High-relief ceramic mural created by Raventos' artists for the back wall of the sanctuary of St. Mary Magdalen Church, Altamonte Springs, Florida

Raventos professional staff has been fulfilling the aesthetic and liturgical needs of churches for generations. Whether a sanctuary renovation, a complete church interior, or a single artistic object, Raventos' inheritance of the master-apprentice tradition of European craftsmanship assures you of the best in contemporary art and workmanship in the service of God.

Our devotion to the enrichment of divine worship has found its expression in hundreds of churches everywhere, ranging from the world's largest basilica to the smallest chapels.

Raventos' artistic creativity provides the flexibility needed to truly reflect the spirit of your particular church community.
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A great craft, but not a great mystery.
Stained glass can alter light, completely or partially. It can create a mood or sustain it. It is a great traditional craft that has kept pace with contemporary needs. In scores of ecclesiastical, multi-purpose and commercial buildings for over four decades...from the original design, to the manufacture, to the actual installation...Rambusch Stained Glass Studio has added its distinctive touch to the unique signature of the architect. Contact: William T. Weber

NEW PROJECTS
OF INTEREST

St. Andrew's Place —
Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

Architects: The Ikoy Partnership
Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

St. Andrew's Place is a $2.5 million, 11-story community use building located within Winnipeg Urban Renewal Area. The project is operated by St. Andrew's Place (Management) Inc., with the assistance of the Winnipeg Presbytery of the United Church of Canada. Presently under construction, it is expected to be completed by fall of 1975.

Background information on St. Andrew's United Church reveals that it has played an historically important role in the life of Central Winnipeg. It has served as both a place of worship and a center offering leadership in social and community services. The fire that destroyed the old church building provided an opportunity for the congregation to redefine its role to provide ways by which its property and resources could be more effectively used.

As a result, St. Andrew's Church has developed its site to provide a facility geared to an improvement of the surrounding community. The incorporation of St. Andrew's Church within a multi-purpose building to be known as St. Andrew's Place will serve a variety of needs. Included among them:

- Senior citizen housing.
- Comprehensive community health clinic.
- Food co-operative.
- Permanent inter-denominational chapel.
- Multi-purpose areas for community functions.

consultation/planning/design/fabrication/installation
stained glass/metal/wood/lighting/cultic appointments

RAMBUSCH
40 West 13 Street New York, N.Y. 10011 (212) 675-0400
NOTES & COMMENTS

In Memoriam—The Rev. Dr. Edward S. Frey

If we had happened to be attending a GRA conference and learned of the death of Ed Frey, we would no doubt "gather"—whether in chapel or conference bar—and recall how much enrichment Ed Frey brought to each one of us. As we weighed our loss, we would, one by one, tell of great occasions when Ed's friends were gathered around him, gathered at his feet in many respects. Anecdotes, stories, hopes and dreams wove a rich pattern around those gatherings. Each of us may recall a different one, but each one will change tears to smiles as we recalled how Ed enriched each of our lives—and the great enrichment he brought to us as a group through his counseling, his testimony and his leadership in the GRA and its affiliations.

This note then is only a feeble attempt to provide some recognition of Ed Frey's contribution. Without knowing anything about the peace or pain of his final hours, I feel very sure that Ed left this level of our place in the universe with the full confidence that all was right and would be right. His regrets would have been only that he did not have that next opportunity to see that fleeting bird or hear its song in the early morning hours.

One of my memories of Ed Frey stems from one of our trips to Nantucket. At one point during that trip, Muriel Willet, my wife Marj and Ed were planning an excursion for the early morning hours to view the bird life on a Nantucket shore. I don't recall the result of those plans, but I remember the enthusiasm, the excitement and the hope of that moment; if only each of us could bring that quality to our own dreams for the future.

I remember meeting Maria only once—on the sidewalks of New York City. But that is not the vision of Maria that I have. Rather, it is the love, the pride, the devotion that was in Ed Frey's voice when he said, "My Maria." He used this expression on almost every occasion when he referred to Maria. I suspect that each of you, like me, felt the strength of the bond between two people in hearing "My Maria."

The part that each of us has played in furthering the goals and purposes of the Guild has been made more meaningful because of the sense of mission that the leadership of Ed Frey instilled in us. Certainly, we will miss him—but we will not be without him. Each of us can offer a prayer of thanks that our lives were enriched by Ed Frey's vision, his humor, his humanness. For the rest of my life, each time I see a new bird, I will think of Ed. When I cannot identify it, I will wish wistfully that I might reach out to obtain his counsel and warm fellowship.

Robert L. Durham, FAIA, GRA
Seattle, Wash.

Cont. p. 27
1975 National Interfaith Conference on Religion and Architecture
San Antonio, Tex. — April 14, 15, 16
Hilton Palacio del Rio
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A NEW RELIGIOSITY? SENSATE CHAOS?

or

What the Heck Is Happening to Church Building?

by

Harold E. Wagoner, FAIA

Has the demand for "religious buildings" finally run its course? Has the institutional church already breathed its last? Absolutely not! Religion is mighty hard to kill, and indeed the patient is a lot healthier than many would be led to believe, dire predictions notwithstanding—such as those of Robert Ingersoll who, over a hundred years ago, insisted that Christianity was already dead.

We also have our modern prophets of religious doom. In 1971, the Rev. Edwin C. Lynn wrote: "Once upon a time there were church structures built with dignity, sustained by belief and strengthened by community purpose. Many of these structures are today's Tired Dragons, their energies spent, their fires nearly extinguished and their tails drooping."

Of course we have a lot of "Tired Dragons," especially in the inner city where population changes have outmoded structures built for other societies and other needs. But there are also a lot of healthy dragons which, if not spouting fire, are busy rallying points for those vast numbers whose innate beliefs in religion lead them to corporate activities.

In every age religious buildings have been a permanent feature of human endeavor. Architecture for worship has always taken on an existence—an ontology—of its own—distinct from the extrinsic forces which created it. There is little reason to believe that the generic energies which undergird the impulse of man to honor the unknown—God if you will—through building have suddenly subsided. Man is still an evolutionary animal whose behavior patterns change in cyclic, not sudden fashion.

How Much Religious Building Is There?

When Voltaire wrote Candide in the 1700's, he created a character called

Dr. Pangloss who, despite a series of horrible catastrophes, kept assuring Candide that "all is for the best in the best of all possible worlds." According to recent statistics (Architectural Record, January 1975), architects across the country are not enjoying "the best of all possible worlds." Nevertheless, it could be pointed out that the gloom which seems to be present in some denominational national boards relative to the possible demise of church building is somewhat premature.

For instance, in 1974 the astounding sum of almost 1 billion dollars was spent on religious buildings! The actual figure, according to the U.S. Department of Commerce was $950,000,000, which is $136,000,000 greater than the $814,000,000 spent in 1973! The 1974 figure of $950,000,000 is not too far off the $1,100,000,000 figure recorded ten years ago in 1965, but we must recognize that the volume is less because of inflation. The fact remains that the 1974 figure of almost a billion dollars spent on religious buildings represents a vast amount of construction.

The air of resigned pessimism which hovers over those within and without the organized church who believe that a Post-Christian era is not only inevitable but imminent, cannot be supported statistically, according to the December 1974 issue of the Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion. Here is what the Journal claims: "Survey data for national samples of Americans suggest that there has been no appreciable decline in levels of religious belief in recent years, and that the generational factor does not play as crucial a role as has been thought."

This statement is contrary to much popular belief, especially with respect to the minimizing of the width of the gap between old and young.

I suppose it is reasonable to suspect a certain amount of bias in any journal which has "religion" in its masthead. But when Psychology Today (November 1974) states: "three-fourths of all Americans between 18 and 24 belong to the three main religions," and that the majority of them are now seeking their individual bents within the Establishment, we must concede a certain amount of realism. The article in which these statements appeared was called "God in the Gut," and was the result of a lengthy questionnaire sent to readers, which produced a rather startling 40,000 replies.

Certainly, we cannot ignore the fact that church membership is declining, and that institutional bodies—top heavy with bureaucrats and staff members—will have to change their modus operandi, if they are to remain solvent. In essence this decline is a cyclic event, but the cycle may be deeper than anything we have experienced in previous years, and the recovery may be less. The recovery may also be different as new alliances emerge in the religious world, and as new perspectives on what religion means, or can
mean to modern man, are perceived, experienced and implemented.

People relate to the church for varying reasons, but doubtless one of the strongest is the result of patterns established in youth through parental guidance and discipline. One attended Sunday services as a routine—without question—to learn the “Thou Shalt Not” tenets of Moses translated into modern terms.

