Spend more time here.

You’ve got better things to do than sit around and wait for plots. That’s why Hewlett-Packard has designed the revolutionary new HP DesignJet. The faster way to high-quality monochrome plots.

You see, DesignJet uses HP’s proprietary inkjet technology. The same technology that has proven itself in nearly 3 million desktop printers. So you get the kind of quality you’d expect from Hewlett-Packard, a company that’s built a reputation for exceptional output.

The new HP DesignJet also gives you convenience. Two easy-to-change, maintenance-free ink cartridges help ensure you get a good plot every time. And the DesignJet can use commonly available media.

By spending less time here.
Introducing the fast, new
HP DesignJet plotter.

Final-quality E-size plots
in less than six minutes.

Best of all, at only $10,995,†
the new HP DesignJet lets you
increase your productivity with­
out increasing your budget.
So call 1-800-752-0900, Ext.
2697 for more information and
an original sample plot.† It’ll be
time well spent.

HP Peripherals
When it’s important to you.

HEWLETT
PACKARD
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AS YOU CAN SEE, OUR FRENCH IS FLAWLESS.

While most patio doors start out as a stack of lumber and parts, this one began with a clean sheet of paper. Because our goal wasn't to merely make a better patio door, but to make the very best. And the reward for that effort is the door you see here.

The first thing you notice is the way it invites the outside in, with a dramatic view up to 16' wide. Notice too, its extra-wide stiles and solid brass handles, the ultimate in form and function. Even the weatherstripping and sill are coordinated in a color that complements the door's look instead of detracting from it.

Like a piece of fine furniture, everything about the Marvin Sliding French Door is precision milled and handcrafted. Even the harshest, most critical eye will find no staples, no nail holes, nothing to mar its beauty.

But the Marvin Sliding French Door is not only the most beautiful patio door made today, it's also the highest performing. In fact, it's the kind to meet and exceed Grade 60 Heavy Commercial standards for air, water and wind load.

What's more, its exclusive three-point locking system can withstand an incredible 1200 pounds of force, far exceeding forced entry standards. And its top-mounted screen won't warp, rack, bend or come out of its track.

Simply put, the Marvin Sliding French Door is the finest door of its kind made today. Period.

And to insure its compatibility with virtually any project, we offer a full range of glazing options, divided lites, transoms and side lites plus over 50 exterior finish colors.

The Marvin Sliding French Door. In any language, it speaks quality loud and clear.

For more information or a catalog featuring the entire line of Marvin Windows and Doors, call 1-800-346-5128 (in Canada, 1-800-263-6161). Or mail the completed coupon below to: Marvin Windows, Warroad, MN 56763.

Name: ____________________________
Address: __________________________
City: ____________________________
State: ______ Zip: ______

1509201A

MARVIN DOORS
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Until now, carpet that existed only in your imagination.
39th Annual P/A Awards Editor in charge: John Morris Dixon

Introduction: 39th Annual P/A Awards

Architectural Design

American Center in Paris • Frank O. Gehry & Associates
Double Dihedral House, Santa Fe, New Mexico • Michael Bell, Architectural Designer
Housing for Homeless Families, Escondido, California • David J. Killory Architects
Yuzen Vintage Car Museum, West Hollywood, California • MORMPHOSIS
Samitaru Offices, Los Angeles • Eric Owen Moss – Architect
Aleke Office Building, Tokyo • Eisenman Architects
P & G Guest House, Tarzana, California • Eric Owen Moss – Architect
Metro Red-Line Station, Los Angeles • Ellerbe Becket

Urban Design

Grand Central, St. Louis • STUDIO WORKS, Trivers Associates, Mary Miss, James Turrell
Boston Central Artery • Eric Schmitt, Boston Redevelopment Authority
The Gateway Project, Cleveland • Sasaki Associates
Le Vieux Port de Montréal, Quebec, Canada • Peter Rose Architect, Cardinal Hardy et Associés, Architectes
Interim Bridges Project, Boston • Kennedy Violich Architecture

Research

Community Public Healthcare • Ben J. Refuerzo, Stephen F. Verderber
Lower Rio Grande Heritage Corridor • Dr. Mario L. Sanchez, Texas Historical Commission
Sun, Wind, and Pedestrian Comfort in Toronto • Environmental Simulation Laboratory, Center for Environmental Design Research, University of California, Berkeley

Perspectives Editors’ Roundtable

Profiles of Winning Teams

Practice

Law Certification as Guaranty • Norman Coplan
Specifications Specifying Samples • Walter Rosenfeld
Computers Understanding Windows • Eric Tischler
Computers CAD Layer Management • Teresa Pineda Davidson
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7 Editorial A Magazine for a Demanding Year
9 Views
21 News Report
28 Calendar
102 Books Modern Dissemblances • Tom F. Peters
105 New Products and Literature
114 Computer-Related Products
117 Reader Service Card
125 Advertisers’ Index
126 Furthermore...
New Shapes in Neoprene Cabinet Pulls

New designs enhance the original, award-winning Neoprene Cabinet Pull Collection. For information and the name of the Forms + Surfaces hardware representative nearest you, call: 1-800-451-0410.
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Editorial
A Magazine for a Demanding Year

The world could use good architecture at least as much today as it ever could, but we all know the demand for architectural services in 1992 is going to be gravely depressed. The devil-take-tomorrow economics of the 1980s has left the United States with a daunting oversupply of commercial buildings and vacant luxury condos, while urgently needed housing, public facilities, factories and other structures cannot now be financed.

Maybe by late 1992 – or 1993 – the reality of these serious needs may somehow generate the necessary construction and renovation. Meanwhile, the architecture profession must conscientiously carry out available commissions, lobby for more constructive policies, sharpen its skills, and enhance public recognition of its value.

A consideration of the profession’s options at this difficult time lies behind P/A’s editorial planning for the coming year. Obviously, the profession’s future depends ultimately on superior performance in design and technics, but professional strategies are now critically important, as well. Among the new editorial features P/A plans to introduce in 1992 are the following:

Architects and Power. This timely series of Practice articles, debuting in next month’s P/A, will examine critical questions about the economic, political, and cultural power of the profession, as well as firms’ relationships to clients, builders, and consultants.

P/A Plans. Scheduled for introduction in March 1992, P/A Plans will be a separately bound supplement, accompanying two issues a year. The first issue will cover schools, and the second, in August, will take up outpatient medical facilities. Each of these design reference works will include an overview introduction and scores of current projects, succinctly described with emphasis on plan configurations.

Design Competitions. The extraordinary response to the P/A Affordable Housing Initiative has demonstrated the intense interest among architects in design competitions that tackle currently significant programs. In the spring of 1992, as the competition-winning P/A affordable house reaches completion in Cleveland (for an update, see December 1991, p. 47), we plan to launch another competition with a socially significant program.

Landmarks. A unique new series of articles, beginning in May 1992, will take respected works of Modern Architecture as departure points for discussions of the qualities that contribute to lasting accomplishment. Each Landmarks feature will cover not only the landmark itself, but the subsequent application of its design concepts and building techniques up to the present day.

Reports from the Field. Starting in the summer of 1992, these articles will examine the roles of architects in shaping “everyday” American environments and the impact of their work on people’s daily lives. Typical American cities and towns will be studied in depth, with interviews of architects, local officials, and citizens to determine how the profession relates to its communities and what its impact is.

Emerging Talent Portfolios. At least twice a year, beginning in 1992, P/A will publish a portfolio of work by a firm not previously featured in the architectural press, discussing the designers’ backgrounds and aspirations, as well as the exceptional work that has justified their selection.

Continuing Features. The P/A Prospects series, inaugurated in September 1991, will proceed with an April 1992 discussion of work for retail chains and an October article on correctional facilities; these articles examine how major clients choose architects and what they expect of them. Again in 1992, P/A will publish two special Computer Focus sections – in June and November – with articles by outstanding computer experts. Technics features for 1992 will focus on the building envelope, with articles on energy standards, window replacement, and thermal bridges, among others.

Meanwhile P/A’s architectural and interior design pages will be showing readers some of the inspiring work now reaching completion around the world. It is an irony of our economic cycles that many of architecture’s most ambitious and instructive projects reach completion after the prosperous conditions that promoted them have passed. Many significant design accomplishments initiated in the 1980s are now reaching completion, and P/A will be revealing their design lessons to you.
Furniture-Integrated Ambient Lighting by Peerless:
glare-free lighting where it’s never been possible before.

A 1989 study (the Steelcase® Office Environment Index) established eyestrain as the number one hazard in America’s offices.

A 1990 study by Cornell University established that glare-free lighting from lensed indirect fixtures dramatically reduces employee time loss from vision-related problems.

Until now, the only lensed indirect lighting you could buy was permanently mounted to the ceiling or walls.

Now there’s an alternative for low ceilings, or for when you’re planning a move and you want to move the lighting with you.

For the first time, there’s furniture-integrated lighting that meets the strictest standards for illuminating a VDT area.

It was designed exclusively for Steelcase® systems furniture, with Softshine Optics as advanced as any Peerless has ever devised.

It combines gracefully with ceiling or wall-mounted lensed indirect fixtures.

It delivers the right levels of glare-free illumination in a complete luminous environment.

If you need it, call us. Or talk to your lighting professional. Or see it at the Steelcase® showroom in Chicago.
Views

Not an All-Male Profession

Because of the very special role Progressive Architecture plays in the design community, everything the magazine communicates carries weight.

For this reason, I was very disturbed to see the cartoon character in the October issue (p. 100) portrayed in all frames as a male—and especially a male who supports a wife.

The social iconography implied is, I am sure, not what the values of Progressive Architecture are about. I hope you will take the opportunity to reflect on the changes going on in the profession and enfranchise women, as well as men, in future iconography; subtle but significant.

Julia Thomas
Borroux/Thomas & Associates, Architect/Planners/Consultants Los Angeles

Housing Editorial Amplification

In John P. Eberhard’s Editorial, “A Decent Place to Live,” (Nov. 1991, p. 7), the last paragraph should have started:

Changing the direction of national research priorities to focus on affordable housing means enlisting the enthusiasm of hundreds of companies and thousands of skilled researchers whose futures are presently tied to advanced weapons, space and nuclear research and development.

The boldfaced words above were inadvertently omitted, blunting one of the writer’s key points.

Mission Inn Facts

The article “Return of a Destination” (October 1991, p. 82) drew on some incorrect source material. The architect of the Mission Inn’s Rotunda Wing should have been listed as G. Stanley Wilson, and the construction was of reinforced concrete (not “unreinforced concrete” as P/A’s article indicated).

Information Sources Corrections

The following are additions and corrections to the Mid-October Information Sources issue.

Under Energy (p. 3), add:
Conservation and Renewable Energy Inquiry and Referral Service (CAREIRS), P.O. Box 8900, Silver Springs, MD 20907. Telephone (800) 523-2929.

Under Registration (p. 10), correct: the first listing under Associations to read “American License Seminars” (plural); the telephone number (with new area code) is (310) 208-7112.

Under Precast Concrete (p. 11), add: The Architectural Precast Association. The address is 1850 Lee Road, Suite 230, Winter Park, FL 32789. Telephone (407) 740-7201.

Under Awards and Competitions (p. 16), correct: the sponsor of the Rudy Bruner Award of Excellence in Urban Design, to read The Bruner Foundation, 560 Broadway, 5th Floor, New York, NY 10012. Telephone (212) 334-9844; FAX (212) 334-9842.

Under Division 2 – Sitework (p. 27), correct: The Hanover literature should say Tudor paver, not Terra 220 paver, with a compressive strength of 8000 psi, not 1000 psi.
WITH FOUNTAINHEAD, INTRICATE DESIGNS ARE A PIECE OF CAKE.

Most designers choose Fountainhead by Nevamar® for its rich, natural beauty and its remarkable durability. But the intricate designs you can create in Fountainhead solid surfacing are the icing on the cake. Fountainhead is easy to rout and shape. And Fountainhead inlay kits make the most extraordinary designs a snap. Curves, shapes or colors... an Accredited Fountainhead Fabricator can achieve almost any custom inlay or edge treatment you can imagine. Available in 15 colors. Fountainhead is the perfect recipe for one-of-a-kind solid surfaces. So satisfy your appetite for creativity... with Fountainhead. For information, samples, or the name of an Accredited Fabricator, call 1-800-638-4380.

Nevamar Corporation, 8339 Telegraph Road, Odenton, Maryland 21113.
"In designing this office building/restaurant/showroom, we imported many Western ingredients," said architect Yuji Noga. "Andersen supplied us with the windows and engineering data. The wood interiors of their products worked well with the masonry structure."

And the engineering data? "Osaka city building codes are very strict," continued Noga. "Andersen Corporation's windloading and other performance data helped us install the three-story Andersen curtain walls securely and aesthetically."

Andersen® window materials compatibility, structural integrity and commercial viability. Solutions worth remembering whichever hemisphere you design in.

For the name of your Andersen representative, call 1-800-426-7691. Or write Andersen Commercial Group™ Box 12, Bayport, MN 55003.
OPEN A WINDOW TO THE WEST.

1. Wood framing
2. Sealant with backer rod
3. Gypsum board
4. Wood trim
5. Andersen® sash stop
6. Andersen® Flexiframe® unit
7. Andersen® 3½ exterior casing (optional)
8. Face brick
9. Structural steel “T”
10. Wood casing
11. Wood stool
12. Flashing
Ever since we invented nylon some 50 years ago, we've accumulated more knowledge on the hows and whys of carpet fiber performance than anybody on the planet. Which enables us to make this simple statement of fact. The best-performing carpets that can be specified any time, for any place, are made of DuPont ANTRON® nylon. Consider this.

New ANTRON® LEGACY nylon is the best choice for most commercial applications.

ANTRON LUMENA® is the best choice for health care and everywhere else that spills are a constant problem.

It's that simple.

Two choices. With either one,

DuPont provides exceptional polymer, fiber and protection technology.

Unsurpassed industry support and information networks. Certification ensuring that the finished carpets meet strict DuPont quality standards. Static protection for the life of the carpet. Anything else? Oh yes, the added assurance that time and again, DuPont ANTRON nylon is the number one choice of professionals.

DuPont ANTRON. There is no equal.
For more information, call 1-800-4DUPONT.
When it comes to sealing systems for doors and windows, ZERO wrote the book. And you can get it free.

To specify the highest quality sealing systems, just say "ZERO." More than 60% of all architects do. Zero pioneered the system concept, and delivers on a tradition of constant innovation, construction integrity and design excellence.

Our new, fully illustrated catalog makes it easy to design and specify. Along with specs and details, are actual-size cross sections of every product. Hundreds of systems for: stopping air, fire, smoke, sound; computer-room doors; saddles, sills, handicap access, jambs and ramps.

And again this year, there are some great new developments.

SOUND TRAP. This patented sound control system is designed to give you a 53 STR (Sound Transmission Rating) at door opening.

EMI/RFI SEALING SYSTEM. A system for continuous-use doors that eliminates electromagnetic and radio frequency interference.

TRACTION TREAD® SADDLES AND NOSINGS. A better alternative to plain grooves and rough abrasives. Specially formulated rubber inserts are fitted within rugged, profiled aluminum grooves. The metal and rubber wear evenly. Water and dirt can't collect.

HANDICAP REQUIREMENTS. Our 1992 catalog highlights products that meet ANSI standards for providing accessibility by handicapped people. These Zero systems require less pressure to operate.

PHONE NOW FOR OUR NEW CATALOG. Make sure you have the latest edition of this industry reference. It's free to qualified professionals.
Q: Are you tired of leafing through back issues to find examples of how other architects have planned a particular type of building?

A: We are and we thought you might be too - so, beginning in March, we will publish the first of a series of planbooks called P/A Plans. Scheduled to appear twice a year initially, P/A Plans will contain approximately 40 to 50 plans of recent buildings arranged typologically, with photos, a brief analytical discussion of each project, and an introductory text that discusses the evolution of the plan type. The first P/A Plans will focus on schools, the second, in August, will cover small healthcare clinics.

We don’t want to stop you from looking at back issues, because they obviously have more to offer than just interesting plans. But we hope that P/A Plans will make the job of designing easier and more efficient. And you might just enjoy leafing through it.

As a subscriber you will get two issues of P/A Plans as part of your subscription... or you can purchase single copies for ten dollars.
T-8 fluorescent lamps in parabolic fixtures reduced
lighting energy consumption to 0.95 watts/square foot.
The building qualified for incentives of $372,000,
covering the lighting upgrade. Annual energy savings
are estimated at $50,365.

Owner and developer:
Wright Runstad & Company

Architect:
Zimmer Gunsul Frasca Partnership

Mechanical/Electrical Engineers:
Bouillon Christofferson & Schairer
Pace Consulting Group

General Contractor:
Lease Crutcher Lewis

Mechanical Design/Build Subcontractor:
McKinstry Co.

Utility:
Seattle City Light

ANOTHER BUILDING WHERE
LESS ENERGY IS WORTH MORE.

Buildings that save energy save money every day. Now,
with incentives for installing energy-efficient equipment, you may
save on first costs as well.

Energy Smart Design helps you design, specify and install
energy-efficient electric equipment and systems. The free design
service and detailed energy recommendations are offered by
more than 100 electric utilities in the Pacific Northwest. Many
utilities also offer incentives for installing efficient equipment.

Saving energy conserves resources and cuts operating costs.
With Energy Smart Design, reliable and efficient technology has
even greater value. Call your
electric utility to find out what
it's worth for your project.

POWERFUL STRATEGIES TO BUILD ON.

Bonneville
Sponsored by the Bonneville Power Administration and participating electric utilities.
A.R.E. Candidates!


If you are planning to take the Architect Registration Examination, don't miss out on one of the most valuable study guides. Complete your examination preparation with the all-new A.R.E. Handbook from NCARB. This comprehensive volume covering all divisions of the exam was prepared by the NCARB Examination Committee. It is recommended as part of a well-rounded study program and demonstrates the types of questions you will encounter in the A.R.E.

- Graphic problems selected from three previous administrations of Division B: Site Design - Graphic form a sample examination for you to solve. Test your ability by applying the grading criteria to your solutions, and follow along with carefully detailed critiques of actual candidate solutions to understand the level of competence necessary to pass the exam.
- Both the Division B: Site Design - Graphic as well as the Division C: Building Design examples have significant aspects noted in color and have been carefully structured for maximum benefit to Handbook users. Three complete examinations, including program requirements, sample test pads as well as actual candidate solutions and grading criteria are presented. Examinations are included from December 1989 (Student Union Building), June 1990 (Architect's Office) and December 1990 (Family Lodge). A strategy suggests a logical thought process that can be useful when completing the Building Design exam.
- Make the A.R.E. Handbook an essential part of your preparation for the A.R.E.

SHIPPING

Books shipped to addresses within the continental United States are shipped by regular (surface) UPS at no additional cost. • If your book is shipped to an address in Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands or Canada, please add $8.00. • Residents of the District of Columbia should add $5.10 DC sales tax. • Please include a daytime address. UPS does not deliver to post office boxes.

PLEASE SEND ME THE 1992 A.R.E. HANDBOOK.

Name
Company
Daytime Address (no PO Boxes)
City State Zip
Daytime Phone

□ Check Enclosed □ Charge My: □ Visa □ Mastercard

Account Number □ Expiration Date: Mo □ Year □ Signature

□ Check Enclosed □ Charge My: □ Visa □ Mastercard

Detach and mail payment to: NCARB, A.R.E. Handbooks, 1735 New York Ave. NW Suite 700, Washington, DC 20006

Make checks payable to NCARB. Delivery takes 2-3 weeks.
Searching for the most amazing blues on the planet? Look no further than our Design Group ™ collection. Our dauntless WILSONART adventurers braved the murkiest depths to recover the fabulous hues from these heretofore undiscovered ruins. Now we are pleased to include the blue solid colors and coordinating patterns as part of the largest introduction in our history.


Just think of them as 69 points of departure for your creative exploration. Reflecting the best of global design, they are certainly the ideal way to bring the Spirit of Adventure ™ to your next project.

For more information, your Spirit of Adventure Sweepstakes entry form (first prize: a new Ford Explorer), and rapid Rocket Chip delivery of samples, just call 1-800-433-3222, or 1-800-792-6000 in Texas.
Benjamin Thompson Wins AIA Gold Medal

Benjamin C. Thompson, the 73-year-old architect who made “festival marketplace” and “Marimekko” household words, has been selected to receive the 1992 AIA Gold Medal, the highest honor awarded by the American Institute of Architects.

Thompson champions a collaborative, realistic approach to architecture, and the AIA board of directors, which selects Gold Medalists, seems to be sending a message with this and other recent Gold Medals (Joseph Esherick, 1989, and Charles Moore, 1991) that architects are — or should be — dwelling in a pragmatic world. “Isolation is a terrible state, and architecture of the mountain-top has no significance,” Thompson has said. “While many professionals continue to design for the static vision of the camera, others are beginning to realize that the dynamics of life and people, the nature of the whole human habitat, is our task.”

Thompson, born in St. Paul, Minnesota, in 1918, graduated from Yale in 1941. After naval service in World War II, he became one of the founding partners of The Architects Collaborative, and shared responsibility for the Harvard Graduate Center (1949). In 1953, while still a partner in the firm, he founded Design Resources International, a Cambridge retail home furnishings store (later a chain) that brought Marimekko fabrics and other Modern design to the general public. The dazzling, transparent building he designed for the store in 1969 (now Crate & Barrel) won a national AIA Honor Award.

After leaving TAC in 1966 he founded Benjamin Thompson & Associates, also in Cambridge. BTA’s work consisted mainly of academic buildings until the firm teamed with The Rouse Company to create the Faneuil Hall Marketplace, a renovation of Boston’s historic Quincy Market. The project won a citation in the P/A Awards (Jan. 1975, p. 61) and a national AIA Honor Award, and has been widely emulated in cities across America. BTA and Rouse teamed up again for similar festival marketplaces in Baltimore (Harborplace, 1979), New York (South Street Seaport, 1983), and elsewhere. With other developers, BTA was also responsible for marketplaces at Ghirardelli Square (1987) in San Francisco, and Union Station (1988) in Washington, D.C. BTA was honored in 1987 with the AIA’s Architecture Firm Award.

In addition to his practice and retail ventures, Thompson has at times been an academic (chairing the architecture department at Harvard’s Graduate School of Design from 1963 to 1968) and a restaurateur: he and his wife, Jane, own the Harvest Restaurant in Cambridge.

Thompson will accept the Gold Medal at the AIA’s Accent on Architecture gala in Washington on January 22.

Mark Alden Branch

Fiddling While Rome Burns:
Two housing-related exhibitions in Chicago reflect the polarization of our times.

See page 22.
Two Housing Exhibits: Fiddling While Rome Burns

Two recent Chicago housing exhibitions have proven unintentionally unflattering to each other. The first exhibit, shown at the Randolph Street Gallery, was called “Counter-Proposals: Adaptive Approaches to the Built Environment.” It had the most meager dwellings imaginable, almost all of them designed for the homeless. At the Gwenda Jay Gallery, “Rumors About the American House” displayed schemes for eight lavish houses by well-regarded Chicago architects for hypothetical clients; it was sponsored by a developer promoting a suburban housing development. The contrast between the two shows is grotesque, and it is hard to say which fares worse for the comparison.

