

THIS ISSUE: KROLL · VON ECKARDT · DEVELOPING DENNY HILL BEST OF THE FIRST DESIGN COMPETITION · SEATTLE CRIT MILLER/HULL'S NEW NORTHWEST VERNACULAR



Courtyard for medical student housing complex at Woluwe-St-Lambert in Brussels, Belgium.

WOLF VON ECKARDT A Critic Defines His Terms

From 1963 to 1981 Washington Post architectural and urban design critic now writing for Time magazine and author of The Challenge of Megalopolis (1964) and Back to the Drawing Board! Planning Livable Cities (1979), Wolf Von Eckardt spoke in Portland, Oregon on June 1. He was the last speaker in that city's AIA lecture series, "Architecture and Change". A gathering of any more than one architect in Portland inevitably occasions a discussion of the merits of the Portland Building, Michael Graves' new city hall. At the time of this lecture, the building was receiving its exterior paint and decorations. Von Eckardt commented on this and the general state of the architectural profession in his talk, "Architecture, A Time of Change." he Portland Building is "in the air" in Portland. Wolf Von Eckardt acknowledged this with a simple yet effective analysis of its merits, placing it in the larger context of Modern Architecture. He characterized

the building as an example of a fascination with style," . . . blue collar ribbons on the same old Bauhaus buildings, . . . a good conversation piece but funda mentally banal, . . . architecture of pastel-colored drawings." On functional grounds it does not solve the problems it should have addressed. It is not responsive to its social and urban context and is therefore architecturally unimportant. His thesis was that the only important architecture today is that which responds to an understanding of the profession's social purpose and that only by so doing can we create a good, livable, creative environment. Von Eckardt began by outlining how Architecture lost its direction somewhere between Victorian times and the present. This was due to several great miscalculations and mistakes, including the mimicry of technology without sufficient understanding of its meanings, the adoption of styles of painting as architectural styles, and most fundamen-. . . continued on page 11.

LUCIEN KROLL Architecture & Choice

At the invitation of the architecture students at the University of Washington, Lucien Kroll is expected to visit Seattle in late October. This brief article will serve to review a few of the ideas expressed by his work, by way of an introduction.

y first suspicion that something architecturally strange and different had happened in Belgium came as I thumbed through a hotoff-the-press copy of Jenck's Post-Modern Architecture. There, on page 106, amidst the clutter of the new, the old, and the rehashed architectural imagery, were two small photos of a project attributed to Mr. Lucien Kroll and his atelier. The project was a housing and academic complex in Brussels for medical students; the buildings appeared to be radical and overgrown versions of the handmade homes one associates more with Southern California than with Northern Europe. Walls were patchworks of building materials, forms were broken and indistinct, and the interior order of the complex could not be surmised. The story line revealed some reason behind the chaos. This was a large-scale experiment in the combined participation of the users and construction workers in the design of an intricate building program. The results were demonstrably rich and eclectic, and the issues raised important.

Lucien Kroll is a Belgian architect who has pursued a focused range of issues since the mid-fifties. Kroll has interpreted the role of the architect as a designer at the service of the user (the true client), in cooperation with the builder (the artisan), providing buildings made of vernacular forms in an undisturbed landscape. Kroll's work may more properly be called an "architecture of ideas" than many of the self-proclaimed dogmas available on the current style market. It has been an architecture which relies on discernible political and social principles rather than a predominant, repetitive, and highly-personalized aesthetic. And it has been built, allowing retrospective and independent review and comment on the ideas which have motivated his design. Kroll is a stocky man with a thick and graying beard, long hair, and intense eyes. He is more inclined to pleasantries than polemics in conversation. He lives and works in a small apartment complex in residential Brussels which he designed some years ago as an expression of the values he finds important. It sits on a generous site with a simple, flat facade overlooking a quiet street. The entrances, hallways, and garden are designed as common spaces which increase the chances of encountering a

neighbor; "neighborliness" is a term that arises frequently during a conversation with Kroll. The Kroll atelier occupies space on the ground floor. A meandering garden in the rear connects the studio and the apartment units, which were designed for and initially occupied by friends and associates who have since moved on. The garden is curious. It is noticeably ragged and untended. Simone Kroll, a potter, excuses herself as a very poor gardener, but the natural disarray seems to complement the designed interiors. Kroll clearly has sought to reduce the traditional distinctions of work/home and family/colleague/friend with this building project, without eliminating them altogether. The point, he says, is at least to have the choice of the relationships you would like to pursue.

ł

The housing complex for medical students at Woluwe'-St-Lambert in Brussels remains Kroll's most controversial project, and in many ways is a logical extension of the principles incorporated into his own house. The commission for the project grew from student protests of the late-sixties. Medical students, angered by a master plan proposal for "monumental" new facilities, persuaded the administration of the Catholic University of Louvain to hire Kroll as the project architect.

The Kroll atelier took very seriously its relationship with the secondary client which had been their strong advocate. The atelier interviewed the individuals and families who were occupy the completed project and arranged a separate program for each unit to meet their expressed requirements. This resulted in spaces of such variety and character that subsequent generations of the student community have easily found units to their personal liking. After meetings with representatives of the entire community, public functions were arranged along a winding interior "street" that connects several buildings and around

. . . continued on page 9.



opinion

CRITICISM IN SEATTLE Between A Rock

ny glance across Seattle's skyline tells all; it's broader and taller than ever before and points to an architectural future we have difficulty envisioning. But we may rest assured and encouraged that it is a future unique to Seattle alone.