In the long process of humanizing the priesthood and the consequent emergence of Protestantism, we have seen a further shift toward humanistic concepts of religion as it assumes a more personal, individualistic attitude. God, while still amorphously “up there,” is now increasingly earth-bound and mystically oriented in a personal fashion. HE did not die; HE simply moved downstairs. Will the shift to humanism affect those whose habit patterns (church attendance) learned in youth are no longer meaningful? There are many who are unaware of the vast number of persons who, in a relatively short space of time, have become involved in activities which can loosely be described as “humanist” in their very varied thrusts. While this movement is not religious per se, it is a mistake to believe that it is anti-religious. Indeed many of the activities may be, in some respects, far more “religious” than the repetitive prescribed formats which in the guise of “worship” too often produce a sense of apologetic apathy.

The principal speaker at the annual meeting of the Association for Humanistic Psychology in New Orleans, August 1974 was Huston Smith, a brilliant theologian from Syracuse University. As envisioned by Smith, the concept of religion in the world instead of out of the world in a mystical heaven, begins to bring a validity into individual and corporate action; a validity which in the past has been too often non-existent in the average church service. The humanistic movement is healthy; it is far-reaching; and it has already had an appreciable effect upon what is happening in “conventional” churches, including the arrangement of the furniture and the architecture which surrounds the activities.

The Golden Age of American Church Building 1920-1970 (Or Was It?)

Despite the Great Depression of 1929 and minor subsequent recessions, religious architecture in the U.S. during the last fifty years has had a phenomenal growth. From an architectural standpoint, the level of excellence left much to be desired, especially as one compares examples here with the excellent work done in central Europe and Scandinavia. I think it can fairly be said, however, that the blame—if there is some onus of responsibility—rests largely, or at least partially upon the cultural norms in this country, rather than upon an architectural lack of talent and vision.

If one were to judge the kind of dwelling in which we reside by the exotic examples shown in the annual collections published by the Architectural Record, one would come to the conclusion that we Americans are basically “Gung Ho!” and “With It.” The truth of the matter is that the bulk of American residences in the U.S. are created for those who feel most “at home” amidst mass-produced mediocrity. The January 1975 Architectural Record has this to say about the motives which prompt the majority of home buyers: “The distress (for architects) lies in the realization that the codified standards of design consciousness set forth in modern architecture since World War I have had about as much effect on the average 20th Century American as the Renaissance had on the average 15th Century Tuscan.”

While Mr. Average Businessman will without question build a modern industrial plant and work in a modern office building or drive a modern car, he evidently feels more comfortable in a non-modern dwelling. This subtle dichotomy is magnified in his concept of what a church “ought to look like,” and no amount of explaining that architectural classical motives on his chapel were invented by Greek pagans long before Christ was born will eradicate his belief that colonial architecture is somehow more holy than Abramovits’ Fish Church in Stanford, Conn.

This reluctance to part with the past is now new. Let’s not forget that the first commercial automobiles (horseless carriages) had “buggy whip” sockets as a part of standard equipment! But architects who design religious buildings have long recognized the existence of this conservative strain and have tried, when they could, to divert this type of prehensile thinking into more constructive channels. The effort has been only mildly successful, but has shown many recent gains.

The Way Things Were

Courses in “traditional” architecture died in American colleges around 1930, some eleven years after Walter Gropius had founded the Bauhaus School in Wiemar, Germany in 1919. About 1925 the French “concours” was full of examples of “Art Nouveau”; American students were clamoring for new approaches; there was a healthy restless intellectual ferment in our schools of architecture.

In Europe, under the leadership of such men as Rudolf Schwarz, who was as much a theologian as he was an architect, outstanding examples of modern religious architecture began to appear. Notre Dame de Raincy, a concrete and glass church which was built by the Perret Brothers in Paris in 1925, heralded a new church building era in Central Europe.

Fifteen years elapsed before the impact of the modern approach was felt to any appreciable extent in the U.S., and non-traditional architecture today still remains “suspect” in the minds of many church-related people in Middle America.

Because religious architecture in America was largely the product of a naive “grass roots” approach prior to 1924, the designs which resulted reflected a general architectural mediocrity. Each church building was a little better or a little worse than its neighbors; nearly all were poorly planned; “architectural merit” was almost non-existent. The simple charm of Early American Churches had been submerged under a flood of huge sliding doors, sloping floors, polychromed trusses and horrendous arrays of gold-painted organ pipes. It was time for a change.

The Rise of Denominational “Bureaus” (Departments) of Architecture

In 1924 the recognition of the need for new perspectives in the planning of churches resulted in the creation of the “Bureau of Architecture of the Methodist Episcopal Church.” Under its aegis a great transformation occurred in Methodist worship practices as congregations began to accent the “Episcopal” side of their heritage. Crosses, candles, seasonal colors and altars appeared; and liturgical formality replaced Evangelical simplicity. To
some extent the movement eventually affected nearly every other Protestant denomination in the U.S., except the Lutherans and Episcopalians who were already "Liturgal" in their worship format.

From an Evangelical-Protestant viewpoint I am not sure that the Liturgical revival was salubrious. One could question the rash of puerile American copies of Gothic Cathedrals sparked by Bertram Grosvenor Goodhue's St. Thomas Church in New York City (circa 1920). But the Methodist Burea had a broader, more important impact upon American religious architecture. Through the efforts of its director, Elbert M. Conover, the Church Architectural Guild of America was founded.

Although Conover's somewhat conservative outlook on architecture remained a thorn in the side of many Guild architects, it appears quite evident in retrospect that the catalytic role played by his Department and the CAGA as sponsors of joint efforts by clergy, laymen and architects, who frequently gathered together to discuss problems of mutual interest, had an appreciable long-term effect (and a good effect) upon subsequent religious architecture.

The "modern movement" in religious architecture in the U.S. was a gradual one, which picked up momentum as denomination after denomination created its own Department of Architecture, and as laymen and ministers were persuaded that "we must shape our buildings before they shape us." Guild architects working closely with denominational architectural departments were in many instances able to persuade clients that "the Lord did not exhaust Himself when He invented the Gothic arch." The annual meetings of the CAGA and the Department of Architecture of the National Council of Churches were helpful in encouraging congregations to think more about purpose and programs and community mission than about the appearance of the buildings. "Putting walls around ideas" in some measure supplanted the idea of buildings with Colonial or Gothic facades. Interest in religious building became widespread, largely through the continued emphasis which these departments and the CAGA were able to engender among those who are the ultimate arbiters of architecture—people!

Stimulating examples (not many!) of religious architecture began to appear in America, which had heretofore been far behind Europe in this field. Through the cooperation of various denominational departments of architecture and the CAGA, the strong undercurrent of religious architectural traditionalism was recognizably diminished but unfortunately not eradicated. Architects—however talented, however sincere and however sensitive and imaginative—cannot succeed in their efforts without receptive clients. But receptive clients are made, not born! It is within this sense of "making"—of stimulating ministers and building committees to think constructively of their needs—that I believe the Church Architectural Guild has made its maximum contribution. The layman's concept that nothing worthwhile has occurred on the religious architectural horizon since the 15th century, or at least until shortly after the landing of the Pilgrims, can be countered far more effectively by ministers (and particularly those in key denominational positions) than it can by architects whose professional "contemporary" leanings are sometimes suspect.

The thirty-five year history of the CAGA, as it has cooperated with various denominational bodies, clergy and laymen in effecting a cross-pollination of effort, has been at least partially responsible for establishing healthy architect-client relationships, which are conducive to producing structures truly related to current societal needs. But progress has been admittedly slow. (Note: In 1965 the CAGA became an affiliate of The American Institute of Architects, changing its name to the Guild for Religious Architecture and becoming interfaith and interdenominational in its structure and membership.)

There were some architects to whom the idea of having their designs reviewed by a denominational department or bureau was an exercise in futility. If the architect was capable and knowledgeable about what he was doing, I agree. However, the value of most of the denominational architectural departments had gone far beyond the reviewing of plans or counselling as to money matters. This was particularly true of the work of the late Dr. Edward S. Frey, whose constant emphasis upon the examination of congregational purposes before undertaking a building program had a deep and lasting effect not only upon structures for Lutheran use, but upon many other denominations who used the documents he had prepared for "in depth" analyses of their mission, their program, their opportunities and their obligations to the community, prior to the start of construction.

During the past three years we have witnessed the demise of practically all official denominational interest in religious building, due in large measure to shrinking budgets and the consequent re-ordering of priorities. But I think it is a mistake to attribute the appreciable amount of this change to a lack of congregational interest in building new structures or remodelling old ones for corporate use. The cry of "no more buildings" is dying, and the idea that we should "give the money (what money?) to the poor" is only a faint whisper. The statistics speak for themselves—not eloquently—but still with some vigor.

