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Steel shortage will be brief; big boost in CMP copper, aluminum

The steel strike was on, building barely felt its effects. Now that it was over, things were looking up. DP Administrator Henry Fowler hoped steel would be back to normal again by next March.

DPA began spelling out building's poststrike future, homebuilders could look forward to the fact that they would be the least hurt segment of private construction. Despite the strike loss of 20 million tons of steel—a fifth of last year's production—controllers had no plans to reduce self-certifiable limits on under CMP. Moreover, DPA was ready to order big increases in self-certifiable limits on copper and aluminum.

Squeeze or famine? In steel, controllers faced this picture: on April 1, steel inventories totaled about 17 million tons, about 3 million above normal. During April, May and June another 14 million product tons were produced, against an estimated 23 million tons consumption by August.

Per project per quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>OLD</th>
<th>NEW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>2,000 lbs.</td>
<td>5,000 lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>2,000 lbs.</td>
<td>4,000 lbs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5% down payments expected by mid-Sept., as housing starts count begins with June

Even if Regulation X is relaxed, builders still faced some hurdles before 5% down payments would become a reality. The unwieldy provisions of the Defense Production Act (H&H, July '52, p. 35) force the government to ease credit curbs to 5% if housing starts sink below a 1.2 million a year rate for three months in a row. But the law stopped right there. FHA and VA would permit only 80% loans. And conventional financing, with second mortgages, probably will be held close to zero until next year. But they hope that buildings already underway can keep building. One plan called for fourth-quarter allocations cut only 20% from third-quarter levels. The promised July 1 relaxation on commercial construction and the end to the ban on recreational building would be pushed well into the first quarter of 1953.

DPA Chief Fowler announced these plans:

To get military arms and construction started first, military orders for use through Nov. 30 would get a priority. Military orders for use through Oct. would get a priority. But third-quarter CMP tickets, normally invalid after Sept. 30, would be extended through Nov. Fourth-quarter tickets would be good through next Feb. Mills would be required to earmark a percentage of their output for AEC and armed-forces needs.

No new starts. That means, said NP aides, that new starts on big building projects probably will be held close to zero until next year. But they hope that buildings already underway can keep building. One plan called for fourth-quarter allocations cut only 20% from third-quarter levels. The promised July 1 relaxation on commercial construction and the end to the ban on recreational building would be pushed well into the first quarter of 1953.

FHA amenability. Still it was good news to builders when FHA officials indicated at month's end that the insuring agency would surely relax its own rules (up to the legal limit) in conformity with any Reg. X relaxation ordered by the Fed. Builders of high bracket homes could then rely on conventional financing, with second mortgages, to keep down payments near the 5% mark.

New job, old faces. President Truman spelled out how the new mechanism will work in executive order 10.373. As anticipated, the widely respected Bureau of Labor Statistics got the job of estimating the starts, which it has been doing anyway for the last 32 years. Ordinarily, BLS reports the raw figures on housing, unadjusted for

Housing starts in thousands of units

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics

June's total of 106,000 housing starts gave the nation a first half production of 567,000, only 4% below the 1951 mark. Private starts for the first six months totaled 523,500, just 5,000 units below last year to the same time.
winter's lull and summer's building peak. Its experts went into a huddle to figure out a formula for seasonal adjustments, announced they will have no answers before the end of this month.

Why mid-September? Most importantly, Truman ordered the three-month count to begin with June. That meant credit curbs must be lifted by Oct. 1 if June, July and August show a seasonally adjusted rate below 1.2 million starts. And the Federal Reserve, given the authority to announce the relaxation with HHFA concurrence, indicated it saw no purpose in waiting until Oct. 1 if the June-August figures came in before then. Ordinarily, the BLS report would be ready by Sept. 12 or 15. So this became a good target date for the good news homebuilders were waiting to hear. Both agencies denied an Associated Press report that they might ease controls any sooner.

How to sell a house meantime, while buyers awaited the virtual certainty of better terms, remained a tough problem. NAHB suggested one possibility: because credit-control rules apply at the time of the actual title transfer, builders should get a lawyer to insert an appropriate provision in initial sales contracts, "Be sure," warned NAHB, "that the local FHA and the lender will approve the higher mortgage."

Budget bureau refuses BLS funds to speed housing starts count controlling credit terms

The Bureau of Labor Statistics' preliminary monthly estimate of US housing starts—only figure of its kind regularly compiled in the nation—required a new importance to homebuilders last month. The government will rely on BLS findings to determine whether housing starts sink below an annual rate of 1.2 million, thus compelling relaxation of Regulation X.

How good a yardstick? The big question was: how accurate were BLS' housing figures? BLS men insisted they are as accurate as they can be considering the money the bureau has available. No one disputed them. Congress cut the BLS construction statistics budget from a requested $625,000 to $300,000 for the new fiscal year. At first, the Budget Bureau hinted it might give BLS an extra $150,000 or $200,000 from presidential emergency funds to help assure accuracy. With the money, BLS planned to speed up "final" estimates of each month's housing starts to the 26th of the following month instead of 3½ months later. It planned to step up its surveys of lag and lapse in building permits (BLS figures 2% of permits are never used, but admits in abnormal times like this: on the 4th or 5th of each month, the bureau then computes seasonal adjustment factors—the men in charge of its painstaking monthly estimate of housing starts—are (1 to r) Hersey (Pat) E. Riley, chief of the division of construction statistics (now on leave to the Point IV program); acting chief Walter W. Schneider and Herman B. Byer, assistant BLS commissioner who was the first chief of construction statistics."

picture was any chance for BLS to shift the basis for the weights it assigns its data from the 1940 census to the 1950 census. This could mean that BLS housing starts estimates are now chronically too low, because they do not take account of rising homebuilding in nonpermit suburbs. Nobody really knows. The basic fact was that the nation's biggest industry needs and deserves far better statistics.

How it works. The preliminary starts estimate is issued in Washington about the 12th of each month covering housing started the month before. The data with which BLS construction statistics division works covers, roughly speaking, about half of the total US housing. Reporting public housing is easy. BLS gets complete information directly from the government. Measuring private housing is the main job. For the preliminary estimate, it goes like this: on the 4th or 5th of each month, BLS takes all of the regular permit reports received from prompt-reporting cities, adds in a selected sample of telegraph reports, especially from West Coast points. The bureau sorts the returns into four major categories (big cities, towns, rural permit areas and rural nonpermit areas) plus numerous smaller groups set up in advance to weight the statistics for such variables as regional differences in building pace.

In February, 1951, which Construction Chief H. E. Riley considered month typical of the coverage attained, BLS received actual permit issuance reports from building departments in 194 of nation's 199 cities of 50,000 population or more. So this 26% chunk of private home building (by 1940 standards) was fully reported at once. Another 30% of private building is usually accounted for by permits. There are 2,300 of them in the US. Riley received reports from 1,520 in time for the preliminary estimate.

Rural ouija board. Rural areas which do not issue permits are assigned some 22% of US homebuilding. In view of the preliminary estimate, Riley from what counts as ⅞ths of them, that ⅞ths means areas which had ⅞ of the rural nonfarm dwelling units (permit issuing areas) standing at the time of the 1940 census. The last 22% of private US homebuilding lies in rural permit areas. For the preliminary estimate, BLS simply guesses at these on the basis of the trend in rural permit regions.

Against BLS' fixed yardstick of the importance of each type of permit area, the bureau then computes the percentage changes in housing starts in each category. The weighted averages determines the preliminary estimate. For instance, February 1951 showed a 8% from the preliminary estimate for January, so BLS' estimate of housing starts February was reduced 8% below Jan.—to 30,000 units.

Final returns. Considering the do or die command, most experts agree BLS very well to keep the average error preliminary estimate down to 5%. Mi-}get corrected three months later (though by BLS' 12-year-old yardstick), who bureau makes its final estimate of housing starts after hearing from all of the 97 permit rural areas where its agents count starts themselves, and from 14,000 building departments to raise the coverage of US housing from 50% to 75%. For February, 1951, BLS' final on private housing starts was 30,600 was a correction of 0.7%. Since Jan. 1949, the preliminary estimate has low 26 times (by as much as 6%), and 14 times (by as much as 13%), says
Democratic platform urges public housing; Republicans ignore it, plug slum clearance

their convention platforms Republicans Democrats last month laid the ground-for a campaign debate over housing a that could either swell to sizeable pro­

a of the ground.

Democrats took definite stands in of 1) rent control wherever there is “a substantial shortage of housing at resonable prices,” 2) continuation of the public housing, private housing and urban re­

pment programs created by the hous­et of 1949, 3) “special” (but otherwise unspecified) housing aids to veterans. The convention’s resolution committee re­

ed the official credit for writing the platform. Observers of strange phrasings, however, found an amazing unity between the Democratic housing and a proposed statement issued by the convention by the Public Affairs Council of the State of Washington, and urged upon Democrats in Chicago by public hous­

speakman Lee F. Johnson, executive director of the National Housing Con­

ce. Where the Democrats swallowed public housing’s platform verbatim is an italic in italics:

CRATIC PLATFORM

We strongly urge continued federal rent controls in critical defense areas and in the many localities still suffering from a substantial shortage of adequate housing at reasonable prices, etc. We pledge ourselves to the fulfillment of the programs of private g, public low-rent housing, slum clearance,

using stands of the VP candidates

ere on the 1952 political scene is the contrast between Democrat and Repub­

housing views sharper than in the 1948 contest. The vice-presidential candidates, Richard M. Nixon (R, Calif.), the nominee, has an established anti­

residents are worse off than in 1948. Nixon voted to eliminate the public housing section of the 1949 housing law. He voted against the entire housing development measure. In the Senate he voted to limit 1951 federal housing starts to 5,000. This June he voted the same cutback. In the Los Angeles public housing row he supported a bill to cancel federal aid contracts.

John J. Sparkman (D, Ala.), the senator, who was the Senate was public housing row he supported a bill to cancel federal aid contracts.

Sparkman has criticized Fanny May’s big defense mortgage program. His argument: if lenders will only advance funds against a government takeout, the Treasury might as well make direct loans in the first place and save the taxpayers the expense of paying “mortgage brokers’ commissions.”

urban redevelopment, farm housing and housing research as authorized by the housing act of 1949.

We deplore the efforts of special interest groups, which themselves have prospered through government guarantees of housing mortgages, to destroy those programs adopted to assist families of low income.

We pledge ourselves to enact additional legislation to promote housing required for defense workers, middle-income families, aged persons and migratory farm laborers.” (Phrase deleted). We pledge ourselves to provide special housing aids to veterans and their families.

The GOP platform struck many an on­

looker as cryptically brief—more eloquent by what it omitted than by what it said. The Republicans disagreed positively only on rent control. They would limit it strictly to “defense” areas with “critical housing shortages.”

For what cheer it might provide to public­

housing opponents (who got a sly, gratuit­

ous rap on the knuckles in the Democratic platform), the Republicans did not readopt their 1946 plank in favor of public housing. In economizing on words, the Republicans did not declare against it. Either, it looked as though the GOP would like to think public housing is not an issue.

REPUBLICAN PLATFORM

We will oppose federal rent control except in those areas where the expansion of defense production has been accompanied by critical housing shortages. With local cooperation we shall aid slum clearance.

With platforms traditionally “written in June but forgotten by August,” the building industry would also want to keep from the public­

verbiage to the men in high places on the two tickets. There lay more clues to the industry’s stake in the election’s outcome—a subject initially explored by the "House & Home" (p. 134).

Well-known building figures were appearing prominently. Among them: Aksel Nielsen, former MBA president, who was host to Gen. Eisenhower for his postnomination vacation (see photo); Sen. John J. Sparkman, the Democratic nominee for vice-president, who has been in charge of housing legislation in the Senate in recent years; Wilson W. Wyatt, former (1946) National Housing Expediter, whom insiders tagged for a key role directing Gov. Adlai Stevenson’s campaign.

As for the candidates, Ike’s housing-prob­

lem views were still as unknown as ever, although he has generally attacked “paternalistic” government activities. If he sought advice on housing from old friend Aksel Nielsen between fishing and political con­

ferences he would have found little en­

couragement for public housing.

On the other hand, Stevenson has fre­

gone his emphasis on record in favor of public housing, but has also insisted that government subsidy is not the whole answer—"the problem is inherently one for private enterprise . . . the housing deficiency can only be met in the final analysis by all-out private building.”

If precedent guides, in the months ahead both presidential candidates may devote at least one major speech each to housing. Thus the subject may become a hotter issue, particularly when the Sept. 30 de­

line nears for limited federal rent de­

control,
to make a Ventilating Fan that Gets Rid of Kitchen Grease and Odors Faster!

The best fan blade is only as good as the housing around it. That's why it takes a combination of blade and housing to make the ideal ventilating fan.

NuTone's exclusive combination of Deep Pitched Blades plus NuTone's exclusive Venturi Tube in housing give greatest air delivery.
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"Screwdriver only" installation. NuTone's exclusive "snap-in" feature of motor and blade assembly saves time and money. Motor plugs in.

GREATER AIR VOLUME

Laboratory Wind Tunnel tests prove NuTone's propeller-type blades deliver more air at lower cost . . . keep kitchen air fresh and clean.
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NuTone's exclusive Venturi Tube inside sheet metal housing gives close fit of blades. Greasy air can only go in one direction . . . outdoors!

NO SERVICE PROBLEM

NuTone motors are fully guaranteed for five years. NuTone packaging eliminates lost parts and blades, preserves the finish. Motor is grounded for greater safety.
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Patented grille . . . quickly removable . . . easily cleaned. 26 NuTone models for wall and ceiling, give a pleasing choice of sizes and finishes. $24.20 to $38.50 list.
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last big cities will retain rent controls

After Sept. 30 deadline, survey indicates

Housers who thought they won a victory for rent control in Congress faced a surprise this month. A House & Senate survey showed that in six of the eleven largest cities still under control, governments probably will ask and get a continuation of federal rent control instead of letting it die automatically Sept. 30.

- Detroit—Rented toward decontrol, as reported by House & Senate correspondents:

- Philadelphia—Council appears “certain” of rent expiration, probably by enactment of rent control ordinance.