It follows then that our architecture criticism, as it responds to the designed world, must also be a unique and important asset. And so it seems a shame that this city should be complacent with the critical cheesecake it is accustomed to reading in the popular press. It also seems dangerous. Seattle is growing too rapidly to go it alone without inciteful comment. We're not yet an emerald, but this little green rock deserves better.

Architecture anywhere is not easy to understand right now; one might call it complex, if not fractured. Controversy surrounds the future of architecture, the history of architecture, the current relevance of theory in architecture and its academic milieu; and all rest on the back of a burgeoning civic debate on political and aesthetic issues in architecture. Seattle is no exception. But there is no critic here committed to clarifying these issues, let alone acknowledging that they exist. And there is no passion in what criticism we do read.

The emotional passivity of these presentations engenders furies in reaction, but that is an unconstructive response. To think about a building in a new way, to reexamine our attitudes in light of the critic's opinions, to react with depth of feeling to the subject of the critical article — these are constructive activities. But to be angered by critics because they say a lot of nothing is a waste of good emotional energy. This is one aspect of an unfortunate TV mentality in journalism form - when the issue begins to hint at the touchy, at the potentially meaningful, QUICK! break for a commercial rather than accept the risk of developing that issue and possibly resolving it. The avoidance tactic commonly practiced is patronizing, frustrating, and boring. And it never even approaches being the kind of commentary that can help us see our city better.

Good criticism may be neither pretty in effect nor facile to construct. It demands much of its creator, who must be able to translate his or her own visual and spatial experiences in cogent literary ones many can understand. It deserves more of a time commitment from the critic than occasional Sunday afternoons of forced inspiration as a respite from another occupation. And it should grasp the details and the generalities and present them in relation to a defined or apparent value system. The critic must step out as a public figure with a sense of history, purpose, morals, and a belief that architecture and criticism matter.

The responsibility that falls on readers of any criticism is always great. The deeper our understanding, the greater our power; the more we know about a critic and the methods of criticism used, the greater control we retain over our experience of the criticism and the architecture it refers to.

It is helpful to determine the boundaries of the work. What is the critic attempting: to judge, to analyse, to interpret, to promote, or merely to describe? Is that role explained by the critic's training? An art historian looks at buildings differently than a real estate reporter. Which issues are confronted, and which are avoided? Seattle critics feel comfortable arguing about distant buildings and very famous architects but avoid trouble locally. How much of the critic's perspective is affected by forces beyond personal control? What editorial restrictions are imposed? How much is determined by personal preference? The more competent we are at reading criticism, the stronger will be our demand for competent criticism to read.

Looking at criticism carefully is not a merely academic exercise; there is an important triadic relationship of communication between the architect, the critic, and the public, and it is imperative that each acts responsibly toward the others. Intelligent criticism, as a link between the architect and the public, is precisely what is needed right now.

The decade of the seventies saw disillusionment with many long-held ideals. In architecture, the sanctity of the Modernist ethic was abjured, but nothing yet replaced it. Critics now can play an important role in shaping the redirection of architectural values.

Especially in Seattle, the architecture critic faces a great responsibility, and the role may not be pleasant; it will require taking risks and raising hackles. If the critic fails in this role, a valuable link between the architect and the public is absent. But if the critic can rise to this challenge with penetrating, inciteful criticism, the architecture of our city may better reflect a healthy and informed dialogue between the architect and the public. Then a glance across our skyline will speak of more than just rapid growth; it will speak of conscious change. Because day by day, out of the rock, the form of an emerald is beginning to emerge.

Avnet and Shearn

Avnet and Shearn studied Architecture at the University of Washington.

DOWNTOWN PLAN TAKES SHAPE

he comprehensive planning process for downtown Seattle's future

has taken a giant step toward becoming a real plan with the May/June publication of The 1982 Downtown Alternative Plan by the Land Use and Transportation Project (LUTP). It is already in its second edition due to unsolicited, but widespread public interest. Its three chapters list general urban design criteria intended to be translated later into specific zoning regulations. "Land Use and Urban Design", the first chapter, combines old and new: land-use categories, street walls and building setbacks, building heights, street level retail encouraged or required, floor area districts, open space location, and preservation incentives. A wide range of priorities is represented, from a one- to three-block park in the Regrade to a bonus which would increase the height limit from the standard 150 feet to 500 or 600 feet for any development in the retail core to include a department store. The City's principal concern seems to be to maintain or improve the development potential for downtown.