Taken in isolation, “Rumors” contains much that is praiseworthy. The eight houses are imaginatively designed and sensitive to the gently rolling site—John Syvertsen’s seems to hurry along with the current of the stream it stands beside, and Daniel Wheeler’s speaks eloquently of a shared family life.

But “Rumors” cannot be taken alone. It begins a larger context and asks us to take it seriously. The catalog, with text written by the architects, asks: “Can these eight designs... become the rumored vision of a different suburban reality?” But the exhibit poses no answers to suburban problems anyone worries seriously about. It does not address density, transportation, segregation, or automobile pollution, for starters. However well designed these houses may be, they become false and greatly offensive when they indulge a taste for hedonism while they boast of being visionary.

Only one architect seemed to treat the brief with all the irony it deserved. Ralph Johnson of Perkins & Will designed his first house for the exhibit and made not-so-gentle fun of its imagined occupants. His was called “A House for an Exhibitionist” and contained rooms “for overeating” and “for overexercising.”

The work on display in the “Counter-Proposals” show is carefully prefaced: none of it is intended as a permanent solution to the increasingly prevalent problem of homelessness. It is freely called a band-aid solution to a desperate problem.

As part of the exhibit, the Mad Housers, a not-for-profit group started in Atlanta (P/A, Oct. 1988, p. 91), built a shack and placed it on an undisclosed site for a homeless person. The shack looks faintly domestic; it has a peaked roof, and its occupant can at least stand upright in it. The “City Sleeper” — also on exhibit at Randolph — designed by San Francisco architect Donald McDonald, affords neither of those luxuries. It measures 4 x 4 x 8 feet and has room only for storing a few possessions and for a pallet to sleep on.

With many employed people straining to afford housing and many others out on the street, these two exhibits seem profoundly out of place. Neither deals with the most compelling housing issue of the day: how to provide inexpensive, well-designed housing for the many people who want and need it. In the past, architects have taken this problem on. If they won’t do it now, who will?

Cheryl Kent
and users. David Lee, a principal of Stull & Lee, Boston, echoed these sentiments and called for architects to devote more energy to saving cities, using his firm’s work on Boston’s Southwest Corridor (P/A, Sept. 1987, p. 53) as an example. A third talk, by Auburn University architecture librarian Vinson McKenzie, focused on the concurrent exhibition he curated: “African-American Architects and Builders: A Historical Overview.”

Later in the afternoon, a panel discussion revealed the rift between advocates of integrating the profession as it now exists and advocates of more sweeping changes in the profession. P/A Editor and panelist John Morris Dixon described the magazine’s efforts to find and publish work by African-American architects. Repeating remarks he had made in an editorial (P/A, Dec. 1990, p. 7), he posited the notion that African-Americans would benefit from the emergence of an architectural equivalent of movie director Spike Lee, who would provide a role model for potential architects and demonstrate African-American abilities to potential clients. Moderator Dolores Hayden, an architecture professor at Yale, angrily challenged Dixon, asserting that the magazine should realign its priorities instead of searching for African-American architects who conform to conventional notions of quality.

Sharon Sutton, an associate professor of architecture at the University of Michigan, maintained that the discipline of architecture is in need of more solid grounding in a “body of knowledge,” and advocated making architectural research a greater priority. Other panelists included Luis Aponte-Pares of City College of New York, Mui Ho of the University of California at Berkeley, and Richard Dozier of Florida A & M.

Mark Alden Branch

**Landscape Convention Greens Kansas City**

The creation of an ecologically sustainable landscape on a local, national, or global scale was the recurrent theme of the 91st Annual Meeting of the American Society of Landscape Architects this October. The conference was held in Kansas City, Missouri, this year to celebrate the 100th anniversary of the city’s park and boulevard system. Throughout the conference, speakers encouraged the attending landscape architects, planners, and students to conserve resources in their own communities by designing “xeriscapes,” which use drought-resistant plants to conserve water, and to consider the local, regional, and global environmental effects of their design and planning decisions. Many of the winners in this year’s national awards competition (right) reflected a sensibility to local environments.

Issues of the urban landscape were addressed in separate lectures by architects Jaquelin Robertson, Elizabeth Plater-Zyberk, and Douglas Kelbaugh. The rural landscape was the subject of Rutgers University sociologists Frank and Deborah Popper’s presentation of their controversial theory of the “Buffalo Commons.” They propose to turn large depopulated portions of the agricultural Great Plains into a national refuge that would support indigenous activities such as hunting and bison grazing while creating a new economy in ecotourism. Workshops offered participants information on subjects that included water conservation, land reclamation, historic preservation, wildflowers, and the design of rural and urban landscapes.

The local ASLA chapter commemorated the 100th anniversary of the Midwestern city’s park and parkways system by offering historical symposia and tours, and by introducing their proposal to expand the century-old greenways, originally designed by George Kessler (who was responsible for the park plans of many Midwestern and Southern towns) into a metropolitan regional network of green spaces.

The proposed plan, Metro Green, consists of a 90-mile-long inner loop around urban areas, and a 140-mile-long outer belt that will weave together suburban, exurban, and rural and agricultural areas at the edge of the metropolis. Connecting “spokes” would provide access to the park system throughout the city, linking the region’s museums, nature centers, public gardens, and cultural landmarks. **Julie M. Trelstad**
Landscape Awards
(continued from previous page)


Villa Zapu.

Design Merit Awards:

- The Evolving San Diego Zoo, by Jones & Jones, Seattle.
- Becton Dickinson Atrium, San Jose, California, by Schwartz/Smith/Meyer, San Francisco.
- Shakespeare Garden, New York, by Bruce Kelley/David Varneal, New York.
- New Broad Street, Georgetown, South Carolina, by Jean S. Crouch, Pawleys Island, South Carolina.

Four Modernists at SFMoMA

These days, what gets built in San Francisco and what it looks like are the results of a dogmatic planning process that has discouraged buildings of real distinction. “In the Spirit of Modernism,” at the San Francisco Museum of Modern Art through February 2, challenges the prevailing planning mythology and the excesses of 1980s Post-Modernism by reasserting a version of the Modernist aesthetic. Conceptual and built projects by the firms of William Stout Architect & Associates, Jim Jennings Arkhitekture, Tanner Luedy Maytum Stacy Architects, and James Shay, installed center-stage in the cubic volume of the museum’s fourth-floor rotunda, propose a re-examination of such Modernist values as expression of structure and technology, integrity of materials and detailing, building form over façade, and minimum ornament. San Francisco, where growth and change of any kind are perceived as undesirable, urgently needs this kind of intelligent input.

“If not as rigorous as classic Modernism, “In the Spirit of Modernism” is taut, controlled, and sober. The architects are good, in the Miesian sense, but there is very little that delights. With the memory of the previous decade’s architectural frivolities still strong, the show’s message is about integrity and restraint. Less is called on to say more, but it may not be saying it loudly enough. For a public accustomed to the wacky shrillness and historical parody that mark many Post-Modern buildings, the subtleties here may get lost.

The installation design, a collaboration between the four architects, breaks down the scale of the Beaux-Arts room by inserting a series of over-scaled partitions made of mass-produced materials. The rigorous symmetrical treatment of the space, which suggests a unified perspective, belies the individual qualities of each architect. Where James Shay’s use of color and form have a playful, almost whimsical quality, TLM’S palette of glass and steel is tough and urban. Bill Stout’s work probably respects the language of the Modern masters most closely (P/A, Nov. 1991, p. 78), while Jim Jennings’s interests lie in technology and architectural poetics (see page 76).

“In the Spirit of Modernism” owes a great deal to Post-Modernism, which at its best helped put history back on the architectural agenda. Many of the projects illustrate innovative mixed-use programming, sensitivity to site and context, and adaptation of existing buildings to new uses. Jim Jennings’s renovation of the Cypress Lawn Old Columbarium, a 1929 board-formed concrete structure that was never finished, keeps the intervention to a minimum in order to maintain the building as a “monument to incompleteness.” The architects show awareness of and respect for history without intentionally aping historical styles.

The conceptual projects respond to vital development issues San Francisco is facing right now: how to create high-density housing on small lots, how to make larger interior spaces where people can gather, how to adapt waterfront areas to new publicly accessible uses, and how to integrate a new mixed-use development into a strongly defined neighborhood character. TLM’S provocative proposal for Sutro Baths includes wind generators and a desalination plant adjacent to the reinterpreted public bathing room. The city of San Francisco is the touchstone for all the projects, but the issues addressed pertain to every U.S. city grappling with a changed or changing economic base.

The declaration that architecture can be a potent force in bringing about change may be the exhibition’s closest link to the grand era of Modernism: the conviction that a building can effect, not merely reflect, change in a city. Kyle Thayer

The author, who received an M.Arch from the University of California at Berkeley in 1988, lives in Marin County and writes frequently about architecture.

Understated Bofill at Rice

The Alice Pratt Brown Hall for the Shepherd School of Music at Rice University in Houston, Texas, the first built project in the United States by Ricardo Bofill’s Tallera Arquitectura, promised to be another of the firm’s bold crescendos when it was announced in 1988 (P/A, March 1989, p. 33). But as completed this October (with Houston-based Kendall/Heaton Associates as architect-of-record), Brown Hall is instead a much smaller gesture, a building that should serve its users well but suffers visually from budget cuts, program-
Metal Roofing Panels figure prominently on the recently completed Saddlewood Retail Center in Naperville, Illinois. The architect, Healy Snyder Bender & Associates, selected Colonial Red PAC-CLAD for the roofing panels and Forest Green for the adjacent metal trim. Both colors serve to complement the extensive use of rough-hewn cedar on the project.

Perhaps the best attribute of the metal work is something you can't see. PAC-CLAD Panels feature in-line tension leveling. The result is a panel that exhibits superior flatness. Tension leveling is available to our customers at no extra charge.

PAC-CLAD is available from stock in twenty standard colors and is provided with a twenty year warranty covering finish performance. Make sure your next project is the center of attention...specify PAC-CLAD.
matic restraints, and empty surroundings.

The Rice campus is usually portrayed in terms of the stateliness of its plan, established in 1910 by Cram, Goodhue & Ferguson, and the cohesion of its architecture, begun by Ralph Adams Cram with Lovett Hall in 1910. But beyond the much-admired academic courtyards at the eastern end of the campus is a vast western zone of lawns and parking lots, created when the university cleared a stand of virgin forest and built Rice Stadium (1950, Lloyd & Morgan with Milton McGinty), a towering hulk with more seats than the Astrodome.

As built, the new Alice Pratt Brown Hall addresses this western campus zone by establishing the terminus of a new quadrangle (to be filled in by later buildings), aligned with the east-west axis established by Lovett Hall. Faced in St. Joe brick, like most of its predecessors, Brown Hall is large (470 feet wide by 240 feet deep) and has massive engaged precast columns on its east and west façades. The campus-facing eastern side of the school, behind a slightly concave façade holds the school's administrative offices, recital halls, and practice rooms. The western zone, facing the parking lot, is organized asymmetrically around a central lobby space, with the 1000-seat Stude Concert Hall and its backstage spaces to the north, and an opera lab theater and the tall, narrow organ recital hall to the south.

The interiors (with the exception of the Stude Concert Hall, finished in warm African sapele-wood paneling) are simple, gray-painted spaces with large expanses of gypsum board and concrete block, punctuated by suburban-office metal door frames and windows. The corridors hum with the return air from the carefully tuned, massively scaled air-conditioning system, while the performance spaces and practice rooms are so quiet that, as the Shepherd School's Dean Michael Hammond pointed out on a recent tour, "You can hear your blood pumping in your ears," even with a piano playing in the room on one side and a trumpet playing on the other side.

Indeed, it is the quality of the soundproofing, rather than the architecture, that is most striking: in shaping the interiors, Bofill clearly took a back seat to acoustician Lawrence Kierkegaard, at whose direction most of the budget was spent. Bofill called for turning the parking lot into a formal garden of trees in movable planters, but budget cuts have moved that to the indefinite future. Houston arts patron Dominique de Menil has lent some art pieces that help fill the blank corridor walls; with a few more donations and a decade or so of building to fill in the wide expanse between Brown Hall and the eastern edge of the campus, the building's rough edges will smooth away. Until then, it stands in isolation, uneasily bridging the two realms of the Rice campus. Joel Warren Barna
In an industry where success depends upon how clearly an image is reflected, the image of choice for Nikon was the Cetra® System. Nikon conducted a thorough search, seeking a balance of intelligent construction, value-conscious pricing and corporate aesthetics. In Cetra, Nikon discovered specific standards of quality matching their own. A total system able to reflect their corporate image. The combination of product and cost resulting in real value. The Cetra System. Creating the picture-perfect atmosphere for every office environment.
Calendar

Exhibitions

20th-Century Furniture
January 13–April 17

Tigerman on the Century
January 22–March 11

Wright’s Disciples
January 31–February 29
New York. Work by students of Wright will be exhibited in "A Taliesin Legacy: The Independent Work of Frank Lloyd Wright Apprentices." Pratt Manhattan Gallery, Puck Building.

Competitions

New AIA Awards
Registration deadline
January 27, submission
deadline March 2
Washington, D.C. The Interior Architecture Awards of Excellence (open to works by American architects) and the Urban Design Awards of Excellence (recognizing the increasingly important role of the architect in urban design) have been established by the AIA. Contact AIA Honor Awards Department, 1735 New York Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. (202) 626-7586.

APA Awards
Entry deadline January 31
Winter Park, Florida. The 1992 APA Awards for Design and Manufacturing Excellence recognize "designs that display a highly animated use of precast which gives life and vitality to the building surface." Members of the Architectural Precast Association or the Prestressed/Precast Concrete Institute may enter buildings completed since January 1, 1990. Contact APA, 1850 Lee Road, Suite 230, Winter Park, FL 32789 (407) 740-7201.

Richard Kelly Grant
Submission deadline
January 31
New York. "New and innovative work in the conceptual and applied use of light" may be submitted by applicants 55 years or younger in the U.S., Canada, or Mexico to the Richard Kelly Grant, a program established by the New York Section of the Illuminating Engineering Society. Contact IES, 945 E. 47th St., New York, NY 10017 (212) 705-7913.

Young Architects
Entry deadline
February 8
New York. "On Hold" is the theme of the Architectural League of New York’s 11th annual Young Architects Competition. Projects may be theoretical or real, built or unbuilt. Entrants must be ten years or fewer out of graduate or undergraduate school; students may not enter. Contact Architectural League, 457 Madison Ave., New York, NY 10022 (212) 753-1722.

New Urban Housing
Registration deadline
February 14, submission
deadline April 13
Pittsburgh. "New Urban Housing," is a one-stage national design competition that calls for entrants to produce a model for "affordable housing that is responsive to its urban and social context, when density is no longer the issue driving design." The first prize winner will receive $4000 and a design commission. Contact New Urban Housing Design Competition, Jill Watson, The Community Design Center of Pittsburgh, 470 The Landmarks Building, One Station Square, Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 391-4144.

(continued on page 30)
When it was time to select the furniture for Security Pacific National Bank in San Francisco, the designer preferred an architecturally-oriented system. A system that would function well with the overall form and light of the building. And of course, a system that would complement the individual space it occupied. The designer chose the Cetra System. Sectional glass panels helped create the desired architectural effect. And Cetra's diverse laminates, finishes and fabrics fulfilled the necessities of both the designer and the bank by combining functional design with a refined sense of style. The Cetra System. Bank on it.
Innovations in Antique Woods.

"Mountain Lumber's exacting quality control and grading standards, coupled with an outstanding variety of products, have allowed us to specify truly unique materials for our clients."

John D. Dalgliesh, Jr., AIA
Brusne, Eichman, Dalgliesh, Gilpin & Paxton, PC.

Mountain Lumber Company is proud to present antique oak and antique chestnut. These are new selections available in our product line of antique wood.

Mountain Lumber Company is renowned for providing the finest antique heart pine flooring and architectural details for use in new and restoration projects. Antique oak and antique chestnut are produced to the same high standards architects and fine builders have come to expect from Mountain Lumber.

Call today for our new products brochure.

1-800-445-2671

P.O. Box 289DC • Ruckersville, Virginia 22968

MOUNTAIN LUMBER

Providing the Finest Antique Heart Pine, Antique Oak, and Antique Chestnut

ACSA/american Wood Council
Registration deadline
February 25, submission deadline May 5

Washington, D.C. The ACSA and the American Wood Council's 10th annual competition is "HOME-EC: A Study in Affordable Housing." Entrants are asked to design "high-density urban infill on a named site in a named place." The competition is open to students only; specific educational criteria are required. A second submissions category is open to any project using wood as its primary material. Contact ACSA 1735 New York Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006 (800) 232-2724 or (202) 785-2924.

Barcelona '92 International Prizes
Entry deadline March 31

Barcelona. Established by the City of Barcelona on the occasion of this year's Summer Olympic Games, the Barcelona '92 International Prizes is a multidisciplinary arts program. The Antoni Gaudi International Architecture and Town Planning Prize honors a work "carried out within an innovative philosophy and which has contributed to the improvement of the urban space in which it is located." Work completed (or nearing completion) between October 1988 and March 31, 1992, is eligible. Contact Premis Internacionals Barcelona '92, Olimpia Cultural, COOB'92, Travessera de les Corts, 131-159, 08028 Barcelona, Spain.

PLANNING/ZONING NEW YORK CITY
January 30

Broadening the Discourse
February 7–9

Santa Monica. The California Women in Environmental Design and the Association for Women in Architecture have announced their fifth annual conference. "Broadening the Discourse" will include discussion of: Growth & Urban Design; Women in Environmental Design; Women & Political Power; Art in Public Places; Housing the Homeless; and Design Theory & Criteria. Contact Julie Oakes (310) 394-0608.

ACSA Annual Meeting
March 14–17

Orlando. The Association of Collegiate Schools of Architecture's annual meeting will be held at the Yacht Club Hotel at Walt Disney World. Papers and panel discussions will focus on "new advances" in architecture and architecture schools. Contact ACSA, 1735 New York Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 785-2924.

Yokohama Urban Design Forum
March 16–19

Yokohama, Japan. "The Quality of Cities" is the theme of this international urban design forum. Participants will discuss life and culture in an increasingly sophisticated and complex urban environment. Contact Executive Committee, Yokohama Urban Design Forum, Urban Planning Bureau, The City of Yokohama, 1-1, Minato-cho, Naka-ku, Yokohama 221 Japan (81) 45-671-2033 or FAX (81) 45-683-3415.

Livable Cities
March 17–21

San Francisco. The 12th Making Cities Livable Conference is an international event for architects, landscape architects, urban designers, city officials, community leaders, and developers. Contact Suzanne H. Crowhurst Lennard, Conference Organizer, P.O. Box 7586, Carmel, CA 93921 (408) 626-9080 or FAX (408) 624-5126.

Notice

We strongly encourage readers to contact exhibition venues and competition and conference sponsors to confirm dates, request competition briefs, etc. In order to provide timely Calendar information, listings should be submitted one and one-half months prior to publication (January 15 for the March issue, for example). For possible inclusion, please send relevant information to Abby Bussel, P/A, 600 Summer St., Stamford, CT 06904 or FAX (203) 346-4023.
When Mutual Assurance Incorporated of Birmingham began redesigning their work space, they decided that each systems office would reflect an atmosphere of privacy. Singular areas where work could be efficiently conducted. Yet accessible enough that employees could express their individual styles and openly interact. Their designer chose the Cetra System. Cetra's integration of spaciousness and privacy, along with its availability in warm wood accents and an array of fabrics and finishes assured Mutual of the atmosphere they desired. The Cetra System. Mutually beneficial.

**KIMBALL® ARTEC**
1600 Royal Street Jasper, Indiana 47549 1-800-482-1616 Canada 1-800-635-5812

**CETRA GOES EVERYWHERE**
THE ILLUSIONS COLLECTION

CHICAGO FAUCETS

The Chicago Faucet Company, 2100 South Clearwater Drive, Des Plaines, IL 60018-5999
Phone: (708) 803-5000 Fax: (708) 298-3101
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Practice

Norman Coplan discusses the liability an architect can face if certification is wrongly interpreted as guaranty.

Law: Certification as Guaranty

Architects may be in great danger of incurring liability if they have made commitments that can be interpreted by an owner as a guaranty of the contractor’s performance. Such a guaranty may be inferred from the certifications that architects often must give to an owner or other third parties. In requiring certifications, an owner, a lending institution, or a government agency is seeking to minimize its exposure by subjecting design professionals to responsibility that it may not be appropriate for them to assume. Architects must be aware of the danger inherent in such certification and must learn how to deal with it.

The contract between the owner and the architect (B141), issued by the American Institute of Architects, provides that the architect shall certify the payments due to a contractor and that such certification shall constitute a representation to the owner, based on the architect’s observations and on the data contained in the application for payment, that the work has progressed to the point indicated and that, to the best of the architect’s knowledge, information, and belief, the quality of the work is in accordance with the contract documents. This certification is generally the only one discussed at the time the contract is negotiated. Other certifications required by lending institutions or government agencies may not even be considered at the time of the negotiation of the owner-architect contract and yet such certifications may contain language that can be interpreted as constituting a guaranty.

Professional liability insurance explicitly excludes such guaranties from coverage. It would not, for example, cover the liability arising from an erroneous representation to an owner unless the architect’s knowledge, information, and belief, on which the representation was based, reflected negligence. There also would be no coverage if liability arose from an unqualified certification that was construed as a guaranty. Since the term “certification” itself conveys an impression of absolute assurance and since architects’ professional status suggests that they are “all knowing” concerning the details of the construction project, it is of utmost importance that the language of a certification negate its possible interpretation as a guaranty.

Architects should discuss the issue of certification with an owner before a contract is executed and they should place contractual limits on their responsibility to furnish certifications. Architects should also make clear to owners that certifications that could be construed as guaranties are unacceptable for many reasons.

Architects, for instance, are charged by law to exercise due care in performing in accordance with the prevailing standards of the profession. This defines the limit of their responsibility. It is, thus, unreasonable and inequitable for a client to require something that can be construed as a guaranty of a contractor’s performance. There is no economic justification for architects to assume such liability and it is certainly not reflected in the fees paid to them. Further, it is not in the client’s interest to require an architect to accept a potential liability that is not covered by professional liability insurance.

If architects are required to furnish certification, it should be limited to a subject that is within their knowledge and competence and they should not be responsible for certifying facts they would not acquire within the limits of the functions and duties assumed under the owner-architect agreement. Any certification of fact should be premised on and limited to the assertion that the certification is “to the best of the architects’ knowledge, information, and belief” and that such knowledge, information, and belief are premised on and limited to the actual functions the architects are required to perform under their contract with the owner.