- St. Louis—City is preparing legislation probably retain control, but suburbs probably drop it.

- New York—Survey underway for rent controls, probably due to city officials to “beware of civil disorder in the event of the return to a free market.”

What were the facts? BLS data for 29 large cities indicated that average rent increases since 1939 in several controlled cities have exceeded the average increases in some decontrolled cities. But generally, in rent controlled cities, rents had risen far less since prewar days than in decontrolled ones. BLS figures on percentage increases in eight decontrolled and 21 controlled cities from Sept., 1939, to the 1952 month indicated:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controlled Cities</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York, April</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo, April</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh, Feb.</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cincinnati, March</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis, March</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, Mar.</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit, April</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indianapolis, April</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore, March</td>
<td>37.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland, Feb.</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minneas, March</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago, March</td>
<td>42.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta, Feb.</td>
<td>45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City, April</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle, Feb.</td>
<td>51.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memphis, March</td>
<td>53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver, April</td>
<td>54.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: BLS and E. H. Borek & Associates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decontrolled Cities</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile, March</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville, March</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland, Ovs., April</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honolulu, Feb.</td>
<td>41.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond, April</td>
<td>51.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savannah, April</td>
<td>62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles, Feb.</td>
<td>53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham, Feb.</td>
<td>80.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Under general decontrol, Tighe Woods predicted, rent increases would range from 20% on higher-priced homes and apartments to “50 to 100% in the lowest brackets.” Prospects were slim, however, that many homebuilders anywhere will feel much market boost as a result of rent hikes.

Long Island builder apes Levitt design, finds sales great

When pace-setting Levitt & Sons transferred its operations to Bucks County, Pa., last fall, many a Long Island builder began scheming how to gain first place in the market abandoned by Levittown’s creators. Last month, builders Irving Warfield and Gus Tarlofsky insisted they had the answer: imitation. Like Levitt’s 1952 “Levittowner” (Oct. issue ’51, p. 217), Warfield’s Southwood at Syosset house (above) was priced at $9,990. Its floor plan, rooflines and exterior facade (color asbestos) were all but identical.

One difference: a solid, instead of folding wall, between third bedroom and living room. Like the Levitt house, the Syosset design by architect A. H. Saikowitz had 1,000 sq. ft., 3 bedrooms, a two-way fireplace, slab floor. (Warfield did not include a refrigerator and washing machine in the sales price.) Result: 173 sales in first three weeks after tract opened. Warfield thought his house was “fastest selling” on Long Island.
A Good Roof... a Dry Basement... and a Good Heating Plant

TYPE "R" STEEL BOILERS

It's an old adage among architects that a sound home must have a good roof, a dry basement and dependable heat. The tastes and requirements of owners must, of course, be considered in the plans but on such basic matters as heating, the architect or heating expert should decide.

Professionals in heating have known and used Kewanee Boilers for many years. Kewanee Type "R" Boilers... for homes and small buildings... are built of the same boiler plate and in the same faultless manner as the big Kewanees which for over 80 years have heated America's finest large structures.

There's a Kewanee Type "R"... Cottage, Round "R" and Square Heat... to produce 77,000 to 1,200,000 Btu hourly. An unjacketed Round "R" is pictured. All sizes of the three series are available in handsome insulating steel jackets in two-tone green enamel.

KEWANEE-ROSS CORPORATION
Division of American Radiator & Standard Sanitary Corporation
KEWANEE, ILLINOIS

Serving home and industry
AMERICAN STANDARD • AMERICAN BLOWER • CHURCH SEATS • DETROIT LUBRICATOR • KEWANEE BOILERS • ROSS HEATER • TONAWANDA IRON

RANCH TYPE RESIDENCE
Lake Forest, Illinois
GEORGE FRED & WILLIAM KECK
Chicago, Architects
Kewanee Round "R" Boiler
Installed by
CLIFFORD Moran
Plumbing & Heating Service
Highland Park, Ill.

KEWANEE-ROSS CORPORATION
Division of American Radiator & Standard Sanitary Corporation
KEWANEE, ILLINOIS

Serving home and industry
AMERICAN STANDARD • AMERICAN BLOWER • CHURCH SEATS • DETROIT LUBRICATOR • KEWANEE BOILERS • ROSS HEATER • TONAWANDA IRON
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A, PHA to begin anti-Red screening but PHA Administration finds legal loophole

Vigorous attacks on public housing have a tiring way of bouncing back on the building. In 1949 when Congress considering the present public housing verbal attempts were made to impose a segregation ban on all projects, were voted down largely because pro-housing Congressmen threatened to the same restriction on FHA. 

Despite World War II, labor henchmen in 'rose up in righteous indignation persistently to expand the public housing program, voted an amendment requiring that "prevailing segregation by limiting this to multifamily housing."

As approved by the House, the rider would have shut off further aid to any public housing development harboring communists or members of subversive groups blacklisted by the Department of Justice. Even so, thoughtful building men realized their own bullet had ricocheted back on them in the guise of a warning by investment authorities not to stand on this technicality, screen all tenants for subversion. One embarrassing reason: in Detroit, public housers were dismayed to find one tenant was the local correspondent of the Communist's Daily Worker.

VA dissent. Surprisingly, the Veterans Administration refused to take part in the loyalty purge. Reason: in setting up the home loan guaranty program for veterans, Congress gave VA no discretion as long as the applicants met prescribed terms: were honorably discharged, had not previously used their entitlements, were good credit risks. If the antisulanders were law, it would be different, advised VA lawyers. But Congress had only declared its views through a conference report. So VA's hands were tied. Unhappy VA officials hoped Congress would amend the law when it reconvenes in January.

A boomerang. Last month, builders to realize their own bullet had ricocheted again—this time in an amendment Independent Offices Appropriation Rep. Ralph W. Gwinn (R, N. Y.), of anything smacking of public welfare. As approved by the House, the rider would have shut off further aid to any public housing development harboring communists or members of subversive groups blacklisted by the Office of the Secretary. Consequently, a warning by investment authorities not to stand on this technicality, screen all tenants for subversion. One embarrassing reason: in Detroit, public housers were dismayed to find one tenant was the local correspondent of the Communist's Daily Worker.

'Screen 'em all.' Jubilant over escaping the ignominy of having its program made direct dealing only with mortgagees, it will be up to the lenders to see that the certificates are properly signed. Along with the new forms, lenders will be given a list of organizations the Department of Justice regards as subversive.

While lenders did not relish the nuisance of filling out another half million forms a year (the current total of all categories of FHA insurance), they could be thankful for two real breaks:

- Violations will not invalidate FHA insurance, although detected perjurers will be subject to felony prosecution for false swearing.
- Certification will not be retroactive. Thus occupants of the 3,750,000 units rented or sold under FHA financing since the program was launched 18 years ago will be unaffected.

For tenants in FHA rental projects, however, the reprieve will be brief. Lease renewals as well as new purchase contracts will come under the subversive ban as soon as FHA headquarters staff finishes its paper work, dispatches the instructions and forms to its field offices. Officials hoped this would be by mid-August.

Screen 'em all.' Jubilant over escaping the ignominy of having its program made the only target of a subversive purge, the Public Housing Administration whipped itself into a frenzy of compliance. PHA lawyers held that the amendment only applies to inmates of projects where federal-assistance contracts were made or amended after the effective date of the act (July 5, 1953). But the agency urged local housing authorities not to stand on this technicality, screen all tenants for subversion. One embarrassing reason: in Detroit, public housers were dismayed to find one tenant was the local correspondent of the Communist's Daily Worker.

VA dissent. Surprisingly, the Veterans Administration refused to take part in the loyalty purge. Reason: in setting up the home loan guaranty program for veterans, Congress gave VA no discretion as long as the applicants met prescribed terms: were honorably discharged, had not previously used their entitlements, were good credit risks. If the antisubversive policy were law, it would be different, advised VA lawyers. But Congress had only declared its views through a conference report. So VA's hands were tied. Unhappy VA officials hoped Congress would amend the law when it reconvenes in January.

Wisconsin round house is designed for built-in view

On a suburban lot with no appealing vista a house should have an interior that should be so rich and varied that no view is required. Or so reasoned 27-year-old architect James R. Dresser in designing his own circular, concrete-domed "sunflower" home (above) at Madison, Wis. Sunday motorists (right photo) stop and stare at the reddish domed round house, 40' in diameter with about 1,800 sq. ft. of floor area. Fourteen lenders also stopped short—"bewildered was supreme," says Dresser—before "previous business relations and imagination" prompted Madison 1st Federal Savings and Loan to take the mortgage. Dresser cuts the cost at $14,000, excluding the value of much work by himself.

Unusual interior has floor of 1/4" x 1/2" laminated plywood strips laid edge up in circles conforming to the house. Walls of waxed industrial cork blocks reach 7' 6" above floor, so the dome is the ceiling for all rooms except the dropped-ceiling bath. Abstract intermediate ceiling of six concrete bowls each 5' in diameter will be hung over kitchen beneath central sky-light 7' in diameter. Earth piled around exterior provides cheap insulation. Circular carport roof is 3/8" plywood molded on the job.
Economical, easy to handle, Keymesh provides strength, attractive appearance and durability for exterior or interior reinforcement of plaster or concrete—for commercial or residential buildings of all types. Write for complete information.
Defense housing program assailed by AFL; builders fear overbuilding in a few spots

Anybody had ever been really happy with defense housing program. Architected it would spread more ugly boxes across the US landscape (it) (mortgage bankers were little interested advancing funds because of low, higher-than-usual risk. Homes, even when they could scrape up money, found they had to haggle for commitments that would let them go. Military officials grumbled that they were too high.

United defense. To the gradually rising chorus of complaint, the AFL last week added a bitter attack. Said AFL President William Green in a proposal to Democratic convention resolutions committee: "The most neglected aspect of our program to date has been the utter inadequacy of the federal housing program to meet the need for decent housing facilities for the thousands who are in low-income areas and high-income families. We urge federal action to meet the housing needs of defense workers for the fact that only 2,000 defense housing units were completed in June. "

In San Antonio, President R. N. White Jr. of the real estate board complained that the area's 67,500 defense workers were "pushing the San Antonio housing market." He said the city's 7 percent vacancy rate was the highest since pre-war days. householders opposed construction of any more Wherry housing.

In the Layton-Ft. Sill, Okla. area, builder said vacancies in completed defense houses were running between 20 and 25 percent. To Maj. John C. Goodhue, Ft. Sill billeting officer, this was "just keeping abreast of the demand." When the next class of students reached the field artillery school, all agreed the vacancy rate should drop sharply.

In Wichita, builders said they were opposed to any more government-inspired defense housing. In the next three months, some 2,000 defense homes will be completed, yet already vacancies were appearing in the $80 to $150 a month rental bracket. Most defense workers were looking for something cheaper.

In nine areas, however, the Air Force last month declared adequate family housing was "almost non-existent": Dover, Del.; Bainbridge, Ga.; Kingston, N. C.; Moses Lake, Wash.; Limestone, Me.; Niagara Falls, N. Y.; Oscoda, Mich.; Rapid City, S. D.; and Sumter, S. C. NAHB officials summed up the problem for the War Manpower Commission: "It is extremely difficult to schedule completion of housing to meet the needs of defense workers in view of the many changes being made in the program."

In August, President R. N. White Jr. of the real estate board complained that the area's 27,120 Wherry units were "ruining the San Antonio rental market." He said the city's 7 percent vacancy rate was the highest since pre-war days. Builders will oppose construction of any more Wherry housing.

In the Layton-Ft. Sill, Okla. area, builders said vacancies in completed defense houses were running between 20 and 25 percent. To Maj. John C. Goodhue, Ft. Sill billeting officer, this was "just keeping abreast of the demand." When the next class of students reached the field artillery school, all agreed the vacancy rate should drop sharply.

In Wichita, builders said they were opposed to any more government-inspired defense housing. In the next three months, some 2,000 defense homes will be completed, yet already vacancies were appearing in the $80 to $150 a month rental bracket. Most defense workers were looking for something cheaper.

In nine areas, however, the Air Force last month declared adequate family housing was "almost non-existent": Dover, Del.; Bainbridge, Ga.; Kingston, N. C.; Moses Lake, Wash.; Limestone, Me.; Niagara Falls, N. Y.; Oscoda, Mich.; Rapid City, S. D.; and Sumter, S. C. NAHB officials summed up the problem for the War Manpower Commission: "It is extremely difficult to schedule completion of housing to meet the needs of defense workers in view of the many changes being made in the program."

In nine areas, however, the Air Force last month declared adequate family housing was "almost non-existent": Dover, Del.; Bainbridge, Ga.; Kingston, N. C.; Moses Lake, Wash.; Limestone, Me.; Niagara Falls, N. Y.; Oscoda, Mich.; Rapid City, S. D.; and Sumter, S. C. NAHB officials summed up the problem for the War Manpower Commission: "It is extremely difficult to schedule completion of housing to meet the needs of defense workers in view of the many changes being made in the program."

Cantilevered carport solves hillside turnaround problem

When Dr. Howard Stenger, a big name in Portland, Ore. glasses, decided he wanted a carport for his hillside home, he was confronted by the fact that supporting posts would prevent turnarounds by his front door. The solution, worked out by builder Burton Newton with Engineer C. L. Stuffle, was this cantilevered carport. The aluminum-covered roof projects 14 feet, 6 inches, held up by five 8-inch steel I-beams sunk 12 inches in the ground in concrete. It will hold 1 ton of wet snow with no sag.
IT'S A TIME of keen competition in the plumbing ware industry—with every manufacturer on the alert for ways and means to increase his sales. Naturally there is a temptation to accomplish this by cutting prices. But with costs on the rise, as they are today, this usually means reducing quality.

THIS THE MAKERS OF BRIGGS BEAUTYWARE REFUSE TO DO!

Briggs will not lower the traditional high quality of these fine plumbing fixtures to meet the current competitive trend. Briggs will not make an undersize bathtub or one without a rim seat. Briggs will not manufacture a second, cheaper grade of fixtures—all Briggs fixtures are acid-resistant; all Briggs colors are non-fading.