In this first draft/working paper format, the Plan functions as a wish list. This is especially true of "Special Elements", what the Plan refers to as "heroic acts". These include a modified version of the Gang of Five/Sherburne Group's Waterfront Plan, with due credit given; a vision for Kingdome development somewhere between new-town and parking structure, and a design competition for the 'Union Station Corridor'. "Transportation" suggests housecleaning items ("grade-separate" the intersection of Denny Way and Stewart) and discusses circulation, pedestrian and bike traffic, and parking in terms of general objectives and specific recommendations (discourage long-term parking in the residential area, add shortterm parking to the retail core; require a minimum transportation equivalent of all new development). A Third Avenue transit (bus)-only mall with north and south terminals is the backbone of the Plan.

order to achieve both physical and social (i.e. housing) goals takes a variety of forms throughout the document. The predominance of urban design elements, rather than social or economic criteria, suggests that the City expects visual remedies to solve all our problems.

An enormous number of variables makes it nearly impossible to know just what may or may not be built without a thorough and unbiased analysis. The LUTP staff has begun a "Density and Building Envelope Study" (D/BEST) to assign numbers to the criteria and establish relative priorities among them, as well as to test prototypical sites downtown. This work includes an "economic sensitivity analysis", the key to which, according to Bill Duchek, project manager, will be a computer-based investigation of the Plan's possible effects on real estate investments. An economic consultant will be added to the team later this summer.

Simple physical modeling will be attempted with some assistance from the Seattle AIA's Urban Design Committee. A more thorough computer-graphics test has been considered. It would involve programming the existing city and the Plan criteria in order to render drawings of a range of scenarios. Although this idea has great appeal, funding is not readily available, and current plans do not include it. Various groups around town are engaged in their own efforts to assess the merits and problems of the Plan's current wording. The Mayor's Advisory Task Force, an appointed group which worked with LUTP staff to develop the Plan, has withheld its formal approval pending further definition of many parts of the Plan and asked that the Task Force be included in the continuing planning process. You can read the Plan at the library or get your own copy at City Hall (call the LUTP office at 625-4591). You can call or send your comments to one of the LUTP staff people. They are accepting comments from the public to feed back into their work as they prepare for the next step in the process, the publication of "draft policies".

Probable schedule for the continuing downtown planning process

1982	
July	Seattle Planning Commis- sion to make recommen- dations based on public hearing.
September	D/BEST study complete; draft policies firmed up, printed.
November	Draft policies published.
1983	
January	Draft Environmental Im- pact Statement (DEIS) published and public com- ment period begins.
June	Mayor submits revised policies to City Council.
July	Final EIS published.

Rebecca Barnes

Rebecca Barnes has followed the downtown planning process both as a member of the AIA Urban Design Committee and as a member of Citizens Alliance for an Urban Seattle.





The idea that private development interests will join the City as its partner in

#SPACE # PLANNING FOR ARCHITECTS ГÒ to bog Marcegu

ROSCOLOUIE Sopt. 9 - Sopt. 28 David Baze Sopt. 30 - Oct. 19 Gale McCall Oct. 21 - Nov. 9 Joyce Moty Wendy Brawer Rosco Louie Gallery Seattle, WA 206-682-5228

UNREALIZED DREAMS

A STORY OF THE DENNY REGRADE

A furious and relentless war, waged against downtown Seattle's natural contours and tidelands for over thirty years at the beginning of this century, left behind a scarred topography much different from the original. Cut and fill operations conducted against the original lay of the land were made to accommodate both real and imagined growth. One such battle was fought over Denny Hill; in the end nobody won.

Seattle, in the years between 1900 and 1910, experienced boom-town growth and thinking. R. H. Thomson, Seattle's Chief Engineer, planned for the physical expansion of the commercial city away from its original core and north into the area of Denny Hill. "Some people seemed to think that because there were hills in Seattle originally, some of them ought to be left there, no difference how injurious a heavy grade over a hill may be to the property beyond the hill."1 Thomson's way to increase the commercial value of the property beyond Denny Hill was to eliminate the hill, wipe the slate clean, and replace it with more commercially valuable flat sites which would be linked to the existing commercial center. In his mind, everyone would prosper.

THE PLAN

As City Engineer, Thomson alone did not have the power to undertake such a grand scheme as the leveling of Denny Hill. He and his allies therefore launched an effort to convince the property owners of Denny Hill of the profits that awaited them. Seventy-five percent of the area's property owners were needed to petition City Council for an "improvement district" to further Thomson's goals. One argument used to persuade potential signers went like this: "Property on Second Avenue at Pike Street is worth perhaps \$2,000 a front foot; but 120 feet away from that, where the hill is very steep, Second Avenue property is worth only \$100 to \$200 a front foot, and values are still less farther up the hill."² This numbers game made the proposition look very attractive to those who saw potential windfall profits in their property. There was no full consensus reached on the issue, however; the final petition contained only 7 percent more signatures than the minimum required.

The improvement district covered approximately 21 square blocks and $2^{1/2}$ miles of streets stretching from Pine to Cedar Street and Second to Fifth Avenue. Within these boundaries on Denny Hill were solid neighborhoods of small homes and apartment flats of Seattle's working class. Crowning the top of the hill was the Washington Hotel, its Vic-



1917 panorama of the first Denny Regrade drawn by B. Dudley Stuart. Note the remainder of Denny Hill in the foreground. Its leveling began in the late twenties and finished in the thirties. Drawing courtesy of the University of Washington Special Collections.

torian splendor a source of local pride. The proposed work was monumental. Cuts into the hill ranged from 10 to 112 feet, the greatest coming from the block between Third and Fourth Avenues and Lenora and Blanchard Streets (the present location of the Fourth and Blanchard Building with its Pennzoil Place-like skyline.) To regrade that one block involved moving 363,000 cubic yards of earth, an amount comparable to digging out a football field to 218 feet below grade.

