addressed the chamfered corners, called "bird mouths," for transferring loads in three-dimensional space. The nodes were created earlier during the conceptual design phase, rather than later, when detailing is usually undertaken, because the viability of the overall concept depended to a large degree on the feasibility of these nodes.

**THE VIABILITY OF THE CONCEPT DEPENDED ON THE FEASIBILITY OF THE CORNER NODES.**

The final design met all the architectural and structural requirements, as well as those of the steel contractor, Cives Steel, which prefabricated the four-story, grade-65 steel triangles in its in its upstate New York and Virginia plants.

Nodes notwithstanding, the fabrication of the diagrid system had fewer complexities than a fully moment-connected frame. The repetitive nature of the node also further simplified the engineering of the shop-drawing preparation. The inherent stiffness of the diagrid requires a higher level of precision in fabrication and erection tolerances. It also provides a shorter window of opportunity for adjustment during erection. While diagrid systems have inherent strength and stiffness comparable to a triangulated structure, the diagonal elements must be braced between the node levels at the floors by a secondary lateral system.

Finally, the diagrid's triangles will be infilled with a glass curtain-wall by Italian manufacturer, Permasteelisa. This intersection of plane and structure is perhaps the last layer of innovation needed to complete the architect's goal of combining figurative and literal transparency. It's also the one with which the occupants will interact most closely. While the memory of the past recedes for them as the tower rises, the views of the company's future are infinite looking out.
The nodes are set on a 40-foot module, every four floors. This establishes the system's general parameters.
The strips of thin gauge aluminum attached to the ceiling at Big Ten Burrito (opposite, bottom) were modelled in Rhino (opposite, top) and cut with a CNC router. Each strip is unique in size and shape, and spaced to distort a viewer’s sense of perspective. PLY also designed and fabricated the light fixtures and furniture. At another Big Ten Burrito location, CNC-milled wood ceiling and wall panels lend texture to a simple space.
Design Embraces the Machine Age

Digital fabrication... it's not just for Gehry anymore

By Alan Joch and Deborah Snoonian, P.E.

Walk into Big Ten Burrito, a laid-back Mexican restaurant in Ann Arbor, Michigan, and between bites of its namesake dish, take a look at the ceiling. The fluid, undulating strips of aluminum, cut with a computerized numerically controlled (CNC) router using data from digital design files, visually distinguish the dining area from the take-out counter and toy with your sense of perspective. Designed by PLY Architecture, a small firm in Ann Arbor, the distinctive ceiling at Big Ten Burrito is more than just an eye-catching touch by imaginative designers. It points the way to changes in how architects are working.

Despite hairy technical, legal, and cultural barriers, digital fabrication is starting to hit its stride. Firms of many sizes are experimenting with 3D design and manufacturing techniques that the automotive and aerospace industries adopted more than a decade ago. The shift has been motivated by many factors: aesthetic aspirations, a client's request, the desire to save time and money on projects. And the efforts of architects are being enabled by software companies eager to provide (and sell) feature-rich CAD programs that can translate 3D information into machinable components, along with engineers, contractors, and material suppliers who want to streamline design and construction.

Alan Joch is a business and technology writer based in New England. Contact him at ajoch@worldpath.net.
Taking a hands-on approach

For PLY Architecture, whose Web site describes the firm's "dedication to the synthesis of materials and craft," exploring digital fabrication has allowed them to create complex and subtly tactile forms in a cost-effective manner, like at their Big Ten Burrito projects, for which they also designed and made the furniture and light fixtures. "We found almost all the cabinet-makers in our area are now using CNC routers," says principal Craig Borum, AIA. "So we started having conversations with them to figure out what they were willing to do, and what the limitations are for their machines and their software."

Notably, PLY acts as the prime contractor on most of its projects, which lowers costs as well as risks because the firm doesn’t have to hand off digital files to a third party. The aluminum ceiling at Big Ten, for instance, was made by the fabricator, pre-assembled in PLY’s office, and installed onsite by three of their staff. "Overseeing fabrication gives us control through all the stages of production," Borum says. "Plus, we save a step by not having to produce a complete set of construction documents to explain to somebody else how to build our design."

Geography helps. PLY has access to an extensive network of fabricators that work mostly with the automotive industry in nearby Detroit. Borum and his PLY partner, Karl Daubmann, have forged budding relationships with a few fabricators who have been willing to halt their automotive production runs "to squeeze in some strange part on the side," says Borum. The firm is even commercializing a series of light fixtures, called PLY Lights, that feature shades made from scrap material left over from sheets of plywood cut with CNC routers, a material they acquire locally.