Architects are often required to certify what, in essence, is not a statement of fact, but a statement of opinion. For example, if architects are expected to certify that their plans and specifications comply with applicable building codes or other statutory or regulatory requirements, such certification should be in the form of an opinion. If an opinion was not negligently formulated, but was in fact erroneous, a defense exists to a claim of malpractice. If, however, the certification is not qualified as being an opinion, it may be construed as a guaranty, subjecting the architects to liability regardless of whether or not they exercised due care in formulating such an opinion. In any event, whether architects are certifying a fact or an opinion, the certification should state that it does not constitute a guaranty or an expressed or implied warranty.

The author is a partner in the New York law firm of Bernstein, Weiss, Coplan, Weinstein & Lake.

Practice Points

Do too many regulations prevent the construction of affordable housing in some communities? A new report from HUD, “Not in My Backyard (NIMBY): Removing Barriers to Affordable Housing,” offers 31 recommendations for federal, state, and local government agencies and the private sector for removing constraints (including many important environmental regulations) that might be driving up construction costs and blocking new housing developments. The report is $3 from HUD User: (800) 245-2851.

The Japanese lead foreign investment in the United States real estate development. According to a survey by the French Banque Indosuez U.S. Real Estate Group, the Japanese invested more than $4.1 billion in U.S. projects (mostly in California) during the first nine months of 1990, followed by the Dutch ($665 million), the British ($271 million), and the Canadians ($263 million).

How does the current building recession compare with trends in the last half-century, and what caused those trends? A poster created by the Real Estate Development Research Center at Columbia University graphically illustrates development cycles for major building categories and traces the evolution of building “product types” from suburban tract houses to vertical malls. Posters cost $15 folded or $20 rolled; call (212) 854-3524.
The first name in ceilings
(813) 873-4498 or FAX (813) 873-4103
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Walter Rosenfeld describes precautions to take when dealing with material and product samples.

Specifications: Specifying Samples

"Samples are physical examples which illustrate materials, equipment or workmanship and establish standards by which the Work will be judged" according to the AIA General Conditions (A-201, 1987, Article 3.12.3). It sounds simple, but in practice it turns out to be somewhat more complicated. Even if the specifications call for a particular item the architect is familiar with and has previously used on other projects, things can and do go wrong during the construction process that make the review of samples one of the architect's most important responsibilities. Properly specifying samples, along with procedures for submitting and reviewing them, thus becomes one of the specifier's most significant tasks.

In submitting samples, the contractor must follow the rules set down by the specifier in Section 01300, Submittals. Having these rules consistent from job to job makes dealing with samples easier within the architect's office. Some essential requirements follow.

Identification: Samples should be identified by a securely attached label giving the manufacturer's name, product trade name and number, material type, specifications section and paragraph reference, project name, contractor's name, subcontractor or supplier's name, and the date of submission. Each sample should be accompanied by an itemized transmittal form.

Delivery: Before submitting samples, the contractor should obtain the architect's instructions as to where the samples are wanted. And the contractor should be required to prepay all delivery costs and deliver the samples to the architect's office, to the site, or to a testing laboratory, as directed. Samples are not generally returned unless specifically requested, with return packing and delivery costs paid by the contractor. If some samples are allowed to be incorporated into the Work, this should be indicated in the specification section requiring the submission.

Size and range: Samples need to be of adequate size to permit proper evaluation. And the samples submitted should show the full range of colors, textures, dimensions, and other variable characteristics expected. Samples of different items that must match or whose finishes relate, should be delivered at the same time to facilitate coordination.

A-201 (4.2.7) tells us that the architect should review samples "but only for the limited purpose of checking for conformance with information given in the Contract Documents." But why is this necessary if the material or work has been properly specified? Here are a few reasons:

Error: The contractor may have ordered the wrong item (perhaps by phone or without showing the supplier the specifications). On a recent large project, for example, window screens were delivered (without a prior sample submission) with aluminum mesh where bronze mesh had been specified, a substantial difference in appearance and in cost.

Production changes: The manufacturer may have changed the product in some way, changed the model number, or even stopped making it since it was specified months earlier.

Substitutions: Examination of samples may reveal that the contractor is proposing (without explicitly saying so) a substitution somewhat different from what was specified. Or (as in public work) three "or equal" products were named and the architect needs to see the one proposed to be used on the job.

Relationships: To assure consistency, it is necessary to see the item together with other items that must match it or relate to it.

Project changes: The impact of late design changes or changed construction conditions on one or more products may have been overlooked.

Problems during construction: Having established the rules in Division 1 and specified the samples required in the appropriate sections of the project manual, can't the architect just follow through during the course of construction, checking each sample as it comes in? On most projects this is the normal course of events. But there are still problems to be faced, no matter how well written the specifications may be. Common problems with samples follow.

Representation: The sample submitted does not in fact accurately represent the product intended to be furnished. For example, if the sample is hand made and not produced in a factory run, colors and finishes may differ critically.

Technical inadequacy: The sample is satisfactory in appearance, but does not meet ASTM or other technical requirements. It is important to recognize here that acceptance of a submitted sample can supersede written requirements to which the sample may not conform.

Variations: One sample may not show the full variation to be encountered on a large quantity of items. Brick and tile, for example, are subject to such variations.

Architect's choice: The item may be available in several finishes or versions requiring the architect's decision. Having small samples of available colors and finishes submitted before the full-size sample is shipped usually solves this problem.

Scale differences: The sample may be small or partial and the full-size product is different. For example, a 20" x 20" fixed window sample recently submitted for a mock-up did not show the numerous (black) weepholes on the face of horizontal members of the full-size white-coated aluminum windows that arrived on the site months later.

Design issues: The sample may be of the wrong hand, may have advertising on it, or may be unsuitable because of changes made after it was specified.

Under the pressure of time and the need to absorb unexpected costs, architects may use expediency to determine which products get accepted regardless of the original intent. Conscientious architects and specifiers usually take sufficient care during project documentation to ask for the right products and the right samples. Equal care should be taken during the construction phase to see that the right materials are in fact provided on the job. In this verification and evaluation process, the specifier can play a continuing and constructive role.

Walter Rosenfeld
Go Ahead... Specify Any Color In The World

You have carefully specified every texture and color of a beautiful and functional interior space, so don't leave out the final detail. Now you can specify the finishing touch of vinyl or rubber wall base and moulding with Mercer's spectrum of world class colors... Custom colors created to match your specific color requirements. Make your selection from Mercer – the perfect finishing touch.

So... Go Ahead... Specify Any Color In The World!

Call us today... 800-447-8442

We'll rush you an entire collection of samples or a selection of samples in the specific color range for your project.

Genuine Quality... World Class Color
A subsidiary of Evode Group p.l.c.
P.O. Box 1240, Eustis, FL 32727-1240 • Fax: 800-832-5398
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Eric Teicholz discusses the implications of the Windows operating system for DOS-based computers.

Computers: Understanding Windows

As faster and more powerful PCs become commonplace, one operating system promises to become the standard in the 1990s: Windows version 3.0, Microsoft Corporation's graphic user interface (sometimes called GUI) for DOS-based computers. Although the Windows interface can slow application software considerably, it offers four significant advantages over customized interfaces in the DOS environment:

1. A consistent graphic user interface between applications;
2. Multitasking capability (i.e., the ability to run several programs concurrently);
3. Ability to run existing DOS applications software;
4. Advanced communication and file sharing among several applications.

Windows also offers standard utilities such as a clock, a paint program, a calculator, and a calendar. Because of these advantages, over 4 million copies have been shipped to date, and over 50,000 third-party software developers have purchased developer kits for Windows. According to the IDC market research firm, this translates into $205 million for Windows application software sales for the first quarter of 1991 compared with $130 million for Macintosh applications, and $25 million for OS/2.

There is sometimes confusion between Microsoft Windows and X-Windows. Where Microsoft Windows is an operating system shell, X-Windows, developed at MIT, is the de facto industry "windowing" standard for UNIX and other computers. As such, it is not a graphic user interface, but instead a manner of communicating between applications and displays, especially in a networked environment. X-Windows is independent of both hardware and an operating system, so a server on a network can provide applications to client computers running different operating systems, as long as the clients are also operating under X-Windows. Graphic user interfaces such as Motif or Open Look can be built on top of the X-Windows protocols to provide the consistent, "friendly" face that Windows or Macintosh systems offer.

In contrast, Windows provides both windowing functions and a graphic user interface to DOS computers only. It also adds important features to the operating system that DOS could not provide previously. For instance, multi-tasking allows more than one application to operate at the same time, a capability standard on UNIX and Macintosh computers.

Vendors of PC software have virtually all announced upcoming or current Windows versions of their DOS software. For instance, the WordPerfect Corporation plans to start shipping WordPerfect for Windows soon. The Lotus Development Corporation has released Lotus 1-2-3 for Windows, including complete file compatibility with previous versions of the popular DOS spreadsheet program. Of course, Microsoft has had the jump in releasing its own suite of office software that already operates in Windows, from Word for word processing to Excel for spreadsheets and other packages for presentation graphics. Not only do these programs operate in Windows, but they take advantage of the cross application computing features that Windows 3.0 allows. Few vendors have been able to implement these features in such a short time.

Like PC software developers, CAD vendors have been moving their programs to the Windows environment. Foresight Resources Corporation has had a Windows version of Drafix CAD since 1989, and is releasing its second Windows version this month. Autodesk recently announced a Windows extension to its PC CAD market-dominating AutoCAD software to be released in the first half of next year. This extension kit will allow current AutoCAD users to operate with or without Windows as they choose. You can be sure that many third-party vendors (developers of software that interfaces with AutoCAD) will soon move their products to Windows as well. Other CAD system vendors have also announced upcoming Windows versions. Sigma Design's ARRS software for Windows should ship in volume this fall. Previously operating only on more powerful workstation-class computers, ARRS should bring a full array of graphic features to PC CAD in Windows. Alias Upfront, 3D design software, is available in both Macintosh and Windows formats.

ISICAD's CADVANCE for Windows should be released this year. CADVANCE possesses perhaps the strongest network capabilities of all PC CAD systems.

There is also the possibility that CADworks will soon release a Windows version of its DRAWBASE, which is known for the tight integration of a database with its CAD graphics.

Certain other vendors of note have already released Windows products. Eclat encodes manufacturers' product information (for furniture systems, fixtures, and other equipment) and publishes the data (including text, color pictures, CAD diagrams, test and research data, and prices) on CD-ROM. The disks are distributed and regularly updated at no charge. The Product Researcher, an Eclat software application, provides search and retrieval from these disks within the Windows environment. McGraw-Hill's CAP.Electronic Sweet's has a series of products called CAP for Windows, which includes CAP.Spex for CD-ROM furniture specification and CAP.Spex for space planning. The latter is a facility management application that performs analyses on current and future building use. Wind-2 Financial Management software has announced that its product line will be available for Windows. If these products fulfill the potential of the Windows environment, it will be possible to integrate text, data, and images from one application to another.

Eric Teicholz

The author is an architect and President of Graphic Systems, Inc., in Cambridge, Massachusetts.
Design Possibilities That Capture The Imagination

Weyerhaeuser's architectural wood doors allow you endless opportunities in design and flexibility. Our full range of wood doors, custom veneer matching, unsurpassed selection of new color stains and opaque finishes, and unlimited custom options, will add new dimensions to any architectural project.

When your design demands only the best in product selection and custom options, select Weyerhaeuser's Marshfield Series™ architectural wood doors. The design possibilities are endless.

Weyerhaeuser Architectural Doors
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Teresa Pineda Davidson, Architect, describes the AIA's CAD Layer Guidelines.

**CAD Layer Management**

Five years ago, personal computers offered flexibility and independence. Now as more architectural firms and their consultants' offices are becoming automated, achieving higher levels of productivity means firms with CAD have to work together more efficiently. According to a 1991 survey of CAD use in design firms, 92 percent of firms share CAD data with consultants, and 82 percent use data files provided by others.

These figures demonstrate the need for all team members to fully understand each other's file structuring system. Consultant drawings, for example, often contain repetitive information that is not applicable to them, because they have failed to freeze or turn irrelevant layers off. There is also the danger of merging different types of information that were coincidentally labeled with the same name.

The use of drawing layers is a concept that predates CAD: like the pin-bar overlay system, CAD layers allow drawings to be organized and manipulated. CAD layers are often thought of as sheets of information that can be added or taken away to create composite drawings of the overlapping building systems. Before CAD, it was never easy to manually "move" information from one sheet of paper to another. But with commands such as "CHPROP" there are no longer any eraser shavings or discrepancies.

The AIA's layer naming convention represents an effort to include all the disciplines, promoting easy electronic data transfer between consultants. In an effort to provide a viable standard for architecture, building engineering, and facility management, the AIA organized a task force on CAD layer guidelines. The group, chaired by Michael Schley from the AIA, included representatives from the International Facility Management Association, the American Consulting Engineers Council, the American Society of Civil Engineers, the Naval Facilities Engineering Council, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs. Their recommendations were published in *CAD Layer Guidelines*, which is now available from the AIA.

The AIA’s layer naming convention offers a choice of a long or a short format. The long format is more descriptive because it breaks the layer names into four categories. The "major group," with one character, denotes the discipline: A for architectural, S for structural, M for mechanical, E for electrical and so on. The AIA task force emphasizes that all of these categories can be used by architects; they denote building systems, not suggested divisions of professional responsibility.

The second category, the "minor group" with four characters, designates materials or construction assemblies such as concrete, walls, windows and doors: S-CONC, A-WALL, or A-DOOR. The third category, "optional modifier," differentiates similar layers by assigning four characters to a modifier that could describe hatching conventions, types of wall assemblies, or specific windows: A-WALL-PATT, A-DOOR-METL. Firms would probably not use this level of detail on small projects. The final category, "user defined," also optional, can have one to four characters to incorporate special requirements such as project phasing: A-DEMO-WALL-1.

AIA's short format, which was designed for CAD users who requested shorter, easier-to-type commands, uses the same categories except the number of characters for each layer name is reduced and a dash is not used to separate categories. The major group has one character, the minor group has two; the optional modifier contains two characters and the user defined category remains optional with one or two characters. For example, A-WALL becomes AWA and A-DOOR-METL is changed to ADOME.

Both formats allow time savings because layer content is logical and predictable. Users who are familiar with the guidelines automatically know to draw on the correct layer and can efficiently manipulate layers with wildcards as needed. Unfortunately, because there is no predictable character length of short format names, there is no one-to-one correspondence between the short and long formats. The issue of short-format speed versus long-format readability has prompted the task force to look into translation software.

Our firm selected the long format because it offered us several advantages:

- It provides a logical and flexible way to expedite translations and file sharing.
- Although it is lengthy to type, it is easier for casual users to understand.
- Team members can easily understand the structure and organization of a file by applying the logical naming convention "formula."
- When the number of characters is predictable, the use of wildcards such as "*" and "?" limit command repetition, increasing speed.

Layer management plays an important role in minimizing and simplifying commands used, and can reduce the time it takes to perform a task. If we standardize and enforce a formal layer system, documents will be improved and their quality enhanced.

**References**

The author is an architect and CAD Manager for Group 70 International in Honolulu, Hawaii.


---

**SAMPLE AIA CAD LAYER NAMES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LONG FORMAT</th>
<th>SHORT FORMAT</th>
<th>LAYER DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-WALL</td>
<td>AWA</td>
<td>WALLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-WALL-MOVE</td>
<td>AWA-MO</td>
<td>MOVABLE PARTITIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-GLAZ</td>
<td>ASL</td>
<td>WINDOWS, WINDOW WALLS, CURTAIN WALLS, GLAZED PARTITIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-GLAZ-ELEV</td>
<td>ASEILLE</td>
<td>GLAZING AND MULLIONS (ELEVATION VIEWS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-FLOR-SPEC</td>
<td>AFSRP</td>
<td>ARCHITECTURAL SPECIALTIES (TOILET ROOM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-GRID</td>
<td>SGR</td>
<td>STRUCTURAL COLUMN GRID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-WALL</td>
<td>SWA</td>
<td>STRUCTURAL BEARING WALLS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FROM CAD LAYER GUIDELINES STANDARDS, AIA, 1990.
Who do you trust with a dream?

As the dream nears reality and all the expectations, promises, and demands are met, pause for a moment.

Remember the beginning. Fear? A little. Doubts. Yes, many. A reassuring word or confident pat on the back held them off for awhile.

But they were still there, in your mind.

Budget? Would delivery dates be met? Are the specs correct?
Now it's almost complete.
Does anyone have your interest at heart?

Kawneer

The Mark of Responsibility.
They both are! The subtle perforations of Levolor’s new SheerView™ blind lets the outside in even when the blinds are closed. Energy efficient. Natural lighting. Glare control. Improved work environment. SheerView provides it all, only from Levolor.

Call 1.800.222.8830.
Again this year, a jury of eight distinguished professionals scanned the output of hundreds of firms for outstanding accomplishments in architectural design, urban design, and architectural research.

The 22 winners they selected are presented on the following pages, along with their commentary, profiles of the winning firms, and final observations on this 39th annual P/A Awards competition.
The Judging and The Jury

They met on a September Sunday, pored over 762 entries, debated together into the night, and delivered their verdicts on Tuesday afternoon. For the 39th time, a jury of independent architectural professionals converged on P/A's offices to choose those few submissions—one out of every 34.6 this year—that went "beyond competence" to indicate new possibilities.

For almost two working days, the jurors worked in three teams, examining all entries in the three major categories of the competition. On the final day, they gathered to present each team's nominations, exchange opinions on them, and take a final vote.

To a greater extent than usual, each of the teams reached consensus by the time the jury as a whole reassembled. This agreement within the teams did not necessarily come easily. The architectural design team, in particular, reached consensus through the arduous balancing of their search for quality with their quest for breakthrough concepts from unfamiliar sources (firms that were only apparently unknown, but the anonymity of the entries hid some surprises); they invented the "blind date" pairing of entries (see page 46) as a way to resolve their conflicts. One outcome, however, was that this team had forged an unshakable voting block by the time the teams reconvened, frustrating efforts of their fellow jurors from other teams to revise their choices, except for final adjustments between awards and citations.

Two special citations were awarded this year to projects that crossed boundaries between categories. The Interim Bridges project for Boston (p. 92) was considered primarily by the urban design jury, but was initiated more in the manner of a research project rather than commissioned by a client as the urban design rules require; the adult day care entry (p. 80) originated in the manner of a research project—and was first considered by that team—but its product was a design, rather than recommendations or guidelines. In both cases the jury as a whole applauded the initiative of the entrants in breaking conventional working patterns and felt that projects such as these embodied the real objectives of the P/A program.

In the end, geographical distribution was strongly tilted toward California firms, especially in the architectural design category. All told, 13 of the 22 honors are going to firms in various parts of that state, an increasingly important center of creativity in our field. Outside of California, honored firms are widely scattered, with smaller concentrations in Boston and New York. Canadian firms were recognized for two entries.

Types of architectural design projects covered a generous range: from housing for homeless families to a private guest house, from a transit station in L.A. to a cultural institution in Paris. In urban design, the emphasis remained on enhancing the existing fabric, but the neo-traditional approach of recent years was no longer dominant. In research, the relatively small number of choices was nevertheless diverse in its objectives.

There is an encouraging balance this year between firms previously honored in the program and firms new to the winner list. Eisenman Architects and Ellerbe Becket are each taking honors for the fourth consecutive year. Winning for the second year straight are Davids Killory, Jim Jennings, and the Refuerzo-Verderber team. The winning streaks of Steven Holl Architects, Coop Himmelblau, and Machado & Silvetti were broken—only interrupted, we hope—by the presence of firm principals on this jury.

P/A is very grateful to this hard-working, hard-thinking jury and to the thousands of professionals whose efforts are embodied in those 762 entries. John Morris Dixon

We expect the winning schemes on the following pages, with the jury discussion, to generate some definite reactions and some debate among readers. We urge you to write and share your thoughts, from which we hope to publish a generous selection.
Stanley Saitowitz, elected jury chairman, is principal of the Stanley Saitowitz Office in San Francisco, and an associate professor at the University of California, Berkeley. He received his Bachelor of Architecture at the University of Witwatersrand, South Africa, and his Master of Architecture from the University of California, Berkeley. His recent published work includes the California Museum of Photography in Riverside (P/A, September 1990), and a residence in Los Gatos, California (P/A, November, 1990). He is currently working on the San Francisco Embarcadero Promenade and the Boston Holocaust Memorial.

John Archea has been an associate professor since 1987 in the Department of Architecture at the State University of New York, Buffalo, and taught prior to that at Georgia Tech. From 1973 to 1977, he was at the National Bureau of Standards, where his studies centered on safety in houses, nursing homes, and hospitals. He holds a BS in architecture from the University of Cincinnati and a Ph.D. from Penn State. He chaired the Board of Directors of the Environmental Design Research Association (EDRA) from 1978 to 1980. He is currently working on a book entitled The Architecture of Everyday Experience.

Gregory S. Baldwin, FAIA, is a design partner in the Zimmer Gunsul Frasca Partnership of Portland, Oregon. At ZGF, he has been responsible for the design of downtown developments, transit improvements, and urban design efforts in several cities from coast to coast. The three projects under Baldwin's direction that have been recognized in the P/A Awards program include Portland's light rail system (P/A, Feb. 1988, p. 66). In 1991, ZGF received the AIA Architecture Firm Award. Baldwin holds undergraduate and architecture degrees from Harvard, and was the recipient of a Rome Prize Fellowship.

Steven Holl, AIA, principal of Steven Holl Architects, established his firm in New York in 1976, following graduation from the University of Washington, studies in Rome, and post-graduate work at the Architectural Association in London. He is a professor at Columbia University, New York. His work was shown at New York's Museum of Modern Art (1989) and at the Walker Art Center, Minneapolis (1991). Among his projects that have won P/A Awards honors are the Dreamland Heights building, Seaside, Florida, (published in August, 1989), the University of Minnesota School of Architecture (January, 1990), and the Fukuoka housing (August, 1991).

Diane Legge Kemp, FAIA, is a principal in Legge Kemp Architecture & Landscape, Chicago. Her architectural consulting and landscape design practice was formed in 1989 when she left Skidmore, Owings & Merrill, where she was a design partner. She received a Bachelor of Arts from Stanford University, and a Master of Architecture from Princeton. She is a founding director of the Newhouse Architecture Foundation, which introduces architecture and related fields to public high school students, and is a member of the Governing Board, School of the Art Institute of Chicago, and Advisory Council, Princeton School of Architecture.

Tom F. Peters is Director of the Institute for the Study of the Highrise Habitat and a professor of architecture and history at Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania. His fields of interest include the culture, theory, history, and philosophy of building technology. His recent articles include "An American Culture of Construction" in Perspecta 25 and "Architectural and Engineering Design: Two Forms of Technological Thought on the Borderline between Empiricism and Science" in the 1991 book Bridging the Gap. He has taught at the E.T.H. in Zurich, U.C. Berkeley, Cornell, and the Technical University of Nova Scotia.