Today a congregation which is about to embark upon a building program has almost no source of denominational counselling in any area except on how to raise money. Some denominations, such as the Disciples of Christ call upon freelance consultants; this situation is somewhat extant among the Missouri Disciples of Christ. But it seems to me, aside from the matter of personal expertise, the greatest need is to provide constructive, constructive congregational interest in building new structures or remodelling old ones for corporate use. The cry of "no more buildings" is dying, and the idea that we should "give the money (what money?) to the poor" is only a faint whisper. The statistics speak for themselves—not eloquently—but still with some vigor. Today a congregation which is about to embark upon a building program has almost no source of denominational counselling in any area except on how to raise money. Some denominations, such as the Disciples of Christ call upon freelance consultants; this situation is somewhat extant among the Missouri Disciples of Christ.
In 1967, while a student at the University of Oregon, I went to hear Alvar Aalto speak at Mount Angel Benedictine College in Mount Angel, Oregon. The college had retained Aalto as the architect for their new library, and he was there to make final adjustments to his design and site plan. Aalto had consented to give a lecture, and after the lecture a question and answer period followed. Toward the end of this period a gentleman rose to ask what was to be the concluding question. Prefacing his question with praise for Aalto’s sensitivity to the human condition and excellence in design, the gentleman asked where Aalto found his information and insights upon which to base his designs. Aalto, acutely aware of the place and occasion, simply smiled and answered, “I usually find it in a small black book about this thick!” (He was referring, of course, to the Bible.)

The statement reflects Aalto’s belief that “Architecture— the real thing—is only to be found when man stands at the center.” Architecture therefore creates a meaningful framework for the actions of man. One immediately senses this attitude in Aalto’s religious architecture, and is struck by the dignity his buildings offer to the spiritual actions of the inhabitants. Aalto’s churches are architectural offerings to the religious experience: man’s relationship with God and the ceremonial acts celebrating that relationship. The framework Aalto creates—or architectural composition if you will—

is a subtle blend of architectural and liturgical elements. The altar, the pulpit, the organ loft for music and choir, and the baptismal font are set in an architectonic frame mediated by space, light, acoustic control and materials.

With the exception of several church remodels and one church—all executed in the mid-1920’s, the majority of Aalto’s religious buildings have been designed in the last fifteen years of his practice. Two churches, the one in Vouksenniska (Fig. 1) and the one in Seinäjoki (Fig. 2), are well known to most architects. But less familiar are several of Aalto’s latest designs which include the church in Wolfsburg, Germany (Fig. 3), and the first place competition entry for the church in Zürich-Altstetten, Switzerland (Fig. 4). These two churches represent more recent developments in Aalto’s religious architecture. There is one distinction that should be noted among the four buildings. The church in Seinäjoki is a cathedral, being the episcopal see for northern and central Finland, while the other three are local parish churches. Thus the Seinäjoki church assumes a size and formality that differentiates it from the three smaller churches. Yet, in studying the four together, we gain a better under-
standing of the continuities occurring throughout Aalto's religious oeuvre.

The first of these continuities in Aalto's churches is the relationship between the altar, the pulpit and the organ loft. Aalto generally creates a triangular composition with the three. The altar being the most sacred element is placed in the center of the composition, with the pulpit to the left, and the organ loft (which he always elevated for acoustic reasons) to the right. The interiors of the churches in Vouksenniska (Fig. 5), Wolfsburg (Fig. 6) and Zürich-Altstetten (Fig. 7) are organized in this manner. Only the church in Seinäjoki (Fig. 8) breaks this triangular organizational pattern by placing the organ loft in the rear of the church. It is interesting to note the significance the organ loft plays in the architectural composition. Since it is an integral part of the worship service, Aalto has emphasized its importance architecturally. The richness it gives to the architectural framework is easily understood by comparing the interiors of the three parish churches with the interior of the Seinäjoki church. One liturgical element, the baptismal font, does not have a fixed relationship to this tri-part composition. It may occur in a separate chapel (Seinäjoki), as part of the altar composition (Zürich-Altstetten), or in a sub-space off the main altar area (Wolfsburg).

Aalto is very concerned with the acoustic tone of the sermon. To insure that his spaces have the appropriate acoustic buoyancy, Aalto uses two architectural devices. First, the side walls of his churches are never parallel. They are always splayed: generally more narrow at the altar and wider at the rear (which acts as entry in some cases). Secondly, the wall behind the altar is usually a curved surface soaring into the ceiling plane. This plane acts as a continuous sound reflector to the seating area beyond. These two acoustic devices also serve another, more formal purpose. The combination of the splayed walls and curving wall/ceiling plane, act to create a visual focus towards the altar. In other words, Aalto is creating a perspective with the altar assuming the position of prime emphasis. Thus, as with many of the architectural responses Aalto makes, these elements serve dual or multiple purposes. The acoustic response coupled with the formal intention combine to make a more significant totality. This is one example of how Aalto creates the richness and variety found in his architecture.

Another salient feature of Aalto's buildings is the manner in which he uses light. In the three parish churches, he uses light in two ways. First Aalto establishes the main light source for the sanctuary space. This provides an over-all level of illumination, and creates a specific ambience for the space. Secondly, he uses directional light sources to highlight particular features in his architectural
composition: the altar, the baptismal font, etc. (Fig. 9 shows the altar lighting source for the church in Vouksen­niska.)

The church in Seinäjoki does not follow this pattern. To reinforce the more formal cathedral-like quality of the interior space, Aalto uses tall windows on the side walls. This produces the high soaring effect associated with a cathedral space (Fig. 8). Aalto uses stained glass sparingly, and mainly as an accent within the over-all window composition. Occasionally, as in the chapel in the church in Seinäjoki (Fig. 10), a stained glass window will comprise the entire light source for the space. The reason for this absence of stained glass is twofold. First, Finland is dark during the long winter months. Stained glass would render the interiors totally dark, while clear glazing would permit whatever light existed. Secondly, Aalto is following historic precedent. Historically Finnish churches have not used much stained glass. Only the churches of the period of National Romanticism (as witnessed in the churches by Lars Sonck) used stained glass throughout. The early vernacular churches, the churches executed in the classical revival style, and the modern churches all use clear glazing with little or no stained glass.

The materials and colors Aalto selects for his spaces also reinforce the architectural framework he creates. In a majority of the churches, the walls and ceilings are painted white. Recently in the church in Wolfs­burg, Aalto introduced a wooden ceiling. The ceiling of the church in Zürich-Altstetten makes use of wooden planes used as directional elements. These planes are for acoust­ic purposes also. The flooring mater­ials act as space and activity definers that further enhance the architectural composition. The sanctuary floors are of natural stone or red tile, while the altar is generally white marble. This use of marble further accents the altar as the most sacred place in the church. This simple use of materials allows for the color accents to be provided by altar clothing in the various liturgical colors, and by the ceremonial acts of the worship service. The relative sim­plicity of Aalto's interiors, as with his use of light, is consistent with con­temporary trends in Finnish church architecture.

The churches Aalto designs are usually part of a larger religious com­plex—such as a parish church. These supporting facilities contain the necessary offices, meeting rooms, music rooms and so on. In certain churches there may be a restaurant or physical exercise facilities. This reflects Aalto's and his clients' view of the position of the religious com­plex within the community. The church with its supporting facilities is intended to form an integral part of community life. This is evidenced with the church at Seinäjoki, as well as with more recent churches by Aalto, by the fact that the religious complex is part of a larger governmental and cultural center. To codify this relationship, Aalto always designs a free-standing
belfry for his churches. The belfry acts as a visual symbol, a landmark, for both the church and the community.

The unique aspect of Aalto’s church architecture is that while the architectural forms depart from the traditional, they successfully meet the traditional requirements of monumentality, the creation of sacred space and the image of sanctuary. But Aalto’s is a subtle monumentality: a monumentality that dignifies the religious acts of man, without overpowering them. This is a result of Aalto’s sensitivity and understanding of the programmatic requirements of religious buildings: worship, prayer, music and singing, the liturgical elements, the image of sanctuary, and the position of the religious building within the community. All of these are combined into a meaningful architectural framework to house the religious experience. Aalto’s church architecture represents the work of a consummate architect—an architect who believes architecture is an affirmative act that celebrates the spiritual needs of man through a responsive architecture.
Environment's Influence Upon Man*

by

M.T. Harrison
Director of Facilities
Cummins Engine Co.
Columbus, Ind.

The theme of this GRA Conference is “Environment’s Influence Upon Man”—so you must want to know what influence all of this architecture has on the citizens of Columbus, Ind. I wish I could provide the answer with a few facts and statistics; however, “influence” is something that cannot be measured. You can only see how architecture influences people by seeing each facility in action and the response from the people.

This afternoon you had a tour and listened to an organ recital in one of the great Columbus churches. You have also toured another, and listened to some comments about a third. Tomorrow morning you plan to attend a Sunday morning service in another great church. Tomorrow’s visit will help you better to see the influence upon people; however, the action goes much further than that which you will see in your brief visit.