Fabricator Puma Steel is working with Kling and Fentress Bradburn Architects and contractor M.A. Mortenson on a 3D model for fabricating the steel structure of a 400,000-square-foot health sciences center at the University of Colorado in Aurora (right and above). For an earlier project, Sonny Lubick Field at Colorado State University (top), Puma used 3D models for sequencing and clash detection, but not fabrication.
The bottom-line effect

Good Fulton & Farrell, a 75-person firm based in Dallas, pursued digital fabrication to help compress project schedules for repeat clients. Associate principal John Moebes, AIA, estimates that 3D modeling enabled fabrication that shaved about a month's time off the recent design and construction of a national retail chain store originally slated to last eight months. Achieving that goal took serious preparation: first, investing in Autodesk's ADT and training staff how to use it, and later hunting down fabricators and subcontractors who were willing to use the digital models his firm produced. "We did a lot of research on which technology platforms are used by steel fabricators, air-conditioning ductwork fabricators, pipe fitters," Moebes says. He found collaborators the hard way—surfing the Web, making cold calls—but was pleasantly surprised to find them receptive to sharing digital data.

Of course, high-profile projects by signature architects are always ripe proving grounds for experimentation (and attempts to trim budgets). M.A. Mortenson, the contractor for Frank Gehry's Walt Disney Concert Hall in Los Angeles, is using what it learned there to build Daniel Libeskind's $62.5 million, 180,000-square-foot addition to the Denver Art Museum, slated for completion in 2006. Fabrication of the structural steel elements of Libeskind's angular addition took three months less than expected, says Derek Cunz, director of project development in Mortenson's Denver office. Field corrections fell "an order of magnitude," he adds, and reduced erection times resulted in savings valued at $400,000.

Projects using 3D models and digitally-enabled fabrication represent about 20 percent of Mortenson's work, he says.

In truth, much of the push toward these work methods has come from experienced contractors such as Mortenson, as well as engineers and other groups who benefit from construction efficiencies. In 2000, the American Institute of Steel Construction (AISC) began promoting a software standard called CIS/2, which allows structural design programs to communicate directly with detailing, fabrication, and ordering and billing programs, bypassing the error-prone process of producing shop drawings by hand. "It's new territory for us," says Rex Lewis, vice president of Puma Steel, a fabricator in Cheyenne, Wyoming who's working with Mortenson on several projects involving the manufacture of structural steel directly from digital files, including a new healthcare facility designed by Philadelphia-based Kling and Fentress Bradburn Architects of Denver. The percentage of Puma's work that makes use of digital models has more than tripled in the last year, with projects coming from architects who have begun using 3D CAD.
A new lesson plan

Fruitful partnerships are beginning to emerge between practitioners and universities that can afford to invest in equipment that's out of reach for most firms. While the 1990s were the era of the paperless studio at design schools, the past few years have seen the growth of the production studio, where students form interdisciplinary teams and learn advanced design and manufacturing techniques. PLY's Daubmann teaches a graduate-level seminar on digital fabrication at the University of Michigan's Taubman College of Architecture and Urban Planning. "The school has taken up this agenda to advance ideas about technology, construction, and fabrication, and allow them to affect the design process," says Borum, who is also on the faculty. Students from both architecture and engineering work with several software packages, including engineering and modeling software SolidWorks and Digital Project, the CATIA-based program developed by Gehry Technologies. "The college has invested in 3D printers and CNC routers because everyone senses these technologies are becoming more prevalent in the industry," Borum says.

Apparently Yale's school of architecture hears the same muse. Dean Robert A.M. Stern focused on recent technology acquisitions in his annual letter to the school's alumni this fall. Scattered throughout Paul Rudolph's multilevel concrete building in New Haven are three laser cutters, a water jet cutter, 3D printers, CNC routers, a 3D laser scanner, and a foam cutter for large-scale models that's the size of a New York City studio apartment. "Not bad considering that five years ago nobody knew what a laser cutter was," says John Eberhart, director of digital media at Yale, who earned a master's in architecture from the university in 1998. He estimates that Yale has spent some $500,000 on rapid prototyping and digital fabrication equipment, not counting the extra computers and infrastructure upgrades their acquisitions entailed, which easily quadruples that figure. The equipment has drawn interest from other departments that want to collaborate with the architecture school to develop joint courses and research.