Moving all that dirt was not cheap. In all, approximately \$1,500,000 was spent to remove more than 5 million cubic yards of Denny Hill between 1906 and 1911. The City spent \$1,000,000 to regrade the public streets while the property owners who subscribed to the local improvement district paid \$500,000 to have their lots leveled.

THE HOLD OUTS

Not all the property owners in the Denny Hill improvement district subscribed to the regrade. For varying lengths of time, individuals held out against economic and legal pressures to comply. If, for example, they did not take advantage of the contractor's first price of 27[¢] per cubic yard, they would have to pay nearly four times that amount for the same work later. Regardless of the consequences, some held out while the regrade continued around them. In certain areas these hold-outs ended up with lots (called "spite mounds"), one hundred feet in the air above the new grade. These people were considered obstructionists by proponents of the project. In a legal challenge, Seattle's Corporation Counsel ruled that they were within their rights as property owners, and many continued to hold their ground against the regrade. A few held out to the end and flatly refused to be lured by the promised riches, but most succumbed to the pressure to join.

"Some people seemed to think that because there were hills in Seattle originally, some of them ought to be left there . . ."

Resistance was in vain. Sometimes a property owner was able to salvage his home and move it to another site, but most often it was destroyed outright. The story of Z. T. Holden is typical. Referring to his home of 27 years at Fifth and Virginia, he said:

"That house was modern in every way and cost me \$3,500. [However] having no place to move my house, I turned it over to the wreckers and sold it for \$100. I figured it all kinds of ways, but could not save myself . . . They tell me my property will be worth a fortune when regrading is done. I hope so, for I have waited a long time."³

The Denny Regrade never lived up to the dreams of its promoters. Those who speculated with their homes and family property found that the profits never materialized. Many lost their only major asset and were left with nothing but vacant lots and regular payments to be made on their improvement district subscriptions.

SECOND THOUGHTS AND HINDSIGHT

- Even before the regrade was completed in 1911, public sentiment shifted from support to the feeling that large "improvements" like this were being undertaken too rapidly. This caused one regrade proponent, C. Closson, to appeal to the public to put aside its "bickering" over the merits of an old issue and work toward encouraging an influx of new capital to the area to "... take advantage of these new facilities." Somewhat defensively, he wrote in the August 26, 1911 issue of TOWN CRIER:

"We are not obstructionist speculators sitting tight on needed locations, but manufacturers of facilities. . . Hills and tidelands are our raw material and level land our product. Like other manufacturers, we need a reasonable market for our output."

Like those who had earlier called for the leveling of Denny Hill, Closson promised future growth would fill the Regrade with new businesses and industry. The prevalent feeling in the community this time, however, was one of caution, and many commercial lots remained undeveloped.

A 1917 panorama by B. Dudley Stuart, drawn six years after the completion of the work, clearly showed the finished regrade, the slow pace of development, and, scattered among the va-... continued on page 9.

3



View looking south along Second Avenue from the intersection of Bell Street before 1906 and after the completion of the first Denny Regrade. Note the Victorian structure in the left center of each photo-



graph. This comparison gives an idea of the amount of earth that was removed when Denny Hill was regraded. Photo on left courtesy of the University of Washington Special Collections.

THE FIRST COMPETITION: THE LAST MARKET PARCEL

The first of a series of juried competitions sponsored by BLUE-PRINT: for Architecture culminated in a public presentation of all entries at the Soames Dunn Building in the Pike Place Market on July 15. A hundred people listened as the lone juror, Clark Llewellyn, Director of the Oregon School of Design in Portland, lauded the wit and fantasy displayed by some entries and lamented missing perceptions in others, while he held a heckler at bay. Nils Finne and Bill Hook were the winners (they shared the \$250 and magnum of champagne).

In the past three years BLUEPRINT has sponsored well-attended lectures, exhibits, and The Urban Block Symposium. Its newest venture, this competition, attracted 39 registrants, of whom 20 entered solutions. Succeeding competitions will be designed to offer "an opportunity to become involved in drawn discussion of the design issues of our time." Grant Gustafson, BLUE-PRINT President, explained that subjects will be selected both to focus public attention and thought on important local sites and to provoke imagination and humor. In mid-September the second BLUEPRINT competition will open, its subject the design of a monument to the birthplace of Seattle at Alki Point.

The schemes shown here represent the range of responses to the program for the last parcel available for development in the Market Urban Renewal area. Bureaucratically-labeled PC-1, the 93,000 square-foot site on Western Avenue between the Market and the Alaskan Way Viaduct, centered between the new Market Park to the north and the Pike Street Hillclimb to the south, is owned by the City of Seattle. The undeveloped site is used for parking and grants a grand view of the Sound to the west. A Burlington Northern Railroad tunnel burrows through the site at its low point, more than 70 feet below its Western Avenue elevation. Excerpts from the City's Urban Renewal Plan defined a building envelope and proposed a possible program. Most designers followed these guidelines, and many chose to structure their proposals using the skewed street grids which intersect at the extensions of Pine and Stewart Streets in the center of PC-1.