Before attempting to convince you of this, I would like to tell you something first about the people of Columbus. Next I would like to tell you how architecture is achieved in this community. Then we will see the extent, if any, of influence it has on the citizenry.

Who is the citizen of Columbus? A sleepy little community of 30,000 in the middle of the Corn Belt, it is a city of wealth and talent—but wealth not as you normally think of it. It is not just enjoyed by a few. It is distributed among a higher percentage of the population than is true in the average community.

Talent—it is distributed among a greater cross-section of the population than one can envision. We might say that this occurs as a result of business and management—but this is not entirely true. There is a higher degree of talent in the farmer, in the normal white collar worker, and among the laboring group in this community than most.

Why is this true? Perhaps it is due to exposure. I am never surprised if I see the management of a firm playing golf with the office mail boy. The city is structured this way. Where else could a person play on one of the leading golf courses in the country for $165 a year? I regret using this particular illustration—architects are seldom self-ordained ministers are still preaching in your community? I would guess very few. Yet everyone of the typical Columbusites I have been talking about is a self-ordained architect.

Why not? Everyone is educated in architecture. As a first grader, I came home from school the first day from art class with a drawing of a building—I think a house, with a chimney and smoke coming out. I helped my father build a garage, so I am also with a knowledgable self-ordained designer and builder.

With these kinds of people in Columbus, the great architecture has only been achieved because of the quality of the architects working with a receptive client. Each is required as a member of that team, but it hasn’t been easy.

Much has been said about the people—now let me say something about the buildings that have been hard to come by. In 1871, the Courthouse was built with many fewer headaches than the Courthouse Center was today. There were fewer critics and at that time the construction industry was not as fouled up as it is today. With all our technological improvements in the construction industry, I predict that if we were to duplicate that building today, it would take three to five times as long to build at fifty times the cost. However, as great as the old Courthouse is, it would never be duplicated for the same use.

The environment is changing so

---

*Address delivered at GRA Regional Conference, Columbus, Ind. October 1974.
quickly that our buildings must change with it. Do you see farmers building the great old barns they constructed 200 years ago? I am sorry to see them go, but they are impractical.

If the great schools built in Columbus 20 years ago were designed today, they would be different. The methods of teaching are different. Just visit the Schmitt School by Harry Weese built in 1956 and the Fodrea School by Paul Kennon built in 1974. In industrial architecture we are concentrating on the design of a building for people that will work.

The design of a church is a great challenge. It can and should be one of the most significant buildings in any community. It has the opportunity to leave the most lasting impression on both young and old.

I hope you realize that each of the three churches you visit has accomplished just that. However, churches should be more than monuments within the community. They must work. We must define the role of the church before we consider a design to make it work.

We have churches whose congregations think, 'The church should stick to religion and keep its nose out of the community life'—and there may be some here tonight who feel this way.

If that is the church's role, you could design the typical, ordinary (not great) church of 100 years ago—sanctuary, a few classrooms, stained glass windows, and a center aisle with red carpet. As in the purchase of an automobile, you might get some options as a baptismal font or an organ.

Tomorrow morning you will attend the North Christian Church service. The very appearance of this building says that something quite different—and more significant—is intended to go on there.

It does have a sanctuary.

It does have classrooms that are multipurpose.

It does have an organ—not only to play a quiet hymnal, but one that can and does provide a challenge for great musicians to give outstanding recitals.

It does have a baptismal font with all emphasis on the participant.

It has no center aisle, no red carpet, and no stained glass windows.

But it has many of those optional extras that are necessary for this church to carry on all aspects of the church life in the community. There is scarcely a day that something isn't going on in the church as it carries out its community role.

Now I should like to refer again to people. Why did our earlier student demonstrations occur at the universities? The fact is that the universities had been living in a seller's market for 30 years, and in many instances, they had been poorly managed. None of us was happy about the demonstrations, but I am confident that the schools are stronger today as a result of listening to youth.

Why is labor demonstrating and why are there so many labor and management problems? Primarily it is because today's work force has a higher degree of intelligence, and there is much confusion about whether to follow management or union—or not to follow either. Workers today ask better questions and demand better answers.

Similarly, we who are parents can expect to be asked many questions by our children. These should be answered much more carefully than was done by our fathers and grandfathers. An illustration: 'Why do I have to go to church?' The answer used to be, 'Because I said so.' That may work as long as children are at home—but as soon as they leave, they stay away in droves.

Today we see demonstrations against the church—not marches or strikes; it is much simpler and much more subtle. It is reflected in a drop in attendance and a decrease in contributions to the church.

It may be that my generation's teaching was inadequate. We were not prepared to answer our children's questions fully. Perhaps our answers were inadequate because the role of the church was—or should have been changing so rapidly that we weren't prepared to respond properly.

Cont. on p. 29
The 1975 National Interfaith Conference—convened by the Interfaith Research Center, representing the Guild for Religious Architecture, the Commission on Church Planning and Architecture, the Liturgical Conference, the Union of American Hebrew Congregations and co-sponsored by the American Society for Church Architecture, the Federation of Diocesan Liturgical Commissions and the Texas Conference of Churches.

Highlights of the Conference Program:

1. A discussion of such topics as: "Urban Humanism—City as a Symbol of Human Continuity"; "Religious Institutional Response to Urban Humanism"; "Is There a True Role for Art Today in the Religious Community?"—by informed and challenging speakers.

2. A river boat cruise to Ursuline Convent, built in 1851—tour and exposition of its restoration to a craft center.

3. Luncheon at the San Jose Mission—Mariachi Concert—and an address by Archbishop Robert Sanchez, Santa Fe, N.M.

4. A walk through the redeveloped King William Street area.

5. A visit to the Margaret B. Parker Chapel at Trinity University.
How can religious structures serve the general good of society? How should religious structures relate to the world of nature? How should religious structures relate to the urban scene? How can religious buildings help to unite communities? How can diversity also be served? In a transient and changeable society is durability a virtue? What value do we put on beauty in contrast to utilitarian values?

These are the questions which confront thoughtful designers and concerned religious leaders—and it is to questions such as these that the 1975 National Interfaith Conference on Religion and Architecture will direct itself.

O'Neil Ford, FAIA, principal in the architectural firm of Ford, Powell & Carson, San Antonio, Tex., will speak at the closing session, Wednesday evening, April 16.

Harry Ransom, editor of The People's Architects, has said of him: "O'Neil Ford centers his practice on architecture in San Antonio, Tex., but his projects extend throughout the U.S. and abroad. . . . He feels that it is through the marriage of many elements—site planning, construction and methods of building, sensitive use of materials, the contributions of related arts—that a distinctive architectural expression emerges. For him, architecture is 'that art of building spaces which are to be used—and doing it tastefully, decently, and with a strong idea.'"
Peter Smith on Post Religious Churches*

Since the liturgical movement, church architecture has been thought to be alive and well. But is it? The demythologisers have gone through the New Testament like an aperient. Extraordinary manifestations like "process theology" are so radical as to strike at the fundamentals of Christianity. But everything stopped short at architecture, which has remained, to a large extent, the threshold to unreality. Indeed, in some respects it has been used to compensate for leaps forward on the theological level. So it seems to me that church architecture is ripe for myth stripping.

The ultimate myth which is still prevalent concerns the concept of the "holy place." For, cutting across denominational boundaries is the belief that, within the hallowed walls of a church, god is rather more present than in the secular world outside: the sacred environment manifests a higher density of deity. The key to this privileged architectural status lies in the little liturgy performed at the consecration or dedication of the building. Once the requisite words are spoken, it becomes a "house of god."

We live in an age which acknowledges the force of environmental determinism. From the evidence offered today by psychologists, it is now possible to understand how people can stomach the revolutionary demands of a mythless gospel. It is because the architecture discreetly but continually irradiates the ancient messages of magic. Words come and go, but the architecture remains. Words may cause a ripple on the intellectual surface, but as long as the architecture continues to soothe our primitive aches and yearnings, the sword of the spirit is effectively kept in its sheath.

Contemporary church architecture is ambiguous and, in many cases, a positive barrier to perception of New Testament Christianity (as opposed to Old Testament Christianity). This ambiguity or inconsistency stems from the age of the emperor Constantine, who just managed to be the first Christian emperor.

After the turn of the fourth century, Diocletian did a really effective job in exterminating the church, which was saved from complete demise by the timely demise of Diocletian. Shortly afterward, Constantine eased himself into the seat of empire, and at once expresses a sympathy for those extraordinary christians who had such a remarkable way of dying. In 312AD, he concluded the "peace of the church," and soon afterward christianity became the established religion of imperial Rome.

This had the effect of causing many people suddenly to see the light. Christianity never really recovered from its overnight transformation from a clandestine, illegal faith of the few to the pomp ridden, imperial religion of the many. Some have summed it up as "the kiss of death."