But the surest sign of transformation is the establishment of an interdisciplinary design master's program at an engineering school (RECORD, September 2004, page 187). At the Product Architecture Lab at the Stevens Institute of Technology in Hoboken, New Jersey, a diverse student body of architects, engineers, and programmers study digital design and production using real-world case studies. Architect John Nastasi, who created the lab and graduate program in 2004, says collaborative work methods will be just as important to architects as technical know-how. "Digital fabrication allows architects to have more input on manufacturability.
materials, costs—all the things we’ve handed over to construction managers for the past 20 years.”

In just over a year, the lab has attracted an enviable roster of industry partners. Greg Otto, an engineer at Buro Happold whose career has focused on technology-enabled collaboration between engineers and architects, is on the faculty. The New York firm SHoP, one of the first to invest in its own rapid prototyping equipment, has sent one of its senior designers to study there; the students are also using SHoP projects as case studies, including an overhaul of New York’s Fashion Institute of Technology. Front, a facade consultancy founded by architects and engineers who’ve worked for Norman Foster, Rem Koolhaas, and other top architects, contacted Nastasi recently to develop joint projects. “I call the Stevens program ‘the digital Bauhaus,’” says architect David Serero, a principal of Brooklyn and Paris-based Iterae Architecture, an interdisciplinary firm that’s used engineering software and digital fabrication on several projects in the U.S. and Europe.

The tipping point?

Granted, for several years architects have championed building information modeling (BIM, the latter-day term for digital models embedded with design and construction data) and better data-exchange standards to enable digital fabrication, mass customization, and faster, cheaper construction. CIS/2, for instance, resolves one data-sharing challenge, and groups such as the International Alliance for Interoperability and FIATECH continue to define and refine existing standards. But industry leaders also recognize that technological advances alone won’t define new business processes or transcend the uncertainties involved in digitally-based work methods. To that end, the AISC has developed model language for the use of 3D models as contract deliverables. And the next major update of AIA’s contract documents, due in 2007, will also address digital work methods, says Phillip Bernstein, FAIA, a vice president of Autodesk and chair of the committee revising the standards.

Improved standards and processes help, but people are the real catalysts. Whether the impetus comes from an architect or academia, client or contractor, pursuing digital fabrication for buildings takes both vision and gumption. It’s not a single-button push from modeled part to fabricated component, but the benefits of moving toward that goal have become clearer. “If I can say to a client ‘you can open your store a month early,’ that makes him happy,” says Moebes. “Considering how important repeat business is to architects, anything we can do to improve service to existing clients is a mandate for us.”

Students at Stevens’ Product-Architecture Lab are designing “Apse-straction,” a 400-square-foot addition to a church in Hoboken, New Jersey, with Dean Marchetto Architects. The steel structure will be cut with a CNC router, and its connection brackets will be fabricated using a digital printer.
The leaders of the latest

Today's materials innovators are not only working for manufacturers or labs. Architects are also developing new materials in response to needs in the market, and the benefits extend far beyond their own projects.

By Blaine E. Brownell

By the time Modernism reached its apex, during the mid-20th century, many architects had proven the merits of self-initiated materials research and development. Fueled by a postwar economy and a society enthralled with technological potential, Buckminster Fuller, Charles and Ray Eames, and their contemporaries demonstrated an uncanny capacity for generating new products and technologies in their practices.

When the Modern project gave way to Postmodernism and Deconstructivism, technological advancement was eschewed in favor of the representation of abstract linguistic systems in architecture. Today, the focus on materials has returned. Renewed interest in technological innovation and sustainable practices characterizes our zeitgeist, and an explosion of new products has inspired a cottage industry of materials analysts from within the architecture and design professions. A veritable materials revolution is under way, and architects are once again contributing to the leading edge of product development.

What is driving this recent groundswell of materials innovation? A heightened awareness of the growing scarcity of raw material and energy resources, coupled with increased concern about greenhouse gas emissions and widespread pollutants, has spawned a passionate interest in ecologically responsible materials. The resulting drive to develop products that enhance performance while using fewer materials is analogous to the general trajectory of technology itself, which follows an accelerated curve toward increased power and miniaturization. It is also noteworthy that several decades-old NASA technologies, including products such as Aerogel and memory foam, have recently been adapted to the consumer market. Consequently, new materials have begun to capture popular attention as well as industry-specific interest.