Wolf D. Prix is a principal and co-founder of the team practice Coop Himmelblau, founded in 1968 in Vienna. Working on study and building projects in the fields of architecture, design, and the fine arts, the team has recently completed two projects in Austria. They have also won two recent invited competitions, La Ville Nouvelle de Melun-Sénart, planning a satellite city near Paris, and the renovation of the 19th-Century Ronacher Theatre in Vienna. Opening an office in Los Angeles in 1988, Coop Himmelblau took part in the Deconstructivist Architecture exhibition that year at the Museum of Modern Art in New York.

Jorge Silvetti is a principal of Machado & Silvetti Associates, a Boston architecture and urban design firm, and a professor at Harvard. He received architecture degrees from the University of Buenos Aires and Berkeley, and a Rome Prize Fellowship from the American Academy. His firm has won citations or awards in all three categories of the P/A Awards program; last year, they won an award for the design of Piazza Dante in Genoa, and Silvetti with his students won an award for urban research in Sicily. Recently, the firm won the first Award in Architecture from the American Academy and Institute of Arts and Letters.
Architectural Design

Each year a different jury identifies similar programs that either are not among the entries, or do not show architectural excellence. Always lamented are what they consider inadequate entries in the fields of housing and social concern, health, education, and commercial construction.

**Prix:** We just have to state that we regret that there is no good school, there are no good public buildings, no good health projects among the entries, and there are too few good housing projects. You can’t cite a project because it’s a good program.

**Holl:** It’s a shame that there were so many projects and so few that connect a program of intensity with an interest in excellence.

**Saitowitz:** The process itself has become excruciating for architecture; because of the all difficult requirements architects face, the projects become compromised.

Earlier juries have had qualms about premiating entries that are already known to them or are by someone they think they can identify.

**Saitowitz:** Once we selected the projects in the initial review, we suffered a sort of jurors’ remorse, a shock about how many had recognizable signatures, and how few were really new and surprising. Of course, we came here hoping to discover and be able to present to the public some idea of a new architecture.

**Holl:** It’s unfortunate, but we don’t have projects with the level of programmatic invention that we would like, but probably these things are not being commissioned.

Out of 625 architectural design entries, this year’s jurors chose 13 to recognize. They were gratified that there was one submission that addressed both housing and social concerns — and did so with good design and the required economy of means (p. 54). They selected nothing in the education or health areas and found only two moderate-scaled buildings (pp. 60 and 63) in the commercial arena.

Even though jurors are asked not to name names if they recognize the sources of entries, they inevitably know some too well for their forbearance to last. Uncomfortable after the first day with what they felt were too many known names among those kept in the running, the jury talked of bumping them in favor of the (deceptively, in some cases) less recognizable schemes. Since this is not prescribed by the P/A rules, they devised another means to equalize the players: “Blind Dates.” By pairing almost every finalist with another, they matched both like and unlike.

**Holl:** When making a blind date, you want to match the most extremely different people, known and unknown.

**Saitowitz:** We tried to juxtapose things that would set up poles and allow debate between known and unknown, between extremely reduced and more exuberant projects.

As is the case with almost every P/A jury, the license given them by the magazine was explored and tested. This year the “blind date,” part of that process, became a vehicle for comment. The message is clearer in some cases than in others, because the pairing came from individual jurors’ minds, and not always out of unanimous agreement. **Jim Murphy**
Urban Design

Before evaluating the 96 entries in Urban Design, jurors Gregory Baldwin and Jorge Silvetti identified seven criteria for recognition:

- to promote urbanity, the characteristic of productive interdependence;
- to reconcile the American penchant for independence and pastoral ideas with the potential of urban interdependence (for American projects);
- to apply an inclusive design response to a problem and its relevant context;
- to facilitate resolution of acknowledged public objectives;
- to produce a gregarious architecture that invites and directs collaborative participation;
- to embody qualities native to the culture of the subject community;
- to verify the architecture presumed or promoted by the plan.

Silvetti: There has been a lot of progress in the field of urban design in the last 25 years. Such ideas as the making of streets, the consideration of historical and cultural context, and the relationship between architecture and urbanism, among others, have become mainstream thinking. In that respect I think there are lots of projects here that are correct and very engaged with the city, and we can safely say that the field of Urban Design is definitively acting more responsibly toward the city than in the past. But I also think this is a time when we expect to see attempts that go beyond the normative, that rise above pure competence. With few exceptions, such explorations are nowhere to be found within the submissions.

Baldwin: I agree that in the last 10 or 15 years, we have accepted a responsibility for issues, concerns, perspectives, and principles that earlier seemed invalid or irrelevant. What we have learned in the process is that good intentions simply don’t make it. The urban designer has to have sufficient understanding of his craft to be a true leader among the designers who contribute to the development of a city. Just as an architect must understand structural, mechanical, and electrical engineering to provide leadership on the design of a building, the urban designer has to understand the engineering disciplines and all the funding and institutional mechanisms critical to his project so that he can provide the overall vision and leadership for the project’s design and development. The intentions of most projects submitted were good, and many aspects of those projects were cleverly conceived. However, I do not have confidence that the urban designers of many of those projects understand the responsibilities of their craft well enough to shape the exceptional concept and ensure its implementation, management, and maintenance. On the other hand, a few demonstrate the insight, persistence, and skill necessary to produce projects that will transform the cities they serve.

Baldwin and Silvetti also expressed doubts about urban schemes that were the result of design competitions, maintaining that such competitions did not allow adequate opportunity for rapport between designer and client to be established and form the foundation for an enlightened and responsive solution.

Mark Alden Branch

Research

This year’s jury awarded three citations out of a field of 42 submissions (as against last year’s 45). Jurors Archea and Peters showed remarkable agreement in what they looked for and in their attitudes about the role of architectural research in general.

Peters: Our basic criterion has been that architectural research must have design — that means synthesis — as its goal. Not analysis. It may use analytical methods, but its goal is synthesis. We looked for a definition of the problem or a question, the definition and development of a method, and also a definition of the umfeld, the field in which something is situated, which may be demonstrated by a bibliography or by any other means. And then very important is a critical approach to the problem and the method, because without that, the result is clerical, predictable, and simply a reaffirmation of previous thinking.

Archea: We looked for continuity between the research question and its potential implementation in the built world. So much of the research seems to be so cleanly tied off that it doesn’t enter the design process at its inception, but rather at its conclusion. We found a great lack of continuity between the research and the design enterprise that presumably is going to take advantage of it.

Peters: Our view is that architectural research is not an addendum to architectural design, but is an aspect of it. This indicates an architectural approach to the analysis, which can be sequential, but is usually simultaneous.

Archea: Research needs to have an open-ended format so that it can hook into the design process, which is a synthetic, interactive process. It should stimulate the exploration and proposition of design alternatives, not merely serve as a checklist to determine whether certain established criteria have been met. Moreover, something that won five years ago should now be standard practice, and be viewed as such.

Peters: Once the research question is answered, there must also be some conclusions that respond to the question, “So what?” What implications does this have for the hypothesis set up in the beginning? The jurors were also disappointed in what they saw and didn’t see.

Peters: We had a lot of POEs and a surprising number of health-related projects. We had nothing ecological at all, which was surprising.

Archea: We didn’t have anything that dealt with energy issues, and no structural submissions. There were a couple of extraordinary technical pieces that were in fields that support construction, but one has to question whether those constitute research in the architectural realm.

Peters: In all but six or seven, I miss the vitality of discovery. Many simply substantiated what they knew before in a very pedestrian way.

Archea: One has to be somewhat gladdened by the fact that there is a fair amount of really competent work going on, even if it isn’t cutting into new territory. But even in cases where the work was of merit, the way in which it was presented was often horribly put together. It’s up to those who submit to excavate the essence of a project and make it available; the summary up front doesn’t always do that.
Architectural Design Award

Frank O. Gehry & Associates

Project: American Center in Paris.
Site: a block in the Bercy section of Paris, an industrial area currently being rehabilitated with commercial and residential development. The site is bordered by Rue Bercy to the north, a new park to the south, new housing to the east, and a new landscaped plaza to the west.

Program: a 198,000-square-foot artistic/cultural facility housing performance spaces, administrative offices, and apartments for visiting artists.

Solution: This building addresses the diverse needs of the American Center, with functions expressed as distinct parts of a whole. Limestone cladding unites the function-driven forms with the city at-large. Street walls to the north and east are unimposing, and adhere to neighboring building heights and fenestration patterns. A city planning gesture required that the southwest corner of the site be clipped, a condition used by the architect to direct the building's most public and most variegated face to the park and plaza beyond.

Public spaces (multipurpose performance/rehearsal spaces, retail shops, a restaurant/coffee shop, and a 400-seat theater) are on the lower levels and are organized around the edges of the site. An L-shaped apartment tower at the northwest corner is balanced by a tower to the southeast, the latter holds the fly gallery of the theater, a language school, administrative offices, and a library. Exhibition galleries and a "sculpture terrace" are on the upper levels.

The architectural design jurors arranged a "blind date" between the American Center and the Double Dihedral House (p. 51). While occupying radically different sites, both buildings challenge conventional notions of form and of relation to context.

Architects: Frank O. Gehry & Associates (Frank O. Gehry, design principal; Robert G. Hale, Jr., managing principal; Edwin Chan and C. Gregory Walsh, project designers; Thomas J. Hoos, project architect; Marc Salette, Brian Yoo, David Gastrau, and Kevin Daly, project team).

Associated Architects: Saubot & Jullien Architects D.P.L.G. (Jean Rozin, project manager; Philippe Caren, project architect; Nicolas Camilleri, Antoine Maroun, and André Grahy, project team).

Client: American Center (Henry Pillsbury, Judith Pisar, Daniel Janicot).

Consultants: Rioulec Scenographe, theater; Xu Acoustique, acoustical; S.G.T.E., structural; INEX, mechanical; BETEC, electrical.

Modelmaker: Model Concepts Inc.

Model Photographer: J. Scott Smith.

Jury Comments

Peters: Does the building fit in Paris?
Saitowitz: It fits better than some of the other new Parisian architecture.
Archea: Which is not necessarily saying a great deal.
Saitowitz: Yes, but at least it's showing that you can do new buildings and that they can be Modern and continuous simultaneously.
Hell: We don't have a building as big as this by Le Corbusier in Paris, so this is an interesting moment that is happening. The program is cultural interchange with artists in residence who are given a place to work.
Prix: I would vote for this building without knowing the program because it is very spatial. When I look at the program, it supports the bulk of the building. I really like to see artists living there.

Kemp: Is there any idea for organizing the space in this building?
Saitowitz: I think it's an urban idea.
Hell: This building should be in New York. New York could support that density. It is a prototype that need not be considered just for Paris. You know when you have a program for a cultural center in a town in Nebraska it is always so bereft of energy. The idea of artists living there is a dimension that I think is really invigorating.
American Center in Paris

MODEL FROM THE WEST

SKETCH
Double Dihedral House

Michael Bell, Designer

Project: David Lyman House and Gallery, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Site: four acres in the Santa Fe desert surrounded by open land.

Program: living quarters and two galleries (2500 square feet, total) for an art collector.

Solution: Built of cast-in-place concrete and tilt-up slabs, the house and gallery explore relationships between the frame, space, and the individual. The architecture takes art critic Rosalind Krauss’s analysis of Surrealist photography as a point of departure: in the house and gallery, as in photographs by Man Ray, space seems to be thinned by its surrounding frame. One enters the two-building compound by approaching the first of four framed glass planes, aligned so that indoor and outdoor spaces are interspersed. From this position one does not see interior and exterior in a conventional relationship of positive and negative spaces: they seem to collapse in synecopation, rendering the inside of a building an outside to its adjacent space - in effect, an interior without an exterior. The architectural volume is unframed; the inside is removed.

Another question about space is implicit in the design: one can take a glove off one’s hand by turning it inside out. What happens to the space left by the mass of the hand: has it collapsed or expanded? In the house and galleries, a dualistic relationship between interior and exterior implies a distention of space, a counterpoint to the Cubist sense of condensed layers seen in Le Corbusier’s work.

No matter where the individual stands, his or her position seems eccentric to the frame. Michael Bell, the designer, notes that one experiences the spaces of this compound from without, a process analogous to attempts by philosophers to separate thought from the body. This dislocation challenges the tradition of making the individual the origin of the horizontal and vertical coordinates of space. Instead, the architecture evokes a double dihedral of action posited by the art critic, Roger Caillois, where the relationship of the individual and his or her surroundings is in flux.

The jury paired the Double Dihedral House on a “blind date” with the American Center in Paris, (p. 48). Both buildings are the product of intense scrutiny of the nature of form and space; they challenge preconceptions about the relationship of a structure to its site.

Designer: Michael Bell, Berkeley, California.

Client: Dr. David Lyman, Albany, California.

Modelmaker/Renderer: Michael Bell with the assistance of Bill Green.

Model Photographer: Guy Vinson.

Jury Comments

Saitowitz: This is young Rietveld.

Holl: The attraction is in the author’s research and statements and the search for new territory about the question of space. A ghost of Rietveld haunts the place. However in the text you realize he is attempting to do something fresh. This house is a struggle (one of the essential qualities in research); the designer investigates what the space is, what the frame is, what the inside is, as well as a Surrealist idea of inversion.

Prix: You can see this both ways: looking from the outside to the object, which is, in this case, a house, and
PHOTOMONTAGE OF ELEVATIONS, INCORPORATING IMAGES BY MOHOLY-NAGY

from the inside dealing with the view. This is a really fresh way to build houses, dealing with framing the view. I think it will be the next step in architecture.

**Saitowitz**: The whole building collapses into a series of frames. And so in terms of architecture’s connections to its setting (a topic of discussion with the American Center in Paris), I think it is very clear how this works.

**Holl**: I like this question of what is the collapse of plastic space. That question belongs in the desert. This idea of turning the glove inside out exists in that the large body is the inverse of the small body.

**Saitowitz**: It involves male and female, and the frames collapse into one.

**Kemp**: It is very expansive, too; one is able to see beyond the enclosing planes and openings.

**Prix**: I like it because it is very playful. I think it’s the most refreshing work we have seen.
Model showing void beneath upper level study, an exterior space bounded by an interior.
**Housing for Homeless Families**

**Architectural Design Award**

**Davids Killory Architects**

**Project:** The Bridge: interim housing for eight homeless families in Escondido, California.

**Site:** a 14,810-square-foot lot in an urbanizing community northeast of San Diego.

**Program:** eight two- and three-bedroom apartments with off-street parking and common outdoor gathering areas.

**Solution:** This pilot project, adapted from bungalow courts common to Southwestern California, integrates layers of open and enclosed space with a pattern appropriate for the automotive city. Twelve cars can be parked in a shaded court that is on axis with a protected pedestrian area, flanked by two-floor apartments. This courtyard is a private street for the residents, with palm trees and movable canvas shades that extend across the rowhouses, like the **toldos** typical of a street in a Latin American town. Here they cover the play area while channeling cool air into the apartments. Each household is entered from this oasis, which features a laundromat, a children’s play area, and a small theater. The common area is visible from the street and car court at the front of the site as well as from the kitchen of each household; it is complemented by the private backyards that separate each apartment from neighboring structures. Because this prototype is designed for a small number of families, it should be more readily accepted in an established neighborhood than an SRO or a large housing project. From this transitional enclave, families can move to permanent housing and into the mainstream of jobs, schools, and civic life.

The jury paired this project with Morphosis’s Car Museum (p. 57), a “blind date” where architecture is alternately tailored for people and automobiles — perhaps an ironic statement of our priorities and social needs.

**Architects:** Davids Killory Architects, San Diego (Rene Davids and Christine Killory, principals).

**Client:** North County Housing Foundation, Escondido.

**Jury Comments**

**Saitowitz:** This design sets up quite a rich housing situation, based on a typical California courtyard building: The parking begins to form the first court, a barrier from the public street, and then very simple units access a courtyard which has a canvas ceiling. It’s called a bridge project and the bridge is about this connection from the street through this common space to a laundry and a little amphitheater.

**Kemp:** I think the “bridge” is more from the social context, from homelessness to reintegration in society.

**Hell:** It adds to the whole sequence from the freeway to the parking court, and features a kind of social space that turns into the more habitable court. You have the parking and the laundry and then all the entries together in the public realm. If the federal government would give a certain allotment — the cost of one Patriot missile for every city — we could build housing for the home-
less. This project is the receptacle of a lot of angst for me because I would hope that we could have public work. In times of recession, as in the WPA, there were wonderful public things that were built; housing was built.

Kemp: There is a dignity to this project that you don’t usually find with low-cost housing.

Peters: This type of housing is for the fortuitous homeless and not the endemic homeless. There are a lot who are homeless not by choice or by psychological makeup, but by economic conditions. And that’s to whom this is geared, obviously.

Holl: For the sort of people who are falling through the cracks in our society, here’s something that could be done on a small scale, rather than through an institute or large housing projects by the government—small pockets that reintegrate with the network of the city, and therefore don’t allow these things to stand out like a sore thumb. It isn’t necessarily this project in and of itself, but it’s the program of housing which is so desperately needed.
structure for the box. It's a nice piece of work; considering how little there is to do with that stucco box, the architect has packed it pretty full. **Holl:** The building forms this long entrance gallery, and you can imagine the sun coming in; it's like a long canopy that you inhabit. We had some questions about the tectonics, the way this will be made, but when we see the drawings of the braced piers and the columns, I have a sense that it's going to be made with an intensity, that it's not just going to be typical two-by-fours covered by stucco. **Prix:** It's a very good strategy. It shows how to do economical buildings and still explore the next step in architecture.

**Kemp:** It's the bigger idea that we're attracted to. Where there was a group of buildings, now, with this one move, it's all one building, one complex. **Holl:** In a way, again, it's a question of order and disorder; where the order is extreme, the disorder is really a joy. It's a question about the limit, and this is exciting architecture precisely because it is struggling with limits and then it emerges with variety. **Prix:** We called it theme and variation, why should we call it limits and breaking limits? This is what architecture is about in the future - being commercial means very, very economical, and you have to make the most of the spots where you can work. **Saitowitz:** There is this occupying of a little slice, which is about densification in sort of an urban suburb. Just occupying this space with an object like this starts to show a pattern for how a city can fill in and still maintain open space and connection.
Architectural Design

Award

Alteka Office Building

Eisenman Architects

**Project:** Alteka Office Building, Tokyo.

**Site:** Located in the Shibuya district, the building stands between the older, dense urban fabric of the city and a new boulevard.

**Program:** A mixed-use commercial building with 30,000 square feet of retail and office space.

**Solution:** The building is L-shaped in section and has ten floors above grade and two below. The design was developed through a series of operations involving the infolding, unfolding, and enveloping of the basic L-shape, which resulted in a building that has shifted curtain wall grids and a faceted form. Although it recalls the crystalline structures of Bruno Taut and, as one juror notes, Mies's 1919 Friedrichstrasse tower, this building is anything but historicist. What it offers, instead, is a kind of cultural critique of architectural stability and monumentality at a time when modern life itself is becoming increasingly contingent, tentative, and complex. Is it possible, ask the architects, to maintain "an appearance of essence and of imposing a law of constancy," when our "actual situation" is one of "fluctuation" and where every "object takes place in a continuum?" Their response has been to think of this tower not in spatial, but temporal terms. The concept of the building, continue the architects, "is related to this perpetual state of becoming." The structure, thus, "becomes an event...opening up, unfolding" like a crystal, growing.

The "blind date" for this tower according to the jury, was the P & D Guest House in California (p. 66), both had exterior skins that were folded, cut, and sheared, albeit to very different effect.

**Jury Comments**

**Holl:** When I look at this building, I can't help thinking of Mies's Friedrichstrasse building because of the triangular site and the infolding of the curtain wall.

**Prix:** I've never seen anything like this before, which is one reason why we should support it. It will be like a diamond.

**Saitowitz:** This project attacks the whole tradition of the podium and the tower. The unfolding is actually about gathering the ground into the tower in a different way. With that, the whole idea of a tower and its uprightness and its corporate purity starts to crumble. This doesn't propose a solution, because this is still an ordinary office building, but as a symbol, it starts to really question these things.

**Wright:** Although the questions have been asked before, Wright's Johnson Wax Building raises questions about the workplace and the office environment. More recently are Kevin Roche's explorations where he blows the core to the outside and holds the
building up with it. They were working with the problem of the flat plate office building as a difficult condition. You say that question is asked here, but my question is: What is being asked? Is it too obvious?

**Kemp:** It doesn't really address the work environment except to say that work can occur on unusually shaped floors. It's a very standard section.

**Saitowitz:** But the way the spaces have been shifted around does demand a different way of using it. Unlike a conventional office building, where everything can be neatly aligned, these spaces require untidy offices instead of pristine, perfect ones.

**Holl:** I do like the way the folds bring light down to levels below the street.

**Saitowitz:** I also like the environments under the sloping planes and those off the main spaces. I like the richness that comes from this singular idea of folding and the kinds of spaces that get constructed.

**Prix:** If the rain came down that plane and through that slot, it would be nice.

**Kemp:** Once it is shaped like this, you can, of course, assign special uses to these unusual spaces, but it doesn't really impact the standard clerical worker.

**Holl:** For the record, the idiosyncratic folding of the curtain wall, oblivious to what the frame is or what's going on inside, was done before by Kevin Roche in the UN Plaza Hotel.

**Baldwin:** You all may disagree, but I feel strongly that when you build a building of this size, you must consider those who will occupy it, those who will live next to it. This is a very self-centered exercise.

**Saitowitz:** Do you think it is worse than any other office building?

**Prix:** As an architect, sometimes I have to make structures without function, without anything except space, and let people choose whether they want it or not. It's like an artificial landscape.

**Baldwin:** My problem is that the building is unsuccessful in the urban environment. It doesn't do its part.

**Saitowitz:** You should look at the connections at the street level because it does actually resolve such things as getting people through the block and down to the basement.
P & D Guest House

Architectural Design
Citation

Eric Owen Moss – Architect

Project: P & D Guest House, Tarzana, California.
Site: the sloping back portion of a site containing an existing tract house, with the slope continuing northwest down to the wooded and protected Santa Monica Conservancy.
Program: Conceived as a "pleasurable toy for its owner," the house comprises a studio/executive office, a three-employee office, and a private apartment for an elderly father.
Solution: The house is envisioned as an object that can be climbed on, examined, and used as a viewing platform by children, employees, and guests. The roof is to be a stepped bleacher and deck with both open and covered areas, and views of the Conservancy and the San Fernando Valley. The levels step up and back to acknowledge the slope, the building emerging from a conical cut at the edge of the slope to allow it to fit within the 45-foot height limit.

The house, secured at the edge of the slope, is an expression of a combination of a cube and a sphere, neither one dominant. It is seen as a sphere threatening to roll down the slope, but anchored by the cube.

In this case, the "blind date" arranged for this house was Peter Eisenman's Tokyo office building (p. 63). Almost opposite in content and technical approach, each raised questions about "pushing the (design) envelope," and about the treatment of its own envelope, the skin.