"A Study in Axes Mundi," the winning proposal, depicts a classic amphitheater at the Pine/Stewart intersection; the form encloses space for public events and opens itself to the sky and to the west and waterfront. (Llewellyn noted that such a form could have problems with the Viaduct noises.) The juror complimented Hook and Finne on their handling of the connections to the Market Park (one which most proposals ignored) and to the waterfront.

Two non-cash awards were made at Llewellyn's discretion. "QE-2 Meets PC-1"received mention for "coming the farthest" from his initial reaction. Its authors, John Thomas and Elizabeth Sterling, would install the Queen Elizabeth II on PC-1. Llewellyn noted the proposal's sympathy with the Market's Disneyland qualities. A second merit award went to John Owen's proposal for a "Space Pin", close relative to the often-lambasted Space Needle and the almost unknown "Space Carrot".

Llewellyn's thoughtful and goodhumored analysis of the entries emphasized the area's market function (its street life), because many proposals ignored this aspect of the Market's unique character. He felt that most proposals responded better to the downtown in general than to the specific Market vicinity.

Submissions to THE FIRST COMPETI-TION: THE LAST MARKET PARCEL are on display in the Economy Market Building in Pike Place Market through August 16.



WINNER Nils Finne and William Hook



INTELLECTUAL CHILD OF THE NEEDLE: "Space Pin" John Owen

. . . continued on page 8.

5





THE FIRST COMPETITION

. . . continued from page 5.



DEER PARK (PLACE): A research biologist's perspective Robert Lawson

UWAJIMAYA REDUX Yoshikado Koyama





8

RALPH DOID OUTDONE: "QE·2 Meets PC·1" John Thomas and Elizabeth Sterling

REGRADE

. . . continued from page 3.

cant lots, the remaining "spite mounds" of those who defied it. A building on one of those "spite mounds" shown has survived to this day near the southeast corner of Second Avenue and Bell Street.

Built around 1890 as the Stockholm Hotel, the Victorian building survived because of its location along the northern flank of Denny Hill. Here the difference between the original grade of Second Avenue and the new level of the street amounted to only approximately 15 feet. This allowed the original owner to defy the regrade and keep the building habitable by constructing wooden stairs to the street below.

During the Twenties, the Regrade experienced new growth in serviceoriented businesses and a number of one- and two-story commercial buildings began to fill up the empty lots. Street frontage became more valuable, and the final earth of Denny Hill was excavated from beneath the pre-regrade Victorian structure and an anonymous one-story brick building erected in its place. For the next fifty years this archi-

tectural amalgam of Denny Hill-as-itonce-was and the commerical Regrade we still see today escaped development and outlived all other survivors of the original regrade.

Today this building reminds us that when Denny Hill was leveled, Seattle lost a magnificent physical landmark and an irreplaceable part of its oldest architectural legacy. And for what purpose: an anticipated demand that never came. The regrading of Denny Hill was a story of unbridled enthusiasm based on a false economy. When sensibility prevailed, it was too late. The presence of this building in the Regrade is a quiet little monument to the demise of Denny Hill and the as yet unrealized potential envisioned for its replacement.

Sale, Roger, Seattle Past to Present, p. 74.
Ibid, p. 75.

3. "Denny Hill Humps Will Be Removed (Spite Mounds,)" *Seattle P.I.*, October 2, 1910, Real Estate sec., p. 1.

Rob Anglin

Rob Anglin is currently working as an architect and architectural historian. He recently won "La Coppa Pan" 's logo design contest and not long ago received an Honorable Mention for a combination table/chair he designed for the Arango International Design Competition.

KROLL

. . . continued from page 1.

irregular courtyards which seemed to increase the chance encounter with neighbors and friends. There were no back doors to the complex.

As the project was built, the construction workers were brought into the design process as well. The Kroll atelier designed some specific, primarily public portions of the project and the basic support systems for the rest. Then a selected range of building products and materials were offered to the workers with the general instruction to complete the project. The goal was twofold: to provide meaningful variety in the final appearance and to engage the imagination and skills of the workers. The experiment was successful on both counts. Kroll has commented that the workers' routine was altered. The transistor radios were all turned off - the workers had to stop and think. Not all the decisions that were made were good, but the small failures in the middle of such great variety seem more tolerable than monumental failures in a simplified landscape.



Campus plan isometric, Woluwe-St-Lambert.

Five large buildings were constructed in this fashion. The remaining portions were abandoned by the University in the mid-seventies, as the political climate changed. Landscaping was not funded, and the colonizing weeds and plants lend a feeling of "old construction site" to the place. Woluwe'-St-Lambert is striking to see for its scale as a bold experiment and for the complexity which it introduced through the deliberate balancing of the intentions of designers, users, and builders.