The modern porcelain enameled formed steel bathtubs and lavatories developed and perfected by Briggs are the finest obtainable. Briggs high-density vitreous china lavatories and closets are the very best we know how to produce. Briggs brass fittings are of excellent design engineered for long, dependable service.

Briggs is proud that over the years every modification in its plumbing ware design—every change in materials and manufacturing techniques—has been made to improve the product. This is the way Briggs will always do business.

WHEN YOU ORDER BRIGGS BEAUTYWARE YOU BUY THE FINEST!
Savings and Loan League backs flexible mortgage

Says Bill Levitt: “Today we have to tell our buyers: ‘If you want to improve your house next year by adding another bedroom you will have to get a new mortgage or else you will have to pay for the improvement with short term credit at 5% interest.’

“It would be a very, very helpful thing if we could get a mortgage which would let us say to our customers: ‘We have so arranged your financing that when you want to add these value-increasing improvements you can get the money at low interest with 20 years to pay it back, even beyond the original total mortgage and even before you have created more equity by paying your mortgage down.’

Have you a client or customer who has recently moved into a new house and would like to borrow some additional money on the first mortgage to pay for a garage? ... or finishing the expansion attic? ... or putting in air conditioning?

Have you a client or customer who has just bought an old house and would like to borrow some more money on his mortgage to modernize it and put labor-saving kitchen?

If you have, there will soon be good news for you—

For next month the U. S. Savings and Loan League will urge all its 3,900 members to begin writing their conventional mortgages in such a way that it will be easy for you to get the extra money needed for improvements.*

will require little more than rewording a security clause to give first mortgage to additional advances even beyond the original amount of the loan.

ew wording to that effect has been long afloat by U. S. Savings and Loan League’s counsel, Horace Russell, who for years has been working with the editors of magazine to develop a more flexible mortgage, better suited to the needs of borrowers. It would modify the security to read:

secure a note for $5,000 executed and delivered concurrently herewith .... and any advances made by the holder of mortgage, provided that the total amount made shall not at any time exceed $5,000.”

Explains Mr. Russell: “This recording of the larger figure charges third parties with notice of the total amount secured by the mortgage, and in most states gives additional advances the same priority as the original loan. In some states it will still be necessary to ascertain that no additional liens have been recorded. The City Title Insurance Co. will give title insurance on the additional advance anywhere in the US for $5 per $1,000 just on the homeowner’s affidavit (see H&H, June ’52, page 80). This is incomparably better for both lender and borrower than a refinancing, which is apt to take a month and cost about 1% of the entire mortgage.

An adequate provision for additional advances properly explained to the family in the beginning, will help the lender establish such good will with its customers that it can sell its loans for a better price and still have more satisfied customers and friends. Home mortgage lending is a family service, and in the long run the lender will find the safest and most profitable contract is the one best suited to the family’s needs through the whole term of the mortgage.

Plenty of precedent

“Open-end mortgages whose amount might vary even from day to day (so as to secure a merchant’s account) were well known at common law in England long ago and were adopted and widely used in this country from the beginning.

“Provision for additional advances for home improvement was less important when most mortgages were made for a short term, for additional needs could be taken care of in the regular refinancing. Today most mortgages run for 20 or 25 years, and over such a long period of time practically all families need some additional credit accommodation. As a result there is still too much refinancing—which costs too much and takes too long.

“The family with a good home as security is entitled to have imbedded in its first mortgage the means of obtaining mortgage credit at mortgage rates on mortgage terms to pay for any reasonable improvement of its property. In other words, it should be able to borrow at about half the interest rate and less than a fourth the monthly payment required by the most favorable FHA short-term credit.”

For expansion, modernization

“If a family applies for a small loan on a $20,000 house, the mortgage institution should be smart enough to write the mortgage with provision for additional advances up to $15,000. If a family applies for an $8,000 loan on a new $10,000 house with an expansion attic and no garage, the lender should be smart enough to write the mortgage with provision for additional advances up to perhaps $12,000, so that when the income of the family justifies the place can be finished without the delays and expense of refinancing. Likewise, when an old house is sold the lender should be smart enough to write the purchase money mortgage as a package mortgage (all mortgages today should be package mortgages) with provision for additional advances sufficient to modernize the property and equip it with all modern appliances including air conditioning.”
California quake wrecks most of a town but code-conforming buildings escape

The southern California earthquake of July 21, which took 13 lives and caused property damage that may well reach $100 million, gave the building industry a costly but invaluable demonstration of building code provisions intended to make structures withstand such violent shocks.

The quake, triggered by a shift in the Bear Mountain fault (not the Garlock fault as first reported), was the severest the US has felt since the San Francisco quake and fire of 1906. It had, said John M. Nordquist of Caltech's seismological laboratory, the force of 2,000 atomic bombs—enough to shift the west end of Bear Mountain Peak 3' up and 3' north. Eighteen miles from the quake's center, the sleepy railroad ranch and mill town of Tehachapi (pop. 1,557), 118 miles north of Los Angeles, bore the brunt of its shattering force.

Not well built. Many Tehachapi homes were built of adobe brick. Many an old Tehachapi business building, erected long before the town adopted the uniform building code of the Pacific Coast Building Officials Conference, was fashioned of unreinforced brick held together by lime mortar. So to building inspectors who flocked to the scene from Los Angeles, it was no surprise to find 41 Tehachapi business buildings damaged, including nearly every one along its Main St. Seventeen were in ruins beyond repair. Of Tehachapi homes, 57 were damaged or untenable. Four were completely demolished. Of the 13 deaths, 12 were in Tehachapi—nine of them in two families crushed beneath falling walls of brick, stone, or adobe. Damage estimates reached $2.6 million.

'Tie the corners together.' To Los Angeles' inspectors, it appeared that any Tehachapi building built to withstand a lateral force of 10% or more of its total static weight (a provision required by Los Angeles' building code) came through the earthquake undamaged provided it was properly erected. For instance, on one building built in 1915, roof corners broke off. Checking the plans, chief LA building inspector Dick Morris found the design had not been followed by the contractor. Several reinforced concrete structures ripped at the roof line. Inspectors said the was not enough reinforcing rods, reinforcing there was saved but from collapse, they added. Chief les builders who want to strengthen old code buildings was plain: be sure walls are reinforced and that corners tied together with stringers and brackets.

In other quake-rocked towns, dam higher, but loss of life less. At Bakersfield (pop. 60,000), nearest city, two were Kern County general hospital warned (as was all of Tehachapi hospital). Two giant water towers flattened one building and da others. Kern County agriculture multimillion dollar beating through irrigation pipes (which may shrivel $100 million of cotton) and earth upheaval changed land contours so much new tion systems must be laid out. In Los Angeles, the tremors shattered 27 str play windows in the May Co.'s department store. Cost: $500 each. The stores' powder room was rendered unsafe a Co. officials were gravely consider:
Builders denounce proposal to use FHA to enforce antiwaste national building code

Instead of going after the waste in building codes pointed out by the President's Materials Policy Commission (H&H, July '52), homebuilders last month went after the commission.

The commission, in its monumental survey of US raw materials problems, predicted a 35% increase in the rate of construction by 1975, but attacked the industry for its enormous waste of materials. It suggested, among other things, an antiwaste national building code enforced back-handed by government housing agencies.

'Unthinkable.' Protested NAHB's Executive Vice President Frank Cortright: "It is difficult to imagine anything more impractical and inequitable than the proposal that FHA insurance and VA guarantees should not be made available to home buyers in cities refusing to conform with a set of federal standards. Desirable as modernization of building codes is in many cities, the refusal of FHA and VA insurance to prospective home buyers because a locality will not accept federal dictate in the matter of codes is unthinkable."

The building industry might well agree that the presidential commission went too far in suggesting FHA insure no mortgages in a city which did not conform to a sense-making set of national standards. But the commission might have been 100% right if it had demanded that FHA stop making local codes basic to its insurance, stop giving a home built under a wasteful code a higher valuation than the same home built under a money-saving code.

Gentle pressures. Such gentle pressures as lower valuations for wasteful design, as Sen. Everett Dirksen (R., Ill.) recently observed, are "the kind that get things done." Fire insurance underwriters, for instance, often tell a city it must have another fire house, extend its hydrant system, or else face a big increase in insurance premiums. Local demand generally gets the fire house or the extra water mains.

FHA officials sometimes do require changes in materials—as a bar to extravagance. But builders know such cases are the exception, rather than the rule. As it is now, generally the more the required waste the higher the mortgage FHA will approve.

Silent officialdom. Housing officialdom behaved as if it considered the Presidential report a hot potato. A full month after the report had been issued, H&H Administrator Raymond M. Foley still evaded comment after first promising to stand up and be counted. Foley said he would have something to say later. One apparent reason for his wary silence: the NSRB set up a task force of 25 agencies to study the report. The findings were due Sept. 22.

AFL bans jurisdictional strike picketing; WSB urges okay on builder bonus pay

In the last five years, jurisdictional strikes in building have swelled to a major nuisance. In 1947, there were 68. They involved 11,200 workers, caused 232,000 man-days of idleness. By last year, BLS counted 198 jurisdictional strikes involving 63,400 workers at a loss of 317,000 man-days.

Last month, the AFL Building and Construction Trades Department finally did something to stop it. The general presidents of 19 building trades unions agreed on a new policy banning picketing in jurisdictional disputes, sent it out to 12,000 local building trades unions, the 18 state councils and 576 local building trades councils with a stiff warning:

- Locals who post picket lines in jurisdictional strikes will face "immediate discipline." Building Trades President Richard Gray said this will be revocation of charters.
- Local councils who authorize or support jurisdictional strikes will face charter revocation.
- Other unions must ignore jurisdictional picket lines while waiting for discipline to strike. Gray insisted that this time the AFL "meant business."

Last month also brought encouraging news about another labor problem annoying the housing industry. Before Korea, many a builder kept his key workers by paying them more than prevailing wages. But WSB has banned such bonus pay. Before leaving office, the old WSB passed along a recommendation to the wage board that took office at month's end: revoke the ban.

Boom in air conditioning grows; room units a sellout

By last month, the boom in residential air conditioning was on in a big way. An impressively growing list of homebuilders were announcing developments offering built-in air conditioning in the $18,000-up bracket. Newspapers across the nation broke out in a rash of stories proclaiming the trend (many of them quoting House & Home's 42-page survey of air conditioning
The faces of Curtis New Londoner flush doors are native woods especially selected for their attractive figures. Grain patterns come book-matched and in other pleasing variations. Curtis Plyoneer flush doors have the same superior inner construction but faces are not matched for color or pattern. Also available—Curtis American solid core flush doors for exterior and institutional use.

3 Is it all wood? The Curtis New Londoner core is made of carefully selected, properly seasoned wood. No inferior or substitute materials are used.

3 Is the grid properly meshed? The mesh formed by the interlocked pine strips provides great strength—with light weight—and a perfectly flat, sturdy base for the door faces.

3 Is the grid locked in place? The Curtis New Londoner door has no “floating” parts. The precision milled grid is locked into the door to form one completely joined unit.

3 Are stile and rail areas generous? There’s no skimping in the stiles and rails of the Curtis New Londoner door. Ample widths assure superior sturdiness.

3 Is structural strain eliminated? The special method of assembling the various parts of a Curtis New Londoner door eliminates all interior structural strain—doors fit perfectly and are easier to open and close. The entire door is sealed against moisture.

3 Is construction balanced? In the Curtis New Londoner door, moisture content is balanced and carefully controlled in manufacture—3-ply panels forming each face of the door mean balanced sturdiness. This construction provides full protection against sticking and warping in all climates.

Curtis Companies Service Bureau
MB-8, Curtis Building
Clinton, Iowa
I want to know more about Curtis New Londoner hollow-core flush doors; also Curtis American solid core flush doors.

Name..........................................................
Address......................................................
City......................................................... State..........................
PEOPLE: rift splits Miami builders association; new FHA boss picks aides; Ferriss elected N. Y. AIA chief

In Miami, dissension inside the Builders Association of South Florida swelled to revolt proportions. A group headed by builders Emil Gould and Charles I. Babcock laid plans to form its own association (though retaining membership in the old group). Reason, said dissident builder James M. Albert, was that "the association's membership has been broadened to include general contractors, subcontractors, material dealers [so that] out of some 275 members, there are only about 40 builder-members. ... We don't see eye-to-eye with the association's leadership." Two other members of the insurgent faction: ex-NAHB president Thomas P. Coogan, builder W. M. Porter. Retorted Association President Bill Thompson: "The Gould-Babcock-Albert-Coogan-Porter faction has been after [executive secretary] Cap Miller's scalp about four years. They tried to get him ousted recently, but the board, instead, renewed Miller's contract ... with a raise."

Appointment of Walter L. Greene as FHA commissioner was confirmed by the Senate just before Congress adjourned early in July. A few days later the new agency chief named Hugh Askew, director of Oklahoma City office for last six years, as asst. commissioner for field operations, key administrative position directing field officers, succeeding Herbert C. Redman. He also appointed Edgar C. McIntosh, assistant deputy for Title I division, as an assistant for liaison with prefabricated industry, succeeding Donald M. Alstrup. In New York, Thomas G. Grace, FHA state director since 1935, and previously state counsel for HOLC, resigned to return to private law practice.

AIA's New York chapter elected Hugh Ferriss as its new president. He succeeds Francis Keally, Ferriss was president of the Architectural League of New York in 1943, has served as consultant to the UN headquarters planning staff and currently is consultant for the Inter-American Center in Miami and Manhattan's Avenue of the Americas.

DIED: Dr. Eugene Gustave Steinhof, 71, professor of architecture at the University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil, and lecturer at Harvard, Yale and other US institutions under auspices of AIA and Beaux Arts Institute, July 10 in Los Angeles; R. Clifford Bangs, 68, former president of Washington, D.C., real-estate board, July 12 at Rehoboth Beach, Del.