Jury Comments

Kemp: This is described as a toy, something to be enjoyed as a playhouse for adults.
Hell: You have a sense that there was a solid mass there before. It's a collision of the cube and the sphere. It reminds me of carving a pumpkin - if you really carved it, it would be something special. Because of that intensity, there's a language that results, a residue. In a way we have Ledoux, the sphere, the gardener's house; but inside is a kind of Borromini banging, carving, slicing to get out.
Saitowitz: It is completely mad, and it is behind such a polite suburban house. The way that this could get built is difficult, because of the forms. How do you make such things, unless it's just a mass covered with stucco or some monolithic material?
Hell: There is a struggle, and one hopes that there is a tectonic here that deserves to be built. But I don't think that's the issue. There is a discipline.
Saitowitz: Call it geometry or form, but I don't know if there is a discipline. Discipline implies that there is a structural system.

Kemp: An order.
Price: It is very powerful, because you can feel the power needed to carve out this pumpkin; it is not a pumpkin because it is still inside, and the carving out is a very, very hard work. This project is about power in architecture. I can see neither order nor discipline; therefore I like it. There is nothing except power and exploring how to live in a football.
Ellerbe Becket

**Project:** Metro Red-Line, Vermont/Santa Monica Station, Los Angeles.

**Site:** an underground subway station and a 20,000-square-foot site above ground in a mixed residential and commercial area near the Los Angeles City College.

**Program:** a $40.5-million station as part of the new Los Angeles subway system.

**Solution:** Conceiving of this project as a series of layers – above ground, at grade, a transitional pedestrian space, and below ground – the architects have treated each in a different way. Marking the entry to the station is an almond-shaped canopy that seems to float above the banks of elevators and stairs, "creating a sensation of euphoric seizure," say the architects, "while creating signage and addressing the Los Angeles urban scene." At grade is a gridded plaza, paved with red concrete pavers and glass brick and containing a line of shops along its rear wall. The passage to the station below grade has a glass block ceiling, "presenting natural light, a condition of the exterior, as an artifact," explain the architects. In the station itself, an exposed structural system supports an almond-shaped balcony, which gives access to the train platforms.

The jury set up a "blind date" with the Samitaur Offices also in L.A. (p. 60) because both dealt with the conditions of transportation in the city, with one project below the street and the other above.

**Architects:** Ellerbe Becket, Los Angeles (Louis Naidorf, principal-in-charge; Mehrdad Yazdani, senior project designer; Ignatius Chau, project manager; Andrew Wong, Iris Steinbeck, Katherine Demetriou, Gary Friar, Vernon Pounds, Jim LeFever, design team).

**Client:** The Los Angeles County Transportation Commission.

**Consultants:** Metro Rail Transit; Robert Millar, artist; Martin & Huang International, structural; STV/Seelye Stevenson Value & Knecht, civil.

**Mechanical, Electrical; Fraser, utility planning; Lynn Caponya Associates, landscape.**

**Modelmaker:** Andrew Wong. **Model Photographer:** Adrian Velicescu. **Renderers:** Mehrdad Yazdani, Katherine Demetriou, Iris Steinbeck.

**Jury Comments**

**Prix:** A metro station in L.A. is a very special thing, I think.

**Saitowitz:** What I find interesting is that this is about densifying the city, turning the city from a sort of extended suburb to a much denser thing. It's an attempt to solve the problem of moving people underground with a very bold canopy announcing this moving underground world.

**Hell:** Making a subway system in L.A., is encouraging. I also admire the minimal means used here to achieve a special character.

**Saitowitz:** I wish, though, that this enormous canopy, which is a major structural effort, started to do something other than be a sign, that it made a space on the street that might start to become a place where you can wait or buy newspapers or something. I think it is too much of a billboard and too little of a space. With this amount of effort and material, you could do something more.

**Kemp:** And as a major subway station, there could have been more events down at the platform level.

**Price:** But in projects such as this, there are so many constraints to work with that you can't change, that I appreciate what has been done here.

**Hell:** Architects should be more involved in projects such as these.
Frank O. Gehry & Associates

Project: Frederick R. Weisman Art & Teaching Museum, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis.
Site: a riverside campus lot, bounded by a bridge, a plaza, a street, and an academic building, with a vista of the Mississippi and the city skyline.
Program: galleries for permanent and temporary exhibits, archival and administrative space, a seminar room, and a black box auditorium in a 41,000-square-foot building.
Solution: The Museum is a junction for campus life, by virtue of its program and design. It presents an understated brick facade to neighboring academic structures, while the opposite facade (overlooking the river) and the entrance facade (flanked by a bridge) are animated assemblages clad in sandblasted stainless steel. On these public sides the Museum looks like a gleaming sculpture whose contours evoke the flow of the river below.

This steel "mask" encloses a lobby that wraps around the galleries, seminar room, and auditorium. Administrative offices occupy the top floor of the Museum, where three free-form light wells illuminate the orthogonal galleries. Archives and carpentry shops occupy the lowest level, beneath two tiers of parking for 129 automobiles.

Design Architects: Frank O. Gehry & Associates, Santa Monica, California (Frank O. Gehry, design principal; Robert G. Hale, Jr., managing principal; Edwin Chan, project designer; Victoria Jenkins, project architect; Bruce Bissman-Simons, David Gastrau, Matt Fineout, Richard Rosa, project team).

Executive Architects: Meyer, Scherer & Rockcastle, Minneapolis, (Jeffrey Scherer, project manager; John Cook, project architect; Greg Ahmet, Joan Soranno, David Zenk, Pat Fitzgerald, Tim Carlson, Kelly Roehmildt, Jim Larson, project team).

Client: University of Minnesota Art & Teaching Museum.
Consultants: Ericksen, Ellison & Associates, mechanical/electrical (Leif

MODEL SHOWING WEST ELEVATION OF MUSEUM WITH MISSISSIPPI RIVER IN FOREGROUND
Jury Comments

Saitowitz: It's a shed, which I think is a good shape for a museum.

Holl: The main expression of the façade really ends up being not around the museum space but around the reception. This is wonderful, but the building is in a way backwards; it would be wonderful if all the architecture, which is hanging towards the river, somehow settled to the experiential part of the building. The key elevation is facing the Mississippi River.

Saitowitz: The actual museum is simply a loft that’s lit to create a neutralized gallery space. There is a lot of demand from museum curators for that kind of a space. It just has one wall which starts with the river and kind of pulls the water around one edge and decorates the shape, and that's the way it brings you to the building. I think the designer's reduction of elements in this manner of architecture is a quite clear step. The museum is a warehouse with a decorated wall - a waterfall that came from the river and wrecked the building. The interior is beautiful in the way the skylights carve up the shape.

Price: It’s beautiful to see how a loft mutates into a mask.

Holl: This is an exploration where the program is not divided into different elements and crashed together, but instead something is explored in the relation of fluid space to the wall.
Visiting Artist Suites

Jim Jennings Arkhitekte

Project: Visiting Artist Suites, Oliver Ranch, Geyserville, California.

Site: the crest of an open rolling hillside of oak trees and native grasses, part of a large tract of land devoted to commissioned outdoor sculpture.

Program: two suites to house visiting artists while they are working at the ranch. Accommodations are to be simple and reclusive, yet accessible to studios and other facilities on the property.

Solution: The two suites and a shared courtyard sit between two cast-in-place concrete walls that slice through the hill. The walls seem to be parallel, but actually they converge on a distant sculpture in one direction while opening to the view of a small lake in the other. The floor between the walls slopes upward in the converging direction and downward in the diverging direction—making the sculpture appear larger and closer and the lake more distant.

Interior elements containing storage, bath, and sleeping functions are made of epoxy-resin-impregnated plywood. Doors are glass, held in center-pivot steel frames. A folded steel plate stair leads directly to the shared courtyard.

The jury paired this project with the "Beached Houses" by Michael Sorkin Studio (p. 78), noting the divergent approaches to a similar program.

Architects: Jim Jennings Arkhitekte, San Francisco (Jim Jennings, principal in charge; Cheri Fraser, Tim Perks, project team/design; May Sung, Les Taylor, project team).

Client: Steven and Nancy Oliver, Berkeley, California.

Modelmakers: Vincent Chew, Cheri Fraser, Tim Perks, May Sung, Les Taylor.

Model Photographer: Alan Weingroth.

Renderers: Cheri Fraser, Tim Perks.

Jury Comments

Kemp: It's very beautiful, very sculptural and elegant.

Saitowitz: But to actually go through this, I think, would be boring. I think the one thing that's interesting is the way the walls dissolve the two spaces into one when you open it all up.

Kemp: Why do you say that's boring? The space is so stretched and extended, I don't think it would be boring at all. The color of the light and the sky would make it change.

Saitowitz: But once you stand there, you know the end. There's nothing that unfolds as you move through.

Prix: On one hand it looks very sensational, but the second time around maybe it would get boring, because if you look at the ground plan, there's nothing that could turn one on. It's a little bit like the grave of Mies van der Rohe.

Holl: I'm attracted to this because of the Zen simplicity of the intention. It's nothing in particular, and maybe where you use the word "boring," I would use the word "silent." I think it's really wonderful that even for the same program you could have this extreme and that extreme [the artists' studios by Michael Sorkin (page 78), this winner's "blind date"].

Saitowitz: [Sorkin's project] is more accepting, and I think maybe in a way it would promote life because you can actually be yourself more. This one seems very demanding, and I would be very self-conscious about where I put my toothbrush here because I might mess up the shelves.

Holl: But that can be a position from which an artist works. Which way do you turn your toothbrush when you lay it on the sink? Maybe those small things need this kind of emptiness in order to study them, whereas the drunken brawl can go on in [Sorkin's studios].
Beached Houses

Architectural Design
Citation

Michael Sorkin Studio

Project: Beached Houses, Whitehouse, Jamaica.
Site: a “hemmed-in” six-acre tract, one block from the waterfront near the town of Whitehouse.
Program: a series of large, loft-like houses to be sold to artists for use as studios and residences.
Solution: The houses are to be of three related “species,” with individual variations: The architect refers to them as a “slug,” a “carp,” and a “ray.” The “slug” is a ground-level open loft space with a sunken bedroom at its tail; the “carp” is a somewhat similar-form raised on stilts, with varying floor planes and finlike projections housing bathrooms. The “ray” is roughly circular in plan, with an undulating roof supported by tree-like columns and a second-story loft.

The jury debated the possibility of singling out the carp alone for recognition; they decided to cite the entire project but asked that the carp be emphasized in presentation.

The jury paired this project with the Visiting Artist Suites by Jim Jennings Arkhitekture (p. 76), noting the divergent approaches to a similar program.

Architects: Michael Sorkin Studio, New York (Michael Sorkin, principal; Kent Hikida, associate; Tomoaki Tanaka, Stefan Seemuller, Amo Engelhart, collaborators).
Client: name withheld by request.
Model Photographer: Seth Rubin.

Jury Comments

Peters: I’ve always had great difficulty with architecture that is not translated into architectural terms, and I find that this is untranslated.
Price: The translation into architecture, what is that?
Peters: Among other things, it’s internal logic and buildability.
Holl: This is one of the most tectonic projects we have on the table! I mean, you may not like the tectonics, but it’s two-by-fours and a skin.
Achre: My only question is, how do you separate a part of the project out from the whole submission? It almost seems to be a morphology that’s being worked through, an evolutionary idea, and that you’re suggesting that we freeze this rather plastic and temporally tectonic idea in time.
Silvetti: It is interesting to read the statement that accompanies this because there is no reference to the issue that is creating all this conversation. This explanation of the project consciously avoids saying why he chose the forms of fish. It’s almost like a joke. Quality in something like architecture has to be measured against some kind of criterion other than just the freedom to choose a form and the “unexpectedness” of such form. We all know that there could be a degree of arbitrariness in the selection of architectural configurations, and indeed that the relations between form and content are structurally arbitrary. But then, there is always motivation in the choice of form, and I would like to know, specifically, what the motivation is for the zoomorphic nature of these creatures, in order to judge them.
Price: Our architecture has to explore new borderlines; whether they are good or bad, I don’t care. We have to go sometimes over the edge, without asking: Is that logical? Is that structural? I don’t know whether this will work, but I really want to see it.
Kemp: We had 222 houses, and they all had kitchens and bathrooms and living rooms and bedrooms, but discovery and exploration mean looking for a different way of living...
Silvetti: But that is not enough. We’ve been examining how people live for 2000 years and I don’t think this little exercise is going to tell us or cause us to live a whole lot differently.
Holl: But what does it do? It changes the condition of roof/wall. You do not have roof/wall in some sort of simplistic relation, and you actually have a kind of underside, too. Maybe it’s very awkward and zoomorphic, but still, it has some value.
PtflODEL PHOTO. "CARP" UPPER FLOOR PLAN. "CARP"

SECTIONs. "CARP"

SECTIONs. "CARP"

MODEL PHOTO. "CARP"

UPPER FLOOR PLAN. "CARP"

LOWER FLOOR PLAN. "CARP"

AXONOMETRIC. "CARP"

Silvetti: It seems to me that the only value it has is shock value. And it does not say what the motivation is other than shock.

Peters: Lifting arbitrariness up to the level of a principle of design seems to me to be a dangerous undertaking.

Kemp: I don’t think that’s what’s happening. This is a deliberate questioning of the suburban form of housing.

Baldwin: The comment was made that it exercises the opportunity to be free as architects. I think we have a freedom to explore and a freedom to control that exploration. To me, this is an example where in fact there was a retreat from an exercise of freedom. Rather, the architect sort of let things happen and follow their own course, and hoped that he would learn something by accident. I don’t think that accidental discovery, although part of architecture, is really appropriate at its foundation.

Hell: You know, at a time when millions of dollars are spent on Stealth bombers and we are working as architects with 222 private houses and not a single building in government or in health care, don’t you think it’s a kind of Dadaist moment? I would rather award this slug on sticks than what I saw in health care.
Prototype Handrail

Mark Rakatansky
The Committee on Physical Thought,
University of Illinois at Chicago

Project: prototype design interventions in the Adult Day-Care Center,
Parkside Senior Services, Des Plaines, Illinois.

Site: an existing 9,333-square-foot adult day care facility in a Chicago suburb.

Program: to understand the relationship between architecture and the social and psychological space of older participants at an existing adult day care center and to enrich this environment. The investigation and subsequent findings (designs) are meant to be a model for this building type rather than a single-project endeavor.

Solution: The architect argues that recent gerontological design alleviates physical barriers but does not address the full spectrum of older adult needs. After a series of interviews with clients and staff, attention was focused on issues of "support": "the handrail is a ubiquitous symbol of gerontological and handicapped design, but it is a negative symbol, a symbol of defeat; the task of this project has been to transform the handrail into a place of interest and stimulation." Metal handrails have been designed with vertical poles, each topped with a clamp to hold photographs of clients and staff. The handrails evolve into other elements throughout the facility (16 elements are planned), including: a bench, a physical therapy device, a photographic recorder, an archive, an audio system for "interview fragments," a calendar, and a camera mount for a wheelchair-bound client. Each element articulates its support function, thus "emphasizing how support always involves separation and connection, autonomy and reciprocity."

Architects: Mark Rakatansky/The Committee on Physical Thought, School of Architecture, The University of Illinois at Chicago (Mark Rakatansky, project director and designer; Andrew Blocha, project manager; Mark McKinney, Susan Melsop, Anne Thrush, assistants).

Clients: The Retirement Research Foundation (Marilyn Hennessy, executive vice president; Brian Hofland, vice president); Parkside Senior Services (Robert L. Clapp, president; Ellen P. Brown, vice president of Aging Services; Pat Cohen, director, and Gwen Chovanec, assistant director, Older Adult Services; the staff and older participants of the Des Plaines Adult Day Health Center).

Consultants: Dr. Donna Cohen, gerontological; Media International, Inc., audio.

Prototype Construction: Den Blue, Inc.

Photography: Karant + Associates.

Mounted Photos: Al Podgorski.
 Jury Comments

**Peters:** It's a project that falls into two parts. One was the formulation of a grant proposal, which was very carefully done, and then there was a jump to the exquisite design of a handrail. [The handrail] got people involved with very thoughtful design.

**Archea:** It seems to [propose] a very narrative question, and one which in this case has a product solution which is in a sense still developing.

**Holl:** I like this project because it has a clear idea. It's a conceptual work; it's dealing in an area where no one can intervene. We all end up in these places, and this person has gotten in and done something quite simple.

**Archea:** It activates the quality of life of these people.

**Holl:** We wanted to give awards to health care and education projects, but we could not find any architecture in those submissions. This is a piece of architecture. It has detail, a formal dimension, and a concept, and it is connected.

**Saitowitz:** I think we should propose it as a citation. I don't feel it's appropriate to give it an award.

**Archea:** I don't think it is either, and it's also disconnected from its own programmatic statement in a way that forced us to take it out [of the research category]. It's not disconnected from its own design statement, but it's disconnected from its research statement.
Project: Grand Center, St. Louis, Missouri.

Site: A five-block section of Grand Boulevard, a former downtown theater district that is now partially deserted.

Program: A master plan to create a performing and visual arts center for the city that "includes the complexities and optimisms necessary for the 21st Century."

Solution: Instead of proposing infill to gentrify the downtown district, the design team analyzed existing buildings and urban configurations to "uncover the inherent underlying qualities that are somewhat hidden, to describe and inform those qualities through physical and programmatic meaning, and to transform and heighten these qualities through clever and economical intervention."

The resulting master plan consists of "seven layers" of design solutions, each featuring a distinctive lighting device designed by artists working in collaboration with the architects.

The seven layers are: On Stage Off Stage, Street and Green, Discrete Elements, Acropolis, Clusters, Overlaid Patterns, and Patchwork Quilt. Each layer represents a different urban strategy that "intensifies" functional, physical, and/or conceptual qualities the designers found in their analysis. For instance, the first layer, On Stage Off Stage, recognizes Grand Boulevard as the formal "on stage" (both programmatically and aesthetically) of the arts center, while the areas behind Grand are "off-stage." As a result of this analogy, specific proposals for On Stage include active nighttime uses, theatrical lighting, and marquees. Off Stage, on the other hand, emphasizes a more informal area that would use elements like fire stairs and parking booths as exposed backstage infrastructure or "props." The accompanying lighting proposal suggests attaching mounted banks of lights to buildings and operating them from a district "control room" to light buildings across and down the street.

Other layers include the Acropolis, which augments a natural hill at the core of the district by building parking underneath, and by providing a place of arrival and expansive views. The experience of "stepping and ramping up" to this core will announce entry into the theater district; lights at the top of the hilltop buildings will signal its presence from a distance.

Lighting proposals include a "laser lid," a nighttime ceiling of criss-crossing beams (already implemented); the "big glass," a proposal to sheathe two abandoned billboards with sheets of glass to reflect the daytime sky and nighttime beams of electrical light; and "centerline," the installation along Grand Avenue of red airport lights that can be programmed to flash or dim to coincide with particular events.

Architects: STUDIO WORKS, Venice, California (Robert Mangurian and Mary-Ann Ray, principals; David Gregor, Michael Gruber, Kent Hodgetts, Irene Keil, Kathleen Lindstrom, Nicholas Louise, design team).

Associated Firms: Trivers Associates, St. Louis (Andrew Trivers, principal; Jeff Morrissey, project architect); James Turrell, Flagstaff, Arizona.

Client: Grand Center, Inc., St. Louis.

Consultants: Mary Miss, art; Kent Hodgetts, special projects; Kiku Obata & Co., graphics; EDAW, Inc., management/landscape; Crawford, Bunte, Brammeier, transportation; Emily Pulitzer, art management; Vivian Watt, dance.

Modelmaker: STUDIO WORKS

Model Photographer: STUDIO WORKS

Renderer: STUDIO WORKS
MODEL OF LIGHTING PROPOSALS

Jury Comments

Silvetti: The project is convincing because it articulates all kinds of physical, social, and aesthetic layers of meaning that it interprets from its condition. I find the most appealing part of this is that it finds a new term of analysis. [The architects] know how to look at something that seems negative and transform it into something positive without doing much. The analysis is almost inseparable from the design.

Baldwin: It is a minimal intervention, and that is what makes it so elegant.

Silvetti: It is very urban too. It is very genuine, local, and American. It is not about infilling; it is about the intensification of things as they exist. It is making the main street more Main Street.

Baldwin: It is also philosophically American. It reconciles the American penchant for independence and pastoral ideals with the potentials of urban interdependence. The project proposes individual, independent acts that can be undertaken by different people at different places and times, and yet in the aggregate, those individuals will create a plan of interdependent components. While it might be the most inspired of the projects we have seen, it is also probably the most pragmatic.
Silvetti: This is an approach that would be nice to bring to schools as an alternative to current analytic models, because every method of urban analysis and inquiry is very partial. A city has overlapping systems operating at the same time; it will never be explained, described or understood in its entirety. It is encouraging that people are beginning to use different tools and different ways of seeing.
Urban Design

Citation

Eric Schmidt
Boston Redevelopment Authority

**Project:** Urban Design Guidelines for the Central Artery Air Rights, Boston.
**Site:** A one-and-a-half-mile-long strip through downtown Boston. The land is currently occupied by an elevated expressway that will be relocated in a tunnel under the site.
**Program:** A comprehensive redevelopment program for 50 acres of reclaimed land, establishing a linear system of public uses and activities.
**Solution:** The plan proposes a series of parks and public spaces bordered by two boulevards running the length of the Artery tunnel. The major elements are: the reconstruction of the street grid of the historic Bullfinch Triangle neighborhood, with blocks of retail, offices, and housing maintaining the street walls; a community park serving the North End neighborhood, its space enclosed by new and existing low-scaled buildings; a series of open and interconnected blocks in the waterfront district, including a park with such amenities as a carousel and a skating rink; an enclosed botanical garden in the city’s financial district; and a “gateway park” for the Chinatown neighborhood.

**Architects and Planners:** Boston Redevelopment Authority (Eric Schmidt, project designer; Antonio Fied-Silva, Kuen Shan Huang, Oussama Kabbanis, senior urban designers; Diane Chamberlain, Mark Fischbach, design interns; Chris Grice, John Huggins, Ted Chandler, Richard Garver, Karen Gray, Linda Haar, Gerald Kavanagh, David Kett, Shirley McIvor, Homer Russell, Darragh Lynch, project team; Patrice Berens, William Costa, Dan Moon, layout; Karen Leonard-Muse, graphics).

**Client:** City of Boston.
**Consultants:** Ricardo Bofill, Taller USA, New York; William H. Whyte, New York; Chan Krieger Levi, Cambridge, Massachusetts; Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Watertown, Massachusetts.
**Model Photographers:** Eric Schmidt, Sam Sweeney, Jan Van Horne.
**Renderers:** Dongik Lee, Arturo Vasquez.
Jury Comments

Baldwin: We were very concerned that the good intentions of design are implemented. So as we considered project entries, we looked at what it would take to have them built. I think the Central Artery is one of the best projects in that regard. It is also one that assumes a great deal of responsibility. It is going to build something that is very alien to the traditional city, but it will fit because it's replacing something that has already influenced development. It's going to relate activities on one side to activities on the other and provide an asset that functionally, philosophically, and spiritually reinforces the rapport that the districts and neighborhoods on either side should share.