Kroll's recent work contrasts with the Woluwe project. The metro station at the same site, which has just been completed, is an excellent example. No user input was employed here. Perhaps



a reader writes

Dear Editor,

A friend passed on a copy of your paper (June/July '82), because our house was listed as #6 on the map of this island connected with the article "Bainbridge Island, Summertime Touring". We were, as always, pleased that the uniqueness of the house was noted. And it is unique, not only to the island, but I dare say to Puget Sound. The article neglects to mention that Hall Bros. Shipyards were originally at Port Blakely, before the move to Eagle Harbor. During this period our house was built by a ship carpenter (if that's the proper term) employed by Hall Bros. For that reason, he felt no plans (at least professionally drawn ones) were necessary, and the house is full of Olaf Haaglund's quirks, such as very steep stairs and a general feeling of being on a boat.

The scale of the house is deceptive. The outside looks very grand, but the "slack" is taken up inside with generally small rooms. The upstairs in particular suffers. The windows, in order to fit under the exterior dentils of the frieze, start at one's ankles & end at one's nose — with a ceiling height of only 7'4". The

Kroll was a bit stung by criticism that he had abandoned design in favor of the users' choices. The metro station is an expression. Mushroom-like columns support the root balls of trees and shrubs above the station, which has wildly-irregular windows, skylights, and openings. Huge, cast-metal knobs



coffered ceilings downstairs and "cozy corner" bay between living & dining rooms further reflect the fantasy & whimsy of the builder, as do the porticoes which again seem large, but are scarcely commodious enough for a rocking chair.

The fantasy of Mr. Haaglund is most apparent in the style of the house. Catherine Barrett states it is "a flat-roofed quasi-Italianate" house. Indeed, it is full-blown, hand-made Greek Revival. The flat roof is the catch — it burnt off in 1959, but the original was a steeppeaked, multi-gabled, dormered affair complete with widow's walk. It is much more a New England sea captain's house of 1840, or at least Olaf Haaglund's idea of one.

We acquired the property a year ago & will restore the house, including the roof, over the next few years. Interestingly enough, another article mentions Gertrude Jekyll — my strongest influence in landscaping — so you can well imagine the herbaceous, white, and gray shrub borders that we will be installing.

> Jerry Sedenko Blakely Hill Farm

support the lighting system which wanders around the ceiling. Glass dividers are decorated with wandering, colorful floral designs. Every tendency to simplify and organize has been resolutely resisted. This project reveals a strong reference in Kroll's work to the Art Nouveau movement. Kroll studied architecture at la Cambre in Brussels, founded by Henry van de Velde and dominated by his followers. Like many Art Nouveau buildings which still dot Brussels, the metro station seems to be a research in individual freedom through fluid and entirely unpredictable forms which rely heavily on the craftsmen's skill for effect.

It is exceedingly difficult to classify the work of Lucien Kroll, which has everything to do with his fundamental ntions. As a continuing research in to the variety and possibility of choice, each Kroll project corresponds to a specific site, program, client, context, and group of builders. They are in part dependent on the intentions of others, in addition to those of the project architect. As such, it is not a very predictable architecture. Its forms provide no general models; there are no appearances to imitate. Kroll's ideas about individual choice are the important generalizations, while his architecture remains particular and idiosyncratic.



Don't judge this stove by its beauty!

They say beauty is skin deep. However, the Petit Godin has an inner beauty as well. The care and workmanship that goes into making this stove beautiful also produces one of the most efficient stoves on the market today. So efficient, many owners report their stove saved them as much as 50% of the cost of heating their home. Others claim it heated their entire home.

entire nome. Most stoves are designed to burn only one fuel. Some burn only wood. Some burn only coal. The Petit Godin is designed to burn both coal and wood as effectively as those designed for one fuel.

designed to burn born coal and wood as entectively as those designed for one fuel. The Petit Godin has proven itself in the homes of France since 1889, Available in two sizes: large for house-size warmth and small for room-size warmth. Both sizes require little floor space and the smaller vents easily into a fireplace. You have a choice of three ename!

choice of three enamel colors: sand, cedar green and brown.

en GODIN

The Woodstove Store August West Chimney Sweeps

on Capitol Hill

1316 East Pine Seattle 325-3299 We are proud to be the Authorized Dealer for Godin. Come visit us to see this beauty and pick up your color brochure.

Station de Metro Alma, recently completed at Woluwe-St-Lambert.

Steven G. Cecil

9

Steve Cecil, who is a graduate of the University of Washington's Master of Architecture program, has lived and traveled in Europe. During a trip last fall he met and interviewed Lucien Kroll at Kroll's home. Steve also writes for the Madison Park Post.

Mezzotints by the English Master

John Martin (B 1789 - D 1854)

hand-tinted, stone lithographs by David Roberts 1796 - 1864 Available at •

PRINZ-VINCENT FINE ARTS

2617 FIFTH AVENUE . SEATTLE, WASHINGTON . 98121 (206) 624-0379



Program Outline

- Sept. 24: Keynote address: Richard Lyman, president of the Rockefeller Foundation and former president of Stanford University.
- Sept. 25: All-day symposium on the medical, psychological, environmental and ethical implications of nuclear war and the threat of nuclear war. Participants include physicians Judith Lipton and Paul Beeson; Harvard Medical School Professor of Psychiatry John E. Mack; Jonas Salk, developer of polio vaccine; and David Brower, head of Friends of the Earth. Afternoon sessions feature Richard Falk, authority on international law; Father William Sullivan, president of Seattle University; and Rabbi Norman Hirsch of Seattle.
- Sept. 26: Individual church programming on prevention of nuclear war.