NAMED: mortgage banker George H. Dovenmuehle, as president of the Chicago Dwellings Assn., non-profit group formed in 1948 to erect low-cost veterans' housing; Engineer Fred N. Severud of New York, as winner of the Frank P. Brown medal given by Pennsylvania's Franklin Institute for "outstanding engineering accomplishments;" Robert E. Entzeroth of St. Louis, as winner of the 1952 LeBrun traveling scholarship of the New York AIA chapter.
ROOT-PROOF JOINTS

More and more Architects, Engineers and Builders turn to Orangeburg Sewer Pipe and Fittings for root-proof, sanitary installations. And since a sewer pipe is only as root-proof as its joints, Orangeburg originated TAPERWELD® JOINTS to seal pipe and fittings permanently against root entry, infiltration or leakage.

Root Prevention for Entire Pipe Line
Orangeburg assures it. That’s why Orangeburg Pipe is extensively used in House-to-Sewer (or Septic Tank) connections; downspouts, storm drains; tight-joining drainage lines; conducting potable water supply—all non-pressure outside uses.

Orangeburg Insures a Quality Installation
Orangeburg is non-metallic, resilient. It resists acids, alkalies, salts, oils, greases and gases found in sewage wastes and soils. No cement or compounds are needed.

Eight foot lengths are easy to install. Orangeburg fittings—1/8 and 1/4 Bends and Wyes—are made of Orangeburg material and tooled for Taperweld Joints.

Many Uses also for Orangeburg Perforated Pipe
...including Septic Tank disposal fields; foundation footing drains; draining wet spots in lawns, driveways, athletic fields, parking lots, airports, etc. Orangeburg Snap Couplings keep pipe in line, prevent silting at the joints. The new Orangeburg Fittings are also widely used with Orangeburg Perforated Pipe.

Remember—Orangeburg originated this modern pipe. Pipe and fittings are stamped with the Orangeburg trademark. For your protection, specify Orangeburg—the original!

SEND FOR NEW CATALOG 306-MBS, for important data.

ORANGEBURG MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC., ORANGEBURG, NEW YORK

BEHIND THE BLUEPRINTS

Of Richard Neutra
someone has said, "The Los Angeles architect has a legend and a classic in his name." Such is the impact of the Viennesi-born, 60-year-old modern artist. Trained at Vienna’s Technical University, Neutra also studied under famous architect Loos, was later associated with Eric Mendes on the important Berliner East Building (1922). Neutra migrated in 1923, worked for Holabird and Root, then for Sullivan, visited Wright for several years, established himself in Los Angeles. Ever since then, a stream of daring modern houses, office buildings, schools has come off the Neutra board. This month's house on the e desi...
The mortgage mess

The text for this little sermon is from Matthew 26:52—"They that live by the sword shall die by the sword."

Or, to paraphrase the parable in more modern and less warlike words: "No business that tries to live by government favors should be surprised if it ends up strangled in government regulations and red tape."

For example, take a look at homebuilding and what government regulations are now doing to it. The homebuilders' three biggest headaches were deliberately created for them by the government:

Item: The government has told homebuyers they can probably save most of the down payment if they don't buy a house this summer, but sit tight until Regulation X comes off.

Item: Regulation X, which began as a legitimate credit control to curb inflation and save critical materials, has been taken over by the Fair Dealers and made a club to drive homebuilders into the under-$7,000 field (even though the government, for its public-housing program, has decided $7,000 homes are seldom worth building).

Item: By forcing an artificially low interest rate which drove mortgage money into other channels, the government has made it extremely difficult for all but a few lucky or well-connected builders to get either FHA or VA financing.

What more could the government do to discourage homebuying and discourage the building of better homes? . . .

We agree wholeheartedly with every criticism the homebuilders can raise. But we do not agree that the cure for these government-created troubles is more government intervention—like having government lend more money through Fanny May to make up for the money government has driven out of the mortgage market.

On the contrary, we believe the faster the homebuilders shake themselves loose from any dependence on government favors incompatible with sound economics, the healthier and more prosperous their industry will be.*

This magazine has gone on record not once but many times that FHA financing is the best thing that ever happened to the homebuying public and the homebuilding industry, an outstanding example of partnership between government and industry at almost no cost to the taxpayer, a partnership which need involve only a very small subsidy and very little in the way of political favor. We see every reason why that partnership should be continued and strengthened on the basis of sound economics, but we view with alarm the two fairly recent changes in the FHA-VA pattern which threaten to transform the basis of FHA and VA from economics to politics.

These new and unsound features of the otherwise excellent FHA-VA mortgage insurance system—these two government favors which have cut FHA and VA financing off from the free market, the two government favors which now threaten to cut the homebuilders off from the free economy—are only these:

- A fictitious low interest rate—low enough so only the homebuilders are having any trouble borrowing all the money they want this year, but not low enough to be of any real value to homebuyers (on a $10,000 mortgage it saves them never more than $24 a year—all of it tax deductible);
- A fictitious amortization schedule, under which very little is paid off in the early years. As a result, only continuing inflation has kept FHA's default rate low, for without inflation a large proportion of five-year-old houses sold like rent might not be worth the mortgage today.

Neither of these new favors is worth the price the homebuilders are paying. A free place in a free economy is the birthright of every American, and the homebuilders should not sell that birthright for such a mess of potage as the mortgage mess today.

* On rental housing there may indeed be no escape, for rent control has perhaps permanently destroyed rental housing as an attractive field for private investment.
It happens that the minimum cooking-eating-living space illustrated on these two pages is the center of a very lavish house (which is illustrated on the next four pages). But however lavish the rest of the house may be, its 20' x 20' family room is a pact a solution as even the tightest-minded house could hope to find.

For small houses this room suggests new ways to make better use of limited space, for big houses it might be the prototype for a new kind of room the American house now lacks: a room for informal living, distinct and separate from a formal "parlor" to be used only on "occasions." For many a house is beginning to find that it needs two living rooms, and the rough and ready family room which Richard Neutra has designed for this house is a fine example of what that second living room might be like.

The kitchen is the nerve center of this family room. It measures about 8' x 12', has an open counter with a stove top from which to serve the eating nook. The counter is closed off at one end by a shaft that contains the oven and an exhaust fan. The eating nook is as efficiently planned as a drugstore booth, with a leather bench under the window and no space wasted for moving chairs around or formal serving. Just beyond is a screened porch for outdoor meals.
The family room has four zones: Kitchen, eating nook, inglenook with leather bench and bookshelves, and work area with a desk, built-in typewriter stand and more shelves. Practically all daytime activity takes place in this 20' x 20' area. A laundry adjoins the kitchen, and a dining room is beyond the work area. The dining room is used for formal entertaining only, as is the big living room to the south of it (shown on the next page).
...and builds a lavish house around

View across pool into living room. The Moore house is the center of a ranch located in the subtropical landscape of the Ojai Valley.

Over-all view of Moore house shows extended wings; detached studio in foreground.
use for the Moores in the Ojai Valley in California.
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Glass wall in the living room has hinged ventilating panels under the sill.

View from formal dining area into living room. Organ console is visible recessed into end wall of living area. The organ loft adjoins the fireplace.

And then, from this heart Neutra will extend low roof-planes that carry the eye toward the distant horizon—originally, in the first days of modern architect Frank Lloyd Wright's prairie horizon; now, the frontier has farther west, and the horizon is that of Neutra's California desert, with the distant Sierras.

But romantic mysticism is not the only source plan: obviously a house so designed can be built on a stage; obviously, too, a house with a core makes for good circulation; finally, the wings extend in all directions create many pleasant terraces for many kinds of outdoor living, and each wing an terrace will have a different kind of view.

The Neutra plans on p. 77 demonstrate how this basic principle turns out to be, how it can be adapted to many different site conditions, how it can be applied to the smallest house and the most lavish mansion. Ojai house the central-unit plan contains, in addition...
room already described, a big living room in which Oore likes to give musicales (she is a harpist herself, and an organ in her requirements for the living Finally, there is a dining area used for formal en­ning. The living–dining room overlooks the pool to that through a wall of fixed glass (with ventilating under the sill) and has a view of the mountain beyond.

In this virtually square, central unit Neutra has shot bedroom wing toward the south, and a carport to the west out of that bedroom wing. Finally, to south, there is a detached studio building used by Oores’ daughter, who is a painter. A pergola links this to the main house.

Architectural pattern that results from this type of grid plan covers the surrounding gardens and almost like a grid of map-coordinates, gives the planting an orderly coherence—but without forest rigid, classical, flower-bed arrangements. This of the landscape is a much more subtle process at employed by the classical landscape designers, is no clear dividing line that separates man-made pe from Nature’s spectacular improvisation. The becomes gradually—very gradually—more forms gets closer to the building proper, until, finally, the from the outdoors to the formal indoor archi­is made without a noticeable break.

Of Neutra’s work—like that of most other modern­ves something to the pioneer designs of Frank Wright at the beginning of the century. What Neutra’s work so easily recognizable and so clearly however, is an ingredient that he added to what ned from his one-time master: a clean-cut, rec­r geometry—something Wright might abhor. To however, this clean-cut geometry is the sine qua non makes standardization of industrial building possible; and because Neutra’s grid is geometric and ular, his houses—with all their romanticism and on—are essentially of our time.
What's wrong with this house?

Why did it have to be remodeled before it was 12 years old?

A house that is planned right should last a generation before it has to be made over. The only time remodeling is cheap is on the drawing board before the house is built.

If this house had to be modernized so soon, what about millions of builder houses almost exactly like it that are still being built? Are they ripe for remodeling before they are sold? If so, might it not be better to change the model while it can still be done with ink and paper instead of with wood and brick?
A CAUTIONARY TALE
FOR BUILDERS

Seven case studies in how to bring a small house up-to-date—preferably before or if necessary after it has been built

Remodeling usually means doing over an old-fashioned house—the kind of house no one would build today. It means modernizing a house that is either too old or too big—too old to offer today’s new comforts and conveniences or too big to suit today’s changed way of life. It means doing something to a colonial farmhouse, or a Victorian white elephant, or a nouveau-riche château.

But for this remodeling none of these reasons holds true. The houses are not too old—they were built in 1940-41. They are not too big—only 1,180 sq. ft. They can hardly be called old-fashioned, for they are just like 90% of the houses being built this year and perhaps even a bit above the 1952 average in plan, construction and design.

So for any merchant builder who says “I give them conventional because that’s what they want” it may be an eye-opener to learn why family after family was getting ready to move out of this development, despite its good location just outside Washington, despite its large well-treed lots, despite pleasant neighbors and a pleasant community life with such advantages as a communal nursery school. They like the neighborhood so much that when architect Charles Goodman showed one of them he need not move to get what he wanted, it started a chain reaction which has already modernized the houses shown here, and will soon spread to others in the 55-house development.

Why were the owners dissatisfied with their “conventional” houses? What more did they want? Every owner’s answer was the same:

1. More light than the small windows could admit
2. More air and breeze for the Washington summer
3. Better orientation, both for privacy and comfort
4. Roof overhangs to shade the windows
5. More contact with outdoors to let them enjoy their trees and acre plots through the long warm season
6. An entry hall
7. An open work center (instead of walled-off tiny separate kitchens, utility rooms, and dining alcoves
8. A bigger living room, a living room planned for better furniture arrangement, a living room that would not have to do double duty as the main traffic artery.

All these advantages could have been designed into the houses before they were built at small extra cost and with little extra cubage. To add these advantages now costs most of the owners more than the original $6,500 price of house and lot combined, and in every case but one the house had to be made bigger. But the owners wanted these changes so badly they were willing to pay the price.
Additions: a new living room, bedroom, bath, front and back porches and workshop. Remodeling: old partitions dividing dining, kitchen and utility rooms into small spaces were removed, kitchen realigned for space and convenience and $2,000 worth of kitchen cabinetwork added. Old living room now serves as multipurpose “family room” and children’s play area.

The new living room is what the living room of the original house might have looked like. It is just a little bigger—but many times more spacious. Through the glass end it is open to all outdoors, and the sliding glass walls make it easy to throw it open to the porch. Brick, fir siding and plank ceiling provide a warmth of materials lacking in the plain exteriors and white plaster interiors of the old house. (New or remodeled areas are indicated in gray on all plans.)

New gable end of glass lights living and bedrooms
STUDY NO. 2—$7,500 (1949 prices)

As: a new living room to the south, flagstone sun terrace to west. Remodeling: kitchen extended into old dining area by removal of partition, addition of closet to keep it separate from old room, which is now a multi-use room. Pass-through from kitchen dining portion of new living room near terrace. Old main (top center of plan) was boarded up, replaced with new bath and closet. Like the other renovated houses, this one now has that is covered outside and arranged so that visitors do not to the middle of the living room. Canted wall of new living angled for best view; solid part extending onto terrace blocks on neighboring house. Flagstone flooring is carried through room to terrace to tie both together visually.

Living room opens through glass wall to outdoors

Solid wall of the new living room extends to shelter-glass wall and adds privacy to the terrace. Wide overhangs cut glare, have openings to allow sun to fall on a planting bed which parallels the glass wall.
CASE STUDY NO. 3—$10,000 (1950 prices)

In this house, one of the few in the subdivision with a full basement, it was possible to provide almost all the desired modern features within the shell of the old structure.

Additions: small entry hall and closet, entry porch, living-room porch and fireplace, wide roof overhang. Remodeling: kitchen opened up, stairs to basement replaced by closets between bath and bedroom and placed more conveniently near front door. Bedroom behind chimney enlarged by bricking up old front entrance and replacing it with a new closet. Basement, previously one big storage space, was transformed into a playroom and second living room opening through new glass wall and door to flagstone terrace. Cool in summer, this room is in constant use. Next to it are separate storage-heater space, new bathroom and rough-in for a bedroom to be built in the future.

West facade, above, shows striking change brought about by extending glass walls from ceiling to floor between 3” x 6” structural mullions 3’ o.c. Concrete block exterior was either painted or covered with vertical redwood siding.
Entry was moved from middle to end of plan, given a covered porch, wide glass area, at right in photograph above, to light living room, and a separate entrance hall.