I think they have established some reasonable guidelines. The project process has been a little more egalitarian than I would like, and, in my mind, goes overboard in soliciting good advice from a lot of people. I think that excess advice sometimes burdens a project unnecessarily, but it looks to me as if they have filtered that advice and have been discriminating in how they apply it.

Silvetti: I think that there is hardly a city with more people with opinions on the design process than Boston. The fact that these people after four years have been able to articulate guidelines that are very clear and very sensible and intelligent deserves rewarding.
The Gateway Project

Sasaki Associates

**Project:** The Gateway Project, Cleveland, Ohio.

**Site:** 28-acre site at the southern entry point to the downtown, two blocks from the heart of the city.

**Program:** to develop an urban design strategy for a 45,000-seat baseball stadium and a 20,000-seat indoor arena.

**Solution:** Taking advantage of the site's location at a major entry point to downtown Cleveland, the architects have used the stadium and arena to form an edge to the city and to create a destination that will draw people through the existing streets and landmark arcades. Although there will be parking and shops on the site, the downtown orientation of the stadium and arena entrances and the continuation of the street grid into the site will encourage people to use the city's parking garages, public transit, and shops. The urban design plan suggests building locations, lists a number of design guidelines, and identifies sites for new offices and hotels.

**Architects:** Sasaki Associates, Watertown, Massachusetts (Alan Ward, design principal; Dennis Pieprz, project urban designer; David Hirzel, managing principal; James Doolin, project manager).

**Client:** Gateway Economic Development Corporation.

**Consultants:** Amenta & Co., real estate consulting; Ellerbe Becket, arena architect; HOK, stadium architect; Polytech, civil; Richard Fleischman Architects and van Dijk, Johnson & Partners, local architects; Joyce Whitley, public participation.

**Jury Comments**

**Silvetti:** This is a very serious effort to insert a sports facility into a dense and very difficult part of the city. I am glad that the report stops short of a full-fledged architectural solution. It gives us all we need to know for urban design.

**Baldwin:** The problem with sports facilities is that they bring a tremendous amount of traffic into the city. This plan takes advantage of the freeway system. It also uses other resources downtown, such as the streets and retail arcades. The entries to the stadium, for example, are not where you would normally expect to find them, but where they ought to be to benefit the downtown. They also take advantage of the unusually large scale of athletic facilities to continue the wall of the downtown next to the freeway, which is fine.

**Silvetti:** It is a good model for any kind of large gathering space in a city.

**Baldwin:** The one thing I find missing is public transit. The commuter rail station is a five-minute walk, but I would think that they would want to have a more significant transit connection than busses alone. But they have done a very good job of understanding athletic facilities and how to fit them into the context of a city.

**Holl:** I think the idea of the stadium as a jewel in the city is strong. Also, you could still do architecture based on these guidelines.
Le Vieux Port de Montréal

Peter Rose Architect
Cardinal Hardy et Associés, Architectes

Project: Le Vieux Port de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada
Site: a reclaimed waterfront area approximately three miles long and 131 acres in area.
Program: to design a master plan for the future gradual development of the waterfront area for use by the general public, while incorporating some remaining industrial uses.
Solution: The architects considered the waterfront area as a continuum of the 18th-, 19th-, and 20th-Century fabric of Montréal. The historical evolution of the port was documented with a series of figure-ground plans that were "superimposed to create a single plan that records interventions over time." Using these archaeological studies and a study of the waterfront infrastructure, the master plan incorporates the foundations of demolished historic structures with a new promenade and flexible spaces for future programs. Designed in section, the promenade will be raised six feet above the construction of the turn-of-the-century flood wall. At the same time, the river embankment will be excavated to reveal the ruins of foundations of various structures. An existing rail line will continue to run between the promenade and the embankment. New bridges will connect the piers with the promenade, affording pedestrian access over the "railway" and the "ruins" to activities on the piers.

Architects: Peter Rose Architect, Montréal, Québec, Canada (Peter Rose, principal; Mark Podbielski, project architect; Louis Brilliant, Helmut Klassen, Joanne Leung, Eiko Ongiri, Greg Wernack, project team), with Cardinal Hardy et Associés, Architectes, Montréal, (Aurèle Cardinal, principal/project director; Michel Dufresne, project coordinator; Roch Cayouette, project architect; Bernard Lamy-Bertault, Laurent Comtois, Joanne Godin, Martin Hogue, Dennis Krause, project team), Chan & Krieger Associates, Architects (Alex Krieger, principal).
Cambridge, Massachusetts, and Jolaine Lamarre Pratte, Architects, Montreal (Claude Sauvageau, principal; Denis Gaudreault, project architect; Denis Lahaie, Martin Laneuville, project team).

Client: Société du Vieux Port de Montréal (Victor Lambert, director of planning).

Consultants: George Sexton Associates, lighting consultant (George Sexton, principal); Peter Walker and Partners, Landscape Architecture Inc. (Peter Walker, principal); Pauline Desjardins, archeologist, Société du Vieux Port de Montréal; Raymonde Gauthier, historian; Ernest Labelle, Port of Montreal, archivist.

Model Photographer: Denis Farley.

Jury Comments

Baldwin: What I like about the overall concept is the city moving out into the water on the piers, using areas that are no longer needed for water activity. On the other hand, the integration with some marina activity that remains in the area is done successfully. Most waterfronts that we are familiar with suffer from people trying to do too much and be too cute.

Silvetti: [Placing the promenade] up to see out was quite inventive and a beautiful urban move.

Baldwin: In the past there were grain elevators (between the street and the river), and you had to move past them to see the water. The raised promenade offers a similar but simpler experience. It invites you to it, and from it you can see what is obscured.

Silvetti: The historical research is very supportive and interesting.

Baldwin: The project rationalizes the port’s early 20th-Century past, exposes it, and does not apologize for or obliterate it. I think that is very unusual.

Silvetti: It has a level of architectural nostalgia about the foundations of the elevators. You can interpret them any way you want.

Baldwin: This should be commended for what it does not do.

Silvetti: There is a faith in urbanism in an almost “pure” state. This is not being presented with the superimposed festival atmosphere that characterizes the marketing efforts of so much waterfront urban design – banners, balloons and happy people in cheerful clothes. The authors just deal with the problem of a very long linear site at the edge of a city and exploit its sectional potential. People would go there because people go to the waterfront if offered the opportunity and they will interpret it in any way they want – no particular “scene” is imposed on them.
Urban Design
Special Citation

Interim Bridges Project

Kennedy Violich Architecture

Project: Interim Bridges Project, Boston.
Site: three sites, chosen by the architects, along the construction zone of Boston’s elevated Central Artery, which will be replaced by an underground roadway over the next 10 to 15 years (see page 85).
Program: three temporary bridges to provide the only means of dedicated pedestrian access between the Boston waterfront and the rest of the city during the construction project.
Solution: The form and language of the bridges are derived from technical and design criteria established through research sponsored by a grant from the National Endowment for the Arts. Each bridge responds to the needs of a different group of users. The bridges are designed to include changing exhibitions of art, cultural, and historical information about the city, and documentation of the ongoing construction process underground.

The Haymarket Bridge, bordered by the open-air market of the same name on Boston’s North End, creates two “urban edges” parallel to the highway along the borders of the construction site. The Listening Bridge links Faneuil Hall Marketplace to public areas of the waterfront. The Commuter Bridge accommodates ferry commuters to the Financial District.

Architects: Kennedy Violich Architecture, Boston (Sheila Kennedy, J. Frano Violich, Matthew Vander Bergh, project team; Wayne Adams, Kevin Alter, Daniel Anderson, Joanne Botiger, Susan Budd, Pam Dzierz, Douglas Docelel, Elizabeth Libbey, Paul Nuseth, Eliza Shapiro, Nicholas Storch, project assistants).
Client: Massachusetts Department of Public Works.

Jury Comments

Baldwin: The real contribution of this project is not its research, not its architecture, but its initiative to preserve, to heal, and to mitigate, a quality one would hope to find in urban design projects. It is a very critical kind of contribution, one to be executed during the construction of a major infrastructure project which could make the difference between staying alive and dying for the community that it abuts. In that sense it’s a very, very good project, the one kind of temporary architecture that you can probably justify. Its contribution will be lasting if it helps maintain healthy shops, stores, or whatever activity, as it maintains access to and functional connections between complementary uses.

Silvetti: What I like about this project is the attitude and the type of work they undertook – to detect an urban and architectural problem, with all its social ramifications, ask for a grant, do the project, and then go to the city and sell the project. I think that’s an example for architects. I find the architectural design less important and not so convincing, to be honest. It’s more the precision with which they define the sites, their problems, and how those things will change over time that I think is very intelligent.

Peters: For the very reasons you’ve cited, I think this project ought to be given a transcending citation or award of some kind. We should demonstrate that this project treats all three categories as aspects of architecture.

Silvetti: The writing is good, and poses good questions still unanswered about the nature of temporary architecture for 15 years in the center of a city, which may contradict the authors’ interpretation in a way that emphasizes even more how complex urban culture is: I suspect that if these “interim” bridges are built, and people use them, the preservationists of the future will save them and make
them stay.

Arches: The preservationists won't let them come down.
Community Public Healthcare

Ben J. Refuerzo
Stephen F. Verderber

Project: Redefining the Place of Architecture in Community Public Healthcare. Research-Based Design.

The submission consists of a four-volume report that assesses the current status and future needs of community healthcare facilities owned and/or operated by the State of Louisiana and distributed throughout its 64 parishes. The four parts include (1) an assessment survey of 138 facilities that focuses on both quantitative and qualitative data, (2) a representative subset of 25 post-occupancy case studies, (3) the development of 140 planning and design guidelines for renovation and new construction of parish health units, regional laboratories and offices, specialty clinics, and hospital-based programs of the state Office of Public Health, and (4) a prototypical design of a health care unit scheduled for construction.

Research methods included interviews, surveys, archival data, observational analysis, drawings, photography, and architectural models. There is an extensive bibliography.

Principal Researchers/Authors:
R-2ARCH Designers/Researchers, Los Angeles and New Orleans, in collaboration with The University of California at Los Angeles and Tulane University (Ben J. Refuerzo, Principal, R-2ARCH and Assistant Professor of Architecture, UCLA, and Stephen F. Verderber, Principal, R-2ARCH and Associate Professor, School of Architecture, Adjunct Associate Professor, School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, Tulane. UCLA project team: John G. Davis, project architect; Kenneth Kalewski, Sal Penu, Takio Mogi, David Biene, Angel Alcala, Hugh Driscoll, Arlette Cordon, Paul Neuhaus. Tulane project team: Francisco J. Arens, Louis-Marie Boulas, Barbara Carter, Ott Gira, Christopher Roth, Janet Rice, Ph.D.)

Client: Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals, Office of Public Health, New Orleans (Joan Burkart, Ph.D., representative; Joel Nitzkin, M.D., Ph.D., assistant secretary).

6.26 AVOID DESIGNING BLIND CORRIDORS THAT ARE INSTITUTIONAL, DEVOID OF DAYLIGHT OR VIEW

One of the main characteristics of institutional architecture is the long, double loaded, dark, windowless corridor. It is little more than a bleak space for all who must use the building. The lack of daylight or view precludes the type of spatial punctuation so essential within buildings. In a healthcare facility it is particularly important to break up the corridor with "events" of this type.

Therefore, create open corridors with visual connections to the exterior, i.e. a view at the end of a corridor, a clerestory above, and windows (room to room or to the exterior). This will help to animate and enliven the facility for all its users.

SAMPLE GUIDELINE

Jurys Comments

Peters: The amazing thing in this one project is that whoever the researchers are, they deal equally well with computer printouts and with pencil sketches. They work equally well, apparently, in both spheres, and that is rare.

Archae: Usually there is an almost inherent breakdown in the continuity between the research question and the architectural question. This project is exemplary because it moves beyond that. What I think is missing is that there ought to be a graphic critique of the proposed scheme, something that allows us to look at this and connect the rest of the fabric to the design.

Peters: I would commend this as being more than exemplary; I would say it is excellent - we are now picking at very, very subtle questions. It is very clear in its agenda, and in the four parts of the statement of the problem, the analytical aspect of gathering the information, the synthesis of that analytical information into a basic design catalog or set of guidelines, and the application of those guidelines in a concrete proposal.

Archea: The author engaged each phase in anticipation of the subsequent phase, and it was very well done. The sensibilities expressed throughout the entire program are far more profound than in anything we've seen in the rest of the submissions.

Silvetti: I'm a little concerned, though, about the guidelines book and a kind of indiscriminate enumeration of guidelines of a very different nature. To say, "Develop an inviting, dignified main lobby and waiting area" is meaningless, and "Create a differentiated ceiling height to express differences in function" is something that I think this researcher shouldn't do.

Archea: I don't think they present the guidelines as deterministic in that sense. They are not criteria so much as they are just guidelines.

Silvetti: I'm sure they are very good guidelines, too - some of them. I am saying that the mixture here is very disturbing. Some of them are rather banal and some of them are completely out of place.

Baldwin: Jorge makes a very good point that the guidelines look like a grab bag of good intentions.

Holl: There is a missing feedback loop, and this is not only using the guidelines to critique the final design proposal, which is only illustrative, but also to go through the next evaluation and critique the guidelines.

Silvetti: It's terribly prescriptive: the corridors must be, this must be, that must be...

Peters: I detect a disenchantment.
with pattern language, generally, but I didn’t read this as a pattern language approach.

Holl: There’s nothing wrong with pattern language, because it didn’t become a law. Pattern language was for people to read, not to make the rules for bureaucrats. One of the problems with American architecture is that there’s too much bureaucracy.

Saitowitz: I think it’s the reason why we haven’t got any health buildings on the table. This is the kind of mechanism that if you’re hired to do a health project, you’re faced with. I think it actually kills the possibility of doing architecture.

Archea: It’s operating at roughly equivalent levels of sophistication in both its research and architectural sensibilities, and that’s something that’s rare.
This survey of the cultural history and architecture of a 200-mile stretch of land and river between Laredo and Brownsville is documented in a 246-page softcover book that contains 160 contemporary and historical photographs of regional landmarks. The landmarks— which include battlefields, oil wells, neighborhoods, ranches, and civil engineering works, in addition to buildings of special merit—are shown on a folded map tucked into the back cover.

The study includes a conditions assessment of the landmarks, including recommended repairs to 20 of them. The express purpose of creating a heritage corridor is to “enhance the existing visitor experience and to broaden the visitor market.” This is intended to contribute to the economic development of the region and to enhance regional community pride. The study is a first step that identifies subsequent needs for planning, preservation, protection, historical designations, and state, federal, and international cooperation.

**Jury Comments**

**Archea:** It's a clearly presented and thorough reading of a heritage corridor that makes a case for architecture as a part of everyday life, both historically and in the contemporary scene. It has an urban sense to it that is extremely well thought through, and it has a sense of humanity, a sense of research rigor, and the technical expertise to back it up.

**Peters:** Its one weakness is that the architectural agenda is implicit, but not clearly expressed.

**Archea:** It's almost too local in its perspective; it almost fails to recognize the importance of its own mission.

**Peters:** Yes, architecture does not happen in the offices of New York and in the halls of P.A. Architecture happens where people are thoughtful and careful. That can very well be in New York, but it can be in Laredo, and it is there is the façade of a building on page 155 that is exquisite.

**Archea:** I know which one you mean, but the architecture is not separated out here: it is firmly embedded in the fabric of place. It's a linking of architecture's place in the culture, in the community.

**Peters:** You're quite right. It's not architectural excellence that is under scrutiny here, as in traditional historic designation. It is architecture as culture. That's a very subtle approach to architectural development. Now that we've come down, I hope, off a series of “isms,” I would like to see a phase in the development of the field that deals with the subtlety of architecture, not the brutality.

**Archea:** That's a good point. This puts architecture into the realm of everybody's everyday experience. It says, “You, too, have architecture in your community.”

**Archea:** That's a good point. This puts architecture into the realm of everybody's everyday experience. It says, “You, too, have architecture in your community.”

**Peters:** It goes a step further than historical analysis. It's creating something at the same time that it's analyzing. It is designing a corridor at the same time that it is choosing the arti-facts that define it. It attacks architecture as a continuous problem, and in choosing each of these items to be included in and to define the corridor, it actually creates an architectural space and tension.

**Archea:** The region begins to be defined by its architecture and its cultural sense emerges through that.

A Shared Experience is available for $17 from the publications department, Texas Historical Commission, P.O. Box 12276, Austin, Texas 78711 (512) 475-4978
Sun, Wind, and Comfort in Toronto

Peter Bosselmann
Edward Arens
Klaus Dunker
Robert Wright


The submission consists of a 186-page report prepared for the City of Toronto for use in reviewing its Master Plan for the Central Area (Cityplan '91). The researchers investigated pedestrian thermal comfort on the city’s streets, sidewalks, and open spaces, and the effect of urban development (especially tall buildings) on climatic conditions, using wind tunnel studies, solar analyses, computer comfort simulations, and field measurements. They also evaluated ways of regulating the height and bulk of future development in order to optimize microclimatic conditions for pedestrians without sacrificing the spatial definition of streets and open spaces.

The study calls for mandatory street wall heights and height limits in the vicinity of open spaces to provide both solar access and wind protection. Limits are set individually for each street and each open space in relation to its dimensions and orientation, in addition to its use and symbolic nature.

Principal Researchers/Authors: Center for Environmental Design Research, Environmental Simulation Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley (Peter Bosselmann, Professor of Architecture, City and Regional Planning and Landscape Architecture; Edward Arens, Professor of Architecture; James Bergdoli, project manager; David Lehrer and Alison Kivok, model makers; Kevin Gibson, model photography/computer image processing and simulation; David Ernst and Adil Sharag-Eldin, wind tunnel modeling; Marc Fountain, comfort modeling) and Centre for Landscape Research, University of Toronto (Klaus Dunker, Professor of Architecture; Robert Wright, Professor of Landscape Architecture; Claudio Ceduce, Bruno Aletto, and Henrik Dunker, field studies).

Client: City of Toronto, Planning and Development Department.

Jury Comments

Archea: This study impressed us for its extreme thoroughness. Although it uses standard methods, it is critical of almost all standard measures and indicators. They tell you at every intersection in downtown Toronto what the net effect of the current, potential, and mitigated build-out strategies will be, and they make very site-specific recommendations.

Sivetti: Do they have any kind of design ideas?

Archea: No, they’re all building envelope recommendations. They have criteria, for example, that at least one side of each pedestrian street should be in the sun for three hours during midday in business areas, for at least five hours in promenade areas and historically important streets, for seven hours for low-density residential streets, and they do parks site-by-site.

Holl: Putting it as simply as possible, it ends up having an effect of making buildings shorter, without legislating architectonics, which I think is a positive thing. You have these provisions of the sun and the wind and you come up with, probably, an ordinance that makes the building mass smaller, but you don’t fool around with the freedom of architectural expression.

Baldwin: Is it the last filter that you push a project through, or the first filter? When it’s the first filter, it often becomes prescriptive, when what you want is simply to confirm comfort.

Archea: This has an outcome-performance orientation, as opposed to a rule-bound prescriptive quality.

Sun, Wind, and Pedestrian Comfort is available from the Architecture and Urban Design Division, City of Toronto Planning and Development Department (416) 392-1135.
Editors who observed the P/A Awards judging comment on the entries and the jurors' response to them.

The Submissions

Dixon: What did we see in the submissions this year?
Fisher: Well, there were a number of architectural design entries that made references to body or animal forms — going beyond the obvious like Sorkin’s fish to skeletal frames and things like that — which are connected to what people in the academic world are talking about these days.
Arcidi: References to the body — and its relation to what enframes it — bring in issues of privacy, identity, consciousness of the individual. I think they also represent, maybe unwittingly, a sense that to talk about anything that incorporates a larger group is difficult and not always successful.
Fisher: I also think that some of this comes out of the gender discussion. There have been claims by the feminists that there are body connections in architecture that have been overlooked. It seems to me there are lots of references here that draw connections between skeletal frames, particularly, and architecture.
Branch: But not much of it ended up among the winners, except Sorkin’s.

Disobedience

Labs: In his recent lecture at Yale, Eisenman said that his intention was to perturb architects. I kept thinking of that Richard Neutra line about serenity always being the goal.
Dixon: Much of the jury discussion had to do with being perturbing.
Branch: “Disobedient” was a favorite word of Prix’s.
Fisher: Used in a complimentary way.
Branch: Past writings about [Prix’s] Coop Himmelblau have linked them to the rebellion and political radicalism of the 1960s, which does not seem like an appropriate analogy. Political radicalism and aesthetic radicalism may do the same thing for their respective fields, but architectural radicalism doesn’t do the same thing for the world.
Fisher: The concept of architect as “bad boy” connects back to the early Modernists, who were bad boys in comparison to the “good boy” architects of the 1980s, with their user-friendly, highly accessible Post-Modern buildings. Is this a kind of extreme reaction to all that?
Arcidi: The early Modernists were, by definition, rule-breakers, but there was a positive direction to it.
Murphy: Another thing that Eisenman says, basically, is that there aren’t any rules.
Dixon: Among the jurors, Prix was for disobedience.
Fisher: And Saitowitz seemed to support him.
Dixon: Although it is not apparent in his own work.
Similarly, Holl’s work tends toward serenity.
Murphy: But there are times when it seems ominous, maybe. There are things about Dreamland Heights [P/A, August 1989, p. 59] that are ominous to me, even though it’s fun to be in it.

Blind Dates

Dixon: The “blind dates” were Holl’s effort to take things that were straightforward and sedate and pair them with more adventurous entries. He did not say “This is good,” only “This is interesting in relation to that,” and he presented it that way to the rest of the jurors. One of the artists’ housing schemes, for instance, was extremely simple.
Murphy: The blind date process got less consistent as it went on.
Branch: It was born of his division of the entries into those by famous people and those by not famous people [not always accurately identified, since the entries were anonymous].
Dixon: At first he wanted to reject the known things. Murphy: But that violates the rules of the program.
Fisher: There are often reasons why well-known people have gotten famous. They do good work.

Urban Design Precedent Broken

Dixon: In the urban design category, we did not see as much clearly Post-Modernist work, either.
Branch: No, and I think that over the past couple of years the urban design jurors have anguished over the value of rewarding Post-Modern or historicist work when it had already been sufficiently recognized; it was no longer breaking new ground.
Fisher: The urban design award-winner [Grand Center, St. Louis, p. 82] was the first one in a long time that has conceptual parallels to what the archi-
"Think of the characteristics of this work that we've been talking about, and they all fit the California mold: they're object-oriented, paying little attention to context, fragmented, 'disobedient.'"