TARGET SEATTLE will be a week-long dialogue on how Sept. 27: U.S. Defense Policy

best to prevent nuclear war. Its purpose is to encourage citizens to inform themselves, decide where they stand, and then act on their convictions. TARGET SEATTLE will offer the opportunity to consider the atternatives thoughtfully and systematically.

TARGET SEATTLE is a citizen-supported, non-profit organization. Tax-deductible donations may be sent to TARGET SEATTLE, 904 - 4th Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98104. Phone 382-5018.

Sept. 28: Nuclear freeze proposals

Sept. 29: Disarmament initiatives

Sept. 30:Economic implications of the arms buildup "What about the Russians?"

- Pollster Lou Harris presents findings of his latest poll Oct. 1: on American attitudes about the threat of nuclear war.
- Oct. 2: Finale in the Kingdome, featuring Archibald Cox, national chairman of Common Cause, and other national figures.

10

This advertisement was donated by ARCADE.

ARCHITECTURE ON THE WALLS

n July 14 "Speaking a New Classicism: American Architecture Now", an exhibition of 137 renderings, axonometric drawings, photographs, and models, assembled by Smith College, opened at the Portland Art Museum. According to Helen Searing, Curator of the exhibition, "New Classicism" is defined as "the presence in a given design of recognizable classical motifs, . . . tormats, . . . and typologies." While adequate, that definition seems an oversimplification of this emerging trend.

The exhibition presents the art of the architect in its rendered form. One sees mainly exteriors of buildings, rendered two-dimensionally with Zipatone, Prismacolors, and watercolor washes. Designs are not based on one particular historical style but on an amalgamation of styles.

The great and good practitioners of Post-modernism are represented. Philip Johnson's "New Playhouse Theatre" in Columbus, Ohio is displayed in a photograph of a drawing. His acute attention to detail and perspective caused one architect to respond, "This looks like something my father did 50 years ago."

The estate-like country home has also made a return appearance. Venturi, Polshek, Gluck, and Graves all offer schemes for little palaces in locations from Connecticut to California. These homes have in common little round windows, colonnades, the non-primary colors of Post-modernism, and curious cross-references to historical motifs. "Taking something familiar and making it slightly off can make its familiarity eloquent," Venturi has written of his "Country House Based on Mount Vernon.

This exhibition is an exposition of Post-modernism and raises some questions about the present state of architecture. If this new style is, as Curator Searing thinks, "a revolt against the monosyllabic, if not mute, stance of modern architecture," it looks to be in the throes of battle against the straight line. These architects are trying on historical styles as if to find a new, improved language for building. Right now they appear reactionary, wrapped up in the facade and in the glories of other times, as if architecture, like life in these poor days, is unsatisfying.

When San Francisco architect Herbert McLaughlin spoke in Portland recently, he said that architecture is in a period of insecurity. Architects don't seem to know what to make now that the guiding light of Modernism dims. That insecurity is displayed in "Speaking a New Classicism," at once a colorful and a troubling exhibition. Perhaps the show will spark a renewed interest in the built environment. One can't help but look up at the skyline after leaving the Art Museum.



VON ECKARDT

tal of all, an abandonment of history, the historical context and the idea that building forms should evolve out of their functions. In these ways, Architecture has discarded or forgotten much of what Von Eckardt claims to be its primary purpose — a social responsibility, the building's individual responsibility to the site, climate, and urban context. When Architecture is pursued as Style, as Post-modernism is practiced, Architecture is, he suggested, "traveling up a blind alley".

Despite certain failures of Architecture, discernible trends and movements in the United States give Von Eckardt hope for the quality of our cities. Diverse groups, formed in the 60's and 70's around single issues, ranging from preserving Victorian buildings to preserving the wilderness, have become more comprehensive in their interest and are forming alliances. Today historic preservation groups, environmentalists, arts groups, and neighborhood organizations are beginning to work toward the common goal of a livable environment. This is a new spirit in America, according to Von Eckardt. In it he perceives the grass-roots reappraisal of Architecture and the beginning of an integrated approach to the design of the built environment. He chastised his audience, saying that architects should be leading this rethinking, instead of being led by it; that it is time for all of them to be. . . continued from page 1. come deeply involved.

In describing this new movement, Von Eckardt made a point of saying that the objection is not directed against Architecture per se, that it is not concerned with pitting Modern versus "non-Modern" architecture. (The Modern Movement was a rich and creative period with great and popular achievements, one of the more notable recent examples of which is Pei's East Wing addition to the National Gallery of Art.) Rather, its central concern is simply to achieve good architecture, not bad architecture. To reinforce his point, Von Eckardt defined good architecture as socially responsive and bad architecture as that which forgets its social purpose in its concern for style. He called for an Architecture based on humanism, asking to abandon the notion that growth be skyward or larger. Examples of such work locally are found in Portland's Transit Mall and Seattle's Pike Place Market, both of which respond to social needs and de-emphasize questions of style. It is , he concluded, "Better to be good than to be original.'

Iain Robertson

Iain Robertson, a native of Scotland, is a landscape architect trained first as an architect. He is currently living in Portland and working in the Portland office of Jones & Jones. Iain will join the University of Washington's Landscape Architecture faculty in the fall.