The influx of converts and conscripts generated a major accommodation problem throughout the empire, for Christianity was a congregational religion, unlike most mystery religions. Since the few churches built in the third century had been obliterated by Diocletian, it was a case of starting from scratch. An appropriate prototype had to be found which was based on a ready-made symbolic programme consistent with the inflated self image of political Rome. The only suitable precedent was Solomon's temple.

Though this had been destroyed by the Romans, its symbolism was deeply etched into history, and so the idea of the holy temple with its climax of the holy of holies was transposed into a new key. But the tune remained the same — and it was a thoroughly pagan tune. For this reason, fourth century church architecture established a genetic code for future building which tended to undermine some of the fundamental tenets of the ministry of Jesus Christ.

The New Testament clearly depicted an alternative to the temple centred, priest dominated side of Hebrew religion. For there was another side, the pure thin light of the prophets, whose only artifact was the mobile tent of witness. In the days of the incarnation, the priests had a firm grip on the situation — a grip which tightened in the attempt to annihilate the "son of man." In the face of this situation, Christ assumed to himself every function of priest and temple. Holiness became a quality of life instead of an attribute of objects or environment.

For Christ represented the perfect meeting place of the sacred and secular: and this participation in the divine reality became the privilege of the heirs to the incarnation, the established church. All this was without benefit of liturgy or sacred place. The decisive occasions which forged the christian reality took place in commonplace locations: a stable, a river, an upstairs room, a hill and a cave.

If it is true that Christ was the instigator of a new age, embodying a leap forward in human evolution, then all those things which hark back to the old religion, loaded with its symbols of an obsolete god, must undermine the gospel. Historically, architecture bears much guilt in this respect.

No one has more admiration than I for the achievements of the builders of the middle ages. They created a magnificent new language in which they articulated the symbolism of the sacred place. The beautiful myths of divine light and sacred geometry inspired breathtaking architecture. But they were myths — totally convincing patterns of unreality.

---

To this day, for most people in Europe, church design is dominated by gothic architecture. It is the definitive style to which reference is still made either directly or obliquely. A building like the roman catholic church of Hemel Hempstead dresses up the gothic style in a skintight veneer of modernity. Murray & Maguire were much more subtle at St. Paul's, Bow Common. Even so, the message of the internal colonnade of pointed arches is abundantly clear.

The other aspect of mediaeval design which lends itself admirably to glib modern interpretation is stained glass. The design may be abstract, with the glass set in concrete instead of metal, but it remains an ambiguous sign of the sacred place. At Sacré Coeur, Mulhouse, colored glass is confined to a narrow band at dado height, but even this extreme reticence is enough to convey the atmosphere of "holy ground." In the church of St. Pierre, Grenoble, colored glass in concrete (beton-glas) forms a complete band between wall and roof—a "look no hands" device, which is still a winner with architects.

Those who design churches will know how insistent is the demand to have some stained glass in the composition. But only those who refuse will really experience the force of the emotional attachment to this deep rooted sign of the house of god.

In the mediaeval design program, stained glass was a means of admitting the divine element of light into the sacred environment. At the same time, it was upgraded by being colored, and given a didactic role once confined to sculpture. The next stage in the development of light as a symbol of the divine presence occurred in the baroque period. Light, usually from a concealed source, was directed on sacred objects or zones, implying the intensified presence of god. This technique has received a new lease of life since 1945.

The liturgical movement helped to establish the case for the single room worship space. Few now dare to build a chancel. Other means had to be found to define the sacred zone, and the most usual method is to concentrate light on the altar. The effect is enhanced if the congregation area is starved of light. How this works can be seen in St. Pierre, Grenoble, which manifests a positively baroque light gradient.

The message is driven home with even more emphasis if light is also associated with height. Few modern churches manage this combination more effectively than Sacré Coeur, Mulhouse.

The sacred zone may also be defined by being elevated above the congregation—a little touch of the sacred mountain. Invariably, the status of this central place is underlined by enrichment and decoration. Historically, this enrichment has focused on the baldachino, a splendidly pagan throwback. Many recent churches express variations on the theme. From an architectural as well as a theological point of view, St. Paul's Bow Common, has been mutilated by the later addition of a baldachino, which is why I have refrained from illustrating it. Sometimes a suspended corona serves to express the same symbolism.

Not only do these devices conspire to establish the myth of locational holiness, they also confer "ex officio" sacrality on all who are permitted to perform liturgical acts within the "high" place. So the hierarchical element in the ecclesiastical structure is discretely reinforced. (The New Testament supports lateral differentiation within the people of god, but it has pretty blunt things to say about vertical hierarchies.)

Altogether, churches say as much about the people who build them as the god they purport to honor—perhaps more. From abundant architectural evidence, it is indisputable that, in the modern architectural sphere, one foot has been kept firmly within the old architectural sphere. This proves an effective anchor against leaps of faith.

By now, it should be clear that I take my stand with prophets like Dietrich Bonhoeffer, who believe that Christ came to admit the post religious age. Religion is an externally imposed system of dogma and symbolism which, being manmade, inevitably develops myths about the nature of the god-man relationship. Christianity is the antithesis of religion, being a pragmatic, contingent, dynamic relationship, ever changing and adapting to new situations. Its aim is to extract from every event the maximum potential for good, under the impetus of the holy spirit.

At any time, then, Christianity is radical and revolutionary. It is bound ultimately to be at odds with ruling bureaucracies, since it acknowledges only the internal rule of the spirit—something which does not belong to Caesar. So the very concept of an "established church" is another bit of doublethink.

That should be enough credo both to generate a good bit of ecclesiastical adrenalin, and to provide a starting point for post religious church design. At first, this line of thought may seem to contain a contradiction, since it might be argued that buildings devoid of the aforementioned symbolism no longer qualify to be called churches. Maybe that would be a good thing, since the confusion inherent in the term "church" has served only to cloud the issue. It should be reserved for the "ecclesia," the whole people of god, the new priesthood. But we must not change too much too quickly.

Since all buildings symbolise something, we must make sure that, in terms of church buildings, the symbolism is right. By that I mean that...
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it should be grounded in New Testament reality. In the very broadest terms, this reality has four facets which affect the architecture of the people of God.

1. Christians are commissioned to care, and in contemporary urban situations, this has enormous implications. In their design, churches should give every support to the caring job. There is no reason to believe that there will be any fall off in the casualty rate in urban society. Indeed, it may soon reach crisis proportions, which will stretch to the limit the church’s capacity to care and heal.

2. Christianity is a matter of group experience. There is a mystical quality to the fellowship of those associated in the name of Christ: the “koinonia.” This fact of Christian experience may be reinforced by the shape and form of the worship space. Plan and section can give discreet support to the idea of a family in which there is total equality and which focuses on the presence of its Lord.

3. In the past, church architecture has tended to express the master rather than the servant. In the middle ages, when Christianity was monolithic, the all pervading cathedral expressed the spiritual, political and economic reality of the day. The gothic image of dominance still reverberates in miniature modernistic new Jerusalems which are light years removed from the Lord who washed the feet of his disciples. The tone of church design should be dynamic humility.

4. Christ was the ultimate secular man who steered clear of all religious appellations. The community accorded him the title “rabbi,” which simply meant “teacher.” In his life style there was no division between the sacred and the secular, and the scribes and pharisees who exploited this division received frequent verbal lashings. It follows that a community which claims to follow Christ should express itself through architecture which is as secular and as sacred as schools and shopping centers. A “church style” implies a stylised outlook, which is incompatible with an emergency faith, living to optimise the passing minute.

The effectiveness of the church has been undermined for centuries by an inability to accept the New Testament head on. So much of its heritage has now become a millstone. It needs symbols, but it needs new ones; many of the traditional symbols have become case hardened, no longer conveying the mind to a reality above and beyond themselves. The demythologisers have done a good job on the Bible. Sometimes zeal outstripped judgment. Some may think that the same has happened in this attempt to demythologise church architecture. After all, it is the last remaining sphere in which architects can exercise their full design agility, as witnessed in St. Jean, Grenoble.

But contemporary church design requires great discipline and restraint— as much, for instance, as in low cost housing. It too has a yardstick: the life and teaching of its immanent Lord.

---
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architectural department," which, under the part-time leadership of the Rev. Frederick A. Marks, is just beginning to function. The Southern Baptists stand alone in sponsoring a full Department of Architectural Counsel.

The GRA Is A Rallving Point

All this means that the Guild for Religious Architecture remains one of the few national rallying points for those who are concerned with corporate interest in what is happening to the processes and the opportunities inherent in the erection or remodeling of buildings for worship and work. On a regional level, the American Society for Church Architecture is being helpful.