Living-dining area, seen from entry, shows new stair to basement, full glass walls, new plank ceiling. Big pass-through counter opens the kitchen to the dining area.
CASE STUDY NO. 4
—$17,000 (1952 prices)

In this, the most expensive of the seven renovations, the size of the original house was nearly doubled. Additions: new living room, study and bath, terraces, carport and storage. Two porches, with flagstone paving and roofs 7' wide, were grafted along the full length of the old house. Remodeling: inadequate dining area enlarged, window substituted for old front door, kitchen enlarged and re-arranged. Note how old living room (now a multi-use and children’s playroom) faced north and toward street, whereas new living room faces south and west for sun, breeze and garden view. Separate entrance hall, with access from either side of plan, serves as a link between old and new house.

CASE STUDY NO. 5
—$4,000 (1948 prices)

Here is another case of inadequate living space that made a living-room extension necessary. The entire end wall was torn out and 15'-6" more length added to the south. Entry porch, hall and closet were cut into one side of the addition; old entrance was boarded up and replaced with a second bath. Folding doors divide new space from old living room, which is now used as a study or guest room.
CASE STUDY NO. 6

$500 (1949 prices)

Remodeling illustrates a slightly different approach: adding a new master bedroom and bath, screen porch, carport and storage-heater. The owners originally wanted the new bedroom to be larger but decided to cut their plans to fit their budget so that they would be able to enclose living room at the opposite end of the house soon as the present addition is paid for. The difficulty of getting to the master bedroom, the fact that this standard builder plan is not well designed, are four ways.

A major gain in this remodeling is the way the new wing shelters an outdoor living terrace. New double doors in a bedroom of the old house help to link the two wings.

CASE STUDY NO. 7

$6,000 (1948 prices)

The lines of the existing house were extended to the south 18'-6" to provide additional living space enclosed by glass on three sides. Former entrance was turned into a high window for the new bath; box of fir planks added to side serves as new entry hall with access to new and old ends of house. Old living room is now used primarily for TV and record-playing. New built-in unit in center of plan has desk and bookcase on one side, closet and shelves on the other. Partition separating kitchen and dining was lowered and bridged with a counter to enlarge kitchen, which has been remodeled to accommodate new cabinets and a built-in seat.
What happens when a front-rank group of architects turn merchant builders on their own account instead of waiting for some builder to employ them?

Here is a tract development, Five Fields, sponsored by The Architects Collaborative (TAC), one member being Dr. Walter Gropius, founder of the Bauhaus, Dean of Architecture at Harvard, crusader for more active architect participation in building. And in a special way it is a considerable success.

Five Fields is quite different from the same architects' custom-built colony nearby at Six Moon Hill, where they tried to achieve rural domesticity with the International Style which characterizes most of their work (like their beach house shown for contrast on page 94). Here they have gone semiconservative and given distinction to what builders might call "the ranch house style with a low pitched roof."

Five Fields is also quite different from any merchant-builder venture—so different that at first glance some builders might be tempted to shrug it off as "not practical." Actually it is so different that smart builders who take a second look will almost certainly find more than one lead they will wish to follow.

Here are a few of the points of difference:

1. Styling is much smarter than in 99/4%-100% of developments—crisp, direct, simple. The simplicity may look easy, but don't be fooled. It is the simplicity which comes only from the most careful detailing and the most careful thought for the coordination and combination of design elements.

2. Lots are bigger—never less than 1/3 acre for the $1,500 model, sometimes over an acre for the $20,000 house.

3. All the old trees were saved so the new development already looks as if it had been standing there for years. Additional planting gives each house privacy from its neighbors. Instead of formal lawns the fields between houses are left as they were.

4. All houses are carefully sited for view.

5. All houses are oriented to southern sun and breeze (instead of being set in rows parallel to roads).

6. All houses are tailored to the site, with a one-floor plan for flat ground, a split-level plan for gentle slopes, a two-level plan for steep hillsides.

7. All houses turn small windows to the road, but open up wide toward the view, with bedroom and living-room glass often running from wall to wall and floor to ceiling.

8. All houses are so open and so simple that they seem much larger than they really are.

9. Curving roads and culs-de-sac give children more traffic safety and everyone more visual variety of over-all scenes.

10. A 20-acre strip bisecting the 80-acre community was set aside as common land for all the residents. Among its pleasant assets are a small pond and an old barn left standing for possible conversion into a community building with nursery school.
The architects-turned-builders were most successful, understandably, in providing better architecture. On costs their success is not so clear. For $15,059 is a stiff price for a two-bedroom, 960 sq. ft. basementless house—a price the architects justify on the basis of quality construction, plan variety, and small volume. On financing they achieved something most volume builders will envy—they got a 4% interest rate for their conventional mortgages from the local bank. On profits their success is modest. They expect to earn no more than their 5% architect's fee unless their $115,000 land investment shows a profit over development costs after the last house is sold.

Since ground was first broken in June, 1951, 29 houses have been started and adjoining land lotted for 30 more. While continuing to take orders for new individual homes, the architects have built six on speculation and sold four of them already.

Fifteen thousand dollars for the 960 sq. ft. "A" plan (see plan types) is over $15 per sq. ft. to the buyer for house, lot and architect's fee. Of course, as more bedrooms are added to either the one-level basic plan or the two-level basic plan, the cost per sq. ft. comes down; in the biggest (C1) house, with finished basement, the buyer gets 2,448 sq. ft. of space for a little over $20,000, or a much lower price of $8-$9 a sq. ft.

**COST BREAKDOWN** of a typical house room A-1 plan, with unfinished basement, 5% architects' fee, $300 planting and improved "A" lot. (Three other are priced at $2,100, $2,600 and $2,950.)

- Excavation
- Backfill
- Foundation walls
- Concrete slabs
- Wood framing and hoarding
- Exterior finish
- Roofing
- Interior framing
- Millwork
- Chimneys
- Lath and plaster
- Painting
- Wood and linoleum floors
- Plumbing
- Heating
- Electric
- Water service
- Hardware allowance
- Septic tanks
- Insulation
- Contract price
- 5% architects' fee
- Improved "A" lot
- Planting allowance
- Price to purchaser

(1951 price; costs now make selling price...
one-story house, built over crawl space, in these two-, three- and four-bedroom plans (their reverses). Good plan features: entry has coat closet and a pass-through to the kitchen. Hallway provides little floor space, provides egress to all rooms from center of plan. Heat is not through middle of living, dining and kitchen areas; this means freedom of movement in furniture placement. Heat is provided in large heater room.

two-level house has much the same characteristics as one-story types, below, with basement heating heater room, kitchen and chimneys to the opposite side to permit more living through the center of the house. Also is offered in two-, three- and four-bedroom plans and their reverses, with finished finished basements. Plumbing for a future addition in directly under upstairs bath; runs from 8700 (for A-1 house) up, includes wiring, plumbing fixtures, asphalt exterior partitions that divide basement rooms, bedrooms, storage and heater rooms.

PLAN TYPES (prices include 5% architects' fee, $300 planting allowance and $1,600 "A" lot).

A. Two bedrooms: $15.059
24' x 40' = 960 sq. ft.

B. Three bedrooms: $15.971
24' x 45' = 1,080 sq. ft.

C. Three or four bedrooms: $16,868
24' x 51' = 1,224 sq. ft.

In the two-level house, shipshape stairs lead up from the children's playroom to the family living room, make supervising the young an easy matter.

Photos: Ezra Stoller-Plcet
Five Fields houses are good examples of today's open planning and efficient room arrangement within a general house shape familiar to prospective buyers. Their appeal to buyers lies in generous use of glass areas and porches, warm natural materials and crisp detailing. One reason why pitched roofs were used: since most of the houses are on hillside sites, it might have detracted from the general appearance of the subdivision to look down on neighbors' flat roofs.

To keep labor costs down, the houses were designed for relatively standard construction: a frame of 2 x 4's 16" o.c.; interior partitions of nonload-bearing 2 x 3's, and 24' roof trusses site fabricated of 2 x 6's. TAC wanted the houses to last, so they kept jobs under close supervision, used above-average materials and methods: oak floors, oiled redwood siding, wet plaster walls and ceilings, metal vent sash and door bucks instead of wood. Exclusive of the largest glass areas, frames for two window sizes were milled on the job from TAC designs.

One of TAC's biggest construction headaches has taught them a lesson merchant builders learned long ago: don't be too lenient in allowing purchasers variations and "minor changes"; it makes design and building much slower and more expensive.
Plan variation: opens shelves make kitchen part of living area, provide decorative storage for utensils, plants.

Split-level house—$17,249—1,550 sq. ft., including 553 sq. ft. basement. From the basic one- and two-level house types TAC developed a third, split-level plan to give houses on flatter lots the same advantages of inexpensive, windowed basement space. With bedrooms half a story higher and a partial basement half a story lower than the main living areas, it is possible to finish the lowest level of this house as study, playroom, laundry and give them a wall of 3' high windows just above grade (see section left). Note plan advantages similar to other house types: separate entrance hall, convenient central and cross hall, living room looking away from road toward back of lot.
THE PLAN of this Cape Cod summer house reverses traditional procedure to get privacy and a view.

Living room, terrace and master bedroom enjoy main sea view; latter has own second-floor porch and outside stair which is treated as a decorative structural pattern of 2 x 3 stringers. Wall with high strip windows shields service wing at the right.

On opposite side of service wing, dining, kitchen and maid's quarters open to service yard, separated from carport by high fence. Shed in foreground is pumphouse.

On front of house facing road, halls on both floors are lit by windows. Two-story window screen gives light and cross-to-stairwell, playroom, other live can be glassed in with storm windows.

LOCATION: Cape Cod
THE ARCHITECTS COLLABOR
Robert S. McMillan, job
CAPE COD CONSTRUCTION CO., job

THE MAGAZINE OF B
temporary house on Cape Cod has more in common with Cape Cod cottage than you might suspect. It could even be sympathetic 20th-Century variation of that ancient theme. The original was a tightly enclosed box, a “four-corner” plan round a central fireplace. It was a winter house, so it was to offer snug protection against buffeting winds. This is our house, so TAC has turned the prototype inside out. In the original, the house is divided into four sections, with lace at its heart. It was planned for economy, here achieved by structure of wide-spaced posts and beams and a single wall of 1” lumber that kept the total cost under $25,000 for 15 sq. ft. of space.

The original, the new house has a ranging plan, with an open space in each quadrant instead of a closed room to suit varying living patterns: most people today work indoors, in offices; our forebears worked in the open, relaxed indoors. The plan (opposite) accomplishes four things: 1) it isolates service, children, car storage in four distinct wings which pin-wheel fashion, from the hub; 2) it groups the most communal rooms nearest the center, convenient to one another, to front door and stairs; 3) it makes the house one room thick at all points for maximum sea-breeze ventilation and views through wide window areas; and 4) it splits up its plot into four separate quadrants.

These four outside zones are shielded from one another and from dissimilar indoor functions by sight barriers: fences, solid walls, walls with only high strip windows. Opposite each such solid wall, doors and window walls open the indoor space to its outdoor counterpart (e.g., living room is walled off from the children’s play yard, instead looks out to its own terrace and sea view).

The structure is simply a cage of 4” x 4” posts on a 4’ module, their spaces filled with glass or sheathed with a single wall of 1” x 4” T & G cypress siding, nailed horizontally or vertically to the outside or inside of the posts. The cypress weathers well and sea moisture keeps the V-joints swelled weather-tight.

Price was cut to under $10 per sq. ft. mainly because: 1) fewer structural members and wall layers meant faster erection, less labor, 2) only insulation is 3” of fiber insulation board under the tar and gravel roof, and 3) only heating is a floor furnace under the stairs to take the chill off both levels on crisp days.
designed houses shown on the next page have a lot to say about two sub­
jects rarely mentioned in the same
name: economy and elegance.

For their steel posts, there is little
to run up even a volume builder's
bill. But steel posts achieve a simplicity and dis­
scretion that is found only in very expensive
wooden or iron columns. Elegance is one good reason the
houses (p. 102), built for only
$5000 each, have been rented month after
month (or $6000 a year). It is a
measure of why this house, built for $18,500
(total cost, excluding land and landscaping) has
recently been purchased for $29,500.

Such achievements come as no surprise to Craig
Ellwood, the young designer of the houses.

Recently, the result of six years' work aimed
at creating exciting structures at reassuring
prices, he is now so confident of his cost-con­
trol that he writes a top-price guarantee
into his standard design contract.
The airy living room, above, enjoys a canyon view on two sides.

Below, a nearly square panel divides kitchen from dining area; entry.
and space

Ellwood has developed a working philosophy on where is most worth spending. First is space—the most telling luxury and like any thoughtful designer, Ellwood knows that square is only half the space. In this house, when you lean back the fireplace wall, the living-dining room stretches out in you for 36' but the free-standing panel-partitions suggest expanses beyond. If you look up, you can follow the plank-ceiling across the partition tops for 48' within the house far as the sky outdoors. To gain outdoor vistas on land between canyon walls, Ellwood decided to raise the house stilts. Result: a front view up and down the canyon and a terrace at the rear bounded only by the canyon walls.

and joints

is essentially a simple enclosure, but seen at close range—the only way you normally look at it because of the restricted eye is plenty of elegance to delight the eye. What's more, all its is a part of the essential structure of the house.

ral, there is the elegance of precision, neatness, rhythm: precision of walls assembled primarily from manufactured units. neatness of tops of partitions, exterior wall panels, door and heads all aligned with the underside of beams. rhythm of repeated 8'-square panels tied together at the top by ribbon running between the beam ends.

Ellwood makes deft use of the floating plane, the incised the wit of forthright solutions:

one of the roof appears to float free above the walls. aster of the partitions is a plane floating on the supporting ok.

ized verticals of the H-columns are emphasized by reinforcing ficator's red lead priming coat. seshoe of partitions is cut back to gain a shadow line which s imperfections of workmanship.

umbing and heating vents cannot easily be hidden, they kly exposed, as are the tin-can cylinders surrounding ceiling at can't be recessed in the 4" plank roof.

The study-bedroom, left, overlooks the entrance and passing traffic through glass-walled corners but is above the sight of people on the road below.