Seattle's own example of good architecture, Pike Place Market. Sketch by Fred Redmon.

ARCADE is published six times a year in Seattle, Washington. Subscription rates are \$10.00 per year for individuals, \$15.00 per year for offices and organizations, and \$20.00 per year for foreign subscriptions. Individual copies are \$2.00. Letters and articles are welcomed, but we cannot guarantee publication. The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the authors. Address all correspondence to: ARCADE, 2318 Second Avenue, Box 54, Seattle, Washington 98121. © ARCADE 1982.

Managing Editor: Katrina Deines

Editorial Board: Mark Ashley, Rebecca Barnes, PJL Brown, Clarissa Easton, Bill Gaylord, Maryanne Perkowski, Alan Razak, David Schraer.

August/September Editor: Rebecca Barnes

Contributors: Rob Anglin, Martha Bergman, Blueprint: for Architecture, Iain Robertson, Avnet and Shearn, Michael Remarcke.

Calendar: Bill Gaylord, Susan Boyle, Katrina Deines, Rob Anglin, Tony Case, Susan Luke, Nora Jaso, Sonia Ishi, Steve Schlenker, Virginia Voorhees.

Layout: Clarissa Easton, Mark Ashley, Bill Gaylord, Rebecca Barnes, David Schraer, Katrina Deines, Brian Glover, Mark Hayduk.

Advertising: Maryanne Perkowski, Janet Boguch.

The exhibit ends August 22.

Martha Bergman

Martha Bergman is a Portland resident and the new staff director of the Portland Chapter A.I.A.

U W ARCHITECTURE

The College of Architecture and Urban Planning has announced an (almost) entirely new administrative leadership roster. They are: Gordon Varey, Deanelect; Robert Small, Architecture Chair; Steven Goldblatt, Building Construction Chair; Sally Schauman, Landscape Architecture Chair; Donald Miller, Urban Planning Acting Chair (summer); Norman Johnston, Urban Design Acting Chair. we offer gift wrapping, mailing, phone and special orders. Located on the Pike Hillclimb between the Pike Market and the Aquarium.

Hours: M-W 10-5:30; Th, F, Sat, 10-10; Sun, 1-5.



1503 Western Ävenue Seattle 206/682-5444 Special thanks to the Naramore Foundation and to the Allied Arts Foundation for their sustaining grants to ARCADE.







northwest architecture

MILLER/HULL PARTNERSHIP

avid Miller and Bob Hull came to Seattle in 1977 to open a regional office for the Vancouver firm RIA Architects. The pairing survived the demise of the parent organization in 1980, and since then the Miller/Hull Partnership has gone on to build an impressive body of innovative design projects.

Both partners are WSU graduates and both served stints in the Peace Corps — Miller in Brazil and Hull in Afghanistan. Hull returned to the U.S. to work for Marcel Breuer while Miller went to the University of Illinois for his Master's degree and then to SOM in Chicago and Arthur Erickson in Vancouver. In 1975 Miller went to work for RIA and soon persuaded Hull to follow suit. The two considered going out on their own in 1977, but an offer from RIA to open a Seattle office for them instead promised the benefits of an established office for the difficult start-up period. Working on their own has given Miller/Hull the opportunity to explore shared design interests in their projects. Both men admire the work of James Stirling, Norman Foster, and Louis Kahn, and the influences of these architects can be seen in their work. Structure, for example, is used as an expressive element, in an idiom analogous to those used by the Late Modern architects, and there is a functional bent to the use of materials rather than an ornamental one.

Perpetual budget constraints and the firm's concern for passive solar design have led to the recurring use of certain materials and elements (in particular, glazed roll-up garage doors, which show up in residences and at the Petosa Shopping Center) always used in innovative ways.

Although most of the office's design work is borne by the two partners, the staff has been with them for several years and by now understands their approach thoroughly enough to support it through additional design input. Miller and Hull design together, frequently tossing conceptual ideas back and forth to push each other further than either might go alone. For them, this process works well, and they continue to produce inventive and practical projects.

Alan Razak

Al Razak writes for **ARCADE**. His interest in fostering good local design (and a good game of squash) led him to write about the Miller/Hull Partnership.



Cedar Hills Activities Building, Maple Valley, WA (1980). This extremely low-budget building utilizes economical materials — plywood siding, corrugated steel and fiberglass roofing, and preformed wood trusses — and crisp detailing. Piers elevate the slab above unstable soil.



Hansen Residence, Moses Lake, WA (1980). An earth-sheltered passive-solar house designed for a family of four on a small farm site. Three feet of soil cover the roof; the entry is a tunnel to the north. Garage door sections form a greenhouse in winter, roll up to shade the porch in summer.

12



Passive Solar House, Mill Creek, WA (1981). In this "spec" house, the lower floor window wall/solar collector curves to follow the path of the sun. Activities are arranged behind the wall to best utilize sunlight and warmth at different times of day.



Petosa Center, Monroe, WA (1980). Wood frame glass garage door sections turned on their sides form curving storefront walls for shops at a retail mall. Remaining construction is tilt-up concrete, truss-joists, and slab-on-grade.