Arcidi: There was almost an element of piety in the choice.

Dixon: Yet visually, the handrail had the qualities of fragmentation that they had been recognizing.

Fisher: So formally, they could accept it, but it had that social aspect as well.

The Research Winners

Labs: The clinic research (p. 94) did not get the same kind enthusiasm from the other jurors as it did from the design team.

Dixon: The architectural design jurors were supportive of its study of existing clinics, but nobody promoted it strongly for an award [vs. a citation]. There was a lot of skepticism about the Sun, Wind, and Comfort entry (p. 97), which surprised me, since it seems about time somebody actually thought about the effect buildings have on comfort, rather than just saying, "The limit is x feet."

Branch: It suffered from a fear that it was telling architects how to design buildings.

Fisher: Prix said that he felt these kinds of things were "dangerous."

Labs: Oddly enough, this kind of program is performance oriented, so it has more flexibility built into it than the usual prescriptive standard.

The Fate of Big Projects

Murphy: Reviewing the entries the editors are interested in following, I don't see many I would have preferred to the ones the jury chose.

Dixon: All the really big projects were rejected.

Arcidi: The big projects were generally well known, which worked against them with this jury.

Fisher: This jury, maybe more than others, was looking for statements, and it is hard to make clear-cut statements with programmatically complicated buildings.

Dixon: Even where two or more works by the same architect were being considered, this jury favored the smaller ones.

Murphy: And the jurors who are most experienced with big buildings don't seem any more favorable to them.

Branch: This doesn't just happen in the P/A Awards, because the AIA Honor Awards also tend to go to conceptually simple works.
Profiles of Winning Firms

These profiles are based on firms’ responses to a questionnaire, edited by P/A to meet space limitations.

Past winners in the P/A Awards Program, cited below, have been published in the January P/A for the year listed. Other P/A articles listed are features on completed work.

Photos are courtesy of firms, except as noted.
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Profiles of Winning Firms


Michael Bell, BS Arch, Catholic U., Washington, D.C., 1983; M Arch, U.C. Berkeley, 1987; Lecturer, U.C. Berkeley.

Kablan, Schmidt, Fiol-Silva

Boston Redevelopment Authority, Department of Urban Design. Founded 1965.


Daly Genik Architects, Santa Monica, Calif. Founded 1990; 3 professionals, 1 registered. P/A Citation, 1985, Paradis House.

Kevin Michael Daly, BA Arch, U.C. Berkeley, 1980; M Arch, 1985; Rice, Lecturer, U.S.C.

Christopher G. Genik, B Arch, 1985, Carleton U.; M Arch, 1985; Rice, Adjunct Assistant Professor, U.S.C.

Eisenman Architects, New York. Founded 1988 (previously Eisenman Architects); 30 professionals, 7 registered. Fifth International Exhibition of Architecture of the Venice Biennale and P/A Award, 1991, University of Cincinnati, College of Design, Architecture, Art, and Planning; P/A Award, 1990, Bamboye Olympic Hotel, Bamboye, Spain; AIA National Honor Award, 1990, Kizimani Songe Building, Tokyo; P/A Citation, 1999, Carnegie Mellon Research Institute, Pittsburgh; P/A Award, 1988, Wexner Arts Center, Ohio State U., Columbus. (P/A, Oct. 1989).

Peter Eisenman, FAMA, B Arch, Cornell; M Arch, Columbia; MA and PhD, Cambridge; Professor of Architecture, U. Illinois, Chicago, Cooper Union, New York, and Ohio State U.


Louis Nieder, FAMA, BA and M Arch, U.C. Berkeley.

Kennedy Vailich, Boston. Founded 1988; 2 professionals, 2 registered.

Sheila Kennedy, AIA, BA, Wesleyan, 1979; M Arch, Harvard, 1984; Assistant Professor, Harvard.


Kennedy Vailich

Vailich

Bartek, Egbert, Maye, Uhr


Center for Environmental Design Research, Environmental Simulation Laboratory, U.C. Berkeley. Founded 1968. P/A Award, 1989; P/A Citation, 1986.

Peter Bosselman, Dipl. Ing. Arch, Karlsruhe, Germany; M Arch, UCLA; Professor, U.C. Berkeley.

Bosslammn


Ben Refuerzo, BA, 1973, and M. Arch, 1975, U.C. Berkeley; Assistant Professor, U.C.L.A.

Peter Rose Architect, Montréal, Québec, Canada. Founded 1976; 12 professionals, 8 registered. AIA National Honor Award, 1992; Canadian Centre for Architecture; P/A Award, 1978, Pavillon 70, Muse St-Sacreure, Québec.
Peter Rose, AIA, M. Arch, Yale, 1979; Adjunct Professor, Harvard.
Mark Pedelshak, B. Arch, McGill, 1985, Chargé du cours, Design de l'environnement, Université de Québec à Montréal.

Studio Works, Venice, Calif.; other office in New York. Founded 1969 (previously Works); 4 professionals, 1 registered. P/A Award, 1986, Gilcrest, Hollywood, Calif.; P/A Citation, 1982, Venice Inter-Arts Center, Venice, Calif.
Robert Mangurian, B. Arch, U.C. Berkeley, 1967; Director, Graduate Program, SCI-Arch.


Michael Sorkin, M. Arch, MIT, Professor, Yale; Visiting Professor, Cooper Union.
Tomoko Tanaka, B. Arch and M. Arch, Waseda U.; M. Arch, Yale, 1991.

Robert Mangurian, B. Arch, U.C. Berkeley, 1967; Director, Graduate Program, SCI-Arch.

Texas Historical Commission, Austin, Texas. Founded 1955; State agency for historic preservation with staff in the fields of architecture, history, archeology, and science.
Mario I. Sanchez, PhD, BA, M. Arch, U. Texas, Austin, 1982; PhD, History, Notre Dame, 1976.
A book that makes one think is rare, and this one certainly does. Ford dares to cross borders, the borders between history, theory, design, and construction; and border-crossing ideas like his refresh the scholar's relevance to the profession of building. Books of this nature are also difficult to review, because all of us are encapsulated within our own domains, looking through small windows, easily irritated by what we perceive as lacunae or misconceptions. Misunderstandings may well be from a narrow standpoint, but how refreshing it is to attempt to misunderstand something creatively, leading perhaps to something novel.

The book (conceived as one of two volumes) deals chronologically with an eclectic sampling of Western architecture from 1877 to 1939 and discusses problems relating to detail form. One of these, which permeates the book, is the contrast between the formal implications of skin-and-skeleton construction and those of massive structure. The thoughts Ford expresses on these contrasting approaches to building are variations on the traditional discussion of "Classic versus Gothic," which preoccupied many 19th-Century theoreticians.

When I first opened Ford's book, I expected a treatise on construction detailing from a cultural standpoint. It is nothing of the sort, but instead a theoretical and historical work that looks at the formal side of detailing as design, or as a design determinant. From this standpoint it is of real value to the profession. As a "border-crossing" book it offers unexpected views of technological questions to both the practitioner and the student. If we want to find instances of the use of Guastavino's Catalan vaulting technique, we can find some here. If we need construction information on Mies's Barcelona Pavilion, I know of no better readily available source. As Ford has written, the book offers examples, not development; it is a quarry of information for the curious professional.

The material presented in both written and drawn form is rich. There is especially a wealth of written contextual information about architects and their buildings (very little, however, about the engineers or contractors). Contrasting the detailing of Lutyens and McKim, Mead & White, or of Oud, Terragni, Mies, and Le Corbusier is illuminating. Each reader will pick out one group of ideas, one standpoint to follow, fully aware that there are more. The axonometric drawing technique of Ford's details awakens the impression that comparisons between the buildings can be made directly from the visual material he presents. In fact, the text is an essential linkage between the detailing "styles" of different architects. Had the author systematically included plans, sections and axonometric views of whole buildings, direct visual comparison would have been made easier.

Reading Ford's study from the standpoint of a technologist and a historian of technology, I would welcome a clearer organization of the technical material. The ideal form of such a study, pointed out by Ted Cavanagh at the Technical University of Nova Scotia in Halifax, who has used Ford's book in his construction studio, would be to combine it with David Guise's excellent Design and Technology in Architecture (rev. ed., Wiley, 1991), which has a standard information format that makes comparison easy. Guise's book offers thought-provoking comparisons between technological systems and details; Ford's provides a cultural and social context. It will be interesting to see if Ford's next volume discusses some of the same buildings Guise presents. If he does, we may be able to use both books to form a more comprehensive case study of architectural technology as design.

One of the real problems I have with the book is its use of terminology. On the one hand, Ford (continued on page 120)
Fiber backed Acrovyn installs in a breeze with a water based primer and adhesive.

NEW ACROVYN HIGH IMPACT WALL COVERING:  
NEW COLORS, NEW TEXTURES, NEW EASE OF INSTALLATION

Don't confuse new Acrovyn Wall Covering with anything you've ever used before.

Acrovyn is completely new, with 64 new colors in 16 new textures, from quietly subtle to deeply embossed bolds.

And durable, new Acrovyn installs easily with a water based primer and adhesive. The entire system is U.L.® Classified, Class I Fire Rated and easy to clean.

For colorful, free literature call The C/S Group toll free 800-233-8493.

ACROVYN INTERIORS  
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MicroStation, Version 4.0

The CAD Standard Of Excellence

MicroStation. The new CAD standard for power...speed...ease of learning...ease of use...and real-time integration across multiple platforms.

Tap MicroStation's power easily with new graphical user interface and online help.
View your co-workers' changing designs as reference files — even across different platforms.
Draw independently defined parallel lines with MicroStation's multi-line tool.
Eliminate tedious dimensioning updates with true associative dimensioning.
Create real-world models with NURBS surfacing.
Visualize your designs with sophisticated, built-in rendering.
Customize MicroStation for your particular needs or choose from hundreds of third-party applications.

For the location of your nearest MicroStation dealer and a FREE PC demo disk, call 800-345-4856 today.

INTERGRAPH
Everywhere you look.
1 Fornasetti Wallcoverings
The late Italian designer Piero Fornasetti used Classical, perspective images to subvert and enrich everyday objects. Three of his Surrealist designs have been reproduced as wallcovering patterns; "Sun and Moon," "The Library," and "The City of Jerusalem" are printed on 27-inch paper-backed vinyl. Blumenthal.
Circle 100 on reader service card

2 Sixty-nine New Laminates
The "Design Group I®" collection of Wilsonart® Brand Decorative Laminates has been expanded with 69 new patterns and colors. Canyon, Caldera Grey, Romano Travertine, and Aegean are shown. Ralph Wilson Plastics.
Circle 101 on reader service card

3 "Sustainable" Australian Wood for Flooring
Jarrah and Karri hardwoods and Tasmanian Oak (100-percent quarter-sawn) are three of the 18 non-rainforest species available from Australia; all woods are from "sustainable yield, temperate climates." Hardwood veneers, solids, strip, and mosaic flooring are offered with a variety of finishes. Uniquely Australian.
Circle 102 on reader service card

(continued on page 107)
INHERENT QUALITY

High L.Q. for TCS

TCS® terne-coated stainless steel, has
graduated Summa Cum Laude as a
superbly functional roofing material for
educational buildings.

TCS has received an “A+” in the
following subjects:
• Corrosion Resistance
• Freedom from Maintenance
• Life Cycle Cost Effectiveness
• Design Freedom
• Architectural Expression
• Predictable Performance

And because TCS weathers naturally
to an attractive gray color, painting is
eliminated and maintenance costs are
reduced—allowing the school to
budget money for the more important
educational areas.

Follansbee is proud of TCS' per­
formance in the educational field and
would like to send you a substantiating
transcript on this outstanding metal
roof product.

Call us toll-free 800-624-6906.
4 Metal Wall System
This new group of standard, pre-engineered, and detailed "Metal Wall System" kit-of-parts is hung on mechanically attached wall clips. Standard panel sizes, edges, and components are available. Panel joints can be adjusted from 1/16- to 1/2-inch to cover any gaps at the end of a run of wall. A variety of finishes, surface treatments, and designs in satin or polished stainless steel or muntz metal may be ordered. Panels have formed aluminum back panels with plain or abraded metal surfaces, or embossed surfaces. Forms + Surfaces®.
Circle 103 on reader service card

5 Vertically Hinged, Double Hung Windows
The "1800 Series" double-hung window is constructed with the Ren-Bar System, "a side hinge operation that permits each window pane to open into the room like a door"; panes can also be shifted up and down while opened into the room. A coiled stainless steel spring balance, coupled to the Ren-Bar side hinge, facilitates the raising and lowering of the windows. Designed for residential and commercial, and institutional use, the new window has a DH-HC-40 rating and AAMA certification. Mannix Industries.
Circle 104 on reader service card

6 New Air Barrier System
This new air barrier system can be sealed mechanically and can be installed in cold weather. Designed for use in cavity wall and masonry construction, the air barrier membrane includes a scrim-reinforced, flexible vinyl sheet snapped in place by a profile extruded from BFGoodrich Geon® 8700A rigid vinyl compound, which is anchored to a brick tie by a patented system. Kap Shor Technology.
Circle 105 on reader service card

(continued on page 108)
A DESIGN COMPETITION SPONSORED BY
THE COMMUNITY DESIGN CENTER OF PITTSBURGH

NEW URBAN HOUSING

JURY:
JAMES WINES
MICHAEL CROSBIE, AIA
LAURIE MAURER, AIA
LEONARD PLOTNICOV
RICHARD SWARTZ

Cash prizes totaling $10,000 plus Design Commission.
Registration closing is February 14, 1992.
Registration fee is $60; $30 for students.
Make checks payable to The Community Design Center of Pittsburgh.

To register, send name, address, and registration fee to:
THE COMMUNITY DESIGN CENTER OF PITTSBURGH
470 The Landmarks Building
One Station Square
Pittsburgh PA 15219
412 391 4144

This competition has been generously supported by the National Endowment for the Arts and the Urban Redevelopment Authority of Pittsburgh. Graphics by Juliette Borda Illustration & Design.

Now—Two Ways To Save and Organize Your Copies of P/A.
Protect your P/A issues from soil and damage. Choose either the attractive library case or the all new binder. Both are custom-designed in blue simulated leather with the magazine's logo handsomely embossed in white.

Jesse Jones Box Corporation
499 East Erie Avenue, Dept. P/A
Philadelphia, Pa. 19134

My check or money order for $_______ is enclosed.
P/A Cases: ___1 for $7.95 ___3 for $21.95 ___6 for $39.95
P/A Binders: ___1 for $9.95 ___3 for $27.95 ___6 for $52.95
Check here for size: Before July 1990, 5 1/8" x 12 3/4"______
After July 1990, 5 1/8" x 11 1/2"______

Name ____________________________
Company __________________________
Street ______________________________
City __________________________ State ______ Zip __________

Check must accompany order. Call 800-825-6690 for credit card orders. Add $1.00 per item for postage and handling. ($2.50 per item outside U.S.A.) PA residents add 6% sales tax.
Allow 4-6 weeks delivery.

(continued from page 107)

Products and Literature

Acoustic Diffuser
"Model P" is a rigid polyurethane plastic diffuser with five octaves of response in two dimensions (dispersing sound in two axes from one point). It can be mounted on surfaces with construction adhesive. Systems Development Group.
Circle 107 on reader service card

Silicone Brochure
This literature documents the performance of silicone in terms of UV- and weather-resistance, life expectancy, unprimed adhesion, service temperature range, and shrinkage. Silicone sealant characteristics, physical properties, and color choices are included. Dow Corning Corporation.
Circle 108 on reader service card

Illuminated Spiral Stair
The "Helixstair®" line of circular and spiral stairs has been expanded. Spiral stairs are now available with dual low-voltage light sources in the column to illuminate treads: "Model FL" has a flat strip of light on the front of each tread; "Model TL" diffuses light from the center column across the top surface of the tread; and "Model GT" beams light up through frosted, tempered glass treads. Boston Design Corporation.
Circle 106 on reader service card

Brick and Paver Catalog
The Imaginings catalog includes information on Ironspot face brick, 12 face brick colors, pavers, and face brick and paver textures. Endicott Clay Products.
Circle 200 on reader service card

Wood Product Manual
The Product Use Manual has been revised and updated. It includes: new Base Values and Adjustment Factors for dimension lumber; end-use recommendations and specification guidelines; section properties; relative mechanical properties; lumber, grades, sizes, and species information. The manual is color coded into three categories: framing (or structural) lumber; appearance lumber; and industrial (or factory) lumber. Western Wood Products Association.
Circle 201 on reader service card

(continued from page 107)
Reclaimed Wood Flooring
Crown Pattern-End Matched flooring is produced from 100-percent dense-grained boards of reclaimed wood; the face of the boards is 100-percent Heartwood, free of loose or unsound knots, rot, bolt holes, and other imperfections. The tongue-and-grooved, end-matched boards are available in random widths of 2 to 5 inches and in lengths of 10 to 48 inches. Mountain Lumber Company.
Circle 109 on reader service card

Wood Site Furniture Book
Circle 203 on reader service card

Stone-Face EIFS
"Thermalbar" is a new stone series exterior finishing system for new construction and renovation projects. Panels are "identical" and can be cut to fit on site. Nine standard colors and 153 custom color surfaces are available. Watson Bowman Acme.
Circle 110 on reader service card

Steel Joists Guide
The Steel Joist Institute has published its Technical Digest #6. Vertical wind force on open web steel joists is discussed, using both a K-Series joist and an LH-Series joist. Steel Joist Institute.
Circle 202 on reader service card

Updated Stack Chair
The "473 Max-Stacker II" is based on the "472 Max-Stacker" with a few additions: a higher back rest, redesigned seat contours, added overall flex, and optional upholstered back and seat cushions. Options include: a tablet arm, under the seat bookrack, and a dolly for transportation of up to 35 chairs at a time. Steelcase.
Circle 111 on reader service card

(continued on page 110)
Low-E Glass for Storm Windows and Doors

“Energy Advantage® Low-E Glass” technology is now available for storm windows and doors. “Energy Advantage® Storm Panels” may be used to cover single-glazed or insulated glass windows, as a replacement in existing storm units. Libbey-Owens-Ford.

Bathroom Mirror/Cabinet Catalog

The “Mirror Plus™” system of modular cabinet and lighting components is illustrated in a new catalog; dimensions, finishes, and materials are included. Robern.

Roofing Systems Catalog


Synthetic Floorcovering

“Flotex” is a long-wearing floorcovering produced by High-density Electrostatic Flocking. Carpet and tile products are suitable for a variety of commercial and institutional applications. Bonar & Flotex.

Modular Bathroom Unit

“LASER” consists of a hanging central mirror, four swivel towel bars, two trays, a round magnifying mirror, and a light. The adjustable unit frames any freestanding basin. Hastings & Il Bagno.

Wall/Ceiling Fixture

Joker, designed by Mario Barbaglia and Marco Colombo, is a die-cast aluminum and white glazed glass fixture. It holds a 150-watt halogen lamp and is available in red, black, and white. The fixture is 12½-inches in diameter. PAF USA.
One AutoCAD. Over 1,000 Applications. With over 1,000 different add-on application programs, AutoCAD is perfect for architectural and engineering firms like yours.

Put AutoCAD to work. Hundreds of thousands of architects and engineers use AutoCAD daily, producing everything from conceptual design drawings to construction documents for airports, power plants, shopping malls, homes and office buildings. AutoCAD has the solution that will work right for you.

Then choose a program. Detail designs with add-on applications for architectural, structural, electrical, HVAC and landscaping. There's software for civil and site work, plant design and facilities management. A number of 3D design packages help you visualize your work. Plus, AutoCAD models are perfect for rendering and animation with AutoShade®2 with Autodesk RenderMan® and Autodesk 3D Studio™.

Or program your own. You can program AutoCAD yourself to handle specialized tasks. Information from a spreadsheet can be accessed for detailing drawings. Repetitive functions can be automated. Custom templates and menus round out the package, to fit our software to your particular way of working.

AutoCAD is your safest bet. And the smart choice. It's easier to find trained AutoCAD professionals since it's the world's most widely-taught CAD software. And AutoCAD runs in a range of operating environments, protecting your investment as your computing needs grow. Get your free copy of AutoCAD's application reference guide by calling Autodesk today at 800-445-5415.
**Fabri-Tough™ lives up to its name**

The name really describes it. Tectum Fabri-Tough fabric covered wall panels absorb sound beautifully, and are tough enough to take abuse in places like the upper wall areas of the gym pictured at left above, and the back walls of the auditorium shown at top. Why are they better? Because under that attractive fabric is the Tectum acoustical wall panel that has proven itself in some of the most abuse prone places, (penitentiaries, for example), for over 40 years. We've just dressed it up with a pretty face, so you can use it in even more places. Contact us for specification literature.

40 YEARS OF PERFORMANCE PRODUCTS BY THE PERFORMANCE PEOPLE AT TECTUM®

P.O. Box 920 • Newark, OH 43058 • 614/345-9910 • FAX 614/349-9305

---

**NordicTrack melts unwanted inches away.**

Get rid of those love handles for good with NordicTrack® cross-country ski exerciser. Research shows that NordicTrack burns more calories than exercise bikes, treadmills, stairclimbers and other ordinary exercisers that only work your legs.

Plus, by increasing your lean muscle tissue, NordicTrack raises your metabolism—the rate at which your body consumes calories.

**NordicTrack is easy.**

With NordicTrack you can trim and tone your entire body — including your waistline — in as little as 20 minutes, three times a week.

**NordicTrack® Call today for a 30-day in-home trial.**

Free Video & Brochure 1-800-328-5888 Ext. 278A2

©1991 NordicTrack, Inc., A CMI Company

Circle No. 001 on Reader Service Card

(continued from page 110)

**Rail Component System**

"Decorail" is a new component rail system suitable for stairs, bank customer control systems, bar trim, booth dividers, store displays, sign frames, and office partitions. Six standard colors are offered; custom anodized colors may be ordered. Wylie Systems.

Circle No. 001 on Reader Service Card

---

**New Windows**

"Modern Divided Lights"® windows are available in "Colonial Revival" (above) or "Traditional Style," each with two panes of insulated glass. Exterior muntins are unfinished wood. Eagle.

Circle No. 117 on reader service card

(continued on page 114)
imagine
Pentop Computer

The screen/digitizer and pen of the Momenta Pentop computer was designed to provide the sensation of writing on paper; the screen is slightly textured, and it responds instantly to the pen's touch. It is the first personal computer that can be controlled either with a pen on its digitizing screen or with a keyboard. Handwritten notes and freehand sketches can be saved and indexed or converted to typed text or hard-line drawings with the machine's recognition capabilities. The DOS-based computer, which weighs only six pounds, has a 20 megahertz 386sx microprocessor, a fax and data modem, and a liquid crystal display screen. It runs DOS- and Windows-based software. CAD software will be available later this year. Momenta.