The basic thrust of these groups is not, as one might expect, based solely upon a unilateral exploration of architectural forms, but rather upon a study of the forces which underlie the need for and the character of religious buildings. Architecture is, or should be, the end result of satisfying programmatic projections. Let's take a look at some of these.

Forecast of Forces

In response to a research grant made by the United Presbyterian Church, USA, Dr. Roland Tapp has posted the results of an extended survey which indicates that we may anticipate many changes in the politics and policies in the Christian Church in the U.S. in the near future. Some of these prophecies are listed here:

1. The (Protestant) church will go through a Fundamentalist-Liberal struggle similar to the one of fifty years ago. It is basically psychological, although it appears to be theological.

2. There will be a gradual but steadily increasing trend toward closer cooperation, if not actual merger, at practical levels with Roman Catholics. This cooperation will be most fruitful as it occurs between conservative Protestants and conservative Catholics on the one hand and the corresponding liberal groups on the other.

3. There will be a steady decrease in total membership of the church. This loss may be mitigated by a more knowledgeable commitment on the part of those who do belong.

4. Most church school teachers will be less inclined to see their function as transmitters of the Christian heritage (both doctrine and history), and more as fellowseekers with their students of the contemporary meaning of Christ.

5. There will be no more single denominational curriculum or program. Whether curriculums of the future will be developed regionally or nationally is not clear. I suspect that there will be several entrepreneurs of the management-consultant type who will develop and market church school education packages tailored to fit individual churches or clusters of churches.

6. The church will give up its tax-exempt status and will allow its property to be put on the tax rolls.

7. There will be less emphasis on foreign missions. Something in the nature of a "Christian Peace Corps" may be possible and acceptable to disadvantaged countries. But any full-time evangelistic effort will be neither supported at home nor tolerated abroad.

8. The number and scope of "lay academies" will increase in this country and abroad. They will be interdenominational.

9. There will be very few denominational seminaries that remain isolated from the university complex. No new denominational seminaries will appear. Some additional mergers will take place between Protestant and Roman Catholic seminaries.

10. The most important and visible theological trend will be away from the doctrines of Transcendence and Immanence and toward a doctrine of Panentheism (the doctrine which states that God includes the world as a part, although not the whole of His being). This will be most important for the scientifically trained and it will also open the doors to a more sympathetic understanding of Eastern religions.

11. The interest in religion (and Christianity) will continue to increase on the part of college students and the 18-30 age group in general.

12. There will be less interest in separate men's and women's programs at all levels of the church's life. Separation of the sexes simply does not fit into the new sexual mores and styles of living.

13. The breakdown of the authority traditionally held by pastor and teachers will continue. This same lack of authority will also hold true for the Bible, traditional doctrines and policy.

Insofar as architecture is concerned, a point of major significance may be achieved if the "team" approach continues to gain momentum. James Kirk, a staff member of the United Presbyterian Church National Board, reports that among churches in his denomination recently surveyed, 24% report the creation of "teams" of church laymen who are planning worship services which they consider to be meaningful. This transfer of leadership from the directorial role of minister and choir director to group responsibility may in many respects be the most significant thing which has happened to the institutional church since the vast Sunday School movement began sixty years ago.

If we are headed toward a "people" church where action, fellowship and mysticism play complementary parts, then as a corollary we must expect or at least hope for, "functional" buildings which can be adopted to uses which vary as "secular" and "religious" activities blend together in response to changing norms.

Are Church Buildings Really Necessary?

Everyone who believes in God does not necessarily need a shelter in which to express this belief—or at least not a corporate one. Many believe that communing with the unknown—with the mystery of life—with God, or whatever term one uses—can be done at home, in the fields or on the mountain top. However, architects have little to fear with respect to any inroads on their practice caused by the "House Church." Jay Kessler, President of the "Youth for Christ" movement, writing in the January 1975 issue of Campus Life, has this to say:

"Then there's the building. At some point it usually gets pretty crowded in the living room you're meeting in. The furniture gets scratched. Sometimes the people who own the house are out of town. So you rent a hall somewhere. But there are always conflicts with that too; other people want to use the building at the same time, the rent gets expensive, the facilities aren't quite right. Ninety percent of these groups build a building within about twenty years. Other changes
come. Soon you have a group that looks a lot like the Establishment Church, except that it took twenty years to get there. . .

"Why put all that energy into something that ends up looking like what you tried to escape? If that's the result, why not work on changing the bad things instead of starting over? Is the church meant to be perfect, constantly enjoyable, never grating? I don't believe so. . . ."

Technology, The Working Man and the Church

There are few forces at work which have more potential meaning to the validity of life within church folds than the increasing amount of leisure which technology is forcing upon the working man. This could be good; it could also be dangerous if it exists in a vacuum. Kirster Stendahl (Toward the Year 2000) suggests that it would be well to "contrast the Puritan attitude toward work" with the concept of work as a "curse" in Genesis, Chapter 3. Mr. Stendahl believes that while Protestant churches have not been solely responsible for the concept of work as a sacred ethic, they have at least contributed heavily to its being so, and should therefore help to clarify the situation. It is not necessary to spend one's free time preparing to work better; rather free time might be spent enjoying life—or becoming more aware of oneself both as a person and as a member of society.

Why should universities be building Centers for Continuing Education when thousands of church educational buildings are standing idle, waiting for productive use?

The future begins in the present. It is also the product of the past. Several years ago the American Academy of Arts and Sciences created a commission, a task force which—in an interdisciplinary fashion—was to peer into the future, into the magic millennium year of 2000. The resultant Toward the Year 2000 (Houghton Mifflin Co.) contains, as one might expect, many imaginative conjectures—but it is, on the whole, not as Buck Rogers in its outlook as one might expect from the title. It is stimulating and provocative, especially as it tries to predict intellectual and behavioral attitudes. For instance Kahn & Weiner suggest a circular pattern:

"From (1) Faith and Revealed Truth to (2) Theology and Rationalism to (3) Deism, Scientism and Empiricism to (4) Relativism and Skepticism to (5) Cynicism, Alienation, Anarchy or Nihilism to (6) Opportunistic Factions or War Lords to (7) Charismatic or Messianic Elites or Leaders to (8) Messianic or Charismatic Truth and back again to (1) Faith and Revealed Truth, etc."

It is Kahn's view that there exists in this country a long-term multifold trend which will be increasingly Sen-
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safe, that is "this worldly, secular, humanistic, pragmatic, utilitarian, hedonistic, etc." The question we might ask ourselves in attempting to predict future human behavior—which in turn affects architecture and particularly religious architecture—is whether the multifold concept has led to "Sensate Chaos," or whether there are indeed other more temperate, more "ethical" forms innate in the human psyche, which tend to restore corporate "order" in whatever form it may from time to time acquire.

The so-called "Christian Ethic" is a case in point. It has been suggested that the sense of ethics may be far more evident in the love and sharing of what the establishment chooses to call sub-cultures, than it is in the institutional church. But the polarization which was evident only four or five years ago as nonconformists (and youth in particular) rallied around ethical and altruistic values (as opposed to the righteous materialism and the "come weal, come woe, our status is quo" institutional church) seems already to have moved from thesis to antithesis to synthesis in some instances. That is, the switch from passive listening to participation, to discussion, to sharing simply reflects a recognition and acceptance by organized bodies of motives which had arisen spontaneously from non-institutional bodies, such as encounter-type assemblies. How widespread this participation movement is, and whether it can reverse or slow the tide of declining interest and support for organized Christian groups remains to be seen.

Toward the Year 2000 also contains projections by Pitirim Sorokim, whose "Scenario of History" is somewhat similar, or at least is not opposed to Kahn & Wiener's circular pattern:

1. Sensate Chaos (Viet Nam War)
2. Increasing Polarization (In 1969 increasing polarization between youth, state and church was predicted. The trend now has been partially reversed.)
3. Crisis (Inflation vs Depression)
4. Ordeal (Exhaustion of worker's compensation funds, strikes, riots)
5. Catharsis (Adjustments to new life styles)
6. Charisma (Restoration of Moral and Ethical Values)
7. A New Religiosity (The church as a metaphysical-political-religious force, a reincarnation of the impulses of Medieval Crusades)

I think it is important to note in both of these death and re-birth syndromes that they have ended not on a theme of Nihilism, but in one case on "Faith and Revealed Truth," and in the other on "A New Religiosity." In the event these two suggested conclusions may be a bit too esoteric for normal acceptance—especially by those who are not religiously oriented—it should be noted that the American Academy of Arts and Sciences is a highly interdisciplinary body which views religion only as a part of larger concerns (i.e., it is not biased toward religion).