The handsome kitchen, below, is open to living room and terrace. The sliding doors of the cabinets are tempered hardboard enameled in a pleasant pattern of black, white and red panels.

Photos: Julius Shulman
For an uphill site, below, the reversal of a common hillside plan: terrace on the hill, house on a platform. The plan runs parallel to the natural contours.

Ellwood believes a client is entitled to a firm budget ceiling barring natural phenomena or acts of Congress—he is willing to back his contention with a guarantee. His methods are about as sound as a CPA’s. Before specifications are written, he secures bids from subcontractors on all major items in several alternative matter-assemblies. With these figures in hand, he reviews the program with his client and writes final specifications. Henry Salzman, a contractor who likes and understands modern methods, usually pops up with the lowest bid.

On this job, Ellwood would have preferred an all-steel frame. The cost index pointed to wood beams, a saving of about $575 and materials considered. Advantages over steel: easier direct positioning of floor and roof decks, column connections without the positioning of steel on steel, easier handling because of the weight.

For door and window heads throughout the house, Ellwood uses the same milled 2" x 3" profile. To define the separate units which make up the walls, all trim is painted black, sliding-door units the manufacturer’s gray, and steel with red oxide.

Costs and specifications

Ellwood’s half-and-half frame is made of 4" steel H column, 4" x 10" wooden roof and floor beams forming rigid frames 8' apart. The beams run the short way for 16' except at the ends of the building where the study projects like a bay window. Ellwood’s typical beam-to-column connections the beam sitting on 2" x 4" wood plate which runs from column to column to...
Wall panels, above, are bolted or screwed to column flanges. The cement board for the two opaque panels of the study is a Canadian material with a smooth, black-and-white stippled finish.
These two houses, identical rental units built for the owner of the adjoining hotel, were a rigorous test for designer Craig Ellwood's specialty—turning out "exclusives" on bargain-basement budgets. The job had one striking advantage: 180° of Pacific panorama and one expensive liability: filled ground requiring floating foundations. However, the increased cost of excavation and concrete work per unit was handsomely offset by the relatively low cost of the lots ($5,000 each in an area where lots sell up to $35,000). In all, it was a matter of making $10 a sq. ft. look like $500 a unit.

With the cooperation of contractor Salzman, Ellwood succeeded so well that the owner is planning to build 14 more units near the beach.

This is what has persuaded the tenants to part with the still-low rental month after month for the last two years:

- The privacy of living in a real house but under the conventional bones of a residential hotel.
- The view—and it's with you nearly anywhere you choose to look.
- A wide-open plan that seems yards larger than its 1,340 sq. ft. and larger, for each room has nearly half again as much space as the movement of termites and sky may not. The terrace with sliding glass walls to make it accessible.
- A sleek design of broad, unbroken planes that make such a background for the view and bright linear furniture that you don't notice its humdrum brick, plus stud-and-plasterboard walls.
- Interiors that strike a good mean between Hollywood plumes and modern severity.
- Amenities you rarely find in rented houses such as air conditioning, indirect lighting, covered entrance walks, a fireplace and seven

*Figured at the customary 50% of square footage for covered entrance, carport, terrace excluding land, landscaping, design fees.

Outdoor emphasis plus an 8' terrace make the 15'-6" x 23' living area look...
On the terrace side, houses have 180° view down to the water.

Plan of adjoining houses is reversed, bedrooms are farthest apart.
Hillside house

This is a simple, straightforward house that solves a pretty common problem—the problem of the hillside house with access generally from above—in a simple and straightforward way. It is a house with a good deal of unaffected charm, too, and this absence of affectation makes you wonder why there are not more houses like it.

The reason is that there are not very many architects as good as Joseph Esherick (see H&H, Jan. ’52). His work has a kind of reasonableness and simplicity that carries a good deal of conviction: for example, when he has a problem of designing a five bedroom house for some $26,500 (as he did here), his primary concern is likely to be with just how much space he can carve out of his hillside lot and stay within this limited budget.

There are two main ways of solving this kind of sloping-lot problem: Method No. 1 might be called the “Harvard method”—it would consist of running a long, rectangular box parallel to the slope, resting it on a recessed masonry base (containing utilities, storage and playroom), entering the house on the back of the second floor (which would be the basic living floor) and, possibly, stepping down from that floor to the downhill garden by means of some suspended, cantilevered, or otherwise articulated stair.
Living-dining area in northwest corner of plan extends outward onto a

Method No. 2 might be called the “California metl and this is the one Esherick used here. It consists of the rectangular plan downhill (which makes more sensually on narrow lots than running it parallel to the slop making quite a feature of this downhill “motion” of the (Esherick does it with the regular steps of his foundations which he has exposed along both sides of the house. advantage of this downhill plan over the “Harvard metl that it requires somewhat less excavation and a good do grading (levels of the lot can be allowed to change gra from the upstairs entrance level to the downstairs play-ya at the far end of the house). The disadvantage is that i to cut up the lot—but this can be used skillfully in such as to create a series of small, useful outdoor terraces of t that has been created here.

Esherick’s manner of detailing his houses has been di in an earlier issue of H&H. It is generally forceful en give strength and coherence to even the most casually at plan. In this house, he has used the roof lid with point hanging gable ends to tie his house together. The post-an structure that holds up the roof and the balcony is us dominant design feature and repeated, with variations, uphill carport.

Incidentally, the beam ends project beyond the pointe ends and are pointed themselves. This is not a new id anyone interested in finding out how important nice det to nice over-all design might just try to sketch this hou straight, cut-off gable ends and beams—and see how m design of the whole begins to lose! This is the kind of but all-important touch that distinguishes the good ar designed house from the vast majority of dwellings in the US every year.
Living area is shown at left; terrace outside is in picture opposite.

Master bedroom with beyond. Note the effect of “tree-top-living” in this part of house, as with one-story garden living effect elsewhere.
“Operation Trade Secrets” in full swing again.

More than 20 meetings are planned for the new series to be held in all parts of the US.

The best idea developed by NAHB in 1951—“Operation Trade Secrets”—has swung into its second year.

Sparked by a fast-moving committee headed by Martin Bartling, with Ned Cole, Andy Place and Dave Slipher as co-chairmen, meetings have already been held in Dallas, Ft. Worth, and Biloxi. Other meetings are planned for Houston, New Orleans, Phoenix, Madison, Denver, Salt Lake City and Minneapolis-St. Paul. A summary meeting is planned for the Chicago convention in January.

Under the guidance of the Trade Secrets Committee of NAHB, the country has been divided into seven regions, meetings planned for each. As each builder gets new ideas from a meeting, he is expected to hold his own meeting and invite outstanding builders from out of town.

Typical of the 1952 series was the two-day meeting at Biloxi beginning July 17. Planned by Floyd Kimbrough and arranged by Frank Steudlein, the meeting discussed the issues reported on the following pages.

Builders attending the Biloxi meeting

- Martin Bartling, Knoxville, Tenn.
- Richard Hail Brown, Birmingham, Ala.
- George S. Goodyear, Charlotte, N. C.
- Floyd Kimbrough, Jackson, Miss.
- Floyd Kimbrough, Jr., Jackson, Miss.
- Fred C. Loucks, New Orleans, La.
- Frank Robertson, San Antonio, Tex.
- Frank J. Zuzak, Shreveport, La.
- Chester Camp, Memphis, Tenn.
- Charles K. Chandler, Memphis, Tenn.
- Manny Delugach, Memphis, Tenn.
- J. M. Powell, Baton Rouge, La.
- Frank Steudlein, NAHB, Memphis, Tenn.
- Kemmons Wilson, Memphis, Tenn.

Left to right facing camera:

Frank Zuzak, Martin Bartling, Kemmons Wilson, Charles Chandler.
"I consider $2,500 a cheap option to pay on 100 acres for 30 days. This gives a chance to get engineers in to study the land. Often it provides a cooling-off period that permits a builder to back out if he wants to."

What sites to buy?

In addition to the esthetic values of sloping sites, Chandler added the mundane advantages of sewers being cheaper to build than on absolutely flat land and the fact that the slope would minimize having to take extensive drainage precautions.

Chandler: "Watch out for filled-in land. One builder I know was forced to spend $150 more per house to put in spread footings after the FHA discovered he was building on filled land.

"I recommend scattered trees as the best type of growth. Too few trees are a sales handicap, but a heavy growth may cost the builder $100 a house to cut trees and dig up stumps."*

As one of the South's leading land developers (with some 5,000 Memphis lots behind him), Chandler recommended that 20% of the land should be provided for streets, 3% for commercial development, 2½% for play areas and the balance for houses, a division that would permit 430 lots 60' x 125' in a 100-acre subdivision.

Chandler: "Lot costs, including utilities, should not be over 15% of the selling price."

How to sell VA mortgages

(or any other kind)

George Goodyear: "Get your whole package together properly when you are trying to sell VA loans. By 'whole package' I mean the complete story of the proposed developments. In addition to plot plans, drawings, specifications and other usual material, we prepare long strips of colored renderings of the way our houses will look on each street. We do this for every site in the project and when the renderings are blueprintt, our draftsmen fill in the proper colors with crayon.

"We use these strip renderings over and over. We show them to FHA, VA, to buyers of our mortgages and to our customers. Though we build only one floor plan throughout a project, the renderings help show how many variations we get by changing roof lines, door and window arrangements, materials and color."

Proof of builder-mortgagee Goodyear's pudding is the fact that he is currently servicing over $22 million in North and South Carolina mortgages, though his own building is limited to 125 houses a year. And in his own words, "We've got lenders standing in line for our VA loans at 9%"

Items that increase values

Wilson: "In our $20,000 houses we supplied wall-to-wall carpeting on our slabs for only 50¢ per sq. ft. more than hardwood floors would have cost, and the carpeting was one of our biggest sales assets."

Goodyear: "Put in items that will build up your evaluation. An attic fan that costs you $65 will raise your evaluation $135. A kitchen fan costing $25 will increase value by $50. We used to provide storage space outside the house but now we put it in the house (with only an outside door) and we are getting almost full credit for this unfinished space."

While it may cost $100 to take out竞赛e trees, $100, or many times $100, will not replace them. Trees that your builder has rooted out—Ed.

* The money made in the building is the little extra picked up all down line. You can make a good living the volume is large enough."

d cautiously

He cited an example of a builder ed into a land purchase without giper study, only to find that he would have to spend $2,000 to develop 39 lots because ge problems.
Flexible houses

The problem was how to keep a house flexible enough to fit the varying needs of the American family, through its initial stage as a young couple, progressing through the child-raising stage (which may consist of one child or the proverbial "there was an old lady who lived in a shoe" condition), then back to the couple again, now 20 years older. Builders have tried to allow for this with expansion attics and varying plans for augmenting the livable space in their houses. One neglected facet of this thinking has been what to do with this space after the need disappears. In many communities oversized, limited-use houses are sore spots, tending to degenerate into rooming houses or substandard slums.

The builders attending the Biloxi Trade Secrets meeting were treated to a preview of an exciting experimental attempt to find a solution to this problem by San Antonio's Frank Robertson. In a brief description of the five pilot models that he already has under construction, builder Robertson kept many details under wraps but brought out these points:

1. The house will have 1,250 sq. ft. and will sell for approximately $16,000.
2. Bathroom and kitchen will have the only interior-fixed walls.
3. Bedrooms can be varied in number from one to four.

Basis for Robertson's thinking is the fact that needs of a young couple are very similar to those of an old couple, but that in between these two states, requirements are subject to a wide variation. He is trying to produce a home that will give maximum coverage to the needs of the family at any stage. Like a balloon which can be inflated or deflated without altering how much balloon there is, Robertson's new houses will be "distributed differently," for different needs.

Though bedroom arrangements can be set up for a family with any number of children, the house can also be made suitable for the family during later years when all they want is one or two bedrooms, plus an extra-large living room or recreational and entertainment space. Even such special cases as that of a widow who wants to rent out a small efficiency apartment can be accommodated by Robertson's "Flexabilt" house. Two bathrooms will be included in the original planning which will permit two-family living or a separate apartment. The first five experimental models will be ready in late autumn, complete with cost data.

Though builder Robertson is bringing new thinking to the problem of flexibility in houses, he is not working from the ivory tower of pure theory. His San Antonio firm now builds from 150 to 300 houses a year, ranging in price from $8,500 to $20,000.
How to sell a house

In an open discussion of "musts" for builders led by Chester Camp and W. D. Jemison, Jr., of Memphis, Dick Brown of Birmingham and Frank Zuzak of Shreveport, the 17 homebuilders took up the vitally important nonbuilding points of public relations, merchandising, advertising and publicity. Out of the welter of ideas came a series of points that all added up to:

1. Land is the basis of all building; therefore the best policy is to pay more if necessary and get the most desirable land.

2. Subdivision layout (land planning) that includes culs-de-sacs, boulevards and circles, and staggered house siting has a great appeal.

3. Landscaping helps to sell any house. If trees are planted back from the sidewalk, the house, not the street, gets the benefit of the shade. Trees planted next to the curb are wasted.

4. Good design is of the greatest importance. Said Zuzak: "Giving a house a pleasant facade is like adding fresh makeup to a woman's face."

5. Advertise regularly in all proven media.

6. Train your sales staff in advance so that any salesman can answer any question concerning the house with enthusiasm and knowledge.

7. Approval by a design-recognizing organization is of great help in sales and publicity.

8. Furnish your model house as a sales stimulant.

9. Keep your model house exactly like the houses that will be sold to prevent confusion.

10. Give a printed warranty.

11. First impressions are critical, so have an attractive arrangement just inside the front door (a welcoming vestibule, a planting box, etc.).

12. Include pamphlets telling how to maintain a house, with names and phone numbers of subcontractors who should be called.

13. Include air conditioning in any house where the need demands it, and the price will warrant it.

14. Be prepared to accept a sales cost of from one to four per cent of the sales price.

15. Handle complaints speedily and honestly. Let the buyers know what is, and what is not, covered by any guarantee. One builder called complaints the Achilles' heel of the business.
This is a very strange house with a good many interesting points—so many interesting points that the Washington State AIA picked it out for an Honor Award for excellence in design.