Material programming

For the Office for Metropolitan Architecture's Chris van Duijn, the overall building concept drives materials innovation. Trained as an architect, and a longtime model maker, in

Blaine E. Brownell is a LEED-certified associate architect with NBBJ in Seattle and the author of the upcoming book Transmaterial, to be published by Princeton Architectural Press.
materials revolution
BL Special laminated materials—ultrathin wood veneer, paper, and fabric—that are laminated together to allow them to remain rigid enough to produce partitions or enclosures.

BL Special laminated panels were designed to be easily cut and modified by computer-driven processes. The lightweight panels are made of three core materials—ultrathin wood veneer, paper, and fabric—that are laminated together to allow them to remain rigid enough to produce partitions or enclosures.
Rotterdam, van Duijn is OMA’s materials guru; he was instrumental in developing the spongelike “Foam” product for use in OMA’s Prada stores (RECORD, February 2002, page 84 [New York]; October 2003, page 92 [Tokyo]; February 2005, page 124 [Los Angeles]).

The development of new materials at OMA evolves naturally from its design process, in which representational models play a critical role. “Creating models for OMA projects is much more than just making a scale model of a building,” says van Duijn. “The objective is for the model to reflect the concept of the project. It is therefore critical to think about the materials and techniques for building them. In most cases, more than 50 percent of the time spent on a model is experimenting—for instance, casting resin in combination with metal, testing new rubber types, developing casting techniques and molds in order to make the largest solid polyester casts, or thinking about lightweight and flexible systems that resulted in first designing our own 6.5’ x 6.5’ vacuum form machine.”

This process of experimentation flows logically into the specification of materials for architecture. Once OMA has defined the desired qualities for various spaces within its buildings, it seeks to “materialize” the spaces. If the designers cannot find a suitable existing material, the team will not hesitate to develop something new, but it is critical that they know how the material needs to perform. In this way, new materials follow architectural concepts, instead of the other way around.

Chris van Duijn developed Foam while working on several Prada epicenter stores simultaneously. Because OMA sought a lexicon of interior organizing elements that could be applied to each store in a different way, van Duijn began experimenting with materials that could function as spatial dividers while preserving a certain degree of openness. “One of the concept models that we built was constructed with a kitchen sponge,” says van Duijn. “The direct relation between the display system, spatial concept, and material seemed immediately very interesting, and we decided to pick this up and develop it all the way.”

In its final form, Foam is a polyurethane object cast of “an aggregate condition between solid and void.” It is both a regular and an irregular structure of spongelike consistency that can be cast in stages from hard to soft, and from transparent to opaque. It forms a substance that can be used to build furniture and partitions as well as entire spaces (a further interpretation of solid and void).

In the end, van Duijn made hundreds of prototypes of Foam in order to test hole sizes, percentages of openness, translucencies, depths,
colors, etc. According to the architect, "Innovation, whether it is an architectural concept or a new material, is not just the ingenious idea of a genial person but much more a result of working hard and consistently on an idea. The most difficult phase in developing something innovative is not the creation of a concept, but developing and executing the concept without losing its original intention. Often this road will be blocked by all kinds of practical problems. Dealing with these problems often generates the invention."

Nick Gelpi, of Steven Holl Architects in New York City, endured a similarly challenging process developing BL Special, a laminated panel created for the assembly of complex interior fabrications. Designed to be easily cut and modified by computer-driven processes such as water jet, laser cutting, and computer numerical control (CNC) punching, BL Special is made of three core materials—ultrathin wood veneer, paper, and fabric—laminated together. The combination of these materials creates a lightweight structure that is also rigid. When bolted together, the self-supporting panels can be arranged to produce a complex partition or enclosure.

In addition to Holl and Gelpi, the BL Special team included Steven Holl project team member Alessandro Orsini, as well as Alberto Martinuzzo, who is the owner of Albeflex, a laminate producer in Treviso, Italy. The team's main objective was to devise a composite that would overcome limitations experienced with conventional composites, such as excess weight or expense.

From his experience developing BL Special, Gelpi says, "New technologies that indicate progress universally will always shape cultural practices. Architecture as a practice is necessarily a materially specific one and materials as of yet are indistinguishable from technology, so as technology continues to advance, materials will index new possibilities cross-categorically."