A Godless Society?
Anyone who is concerned with the future of the institutional church cannot avoid speculation as to whether in the year 2000—or sooner—we are headed for a Godless society such as exists in Russia—where the world's largest Baptist Church exists as a barely tolerated sub-culture. As far as I can tell, prevailing educated opinion in this country does not point in this direction. There seems to be ample evidence that religious, aesthetic and intuitive modes of living in the U.S. will not change suddenly, but will reflect gradual response to new knowledge and to new re-alignments. Unless the church completely fails to grasp the opportunities inherent in these realignments, it could find new strength in cooperating with groups who are demanding new perspectives, rather than quietly accepting situations decreed by politicians and/or labor leaders whom they no longer trust.

The simple point is that a complex society like ours does not change by an overarching flight into the distance, nor by the acquisition of technological gadgets. It seems highly probable that "The United States in the year 2000 will be more like the U.S. in 1975 than different." (Daniel Bell—Toward the Year 2000).

It may even be possible—unlikely as it may appear—that religion could creep back into the government. The authority for this somewhat optimistic hope is not the editorial column of the Christian Century, but the March 1975 issue of Playboy! This article openly refers to "a small but growing spiritual renaissance in Washington" and "prayer groups springing up like toadstools" in our capital city. (P.S. Playboy doesn't like it!)

Can we, who are in the business of religious architecture, sense a quickening pulse, or like some preachers are we "throwing our powers of discernment into neutral"?

2000 A.D. Is Far Away. What About Religious Architecture Next Year? And the Year After?

If we are now about to step from diagnosis to prognosis, someone's crystal ball will have to be a lot clearer than mine. What effect the Depression, the Democrats, the Republicans, the Arabs, the labor unions and government money policies are going to have on the immediate architectural
horizon is obviously a matter of conjecture—but the signs ahead are not all bad by any manner of means.
Volume-wise there doubtless will be somewhat less religious building as a reflection of the general level of the economy, although giving habits are not as one might expect established in a straight line ratio to income. For instance, Ketchum, Inc. (one of the largest of the fund-raising companies), recently published this statement: "Two fund-raising myths proclaim (1) that you can't raise money in a recession, and (2) you can't raise money during a stock market decline. Nothing could be further from the truth. During 1973 and 1974 the Dow Jones index declined more than 450 points. During the same period, philanthropic giving in the U.S. rose almost three billion!"

It is unrealistic to believe that "institutional religion" will fade away. "...there will of course be substantial changes in the structures of these institutions, but that does not make them less institutional." (Krister Stendahl—*Toward the Year 2000*).

Dr. James Kennedy, pastor of the new (1974) 2500 seat Coral Ridge Presbyterian Church, Fort Lauderdale, Fla. recently told me that it is common knowledge that giving rises during periods of recession. I hope so. His Coral Ridge Presbyterian Church has a 2.7 million dollar annual operating budget.

I think we should remember also that in times of stress there is a tendency to turn toward moral values. Thus there exists the possibility that the church could conceivably be strengthened by whatever unpleasant events may lie in our immediate horizon.

Middle America—that is continental U.S. without New York, California and Washington, D.C.—is still basically conservative, and is still religiously oriented at a conscience level, even if it is sometimes turned off by some of the less desirable artifacts of "organization." The addictive quest for resacralization—especially among the young and medium young groups—could appreciably alter the course of future politico-economic forces, and in turn affect community, and particularly the religious life.

Will churches continue to be built? Of course they will (unless we have a holocaust)! The demand may lessen; many will through necessity be more Spartan-like (this could be good), and the architecture hopefully will be less traditional. Religious building in this country in 1975 remains not too much different from religious building in 1950; in another twenty-five years—by the year 2000—I believe that religious buildings will have matured into somewhat more organic forms. For architects this should be good news.

But I suspect that GOD will be a bit less proprietary.

"Religion with science is lame—Science without religion is blind."  
Albert Einstein

---

**CHURCH LIGHTING FIXTURES**

**SPECIALY DESIGNED**

For
ARCHITECTS
CHURCHES
INDIVIDUALS
INDUSTRY

PRINCETON can assist in the design and manufacture of custom interior and exterior lighting fixtures. We have furnished other church items on special order.

EXTERIOR SIGNS
CHURCH FURNISHINGS
CHANCEL RAILS
PULPITS & LECTERNs

Write to us for our catalog.

---

**Seating Flexibility**

With MOD-LOK.
Elegantly simple.
Comfortable.
Beautifully finished in natural Danish beech wood.
Choice of upholstery coverings. Interlocking and stacking.

Or with Archbold Seating.
Strong. Lightweight. Laminated Danish beech wood finish or select from vinyls and fabrics for cushions. Easy to stack. Optional interlocking hardware available.

---

**SAUDEr MANUFACTURING COMPANY**

672 MIDDLE STREET, ARCHBOLD, OHIO 43502

© Sauder Manufacturing Company 1974
Construction will begin soon on the new Congregation B'nai Israel Synagogue Complex in suburban Montgomery County, near Washington, D.C.

Architects: Cohen & Haft, Holtz, Kenston, Karabekir & Associates
Silver Spring, Md.

Construction will begin soon on the new Congregation B'nai Israel Synagogue Complex in suburban Montgomery County, near Washington, D.C. The 55,000 square foot masonry structure, with its strong vaulted roof form, is designed as a series of religious and social spaces linked by two skylit atriums at the entries.

The spaces include a 600 seat main sanctuary, 200 seat chapel, large social hall, serving kitchen, library, classrooms, administrative offices and a special youth facility.

The main sanctuary is based on the traditional central bema seating arrangement. The vaulted ceiling of the sanctuary floats on a ribbon of stained glass, supported by four columns 70 feet on center. The use of indirect natural light into the sanctuary attempts to provide a warm and sensitive atmosphere which will comfort and inspire the worshipper.

Ronald N. Anderson

CARTER-MIOT STEEPLES

Custom-made to the architect's design and specifications
Installed by Carter-Miot's experienced and skilled personnel
A variety of metals available—porcelain enamel—aluminum—copper
Assuring a superior quality of workmanship and material
Over 160 installations—29 states—

Write for brochure:
Carter-Miot Engineering Co.
P.O. Box 212
Columbia, S.C. 29202
Contemporary Synagogue Art

Contemporary Synagogue Art by Dr. Avram Kampf, originally published in 1966 by the Union of American Hebrew Congregations, has entered its fourth printing and is again available from UAHC, 838 Fifth Ave., New York, N.Y. 10021. The UAHC's Commission on Synagogue Administration announces publication of a new brochure on "Selected Books, Exhibits, Films, Filmstrips and Lectures in the areas of Art, Architecture, Ceremonial Objects and Judaica," which is available upon request.

Flexible Space in Religious Buildings

"Simplicity, directness, relevance to needs, flexibility and adaptability: these are the design goals of the building programs for new church structures today. And of these goals, flexibility is the most important. Whatever space is built must have flexibility, must be usable for secular activities as well as for religious functions, and must not be the character-less 'facility' so many multipurpose places have been in the past. Economics has been a major factor in this new requirement, of course; but common sense about idle space cannot be ignored in understanding this new approach to the use of religious buildings.

"To design multi-use space which works as well—and is as appropriate for social occasions as for church services—is a challenge—of a different order from ringing one's own changes on Gothic or Colonial, but just as difficult, if not more so, to solve satisfactorily.

"There is no clear view to the kind of church building that the future will require. But for today, the building which lets the church reach out to the community in service answers more than the monument that stood aside from the community."

Elisabeth Kendall Thompson

Quotable Quotes:

"Today everything is planned, but nothing is thought through."

Pauline Kael, Film Critic
The New Yorker
Nature permanently expresses her unfading beauty and dignity in Buckingham Slate®. The rich individuality of natural cleft texture blends the architectural design honestly with its environment. It affords the architect the perfect material to give feeling and meaning to religious architecture. On the practical side, Buckingham Slate® has fine grained density, hardness and soundness. For interior flooring, exterior paving or paneling it offers maintenance-free durability. Write for information or see our catalogs in Sweet's architectural files.
Ladies and gentlemen, there are two professions that I personally would not feel strong enough to pursue—one is as a minister and the other is as an architect specializing in church design.

How can a minister relate to 500 or even 100 parishioners, each of whom is his “boss”? If you attend the service tomorrow morning, you will hear a sermon by John Bean. I attend that church regularly—but I cannot tell you the type of sermon you will hear. It may be conservative or it may be liberal. It may be one relating directly to people, or it may relate to the congregation’s responsibility on some major issue in the community. Mr. Bean has parishioners who enjoy and demand each type.

An architect who specializes in churches has a great challenge. First, he must be able to work with the building committee, and this is in itself a challenge. His next great challenge may be in the restoration of an existing facility—or in the design of a new facility to encompass the many additional options to which I have referred.