It is a strange house largely because it was built on such a strange site. In the pictures it looks as if it were hidden way out in the woods—raising a legitimate question of why it should be as windowless on three of its sides as a downtown row house. In actual fact, however, it was built on a tiny (60' x 85') corner lot less than a mile from downtown Seattle, in an old neighborhood that is going downhill fast. That explains why the house is considered a real asset to its street rather than an affront to its neighbors.

The 700 sq. ft. house that architect Victor Steinbrueck has built himself for $10,000 is much more than a special solution to a very special site problem. It is also an interesting solution to two increasingly common problems:

How to use industrial materials in residential building—which requires, among other things, an understanding that materials have a character of their own and don’t need to be “typed” by previous associations, e.g. cement asbestos, aluminum foil or corrugated wireglass don’t have to spell “factory”—they can spell “home” just as well. And

How to use space and light—which is very important as when there is very little actual space and when light comes from only one side of a house. Here space (stressed by a 10' ceiling) and light (obtained in part through skylights that light the rooms) combine to make a small house seem big and bright, and make all of its light and cheerful all of the time.

Steinbrueck’s solution to these two problems is discussed in detail below. First, however, let’s close look at what his site and neighborhood make the overall concept of his house so

Privacy on a difficult site. Architect Steinbrueck’s site is not only a 60' lot (a hideout under any circumstances), it is also a sloping lot, a heavily wooded lot, a corner lot, finally, a lot in a rather dingy neighborhood—drab, old homes, warehouses and gas stations such a setting he may well be excused for what might seem impolite in more appealing company: turning his back upon street and building a 12' high, cement asbestos wall three sides of his house and opening up fourth to a small garden.

The result is a shadow-box of a house, 142' wide, 10' high on the inside. This is a direct way of saying “no” quietly to any interest it is as if Steinbrueck had gathered up its
oard fence and pulled it tightly around his
Actually, the neighbors think the house looks
even though they only see its somewhat for-blank walls, and even those are only barely
over the top of an 8' high bank along the rear
and through the thick screen of trees.
may feel that this uncompromising shadow-
tion should not be emulated by anyone else
on the more exposed 60' lot; but so long as
standard lots exist, solutions such as this
likely to be found even where neighbors are
d visible. On such a lot, this kind of house
is similar to a one-family slice taken out of a
row houses—and the case for the row house
w-lot developments has always been strong.
rucek's shadow-box is entered from the
cross a little covered platform. This platform
canopy are elements of small-scale relief in
wise brutally plain exterior.
ve that exterior its crisp outline, the arch-
exactly what a sculptor does: he placed his
a pedestal, raised it off the ground to get a
shadow line under his floor, a parallel floor
edge, and an unmistakable definition to the
silhouette. (H&H discussed this point at
a last month’s issue, in our Advice to the
apraiser series.) To raise his box off the
Steinbrueck recessed his excavated base-
etween foundation walls) by 2' along the

Garden facade is almost all glass, faces west. Porch at left
is cantilevered like rest of house. This cantilever all around
the periphery of the building gives it lightness and grace,
crisply defines the building’s silhouette.

Combination photograph-section shows 2" x
8" floor joists cantilevered across founda-
tion walls. Basement contains heater, stor-
age space, extends full length of house.
rear side, and by 1' along the long garden side. Next, he cantilevered the floor joists out beyond the walls. In addition to giving the box a sense of floating on air (and so increasing its importance), this simple device also reduced the clear span of floor joists, enabled him to use 2" x 8"s (rather than 2" x 10"s) for all his floor spans. Incidentally, a porch with screen wall on the west side was cantilevered out in a similar fashion, as was the entrance platform to the east. Thus the notion of the “floating box” was carried through without compromise.

The plan inside the shadow-box is in-line. Rational and compact, its only questionable feature is the location of the fireplace (which seems to discourage furniture grouping in that area).

**Materials out of a factory**

On the exterior of his plain-faced shadow-box, Steinbrueck used 3/16” cement asbestos boards with copper flashed, horizontal and vertical joints. The material harmonizes with adjoining gray clapboard houses, reveals no traces of its earlier association with industrial structures. The joints between the 4’ x 8’ panels are staggered to create a more interesting pattern and to relieve monotony.

Inside, the architect again found that industrial materials can be made to look interesting and even luxurious. Between dropped, double 2” x 10” beams (5’-4” on centers, and 2½” apart to frame around his 3” x 4” posts), he applied .0030” aluminum foil to a plywood ceiling. The crinkled, silvery foil contrasts brightly with the Prussian blue stain used on the dropped beams, and it sparkles by night like a Christmas tree when lamps and candles are reflected in its surface. Other, adjoining colors—cedar siding, cadmium yellow and orange in cabinets and trim—are also reflected in its bright texture. All in all, this is quite a luxurious effect to get in a minimum house—and the cost of the foil (crinkled and stapled in place) was only 10¢ per sq. ft.

---

View of east wall of living room shows effectiveness of skylights

Living room with porch beyond, Note crinkled aluminum foil applied to plywood ceiling.
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Night view shows entrance side (west) of house, with reflecting pool in foreground. Bridge from dining level to living room is seen directly above pool. Lighting emphasizes theatrical qualities of composition.
When Walter Gropius returned from Cuba recently, the house he talked about most enthusiastically was the extraordinary structure shown on these pages. One glance at the photographs will go far to explain why.

But this house is not only a dynamic piece of modern design; not only a photogenic arrangement of planes, forms and spaces; not only an exciting visual experience.

It is also a fine example of how much can be done to make space—the “reality within the glass shell”—a distinct architectural element. It is a fine example of how a complex building can be given architectural unity and order with a few powerful, broad strokes. Finally, it is impressive evidence that “climate-control functionalism” and esthetic purity need not be mutually exclusive approaches to design.
Two-piece house with levels for all. This house for the Noval family outside Havana is really two houses under one roof: the bigger house is three stories high, contains foyer, bar and garage on the first floor, dining room, kitchen and servants’ quarters on the second, and bedrooms on the third; the smaller house contains only a two-story living room raised on stilts. These two houses are linked by the common roof, by long bridges on the second and third floors, by a paving pattern and other landscaping on the ground floor.

To make these two houses hang together internally (as well as by virtue of their sweeping roof plane) the architects evolved a series of vertical space penetrations that link one level to the next. Thus the entrance foyer has an 8’ x 15’ hole cut into its ceiling to link it visually to the dining area on the second floor; the two-story living room has a mezzanine which becomes the bridge into the third-floor bedroom area; and the open area right in the center of the house is as elaborate and exciting a space construction as any that you might find in the stagehouse of a theater: bridges seem to leap across the open space like catwalks and a 20’ x 40’ opening in the roof above provides them with a view of the sky. Since the bridges are not above one another, that view is never obstructed.

The result is indeed like something out of the theater: for this is truly a dramatic stage set, reminiscent of some of the fabulous constructivist sets built on European and American stages in the 20s and early 30s. Each walk across the length of the house becomes a minor dramatic production, each view reveals a different interplay of planes and surfaces, each approach to the house and under it leads through spaces of different height and character.

Climate control without loss of art. But the love of drama alone does not account for the open spaces, the catwalks, the three-story patio in the center. According to the architects (who are safely removed from the highfalutin’ esthetic controversies of New York, Boston and Chicago and may not have been told yet that “functionalism is dead”) this fabulous residence makes a great deal of climate control sense as well. Its sweeping roof plane with a 3’ overhang all around is not just the lid that keeps the complex space construction from flying apart visually; it is also an exceedingly necessary protection against the sun and the driving rains. The raised floors on stilts and the catwalks in the sky are not just part of an extravagant esthetic composition; they also assure that Cuba’s cool breezes can sweep right through the house and help air condition it during the hot and humid months. (Actually, the owners insisted upon having dining and bedrooms air conditioned, though the architects felt—and still feel—that this was unnecessary.) The pools under the raised portion of the house provide the water needed for the cooling system! Originally, the long, north-south pool extended all the way into the bar-foyer; but after some of the Novals’ guests staggered into its cool waters—their judgment having been impaired by the local rum—the owners decided to fill in the indoor portion of the pool to discourage intemperate swimming. (Air-conditioning engineers will please note!)

The raised portion of the house also creates a shaded outdoor area whose terraces, lawns and pools are really another living room for much of the year. Here again, then, an esthetic notion—Le Corbusier’s pilotis concept, to be exact—has been used as an effective climate control device. And, finally, the west side of the house, with its exposure to the most murderous hours of solar heat, has large areas of blank walls: they look fine in contrast to the glass areas elsewhere, and they also keep out the sun when it most needs to be kept out. Orientation, incidentally, is due east-west: the breezes come generally from the east, by day and by night, so that the house can be turned into a real breezeway; and the sun, striking only a minimum wall area of the house in the course of each day, does not get a chance to heat up the walls unduly.
Form and function in harmony. Needless to say, the Noval house will have little direct influence upon run-of-the-mill modern architecture: contemporary house architects are not often called upon to ponder the problem of pools at the foot of cocktail bars, the problem of how to relate the swimming pool to the reflecting pool, the problem of where to entertain the client’s male guests while the client’s wife is entertaining her women friends (Mrs. Noval does it in the living-room house; Mr. Noval in the new poolless foyer-bar).

But while such delightful problems are no longer acute, the problem of how to make a house good functionally (in every respect) as well as good esthetically (in every respect) is present wherever architects care as much about the spirit of man as they do about his thermal comfort. And this house, with all its extravagant fun, has something serious to say on that subject to anyone who would care to listen.
HOW TO MAKE A SMALL HOUSE LARGER - AND GIVE IT PRIVACY  
By investing extra time at his drawing board, builder-designer Edward B. Hawkins of Denver added value to his small flat-tops.

These small flat-tops have been so designed that they look a lot bigger than their 855 sq. ft. suggest, and so designed that they offer a sense of privacy, indoors and out, usually found only in much larger houses on bigger lots.

To make his small houses look bigger Edward Hawkins:
1. Put them on wider (70') lots.
2. Lengthened his horizontal lines by tying each house to its next-door neighbors' with masonry block walls. This made each house almost as wide as the lot.
3. Used wide overhangs of 4' on the front and 3' 4" on the sides.
4. Emphasized horizontal lines by a wide fascia, bands of windows, raked out horizontal masonry joints, and with strong shadow lines cast by the front overhang.
5. Designed the garage as an extension of the house.

To give his buyers more privacy, better outdoor living, he:
1. Set each house at an angle to the street, to give longer, more private vistas from front and rear windows.
2. Gave each house a small rear terrace that is private of the angle of the house. (See plot plan opposite.)
3. Provided high wood fences at the sides and lower for the rear as an optional extra which all families bought.
4. Concealed service yards behind walls at the side of houses, kept rear yard clear for lawn or garden.
5. Kept garages in front or at side of the houses, so that as buffers between rear yard and street.
6. Put each house approximately in the center of a 70' lot. The front setback (and placement of house at an angle) put the front living room of most of the houses some privacy from street traffic.
7. Prevented neighbors from looking into bedroom because of angle of house and high fences.

Lots 70' wide
Basic to Hawkins' planning was a lot 70' x 105'. Altho it is actually 200 sq. ft. less than a typical 60' x 125' lot, it...
Houses are placed so front yards are ample. Lawns provide all the lawn a family is willing to water (in Denver it must be done most of the summer). This wider a house that seems to cover the entire width, is the single feature in making the house look large. 

His back yards are uncluttered with garbage cans and junk because his wide lots and walls between houses permit him to use side yards for incinerators, clotheslines, garden tools and outdoor storage. Highly important to the success of his rear terrace are the solid wood fences—a $3-a-ft. extra that every family has been willing to pay for.

Inside the house

There are three variations of one floor plan. The garage is shifted from side to side and is built pie-shaped or rectangular. There are also slight variations in the front facade and its window arrangements. Hawkins gets a considerable individuality from the colors of his brick and the colors he paints his pumice block, front siding, doors and gates.

For an 855 sq. ft. house this plan has a lot of usable space. The small center hall serves as an entrance to both bedrooms, bath, coat closet, linen closet and the furnace room. A storage wall
Houses with fireplaces sell for $13,500 without for $13,000. Rough-textured pumice, painted in soft colors, complements mahogany finishes. At right of fireplace are ceiling louvers for ventilation. This handsoe is 14'-18" x 15'-8" which, with its floor-to-ceiling windows, becomes a real asset in a small house.

Floor-to-ceiling windows opening area to rear terrace are seen from the liv (left). Free-standing partition between liv and kitchen is nonload bearing. Heavy 4" x 4" in center gives solidity to interior and adds fireproof quality of wood ceiling and other wood. All floors are covered with asphalt tile.
sound baffle between the two bedrooms, and the front is similarly separated from the living room.

4'-8" x 15'-8" living room seems spacious because of its n. One bedroom is 13'-8" x 11', the other is 10'-8" x 10'.

The kitchen is not close to the front door or garage but Hawkins likes a rear kitchen for its convenience for serving the terrace.

Of the windows have fixed sash. Hawkins has used floor-movable louvers to provide ventilation, as the interior phs show. These might not provide enough ventilation deep South but are ample for mile-high Denver.

owed by big down payment

houses of this type, Hawkins has sold ten. (Three of d are still under construction.) He is sure the down pay, $3,350 and $1,050 have kept away many buyers. But favorable reaction of people who have seen the houses ished he has a design that will please a strong though portion of the Denver market.

tion methods

are built on a conventional 4" slab resting on 12" of cavity walls are of two rows of 4" x 8" x 16" pumice h a 2" air space between. Hawkins has built numerous ith this construction and reports his buyers find them winter. Interior walls are left rough and painted in attrac-s, a practice followed in many more expensive houses. it-up tar-and-gravel roof appears flat but has a 4" pitch point to eaves, as the drawing opposite shows.

provided by a reverse-flow, gas-fired furnace forcing through a radial system of 8" sewer pipe in the slab to w registers under windows.

subcontracts his slabwork, plumbing, heating, elec-k and roofing. His men do all the carpentry, millwork uary. He provides basic landscaping.

red with the big contractors of Denver Hawkins is a small but he is a commendable example of a builder doing a for buyers who want contemporary designs in small, neighborhoods.
PREFABBED PANELS PAY OFF FOR A SMALL BUILDER

Painstaking planning, highly efficient framing

produce low-cost ranch houses in colonial New England

LOCATION: Westport, Conn.
P. WM. NATHAN, INC., builder
HARDING, DRISCOLL, & BRIGHT, land planning

Can builders—big or small—cut framing costs by prefabricating their walls in panels?