Materials first
When Los Angeles–based padLAb founders Dan Gottlieb and Penny Herscovitch were studying architecture at Yale, they had a desire to produce work at a 1:1 scale, and therefore bypassed the referential model as a design process tool. According to the architects, "We began to experiment with processes and explore specific material properties—tactility, recurring patterns, natural systems of organization—out of which we developed our own materials."

Their flexible polypropylene honeycomb panels, called Flexicomb, grew out of Gottlieb's research focus on structural honeycomb. "Commercial aerospace and transportation-grade honeycombs exceeded a student budget, so I decided to make my own out of a more economical raw material: drinking straws. I experimented with prototyping furniture
SensiTile technology embedded light-conducting matrix in a SensiTile material allows various materials to react to changes in light intensity and color. Using the same principle that makes fiber optics possible, the shadows that fall on it or redirects and scatters any oncoming light.

out of straws that ranged from slim red coffee-stirrers to fat fluorescent super-straws.” After the architects discovered the intriguing light-transmission qualities of the straw matrices, they began to design lamps with the material. Flexicomb can also be bent, sprung, or compressed to form sculptural installations, desktop accessories, and furniture prototypes.

Once you have defined the parameters of the materials, try to find partners in the industry who also share your belief.

Materials come alive

Founded by Gianfranco Barban and Gregg Brodarick, B.lab Italia in Gallarate, Italy, is driven by originality and, say the pair, “the desire to break the ordinary static aspect of our furnished environment.” An Italian designer and American architect, respectively, Barban and Brodarick find that their different cultures, educational backgrounds, and work experiences create the right chemistry for generating new products.

Their Living Surfaces are the result of efforts to reproduce natural environments and sensations in design and architecture. The tabletops and floor tiles are comprised of layers of plastic sheets encapsulating nontoxic liquids, which move and bubble in various ways depending on touch, depicting constantly changing patterns. The bi-chromatic floor tiles generate colorful shapes in continuous transformation, and walking on them leaves a trail of footprints. They are made of two shock-resistant plastic layers, with the top layer treated with a non-slip surface. The team has also created a series of tabletops, panels, and floor tiles they call Living Glass that is created by sealing a sheet of tempered glass between layers of plastic, then shattering the glass to render an explosion of sparkling fragments.
Architects as entrepreneurs

Although architects often develop products for project-specific installations, many products are readily available to the consumer, and ship with reasonable lead times. As these examples show, creativity is just as necessary for funding as it is for product development. B.E.B.

BL SPECIAL (BELOW)
Funding: Steven Holl's office was invited to participate in a recent exhibition, and BL Special was funded by the exhibition's construction budget.
Ownership: Albeflex
Availability: BL Special is not currently for sale or license, although Albeflex is a fully functioning producer of laminate materials. www.albeflex.it
Cost: Prices range from $7–$12.50 for a 12.6"-square panel to $44–$77 for a 75.6" x 12.6" panel.
Lead time: 4 weeks for raw material plus 1 extra week for painting

FLEXICOMB
Funding: Initial development took place during architecture school. Subsequent product development was self-funded through padLab's other projects.
Ownership: padLab
Availability: Sculptural lights made from Flexicomb are for sale, and padLab is pursuing licensing opportunities. (These sculptural lights are not yet UL-rated, and are only for use with low-heat compact fluorescent bulbs.) www.padlab.com
Cost: $395–$450 for a limited-edition sculptural Flexicomb light (plus S&H, and sales tax as applicable)
Lead time: 6 weeks

FOAM
Funding: OMA reserved a budget for material research & development.
Ownership: OMA and Prada
Availability: Foam was developed exclusively for Prada, and is not currently for sale. www.oma.nl
Cost: N/A
Lead time: N/A

LIVING SURFACES (RIGHT)
Funding: B.lab Italia used personal savings and profits from Brodarick's architectural studio and Barban's family custom carpentry business to develop the product. Further development has been funded by product sales.
Ownership: Barban and Brodarick, with Barban Arredamenti. B.lab Italia welcomes licensing of its technology for alternative applications.
Availability: Living Surfaces are for sale on B.lab Italia's website, www.blabitalia.com
Cost: Floor tiles are $65–$85 per square foot, tabletops are $400–$800 per unit. Living Glass panels are $100–$180 per square foot.
Lead time: 3 to 4 weeks, plus an additional 4 to 5 weeks for additional freight. B.lab Italia is opening a U.S. office in early 2006 to reduce delivery times.