Many churches today are used on an average of five hours a week. In business and industry, we expect a similar investment to work from 100 to 150 hours a week. With the increased cost of construction and the decline of contributions, I predict the future will see the joint use of facilities, even through interdenominational groups. Congregations will not be able to afford a facility for its exclusive use. This county alone, with a population of about 55,000 has more than 125 churches—and many of them are thinking about new facilities which they cannot afford.

The buildings which we build reveal better than words the thoughts and convictions of this community. You will be able to see the influence of architecture upon the religious community by observing the complete life of a church for at least one week—and we invite you to do so.

We in Columbus like our architecture. Many of us think we have just begun—while others feel it is time to stop. You will have seen only a small portion of it—just scratched the surface. I hope you enjoy what you have seen and will see. Come back again some time—stay longer—and see if we are making progress.
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Our Carillons are not as expensive as they sound.

Owning a carillon used to be an impossible dream. The cost of forty or so cast bells and the stalwart structure they would require to house them made the expense overwhelming to all but a few.

But today, because of Schulmerich technology, a full carillon of bells can fit into a large metal cabinet and yet still sound as rich and full as the hundred-ton carillon of old.

Whether you prefer English-tuned bells or Flemish-tuned bells; or if you also want a full compliment of Harp and Celeste bells, Schulmerich has a carillon that will fit your needs and your budget.

Before you decide that you can’t afford a real carillon ask your Schulmerich representative for a price quotation. His answer will be music to your ears:

To have your Schulmerich representative get in touch with you mail this coupon.

Name:

Address

City

State Zip

Church

Schulmerich Carillons, Inc.
Dept. FF CARILLON HILL, SELLERSVILLE, PA. 19460
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BOOK REVIEW


Reviewed by: The Rev. J. Warne Sanders, Carmel, Cal.

At the conclusion of his poem, "A Canopy in the Desert," Abba Kovner refers to the age-old continuity of the spiritual nature of the land of Israel and the Holy City to countless people of many faiths. Thus he wrote:

"I quietly try to rebuild a city, transparent. To sail confused houses in two-way streets. To give them back their faces, to arrange rotating crops, to let the sea break through into the small square rooms and wash the frost flowers and sand stripes alternately from the windows like an old-fashioned devoted servant. Already there is a road, a road sign, It's really possible to go."

Those of us who have walked into the Old City through the Jaffa Gate and eventually out of the Damascus Gate, who have shopped in the crowded but intriguing bazaars, who have prayed at the Western Wall as it stands in its restored honor, who have climbed the steps to share communion in the Upper Room, and who have seen piles of old stones turned into blooming gardens of roses bordered by great walkways, who have found the Western Wall and the Dome of the Rock to be deep spiritual experiences, this book will provide not only detailed information of the past but an exciting projection for the future.

Not too long ago I saw the excavations near the Western Wall, which revealed more history than can be seen in few other places. I covered my hands with the dust of the past as I sifted through dirt and broken stone. I leaned against the wall of a contemporary convent and saw before me thousands of years and countless numbers of people—as well as the contemporary lives of those who live there today. Even if I had not had these experiences, I think reading Planning Jerusalem would be an exciting experience.

In a recent article in "The Christian Century," Malcolm Boyd quoted a thirty-year-old Israeli student who spoke with passion as he said: "I have finally decided that if there is a God, well, damn him. Can you understand what I feel? If this is the best that God can do, and wants to do, then I am no longer interested." I can understand and sympathize with the frustrations of the Israeli, but I still say—and with no hesitation—"Yes, this is the best that God can do. He has done it in the past much beyond man's knowledge and hope. He is doing it in the present despite wars and rumors of wars, and he will continue to do it through the unending dreams and hopes of those who know that there is no other city as meaningful, as exciting, as beautiful, as historical and yet as contemporary as Jerusalem."

Planning Jerusalem gives you that wide a perspective, that great a hope, that large a thrill, and that assured an anticipation.
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Stained Glass Association
Participating Members
LIGHTING

RAMBUSCH, VIGGO BECH
Rambusch Associates
40 W. 13th St.
New York, N.Y. 10011
212/675-0400

LITURGICAL FURNISHINGS

DINGELDEIN SILVERSMITHS
115 Themis St.
P.O. Box 606
Cape Girardeau, Mo. 63701
314/335-7812
Metal, Stone, Wood
For function & symbol

MALARCHER, WILLY J.
Rambusch Associates
40 W. 13th St.
New York, N.Y. 10011
212/675-0400
Liturgical Design Consultation

MARBLE

MAHER, FRANK K.
The Guild
400 Wyoming Ave.
Scranton, Pa. 18503
717/342-8246
Marble, Wood, Bronze,
Stained and Faceted Glass

MARKS, RICHARD G.
1051 W. Maple
Adrian, Mich. 49221
313/263-7235
Also Sanctuary Furniture,
Wrought Iron, Graphics

MILLARD, RICHARD
Rambusch Associates
40 W. 13th St.
New York, N.Y. 10011
212/675-0400
Stained, Leaded & Faceted
Glass Restorations

MOLLICA, PETER
Mollica Stained Glass
1940-A Bonita Ave.
Berkeley, Cal. 94704
415/849-1591
Leaded Stained Glass

MOLICA, PETER
Mollica Stained Glass
1940-A Bonita Ave.
Berkeley, Cal. 94704
415/849-1591
Leaded Stained Glass

STAINED GLASS

ABELMAN, HERSHEL
Sunshine Design
916 W. Wisconsin St.
Chicago, Ill. 60614
312/528-5751
Stained Glass Windows

HANLEY, RICHARD E.
Omnibus Ideas, Inc.
4245 Okemos Rd.—Box 140
Okemos, Mich. 48864
517/340-0727
Associates: A.R. Maglia
Leaded Stained Glass
Faceted Glass

WILLET, E. CROSBY
10 E. Moreland Ave.
Philadelphia, Pa. 19118
215/247-5721
Leaded Stained Glass,
Faceted Glass, Mosaics

SYNAGOGUE ART

MILSTEIN, EMANUEL
R.D. 1 — Box 81C
Marlboro, N.J. 07746
All synagogue needs, ritual
sculpture, faceted,
stained glass

TEXTILE ART

POHLMANN, MARJORIE F.
320 Prospect Ave.
Minneapolis, Minn. 55419
612/825-6772
Handweaving, Applique,
Dossals, Wall Hangings
Params—Vestments

The ARTISTS/CRAFTSMEN DIRECTORY—introduced in the spring '74 issue of FAITH & FORM—is offered as a service to provide visibility to artists/craftsmen interested in receiving religious art commissions.

We invite FAITH & FORM readers to avail themselves of the opportunity to contact the listed artists/craftsmen directly for further information.
**stained glass association of america**

*Craftsmen of Merit*

The SGAA is a non-profit organization established to encourage the finest development of the ancient craft of Stained Glass in contemporary America. Anyone of our members will gladly consult with you on design problems and budget considerations.

Architectural Research Corp., Detroit, Mich.
Bullas Glass, Kitchener, Ont.
Wilbur H. Burnham Studios, Wakefield, Mass.
Edward J. Byrne Studio, Doylestown, Pa.
Cardera Art Studio, Calgary, Canada
Century Studios, San Francisco, Calif.
Church Art Glass, San Francisco, Calif.
City Glass Specialty, Fort Wayne, Ind.
Cummings Studios, San Rafael, Calif.
Durhan Studios, New York, N.Y.
Duval Studios, New York, N.Y.
Fadel’s Studios, Kansas City, Mo.
Fredrica Fields Studio, Greenwich, Conn.
Franklin Art Glass, Columbus, Ohio.
Gaytee Studios, Inc., Minneapolis, Minn.
High Point Glass & Dec. Co., High Point, N.C.
House of Glass, Reg’d., Ottawa, Canada
Hunt Stained Glass, Pittsburgh, Pa.
Kebrle Stained Glass, Dallas, Tex.

Henry Keck, Inc., Syracuse, N.Y.
J. & R. Lamb Studios, Northvale, N.J.
Laws Stained Glass, Statesville, N.C.
O’Duggan Studio, Boston, Mass.
Old Dominion Stained Glass, Richmond, Va.
Orco, San Antonio, Tex.
Phillips Stained Glass, Cleveland, Ohio.
Pittsburgh Stained Glass, Pittsburgh, Pa.
Pounds Stained Glass Studio, Metairie, La.
Louis Pullen, Monterey, Calif.
Riordan Stained Glass, Covington, Ky.
Conrad Schmitt Studios, Milwaukee, Wis.
Kenneth Urschel, Valparaiso, Ind.
Vitrales & Mosaicos, Mexico City, Mex.
Watkins Studio, Englewood, Colo.
White Associates, Canton, Ohio.
Willet Studios, Philadelphia, Pa.
Winterich Studios, Bedford, Ohio.

Stained Glass Association of America
1125 Wilmington Avenue
St. Louis, Missouri 63111

---
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