Many have tried it, notably Fritz Burns of Los Angeles. Most have decided the answer is no. But here is a smart small builder in high-cost Connecticut who believes he has worked out a panel and truss system that cuts his framing costs 20%, saves $400 to $450 on each of his 1,100 sq. ft., $14,500 slab houses. Half the economy, he figures, is on labor, half on lumber.

His system takes only 21 panels per house—seven are 4' wide, the rest are 8'. Four carpenters put them in place and after scaffolding is up (a 90 min. job) the same crew plus four more carpenters from the shop erect the 26 roof trusses in 35 min. The entire house is framed and the roof sheathed in less than a day.

The builder is William Nathan. As Lustron’s New England sales manager (1948 to 1950) he sold himself on the economy of panel construction. Now he has translated the panel idea from metal into wood. Nathan has avoided most of the mistakes are apt to make when they try prefabrication, according to him. For example:

1. He has kept his panels light enough for men to handle easily. (His heavy panel weighs 150 lbs., the 4' x 8' only 75 lbs.)

2. His panels are complete after exterior shingles and interior wallboard.

3. He has almost no plant investment to run into trouble with any of the trades.
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Finished panel is key to efficiency.
Builder Nathan, at far left, with members of his crew.

11 panels are joined together, except for 12' space left for picture window. All plans are multiples of 4' to facilitate construction with panels. Only two panels are needed for the offset.

The positive side, Nathan himself lists five reasons why his prefabricated framing system costs less:

1. Modular design minimizes material waste. Panels just fit the standard 8' x 4' dimensions of plywood sheathing and interior wallboard, to the 8' 5/8" standard ceiling height urged by AIA and NAHB which was also set up for 8' x 4' materials.

2. Carpenters need no time figuring things out, so few pieces to assemble that they do not need reprints.

3. There is less material handling on the site, since workers do not have to carry materials all around. Roof trusses (weighing 200 lbs.) are uncrated right to the roof scaffold.

4. In tilt-ups, sills square and dimension accurately, so carpenters lose very little time cutting them up before they bolt them to the sill and nail a top plate across the top. (Sills are leveled on cinder-block foundations before slabs are poured.) Also they lose no time leveling door and window openings.

5. He figures he saves over 1,050 board ft. of framing lumber—425 in the walls, 625 in the roof (1,975 vs 2,600). (His 4' in 12' pitch permits more economical truss design than flatter roof.) Stud lumber saving comes partly from nonbearing end walls and interior partitions, for which he can use 2' x 3' studs. Panel construction lets him take advantage of the plywood stressed skin to space many bearing wall studs 24" apart. (With this wider spacing he uses 1/2" gypsum board instead of 1/8", pays from 1/2 to 1¢ a sq. ft. more for it.)

6. Panel standardization lets work go on rain or shine, so Nathan can operate with a small picked crew of 13 carpenters and laborers and give them steady work. On clear days five carpenters work in the shop—one at the electric saw, two on the panel jigs, two on the truss jig turning out five trusses an
Two men on jigs make all panels for one house from precut lumber in less than a day. Two extra jigs in background are used on rainy days when four men make panels for future houses.

Success of standardization is vividly illustrated by the way efficiency increased from house to house. It took four men 3½ hrs. to put up panels for the first house, 2 hrs. for the second, and by the ninth house they were down to 30 mins.

Erection time for trusses followed a similar curvedward curve as the men became more and more familiar with their work.

Nathan subcontracts all his work except for and trim, feeling his volume is too small to his doing the other work himself. He is wallboard sub could cut his costs hard by the “one man” method by covering exteriors and ceilings before interior partitions are up, but the sub prefers to do things the old way. figures he could save time and money and do better roof if the local code would let him use plywood sheeting in 8’ x 4’ sheets on his roof of 1’ x 8’ boards. One time saver he does avoid all cutting and fitting in his plumbing.
Plywood overlap is nailed to perimeter plate, right, embedded flush with the top of the slab. Filler pieces take up corners.

Minutes after walls are started last panels are cut and window is placed in rear wall (and panels reversed) depending on the exposure of the particular house.

Using precut lumber two men make five trusses an hour. Trusses are bolted at ends and top, pitch 4" in 12'. Nathan shied away from smaller pitch because of possible sales resistance to flat roofs. Also, less pitch would have required much heavier truss construction.

; two lines of 2" x 3" studs (on 24" centers) per side of the pipes.

The plan with two offset rectangles was worked out by Nathan and his wife and offers several ing advantages. Offset gives cross-ventilation to the room except kitchen and bathroom, permits an entry at the very center of the house with access to kitchen and bedroom hall. Circular, excellent, for occupants can reach every room in the hall without going through any other room.

Chimney, carefully located to fit between the living-room rectangle lets use the same 26' roof trusses to create a overhang to shade the floor-to-ceiling window. This overhang economy helps pay the $87 cost of offset. (Elsewhere overhang is 100'.) The plan lends itself easily to variation by just panel sequence. Big living-room window of overhang can be put front or rear for orientation. High bedroom windows usually face north. Overlap of panels follows itself around house, so dimensions are 18'-8" (twelve 4' modules plus 4" overlap at end and offset) by 20'-4" and 24'-1".

Nathan's slab house has a carport and storage room for $14,500. Each slab house includes an electric stove, refrigerator, and washing machine. Because slab houses meet with less sales resistance in New England he offers the basement model with garage (at $16,200). Fireplaces are included in both ($400 cost to Nathan) since they are practically mandatory in rural Connecticut. Smallest lots are 1,100 sq. ft. and wherever possible he managed to save the old trees.

Success of the 43-house development is virtually assured. Twenty-four buyers have already signed up, three others have paid out $50 for binders.

The sequence of photographs on these pages shows how Nathan's men erect walls, trusses and close in a house in one day.
Erecting trusses is a smooth operation. Before this truss work begins it takes 80 mins. to put up the scaffolds and brackets.

Thirty-five minutes after trusses were unloaded, they were in place in this house. Superintendent in foreground directs the operation. Since all trusses have identical dimensions, they are easily aligned and present no leveling problem.

At the end of the day house is closed in by four put 1' x 8' sheathing on the roof. This operation speeded up with ½" plywood panels for sheathing, trusses arc on 2" centers local code forbids ½"
The shed house is characterized by the horizontal look. Sills of all doors and windows line up and bottoms of high boxes line up with center bar of double-hung windows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breakdown</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water, streets, grading</td>
<td>$2,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation, slab, fireplace</td>
<td>1,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siding &amp; millwork</td>
<td>2,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drywall &amp; labor</td>
<td>928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roofing</td>
<td>775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbing &amp; roofing</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deck &amp; painting</td>
<td>940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathroom tile</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware &amp; fittings</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphalt tile</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitchen equipment</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabinets</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financing, sales &amp; misc</td>
<td>1,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead, fees, permits, etc</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra landscaping</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$14,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Basement model with garage sells for $16,200

Varied appearance is achieved by reversing offset.
Just published by the Southwest Research Institute of San Antonio is a 91-page book, "New Frontiers for Homebuilders," written by C. W. "Bill" Smith, director of the Institute's Housing Research Foundation. Based on what Smith has learned in working with builders from coast to coast during the past three years, the book is considered so definitive by the NAHB's Frank Cortright that he wrote in the foreword: "I would like to see it read by everyone in and out of the building business. The book issues a challenge by setting up new and important objectives." These are excerpts from Smith's text:

Don't sacrifice quality for variety

Most bad housing projects are characterized by a conscious effort to achieve dissimilarity in the appearance of the houses. Apparently this concept of what constitutes a good neighborhood is based on the dissimilarity of houses in areas built up by custom contractors.

Where builders attempt to use a great variety of house plans they are inevitably inferior in quality because the cost of competent architectural services is prohibitive when it must be amortized on one or a very few houses; an architect worthy of the profession can do a capable job of designing a house for less than 10 per cent of the cost. An architectural fee of $1,000 or more per house is simply out of the question if merchant builders are to continue economical housing.

Furthermore, the whole objective of achieving dissimilarity between houses in a project group is basically unjustified. Simply because older neighborhoods happened to be built up without any over-all plan and with no architect similarity between the houses is no reason why we should try to duplicate older neighborhoods today. Experience has demonstrated that it is far better to have a community group of houses entirely designed by one architect, conforming to one or a few basic plans to fit the community, and so placed on the lots to lend distinction and beauty to the project.

Don't waste money on apple crapple

Too often builders using basic plans repetitively try too hard to achieve similarity by wasting money on a mixture of bird houses, false gables, shutters and meaningless variations of exterior materials and colors. If the basic architecture is good this is unnecessary, and anyhow ornamentation never does dissimilarity of the design and structure. The same amount of money spent in advance on a good site plan to create a community group with the houses placed on their lots more logically would add far more value to the project; in fact, it would create superior values unobtainable any other way.

Don't be "smug" about a seller's market

The subject of architecture has always been a controversial one with many builders because, in the seller's market builders have enjoyed in the past, chasers were forced to buy whatever was offered. Many builders, able to get houses of inferior design, concluded that the public was satisfied with neo-Cod and neocolonial abortions and saw no reason for spending money, time and effort on an architect. Now the better builders are upsetting the market by making the public more discriminating because they have learned that using a good architect to design clean contemporary houses, without the gimp and trash, the past finds an immediate and enthusiastic response on the part of the public.
Don’t try to hire a cheap architect

A few years ago it was very difficult to find architects who understood the merchant builder’s problems, his techniques of repetitive construction, the economies which can be obtained through teamwork collaboration, the advantages of using standard-sized millwork, and other time- and labor-saving methods. Even today some merchant builders think of an architect as an impractical dreamer who draws pretty pictures but knows nothing about costs. It is perfectly true that some architects fit this concept. There are good and bad architects, just as there are good and bad builders. It is very encouraging, however, to note that in all parts of the US today there are capable architects who are learning to work with merchant builders and who are realizing that this is a profitable and most satisfying field of endeavor.

More and more builders find they can increase their profits through using the service of a capable architect, which either lowers the over-all cost of their houses or inevitably adds more value than the amount of the architectural fees. Incidentally, typical architectural fees for merchant builders have been very modest. They amount to about $200 to $300 per house for groups of 10 to 20 moderate priced houses. For projects involving as many as 100 houses, the very best architectural services seldom cost more than about $75 to $100 per unit.

One danger we should warn all builders about is trying to employ a “captive” architect as one of their regular staff. In the first place, an architect’s skill and professional ability is enhanced by his independence and his freedom to work on a variety of different designs and projects. Second, architects who are on the permanent staff of a builder may hesitate to argue with the “boss” when they know he is wrong.

Don’t let traffic get snarled up

A good architect will recognize the importance of striving for maximum goals instead of merely attempting to meet minimum construction standards. He will either have, or must acquire, a detailed knowledge of the local conditions which will affect the design. In every community and in every geographic area there are differences in materials costs and availability, labor costs, community preferences and climate factors to consider. Your architect will give primary consideration to site planning so that the house is properly placed on the lot to take advantage of views, summer breezes, winter sunlight, the natural features of the site, and to provide privacy for family living indoors and out. He will try to make the most efficient use of the floor layout so as to avoid awkward traffic through the living room or such odd situations as people having to go through the kitchen to get to the outdoor dining space or the garden. The garage or carport will be placed adjacent to the kitchen or utility-room entrance with an overhang or other shelter to provide easy access.
Don’t overlook the open kitchen

Since exhaust fans in the kitchen can remove objectionable odors, it is necessary to shut the kitchen off from the dining space and the rest of the house. Planning rooms for more than one use and giving an “open” feeling to the whole living area gives a sense of spaciousness even when the house, because of price limitations, be relatively small.

Don’t forget space for air conditioning

We predict that before many years very few new houses will be without summer cooling equipment and it would be wise, even now, to provide space in the utility room for a summer cooling unit to be installed with the heating system.

Every good builder has learned that it pays to select materials and equipment which are of high quality and will provide long service without requiring frequent repairs or maintenance. In many instances it is more expensive to use materials which, in themselves, may cost slightly more than the cheapest available, but which can be installed with less labor.

Don’t stick to last year’s model

Styles change in houses as they do in women’s clothes but less rapidly. To a great degree they reflect both the practical needs and the esthetics of a particular society. Cape Cod and colonial houses fitted our past very well, just as bustles and corsets were probably appropriate (But) your wife would not wear 19th-Century clothes today and you no longer want to live in 18th- and 19th-Century houses. It has often been said that the public is far ahead of the building industry in the matter of houses. Many builders doubted this until in recent years they have learned to their surprise that the most successful builders who are offering the most modern contemporary houses are finding a tremendously enthusiastic demand. A design which is good today will not be as good ten years from now; as there is a steady demand for new women’s clothes based not on the old ones are worn out, but on the desire for new designs, so there is a continual and steady demand for new houses be realized when more builders would like to fulfill this demand by offering the public continually improved models.

Don’t be limited by FHA

The mortgage finance policies which determine who can buy houses and what they can buy them are controlled very largely by the Federal Housing Administration. The minimum construction standards promulgated by the FHA promote a very fine development and one which quite properly established the quality which inferior construction practices were unacceptable. It is true that FHA stopped at that point and did not go on to defining the quality, performance, and livability which should be the goal of every house, and which should be held out to the industry as a basis for judging the quality of houses. Present FHA appraisals are geared only to minimum standards. Builders who conform to these minimums obtain top commitments. You who strive to obtain the utmost value and superior quality in your housing projects are usually penalized because the FHA doesn’t recognize and has no machinery for giving preferential appraisals to builders who achieve these superior qualities.

*Illustrations on these pages are reprinted from the book.*