SENSITILES
Funding: SensiTiles products were funded by family and friends.
Ownership: Abhinand Lath. Licensure is a possibility.
Cost: SensiTiles Scintilla tiles are $140–$190 per square foot; SensiTiles Terrazzo tiles are $60–$90 per square foot.
Lead time: 6 to 8 weeks. Certain sizes and color combinations are in stock now for immediate delivery.

Z5
Funding: The Z5 chair was self-funded.
Ownership: Giovanni Pagnotta
Cost: The Z5 currently sells for $3,800. Pagnotta is negotiating with a top distributor, which would result in a cost of $1,200.
Lead time: 8 weeks

Abhinand Lath, based in Detroit, developed the SensiTile surfacing system with a similar interest in bringing dynamic qualities to static environments. Trained as an electrical engineer as well as an architect, Lath reinforces the need for both an intuitive "hands-on" understanding of materials as well as a technical foundation in material processes. Comprised of a matrix of light-conducting acrylic polymers, SensiTiles move light between various points on the material's surface according to a principle Lath calls "total internal reflection," a process that also describes how fiber-optic cables transport light. When one places an object between SensiTiles and a light source, the tiles passively migrate light underneath the object's shadow, creating surprising dynamic effects. The tiles will even reflect an object's color from unexpected places within their surfaces, based on hidden relationships within the matrix.

Lath describes innovation as a transformational principle in his work: "It either combines the known into a new order, or it allows us to see something entirely fresh in what we already know—in both cases bringing into being that which did not previously exist."

Material possibilities

For the architects and designers described here, developing new products is a compelling part of the design process. Whether materials are shaped by architecture or vice versa, one may glean universal themes from the various methods employed to generate new materials. As we participate in a second machine age—a "neo-Modernism" defined by rapidly advancing technologies and creative material solutions—we are likely to see an increasing role for materials research and development within standard architectural practice. Chris van Duijn offers this advice for architects interested in developing their own products: "Create materials with substance, something that contributes to the overall quality of a project. Once you have defined the parameters of the material, try to find partners in the industry who also share your belief, and do not accept 'impossible' for an answer."
Innovative Products: Sources

Catching Air
Page 8
W.L. Gore and Associates
Elkton, Md.
410/506-8400
www.gore.com/fibers/english/tenara.html
Supplier of Tenara architectural fiber and fabric for tensile structures.

Building a State-of-the-Art Home
Page 20
Foster and Partners
London
+44/020-7738-0455
www.fosterandpartners.com
Architecture firm.

Adamson Associates
Mississauga, Ontario
905/891-8666
www.adamson-associates.com
Architecture firm.

Tishman Speyer Properties
New York City
212/715-0300
www.tishmanspeyer.com
Development managers.

Turner Construction Company
New York City
212/229-6000
www.turnerconstruction.com
Project management firm.

WSP Cantor Seinuk
New York City
212/687-9888
www.cantorseinuk.com
Structural engineering firm.

Flack + Kurtz
New York City
212/532-9600
www.flackandkurtz.com
Mechanical engineering firm.

Design Embraces the Machine Age
Page 30
PLY Architecture
Ann Arbor, Mich.
734/827-2238
www.plyarch.com
Architecture firm.

Stevens Institute of Technology—Master’s in Product Architecture program
Hoboken, N.J.
201/216-8984
www.stevens.edu/engineering/me/Interdisciplinary master’s program.

Nastasi Architects
Hoboken, N.J.
201/653-2577
www.nastasiarchitects.com
Architecture firm.

Good Fulton & Farrell
Dallas
214/303-1500
www.gff.com
Architecture firm.

Buro Happold
New York City
212/334-2025
www.burohappold.com
Engineering firm.

M.A. Mortenson
Minneapolis
763/522-2100
www.mortenson.com
Contracting firm.

Martin/Martin
Lakewood, Colo.
303/431-6100
martinmartin.com
Consulting engineers.

Autodesk
San Rafael, Calif.
415/507-5000
www.autodesk.com
Software for AEC industry.

Bentley Systems
Exton, Pa.
800/236-8539
www.bentley.com
Software for AEC industry.

Graphisoft
Newton, Mass.
617/485-4203
www.graphisoft.com
Software for AEC industry.

Tekla Structures
Kennesaw, Ga.
877/835-5265
www.tekla.com
Structural detailing software.

Design Data
Lincoln, Nebr.
888/883-2492
www.dsndata.com
Structural detailing software.