MicroStation Sparc

MicroStation Sparc® software for Sun® Sparc-Station® systems offers a new UNIX platform that is comparable in price to a PC, but offers greater speed and networking (Sun, Circle 119 on reader service card). MicroStation Sparc is compatible with MicroStation running on a PC, Macintosh, and Intergraph UNIX® workstation. MicroStation features a customized graphical user interface, relational databases, reference files, built-in rendering, surfacing tools, and associative dimensioning on all platforms (MicroStation, Circle 120 on reader service card). Third-party software, which includes IdeaGraphix's "MicroArchitect" and Auto-Scan's "Retrieve" drawing management, is also available on the Sparc platform. "MicroArchitect" includes an architectural object library, dialog boxes for stair and grid placement, 3D capabilities, and accounting and scheduling software (Idea Graphix, Circle 121 on reader service card). "Retrieve" is a drawing database that allows users to access all of their drawings in either raster or vector formats (Auto Scan, Circle 122 on reader service card).

Windows Software

Items in this section complement the Practice article on Windows-based software (p. 37).

Network CAD

CADVANCE® Version 5.0 for Windows offers "full implementation of the Windows graphical users interface" as well as other Windows features: "Clipboard," "Dynamic Data Exchange," and "Object Linking and Embedding." ISICAD. Circle 123 on reader service card

New Windows Version

Drafix Windows CAD 2.0 is the second Windows version offered by the manufacturer. New features include a "CAD Edit Bar®" that displays and allows interactive editing of all geometric information and a "Macro Editor" with 50 sample macros. Foresight Resources. Circle 124 on reader service card

Windows Design Tools

Upfront for Windows is an interactive 3D design tool. Alias. Circle 125 on reader service card

Database CAD

DRAWBASE for Windows integrates CAD Drawings with database. CADWorks. Circle 126 on reader service card

Windows Software

Microsoft offers Windows software and a variety of business applications including word-processing, spread sheets, and project management software. Microsoft. Circle 127 on reader service card

(continued on page 119)
Catalogs
Eclat offers manufacturers' catalogs on CD-ROM diskettes for PCs. Eclat.
Circle 128 on reader service card

Financial Software
A line of financial software for design firms runs in Windows. Wind-2.
Circle 129 on reader service card

New Hardware Releases

Hand-held Scanner
The new "ColorArtist" hand-held gray-scale/18-bit true color scanner can digitize images for use with Windows, DOS, and Macintosh. Marstek.
Circle 131 on reader service card

D-Size FAX
WIDEfax can copy or send and receive any document up to 24 inches wide. Drawings can be reduced to letter-size to be sent as an ordinary fax, or can be transmitted at full size in three 8-inch-wide strips. Widecom.
Circle 132 on reader service card

Faster Macintosh
The new Macintosh Quadra™ line of personal computers is based on a Motorola 68040 co-processor, which offers "twice the speed" of previous Macintosh computers. The Quadra 700 is a desktop model, and the Quadra 900 is a "high-performance tower" that offers expandability. Both feature built-in Ethernet networking capability. A Quadra 700 upgrade is available for Macintosh IIcx and IIci users. Apple.
Circle 130 on reader service card

Workstations
The new SMF series of computers offer workstations that provide a platform for OS/2, Novell, Xenix, and UNIX-based systems. A catalog describes the computers and peripheral products. CAD/Pro.
Circle 133 on reader service card

You have a year...
from the date of this issue in which to order reprints of P/A articles.
Reprints are a dynamic marketing device to promote the accomplishments of your design firm.
We will print these promotional materials on top quality coated bond paper with P/A's prestigious logo on the cover page.
We can print brochures in black and white or handsome four color. The minimum order is 1,000 copies. For additional information, reprint costs, or assistance with layout call:
Gerry Katz
(203) 348-7531
The question is avoided by calling, if we are looking for a technical construction, another basic theme, the role as mediators between two materials or components and neglecting their structural, that is, their "behavioral" context.

In conjunction with this question, another basic theme, the juxtaposition of "monolithic" and "layered" construction is confusing, if we are looking for a technological understanding of detail. "Monolithic" in Ford's terms means consistently constructed of a single material, visible on the outside as well as on the interior. The theoretical context of this definition is "honesty" in the 19th-Century understanding of Viollet-le-Duc, Ruskin, and others. This is perfectly adequate as a visual term, and it expresses clearly what we see. But a mutation in building terminology occurred with the introduction of steel and reinforced concrete in the last century. The term "monolithic" changed to denote the ability of a structure to transmit stresses undiminished from one part to another and to resist forces as an integrated unit. It no longer mattered what one saw, but how a structure behaved.

Since then, a reinforced concrete frame is called monolithic, whereas a Gothic stone one is not. Neither is a brick wall "monolithic" unless it is appropriately reinforced with steel. And "layered" construction can be "...when we talk about modern architecture, the traditionally visual distinction between 'layered' and 'monolithic' construction breaks down."

We can understand the ornamental aspects of door or window surrounds, of paneling, of triglyphs and guttae, of axi primacy, or grids. We know what they imply about construction, no matter what culture or epoch we come from. "Decoration" on the other hand, is the culturally bound aspect of detail form. Its meaning lies beyond the physical, and it has to be read in the context of the time in which and the place where it was made. Allusions to mythology, political or social representation are decorative aspects of detail. Adolf Loos's stripes on the house for Josephine Baker, the "transportation" forms of the Modern Movement - rounded shapes, ship railings, portholes, and the like, the pennants of Aldo Rossi, or the hole at the top of the AT&T Building are extreme examples; they have no functional or structural meaning, but they do have cultural connotations in their time, and we have to learn to read them in order to appreciate their meaning.

Usually, of course, detail forms display both aspects in complex relationships. It will be interesting to see how this dialectic is treated (and whether or not it will raise a controversy) in volume two, which will come chronologically closer to us.

Ford's beautifully produced book raises many compelling questions, some perhaps outside the scope the author envisioned. In fact, the questions it begs urgently need to be raised if our profession is to survive and develop. Ford bucks current trends that abdicate content for vacuous discourse. He introduces content into the examination of form and contributes thereby to the role of architectural history as a design tool. My thoughts strain at the leash of Ford's book. I would like to see volume two go much further. Tom F. Peters

The author is Professor of Architecture and History and the Director of the Institute for the Study of the Highrise Habitat at Lehigh University. He is a juror for this year's P/A Awards competition (see p. 45).
"Art is the image that persists after the spectator is no longer in the presence of the work...therefore, Afterimage."

Philip di Giacomo

Di Giacomo constructs Art/Rock formations that communicate time, force and motion inherent in the rock.
COMPUTER POSITION

The University of California, Berkeley, Department of Architecture, seeks candidates at the Assistant (tenure-track), Associate or Full Professor (tenured) level for research and instruction in computing in architecture. Salary commensurate with qualifications and experience according to the University of California pay scale. The appointment begins Fall semester 1992.

Preference will be given to candidates with a Ph.D. and a previous degree in architecture; other comparable educational qualifications, however, will be considered. Candidates are expected to have an active research interest in the computing needs of the profession, such as the management of large scale databases, the integration of informal systems with spatial modelling techniques, and in the application of computers to design. The position entails teaching both undergraduate and graduate level courses, participation in design studios, and supervision of doctoral students' research. Candidates should have a demonstrated understanding of architectural practice as well as of design theory. Tenured appointments require a strong record of publication and creative contributions of national and international distinction. Tenure track appointments require evidence of the potential for distinguished contributions and publications. Candidates should have a record of teaching consistent with the level of their appointment.

The Berkeley campus offers a stimulating, intellectual environment. Architecture faculty have applied computers in original ways to building science, structures, environmental modelling, information systems, etc., and developed novel computer supported design methods; college faculty have pioneered developments in spatial modelling and information management tools in urban, regional and environmental planning; the campus is internationally known for contributions to computing theory ranging from artificial intelligence to robotics to problem solving techniques.

Application forms are available from the Secretary to the Search Committee, Department of Architecture, 232 Wurster Hall, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720. Completed applications must be postmarked no later than February 15, 1992.

The University of California is an Equal Opportunity, Affirmative Action Employer.

ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN AND COMPUTATION

The UNC Charlotte's College of Architecture, announces the following visiting/non-tenure and tenure track positions:

Architectural Theory and Design:
Teach studio and seminar on Architectural Theory as it relates to technology in undergraduate and new graduate re-search programs. Must be able to connect the subject area with design and pursue research.

Architectural Materials Science and Technology: Teach fundamental and advanced courses in architectural materials and design studio. Emphasis is upon integration of materials/design and emerging materials through research.

Architectural History/Theory:
Teach survey and advanced courses in architectural history and studio.

Human Behavior/Perception and Building Design:
Teach studio and seminars on the relationships between building design and human behavior/perception.

Second Year Studio/Summer Visiting Assistant Professor:
Teach a design studio and a seminar course.

The College is composed of 25 diverse and dedicated faculty members, an extensive distinguished visiting architect program, 275 students within a professional program and a graduate research program focusing on Theory of Architecture and Theory of Technology. Applicants should have professional and teaching experience and terminal degree or equivalent.

Send cover letter describing approach to teach and subject with vita and names of five references to Charles H. Hight, Dean, College of Architecture UNC Charlotte, Charlotte, NC 28223. Closing date is February 13, 1992.

Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer.

MIT: Faculty Position in Design and Computation

MIT Department of Architecture seeks candidates for tenure-track Assistant or Associate Professor in computation and design; senior, tenured level possible for exceptionally well-qualified candidates.

The Department seeks someone who can participate in the next generation of thinking about how computation can be brought into effective relationship to architectural and urban design, not some­one to bring current software to design students. Candidate selected will partic­ipate in developing program of research and teaching in design and computation, with first priority being relevance to archi­tectural design and design education. Utilizing the intellectual resources of this department, including architectural theory, building technology, and artistic production and building on computer re­sources already available within the School of Architecture and Planning.

Professional degree in architecture required; Ph.D. highly desirable. Background and demonstrated achievement in both architecture and computational method required.

Resumes and three references to Profes­sor Leon Grassier, Room 7-363, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139. Consideration of applications will begin on February 1, 1992, but applications will be accepted until position is filled no later than Aug. 1, 1992.

MIT IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY/ AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

ARCHITECTS, SENIOR POSITIONS

The northeast divisional office of Marshall Erdman & Associates, the leader in the design and construction of ambulatory health-care facilities, is searching for a senior designer and a senior project architect. Candidates should have a minimum of 10 years of experience in specific experience with health care and budgets in excess of $5 million. Submit letter of introduction and resume to: Gary Sweet, AIA; MARSHALL ERDMAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.; 7 Thompson Rd; East Windsor, CT 06088.

Architectural Drafter: Prepare working plans, detail drawings of architectural and structural features of buildings and structures from rough drawings and concept descriptions. Delineate designs and details using drawing instruments. Confirm compliance with building codes and materials requirements. $400/week, 40 hrs/week, 8:30 - 5:30. Must have a Bachelor's degree in Architecture and 2 yrs exp. or 2 yrs as a Layout Artist. Submit RESUME ONLY TO JOB SERVICE OF FLORIDA, 701 S.W. 25th Avenue, Suite 135, Miami, FL 33135. Ref: Job Order #0519962.
SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY - School of Architecture is conducting a search for the following expected full-time positions beginning Fall 1992:

ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN - Assistant Professor of Architecture: tenure-track positions. Teaching responsibilities include design studio and one of the following specializations: drawing, design theory, computer-aided design, structures, building technology, or construction management/professional practice. Candidates should demonstrate a capacity to teach undergraduate and graduate studio courses, have a professional degree in Architecture with experience in professional practice, and have an interest in pursuing intellectual goals through scholarship, teaching and practice.

Interested candidates should send curriculum vitae, selected photocopies of design work (not to be returned), a statement of interest and goals, and the names of at least three references, by Friday, February 15, 1992, to: Evelyn McFarlane, Chair, Faculty Search Committee, 103 Slocum Hall, School of Architecture, SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY, Syracuse, NY 13244-1250. AA/EOE.

ARCHITECTURAL RENDERING

Turn Your Art Talent Into A Profitable Profession. Learn Architectural Rendering At Home.

40 years of professional experience teaches with Texts and Videos (VHS), what to do and how to do it, and then gives you corrective instruction on your progress.

Send $5.00 (deducted from first payment) for Registration Form and Color Brochure with complete information. Include your name, address, and zip.

SCHOOL OF PROFESSIONAL ART
200 Ocean Trail Way #108
Jupiter, FL 33477 (407) 744-8181/744-2511

ARCHITECTURAL RENDERING VIDEOS

The Secret to Successful Presentations

Project 1 — Multi Building Townhouses $65
Project 2 — Painting Interiors $65
Perspective Made Easy $65
List Accompanies Order

Send check or money order to:
SCHOOL OF PROFESSIONAL ART
200 Ocean Trail Way #106
Jupiter, Florida 33477

Advertising Rates
Situation Open advertisements: $200 per column inch, per your layout. Maximum 30 words per column inch. Minimum 6 column inches. Display style advertisements are also available in fractional page units starting at $/page and running to full page. Commissionable to recognized advertising agencies. No charge for use of box number.

Situation: Wanted advertisements: $150 per column inch. Maximum 30 words per column inch. Noncommissionable. No charge for box number.

Check should accompany the advertisement and be mailed to Lynne McLaughlin, Progressive Architecture Classifieds, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721. Screening begins immediately and will continue until the position is filled.

The University of Arizona College of Architecture seeks applications for a full-time tenure track position as Assistant or Associate Professor beginning August 1992. Women and minorities are encouraged to apply. Qualifications include strong capabilities in design, theory and practice. In addition, the applicant should have experience in one or both of the following: Design Communication (current theory and methods including graphic/video/computer); Building Technologies (materials and construction, environmental control); Salary negotiable. Submit letter of interest, vitae, and three references (name, address and phone number) to Search Committee, College of Architecture, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721. Screening begins immediately and will continue until the position is filled.

The University of Arizona is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action employer.
Inspiration comes in many forms... the color of an Aegean sky; the deep rich greens of the forest; a classic pattern with timeless appeal... And now, Grand Traditions.

GUARD introduces an inspiration

A new GUARD collection offering design inspiration coupled with high performance. Attributes like GUARD Plus stain resistance and non-mercury based biocides to inhibit mildew, fungal and bacterial growth. Also, our manufacturing process has eliminated the use of cadmium.

Guard also provides certification and a 5-year warranty. Integrity in process, product and service.

Inspiration and performance... by design. From GUARD.

For the name of your distributor rep, or immediate Grand Traditions sampling, call 1-800-521-5250.

In Canada call 416-791-8788.
# P/A Advertisers' Index January 1992

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company/Department</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andersen Corp.</td>
<td>12,13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artec, Div. of Kimball International</td>
<td>27,29,31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autodesk, Inc</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belden Brick Co.</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonneville Power Administration</td>
<td>18WB, 18WC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Retail Products</td>
<td>C3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS Group</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celotex Corp.</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Faucet Co.</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus Coated Fabrics, Div. of Borden, Inc.</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Design Center of Pittsburgh</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dgiGiacomo, Inc.</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DuPont Co.-Antron</td>
<td>14,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follansbee Steel Corp.</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forms + Surfaces</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geocad</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hewlett-Packard Co.</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergraph Corp.</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawneer Co. Inc.</td>
<td>40,41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Commercial Carpets</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levolor Corp.</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieicontrol Corp.</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutron Electronics Corp</td>
<td>4,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGraw-Hill Book Club</td>
<td>17,18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marvin Doors</td>
<td>2,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercer Products, Co., Inc.</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Ceramics</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana Lumber Co.</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.C.A.R.B.</td>
<td>18WD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevarcor</td>
<td>10,11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic Track</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peerless Lighting Corp.</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petersen Aluminum Corp.</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pifow Industries, Inc.</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.C.A. Rubber Co.</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Pine Marketing Council</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tectum, Inc.</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weyerhaeuser Architectural Doors</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph Wilson Plastics Co.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero International, Inc.</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: R or W after numbers denotes material that appears in regional editions only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advertising Sales Offices</th>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stanford, Connecticut 06904:</td>
<td>600 Summer Street, P.O. Box 1361 203-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert J. Osborne</td>
<td>Vice President and Publisher 203-348-7531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul McKenna</td>
<td>Assistant to the Publisher 800-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard A. Strachan</td>
<td>Sales Manager 800-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James J. O'Brien, Thomas K. Healy, Charles B. Selden</td>
<td>District Managers 800-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta, Georgia 30326:</td>
<td>3400 Peachtree Road, NE-Suite 811 404-237-5528 Fax 404-237-1372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmon L. Proctor, Regional Vice President</td>
<td>800-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald L. Miller, District Manager</td>
<td>800-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston, Massachusetts:</td>
<td>600 Summer Street, P.O. Box 1361 203-348-7531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas K. Healy, District Manager</td>
<td>800-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago, Illinois 60601:</td>
<td>2 Illinois Center Bldg., Suite 1300 312-661-0680 Fax 312-661-0874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Keenan, Timothy Shea</td>
<td>District Managers 800-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joan Wilse</td>
<td>Market Development Manager 800-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2543:</td>
<td>110 Superior Ave. 216-696-7000 Fax 216-696-8765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John F. Kelly, Western Sales Manager</td>
<td>800-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas, Texas:</td>
<td>2 Illinois Center Bldg., Suite 1300 312-661-0680 Fax 312-661-0874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keenan, District Manager</td>
<td>800-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver, Colorado 80224:</td>
<td>3215 South Newport Street 303-753-1901 Fax 303-753-1902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Ross, District Manager</td>
<td>800-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles, California:</td>
<td>16255 Ventura Blvd., Suite 300 Encino, CA 91436 818-990-9000 Fax 818-905-1206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael T. Greenman, District Manager</td>
<td>800-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York, New York 10168:</td>
<td>328 Chestnut Street 212-867-9191 Fax 212-867-5893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James J. O'Brien, Charles B. Selden, District Managers</td>
<td>800-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia, Pennsylvania:</td>
<td>Chanin Building, Suite 900 212-867-5893 Fax 212-867-9191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan M. Werner, District Manager</td>
<td>800-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, California 94010:</td>
<td>840 Hinckley Road, Suite 233 Burlingame, CA 94010 415-692-1381 Fax 415-692-7406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan M. Werner, District Manager</td>
<td>800-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, California 94010:</td>
<td>2 Illinois Center Bldg., Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60601 312-661-0680 Fax 312-661-0874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timothy Shea, District Manager</td>
<td>800-348-7531 Fax 203-348-4023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Subscription Information
Send all subscription orders, payments and changes of address to Progressive Architecture, P.O. Box 95759, Cleveland, OH 44101 (216-496-7000). When filing change of address, give former as well as new address and zip codes, and include recent address label if possible. Allow two months for change. Publisher reserves right to refuse unsolicited subscriptions. Professionals include architectural and architectural engineering firms personnel and architects, designers, engineers, draughtsmen employed in allied fields. Subscription rates for U.S. professionals are $56 for 1 year ($66 in Canada, $109 for foreign); $90 for 2 years ($109 in Canada, $159 for foreign); U.S. student subscription for 1 year is $24. Single copies are $7 in the U.S., $8 in Canada, and $11 for foreign except Information Sources issue which is $10 in the U.S., $12 in Canada, and $15 for foreign. For Canadian professionals only, subscriptions are $96 for 1 year ($105 in Canada, $189 for foreign); $144 for 2 years ($154 in Canada, $298 for foreign). Canadian students subscriptions are $24 for 1 year ($33 in Canada, $57 for foreign). Outside U.S., Canada, and foreign, subscriptions are $180 for 1 year ($190 in Canada, $330 for foreign); $270 for 2 years ($300 in Canada, $550 for foreign). Subscriptions are on a calendar year basis. Subscription prices include postage and handling. Please allow 2-4 weeks for delivery. Current and past issues are available at $6 each. For orders and inquiries, call 216-496-7000 or send a check or money order to Progressive Architecture, P.O. Box 95759, Cleveland, OH 44101 (216-496-7000).
Mowing a Classic Landscape

It has taken the pastoral landscape of Deere and Company in Moline, Illinois, more than 30 years to grow into pastoral maturity, despite its clients. The landscape, which surrounds Eero Saarinen's stark Cor-ten steel headquarters building (right) was awarded a Classic Award from the American Society of Landscape Architects this fall (see page 23) because it represented a "classic landscape that has long been a model of the genre." But it should have won an award for sheer endurance.

Not only has the landscape architect, Stuart O. Dawson of Sasaki Associates (who has monitored the site nearly every year since it was completed in 1959), outlasted the tenure of every John Deere executive on the board, but the landscape itself survived Deere's introduction of the riding lawnmower. In an effort to impress visiting salesmen, the maintenance crew increased the area of mowed lawn from 15 to 500 acres. But recently, nature has begun to win out: the company has decided to cut back on the lawn - in an effort to reduce maintenance.

Meanwhile, Out West...

Was a devilish sense of irony responsible for the cover of L.A. Architect's otherwise admirable October issue on Women in Architecture (below), or did the editors just overlook the implications of the title "WOMEN ON THE RISE" emblazoned on a... um... strong vertical element? The cover subject is a control tower for L.A. International Airport by Siegel Diamond Architects, whose principals are women, and Holmes & Narver, Inc.

And Why Not "The Dentist"?

"If cookies were buildings, The Architect would be the World Trade Center." Despite this description - on the back of a box of "The Architect" cookies from the Venus Wafer Company of Hingham, Massachusetts - P/A's editors sampled the brown sugar-and-cinnamon cookies and found them pretty tasty.

The box also encouraged us to try "The Chairman, The Banker, The Accountant, and The Lawyer." We did, and we can say with utmost objectivity that The Architect is best. But just how did these cookies get their names? We called Venus Wafer Company president Ned Barmakian for the answer. "Three or four years ago I hired a marketing manager; that was his idea." The names were not necessarily chosen to reflect the characters of the cookies, although Barmakian does say that "The Lawyer" is a rum-flavored wafer. "We've had a lot of interest from professional organizations," he adds. "They think it's great. Quite frankly, though, I'm afraid with the public it hasn't gone over so well."

Maybe it's that World Trade Center thing. As far as we're concerned, the company is being too hard on itself. If cookies were buildings, The Architect would be at least the Humana Building.

P/A in February...

Next month, P/A will feature Chicago's Harold Washington Library Center, designed by a team led by Hammond Beeby & Babka. When the library won a Citation in the P/A Awards (Jan. 1989, p. 103), jurors disagreed on whether the Post-Modern block would "reflect the age in which it is built." Three years later, the library is complete, but the debate continues. Also featured:

... Colton Palms housing, Colton, California, which also won a Citation in the P/A Awards (Jan. 1991, p. 102) for Varleio-Associates.

... Philadelphia's new Institute for Contemporary Art by Adéle Naudé Santos.


... an Emerging Talent portfolio on Philadelphia architect Wesley Wei.

A new, special Practice series on "Architects and Power" will also debut in February. The Technics section will examine the ASHRAE 90 energy conservation standards, while a Technics Focus will consider three aspects of building envelope renovation and restoration.