FabTrol
Eugene, Ore.
888/222-8765
www.fabtrol.com
Estimating and production control software for steel fabricators.

McNeel/Rhino
Seattle
206/545-7000
www.rhino3d.com
Software for AEC industry.

RAM Analysis
Carlsbad, Calif.
800/726-7789
www.ramint.com
Structural analysis program.

The Leaders of the Latest Material Revolution
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Albeflex
Treviso, Italy
+39/0434-767752
www.albeflex.it
Producer of laminate materials.

Office of Metropolitan Architecture
Rotterdam, Netherlands
+31/10243-8200
www oma.nl
Architecture firm.

padLAB
Los Angeles
323/441-9189
www.padlab.com
Design studio that creates new materials, architectural glass, lighting, and fine art.

Steven Holl Architects
New York City
212/629-7262
www.stevenholl.com
Architecture firm.

Blab Italia
Gallarate, Italy
+39/0331-774445
www.blabitalia.com
Producer of Living Surfaces tabletops and floor tiles, which are comprised of layers of plastic sheets encapsulating nontoxic liquids.

SensiTile
Detroit
313/872-6314
www.sensitile.com
Producer of SensiTile technology, which allows various materials to react to changes in light intensity and color.

Giovanni Pagnotta
Mamaronek, N.Y.
914/777-7040
www.giovannipagnotta.com
Producer of carbon fiber furnishings and lighting.
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Alder Sales Corporation
Murray, UT – 21 years

Alexander Metals, Inc.
Nashville, TN – 28 years

Architectural Glass & Metals, Inc.
Kalamazoo, MI – 12 years

Architectural Materials
Springfield, MO – 4 years

Architectural Specialties, Inc.
N. Kansas City, MO – 5 years

Associated Architectural Products, Inc.
Louisiana & Mississippi – 11 years

Carmel Architectural Sales
Los Angeles/Orange County Metro – 30 years

Carolina Architectural Products, Inc.
North and South Carolina – 20 years

Claco Supply, Inc.
Wichita, KS – 29 years

Colico Inc.
Montreal, Canada – 5 years

Collier Building Specialties
San Francisco, CA – 28 years

Consulac Architectural Products
Toronto, Canada – 15 years

Daylighting Solutions/Illuminacion Natural
Albuquerque, NM – 21 years

DeAmor Associates, Inc.
Washington & Oregon – 13 years

Door Specialties of Alaska, Inc.
Anchorage, AK – 11 years

Ed Flume Building Specialties, Ltd.
San Antonio, TX – 27 years

Griesenbeck Architectural Products, Inc.
Houston, TX – 14 years

John H. Lanier Company, Inc.
Birmingham, AL – 14 years

Jorge Rivera Surillo & Co., Inc.
San Juan, PR – 10 years

Kilgore Architectural Products, Inc.
Spokane, WA – 7 years

Kistler McDougall Corp.
Atlanta, GA – 1 year

Nielsen Building Systems, Inc.
Racine, WI – 28 years

Norcon Industries, Inc.
Guadalupe, AZ – 2 years

Phillips-Halderman Company
Little Rock, AR – 25 years

Powers Products Co.
Denver, CO & Cheyenne, WY – 31 years

Rayford and Associates, Inc.
Mobile, AL – 25 years

Read Architectural Products, LLC
Dallas, TX – 25 years

SGH, Inc.
Omaha, NE & Des Moines, IA – 31 years

Shaffner Heaney Associates, Inc.
Indiana, Kentucky & Ohio – 21 years

Skylights of Hawaii
Honolulu, HI – 12 years

Specified Architectural Systems, Inc.
Sarasota, FL – 20 years

Specified Products, Inc.
San Diego, CA – 15 years

SpecPro, Inc.
Omaha, NE – 5 years

Stoakes Systems Limited
Great Britain – 8 years

SunStone Building Specialties
Las Vegas, NV – 1 year

The Masonry Center, Inc.
Boise, ID – 3 years

The Womble Company
Oklahoma City, OK – 4 years

Thermal Systems KWC Ltd.
Calgary, Canada – 18 years

Tri-Jack
Billings, MT – 46 years

Universe Corporation
St. Louis, MO – 8 years

W.L. Hall Co.
Eden Prairie, MN – 47 years

Western Building Specialties, Inc.
Lubbock, TX – 27 years

William S. Trimble Company, Inc.
Knoxville, TN – 24 years